

ON THE STRUCTURE OF CERTAIN BASIC SEQUENCES ASSOCIATED WITH AN ARITHMETIC FUNCTION

by DONALD L. GOLDSMITH†

(Received 23rd July 1970)

1. Introduction

We have previously studied in some detail the multiplicative properties of a given arithmetic function f with respect to a fixed basic sequence \mathcal{B} (see, for example, (1), (2)). We investigate here the structure of $M(f)$, the collection of all basic sequences \mathcal{B} such that f is multiplicative with respect to \mathcal{B} , and in particular we focus our attention on the maximal members of $M(f)$. Our principal result will be a proof that each maximal member of $M(f)$ contains the same set of type II primitive pairs. Moreover, we will give a simple criterion for determining, in terms of the behaviour of f , whether or not a particular primitive pair (p, p) is in any (and therefore every) maximal member of $M(f)$.

A basic sequence \mathcal{B} is a set of pairs (a, b) of natural numbers for which

- (1) $(1, k) \in \mathcal{B}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$;
- (2) if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$, then $(b, a) \in \mathcal{B}$;
- (3) $(a, bc) \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $(a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $(a, c) \in \mathcal{B}$.

If Φ is any collection of pairs of natural numbers, we set $\Gamma[\Phi] = \bigcap \mathcal{E}$, where the intersection is taken over all basic sequences \mathcal{E} which contain Φ . If $\Phi = \emptyset$, then $\Gamma[\Phi] = \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is the basic sequence consisting only of all pairs of the form $(1, k)$ and $(k, 1)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). A pair (a, b) of natural numbers is called a *primitive pair* if both a and b are primes. It is of *type I* if $a \neq b$, *type II* if $a = b$.

We assume, in order to avoid trivial situations, that no arithmetic function is eventually zero. An arithmetic function f is said to be *multiplicative* with respect to a basic sequence \mathcal{B} if $f(m)f(n) = f(mn)$ for all $(m, n) \in \mathcal{B}$. The set of all arithmetic functions which are multiplicative with respect to \mathcal{B} is denoted by $M(\mathcal{B})$, and for a given arithmetic function f , $M(f)$ represents the set of all basic sequences \mathcal{B} for which $f \in M(\mathcal{B})$.

A basic sequence \mathcal{B} is a *maximal member* of $M(f)$ if $f \in M(\mathcal{B})$, but $f \notin M(\mathcal{B}')$ for any basic sequence \mathcal{B}' which properly contains \mathcal{B} . The set of maximal members of $M(f)$ is denoted by $M^*(f)$. We prove in Lemma 2.1 that every member of $M(f)$ is contained in a member of $M^*(f)$, hence for the study of $M(f)$ it is sufficient to confine our attention to $M^*(f)$.

† This research was supported in part by Western Michigan University under a Faculty Research Fellowship.

2. The structure of $M^*(f)$

We will show first that for $M^*(f)$ to be non-empty it is both necessary and sufficient that $f(1) = 1$. This result is an easy consequence of

Lemma 2.1. *If $\mathcal{B}_0 \in M(f)$, then there is a basic sequence \mathcal{B}' in $M^*(f)$ such that $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}'$.*

Proof. The proof of the lemma will depend on Tukey's Lemma: Every non-empty family of sets of finite character has a maximal member. (A family \mathfrak{A} of sets is of *finite character* provided $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if every finite subset of A is in \mathfrak{A} . A is a *maximal* member of \mathfrak{A} if $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and if there is no member A' of \mathfrak{A} such that A' properly contains A .)

Let Φ_0 be the set of primitive pairs in \mathcal{B}_0 (take $\Phi_0 = \emptyset$ if $\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{S}$) and define \mathfrak{A} to be the family of all sets Φ of primitive pairs for which

$$f \in M(\Gamma[\Phi \cup \Phi_0]).$$

We will show that \mathfrak{A} is non-empty and of finite character. By Tukey's Lemma, \mathfrak{A} will then contain a maximal member, say Φ' , and it is clear that the basic sequence $\mathcal{B}' = \Gamma[\Phi']$ will satisfy the requirements of Lemma 2.1.

\mathfrak{A} is non-empty, since $f \in M(\mathcal{B}_0) = M(\Gamma[\phi \cup \Phi_0])$, so $\phi \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Suppose that $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}$ and that Ψ is any finite subset of Φ . Since

$$f \in M(\Gamma[\Phi \cup \Phi_0])$$

and since $\Gamma[\Psi \cup \Phi_0] \subset \Gamma[\Phi \cup \Phi_0]$, it follows that $f \in M(\Gamma[\Psi \cup \Phi_0])$; hence $\Psi \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Conversely, let Φ be a set of primitive pairs and suppose $\Psi \in \mathfrak{A}$ for every finite subset Ψ of Φ . Let (a, b) be any pair in $\Gamma[\Phi \cup \Phi_0]$ and let Ψ_1 be the set of all primitive pairs (p, q) for which $p \mid a$ and $q \mid b$. Clearly $\Psi_1 \subset \Phi \cup \Phi_0$. Now set

$$\Psi = \Psi_1 \cap \Phi.$$

Then Ψ is a finite subset of Φ , so by assumption $\Psi \in \mathfrak{A}$. Therefore

$$f \in M(\Gamma[\Psi \cup \Phi_0]).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b) \in \Gamma[\Psi_1] &= \Gamma[\Psi_1 \cap (\Phi \cup \Phi_0)] \\ &= \Gamma[\Psi \cup (\Psi_1 \cap \Phi_0)] \subset \Gamma[\Psi \cup \Phi_0], \end{aligned}$$

so $f(ab) = f(a)f(b)$. It follows that $f \in M(\Gamma[\Phi \cup \Phi_0])$ and so $\Phi \in \mathfrak{A}$.

Thus \mathfrak{A} is of finite character and the proof is complete.

The result of Lemma 2.1 provides the basis for an easy proof of

Theorem 2.2. $M^*(f) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $f(1) = 1$.

Proof. If $f(1) = 1$, then $f \in M(\mathcal{S})$, so $\mathcal{S} \in M(f)$. By Lemma 2.1 there is a basic sequence \mathcal{B}' in $M^*(f)$, therefore $M^*(f) \neq \emptyset$.

On the other hand, if $f(1) \neq 1$, then $f \notin M(\mathcal{B})$ for any basic sequence \mathcal{B} ; that is, $M(f) = \emptyset$. But since $M^*(f) \subset M(f)$, we have $M^*(f) = \emptyset$.

The next theorem will yield not only the previously mentioned result about the type II primitive pairs in the members of $M^*(f)$, but also will provide information about the distribution of the type I primitive pairs. We will use the following notation: For a given basic sequence \mathcal{B} and a given prime p , we define

$$C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = \{q \mid q \text{ prime, } (p, q) \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

Theorem 2.3. *If*

$$f(p^a q^b) = f(p^a) f(q^b) \tag{2.1}$$

for all natural numbers a and b , then the primitive pair (p, q) is contained in every basic sequence \mathcal{B} in $M^*(f)$ for which $C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = C_{\mathcal{B}}(q)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{B} \in M^*(f)$ and $C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = C_{\mathcal{B}}(q)$, but that the primitive pair $(p, q) \notin \mathcal{B}$. Define the basic sequence \mathcal{B}' by

$$\mathcal{B}' = \Gamma[\mathcal{B} \cup (p, q)].$$

Since \mathcal{B}' properly contains \mathcal{B} , $f \notin M(\mathcal{B}')$.

Any element in $\mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$ must be of the form $(p^a v, q^b w)$ or $(q^b w, p^a v)$ where $p \nmid v$ and $q \nmid w$, where $a \geq 1$ and $b \geq 1$, where v and w are divisible only by primes from $C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) (= C_{\mathcal{B}}(q))$, and where $(v, w) \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $(p, v), (p, w), (q, v), (q, w)$ are all in \mathcal{B} , $(p^a q^b, vw)$ is also in \mathcal{B} . Therefore, for any pair $(p^a v, q^b w)$ in $\mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$f(p^a q^b vw) = f(p^a q^b) f(vw) = f(p^a q^b) f(v) f(w), \tag{2.2}$$

$$f(p^a v) = f(p^a) f(v), \quad f(q^b w) = f(q^b) f(w). \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand, since $f \notin M(\mathcal{B}')$ there is a pair (m, n) in $\mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$ for which $f(mn) \neq f(m) f(n)$. So for some choice of a, b, v, w we have

$$f(p^a q^b vw) \neq f(p^a v) f(q^b w). \tag{2.4}$$

For this choice of a, b, v, w , relations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) yield

$$f(p^a q^b) f(v) f(w) \neq f(p^a) f(q^b) f(v) f(w),$$

and so $f(p^a q^b) \neq f(p^a) f(q^b)$.

Corollary 2.4. *If \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are members of $M^*(f)$ and the primitive pair $(p, q) \in \mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$, then $C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) \neq C_{\mathcal{B}}(q)$.*

A prime p is said to be *isolated* from a basic sequence \mathcal{B} if $C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = \emptyset$.

Corollary 2.5. *If \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' are members of $M^*(f)$ and the primitive pair $(p, q) \in \mathcal{B}'$, then either p or q (or both) is not isolated from \mathcal{B} .*

If we set $p = q$ in Theorem 2.3 we get the desired characterization of the type II primitive pairs in the members of $M^*(f)$.

Theorem 2.6. *Every basic sequence in $M^*(f)$ contains the same set of type II primitive pairs, namely, those pairs (p, p) for which p satisfies*

$$f(p^n) = f^n(p) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. If $f(1) \neq 1$, then $M^*(f)$ is empty and there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, suppose $f(1) = 1$ and $(p, p) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ for some basic sequence \mathcal{B}_0 in $M^*(f)$. Then $(p^a, p^b) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and, since $f \in M(\mathcal{B}_0)$, (2.1) holds (with $p = q$). Since $p = q$ implies $C_{\mathcal{B}}(p) = C_{\mathcal{B}}(q)$ for every basic sequence \mathcal{B} , it follows from Theorem 2.3 that (p, p) is in every member of $M^*(f)$. Thus the members of $M^*(f)$ contain the same type II primitive pairs, and these are clearly just the pairs (p, p) such that p satisfies (2.5).

3. An example

In the previous section we investigated the structure of $M^*(f)$, the set of maximal members of $M(f)$. We may now ask the following question: Suppose the requirement that f be multiplicative with respect to \mathcal{B} is replaced by the less stringent requirement that f be non-singular with respect to \mathcal{B} (and, accordingly, $M(f)$ is replaced by the larger collection $N(f)$, consisting of those basic sequences \mathcal{B} such that f is non-singular with respect to \mathcal{B}). What can be said about the structure of $N^*(f)$, the maximal members of $N(f)$? We will show here (in Example 3.1) that there are arithmetic functions f for which $N(f)$ has no maximal members, even though $f(1) = 1$ and $N(f)$ is not empty (compare this with Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2). Thus while the requirement $f(1) = 1$ is enough to guarantee that $N(f)$ is not empty, it is not sufficient to ensure that $N^*(f)$ is not empty.

As a matter of convenience we repeat here the pertinent definitions (see (1) for a more complete exposition). For an arithmetic function f and a pair (m, n) of natural numbers we set

$$\alpha_f(m, n) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(m)f(n) - f(mn)}{|f(m)f(n)| + |f(mn)|} & \text{if } |f(m)f(n)| + |f(mn)| > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } f(m)f(n) = f(mn) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We say that the *index of multiplicativity* of f with respect to the basic sequence \mathcal{B} exists and has the value $I(f, \mathcal{B})$ provided

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_f(m_k, n_k) = I(f, \mathcal{B})$$

for every sequence of pairs $\{(m_k, n_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ contained in \mathcal{B} for which

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m_k n_k = \infty.$$

We say f is *non-singular* with respect to \mathcal{B} if $I(f, \mathcal{B})$ exists and has the value zero, and we denote the set of all functions which are non-singular with respect

to \mathcal{B} by $N(\mathcal{B})$. We denote by $N(f)$ the set of basic sequences \mathcal{B} for which $f \in N(\mathcal{B})$, and by $N^*(f)$ the set of maximal members of $N(f)$.

For any arithmetic function f , if $f \in M(\mathcal{B})$ then clearly $f \in N(\mathcal{B})$. Therefore

$$M(f) \subset N(f).$$

The above inclusion may or may not be proper; it is easy to find functions satisfying either alternative.

Example 3.1. Define f by

$$f(1) = 1, f(p) = 1 \text{ (} p \text{ prime), } f(n) = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

We note that $M(f) = M^*(f) = \{\mathcal{S}\}$, for if (p, q) is any primitive pair, then $f(pq) = 0 \neq 1 = f(p)f(q)$.

The proof that $N^*(f)$ is empty will depend on the fact that $f \notin N(\mathcal{B})$ for any basic sequence \mathcal{B} which contains infinitely many type II primitive pairs. For suppose the sequence of primitive pairs $\{(p_n, p_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is in \mathcal{B} , where we may suppose that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$. Then

$$\alpha_f(p_n, p_n) = \frac{f^2(p_n) - f(p_n^2)}{f^2(p_n) + f(p_n^2)} = 1.$$

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_f(p_n, p_n) \neq 0$ and so $f \notin N(\mathcal{B})$.

Suppose now that \mathcal{B} is any member of $N(f)$ (these exist: \mathcal{S} , for example, or any basic sequence generated by a finite number of type II primitive pairs). By the remark above, \mathcal{B} can contain only finitely many type II primitive pairs. Suppose then that $(q, q) \notin \mathcal{B}$ for some prime q and let

$$\mathcal{B}' = \Gamma[\mathcal{B} \cup (q, q)].$$

Since \mathcal{B}' properly contains \mathcal{B} , it is sufficient to prove that $f \in N(\mathcal{B}')$.

Let $\{(m_v, n_v)\}_{v=1}^\infty$ be any sequence of pairs in \mathcal{B}' for which $m_v > 1, n_v > 1, m_v n_v \rightarrow \infty$. Split the sequence $\{m_v, n_v\}$ into two parts: (1) those (m_v, n_v) in \mathcal{B} , (2) those (m_v, n_v) in $\mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$.

For (1) we have immediately $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_f(m_v, n_v) = 0$ since $f \in N(\mathcal{B})$.

Suppose then that $(m_v, n_v) \in \mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$ and $m_v n_v > q^2$. Then

$$m_v = x_v q^{a_v}, n_v = y_v q^{b_v} \text{ with } a_v \geq 1, b_v \geq 1, \tag{3.1}$$

and either $m_v > q$ or $n_v > q$, say $m_v > q$. If m_v were prime, then $m_v = q$ by (3.1). But $m_v > q$, so m_v is not prime and $f(m_v) = 0$. Hence $f(m_v)f(n_v) = 0$. On the other hand, $m_v n_v$ is not prime since $m_v > 1$ and $n_v > 1$, and therefore $f(m_v n_v) = 0$. Thus $f(m_v n_v) = f(m_v)f(n_v)$ and $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_f(m_v, n_v) = 0$ as $m_v n_v \rightarrow \infty$ with (m_v, n_v) in $\mathcal{B}' - \mathcal{B}$.

It follows that $f \in N(\mathcal{B}')$ and the proof that $N(f)$ has no maximal members is complete.

REFERENCES

(1) D. L. GOLDSMITH, On the multiplicative properties of arithmetic functions, *Pacific J. Math.* 27 (1968), 283-304.

(2) D. L. GOLDSMITH, A note on sequences of almost-multiplicative arithmetic functions, *Rendiconti di Matematica* 3 (6) (1970), 167-170.

WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY
KALAMAZOO
MICHIGAN 49001