

## ON A SEPARATION THEOREM INVOLVING THE QUASI-RELATIVE INTERIOR

F. CAMMAROTO\* AND B. DI BELLA

*Department of Mathematics, University of Messina, Salita Sperone 31,  
98166 Sant'Agata-Messina, Italy* (bdibella@unime.it)

(Received 12 July 2005)

*Abstract* We establish two separation theorems in which the classic interior is replaced by the quasi-relative interior.

*Keywords:* separation theorems; quasi-relative interior; convex cones

2000 *Mathematics subject classification:* Primary 52A07  
Secondary 46B20

### 1. Introduction

Frequently in infinite-dimensional convex optimization problems the usual methods fail because, for instance, the interior of the positive cone in  $L^p$ ,

$$C = \{u \in L^p(T, \mu) : u(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\},$$

is empty. For this reason, Borwein and Lewis [2] developed the notion of quasi-relative interior of a convex set, which is an extension of the relative interior in finite dimension.

In this paper we wish to establish two separation theorems involving the quasi-relative interior of a convex set.

Before proceeding with the discussion, we present the definitions and the properties that we need for our purposes. In the sequel,  $X$  will denote a real locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space and  $X^*$  will denote the topological dual space of all continuous linear functionals on  $X$ , whose neutral element will be denoted by  $\theta_{X^*}$ , with  $\bar{C}$  being the closure of  $C$ .

Given  $C \subseteq X$ , we define the cone generated by  $C$  as  $\text{cone}(C) = \{\lambda x : x \in C, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 0\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $C$  of  $X$  is said to be a cone if  $\lambda x \in C$ , for all  $x \in C$  and all  $\lambda \geq 0$ .

\* Because of a surprising coincidence of names within our department, we have to point out that the author was born on 4 August 1968.

**Definition 1.2.** A convex cone  $C$  of  $X$  is said to be pointed if  $C \cap (-C) = \{\theta_X\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A convex cone  $C$  of  $X$  is said to be acute if  $\bar{C}$  is pointed.

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$ . The quasi-relative interior of  $C$ , denoted by  $\text{qri } C$ , is the set of those  $x \in C$  for which  $\overline{\text{cone}(C - x)}$  is a linear subspace of  $X$ .

If  $C$  is a convex subset of  $X$  with  $\text{Int } C \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\text{qri } C = \text{Int } C$  [2]. Moreover, it is easy to note that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  the notions of relative interior and quasi-relative interior coincide.

Now, we wish to recall some useful properties concerning the quasi-relative interior of sets.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$ . The normal cone to  $C$  at  $\bar{x} \in C$  is the set

$$N_C(\bar{x}) := \{\phi \in X^* : \phi(x - \bar{x}) \leq 0, \forall x \in C\}.$$

**Proposition 1.6 (Proposition 2.8 of [2]).** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  and  $\bar{x} \in C$ . Then  $\bar{x} \in \text{qri } C$  if and only if  $N_C(\bar{x})$  is a linear subspace of  $X^*$ .

**Proposition 1.7 (Proposition 2.12 of [2]).** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$ . If  $\text{qri } C \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\overline{\text{qri } C} = \bar{C}.$$

**Proposition 1.8 (Lemma 2.9 of [2]).** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  and suppose that  $\bar{x} \in \text{qri } C$  and  $x \in C$ . Then  $(1 - \lambda)\bar{x} + \lambda x \in \text{qri } C$ , for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1[$ .

**Proposition 1.9 (Lemma 3.6 of [1]).** Let  $C$  and  $D$  be two convex subsets of  $X$  such that  $\text{qri } C \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{qri } D \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\text{qri } C + \text{qri } D \subseteq \text{qri}(C + D), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\lambda \text{qri } C = \text{qri}(\lambda C), \quad (1.2)$$

$$\text{qri}(C \times D) = \text{qri } C \times \text{qri } D. \quad (1.3)$$

**Proposition 1.10 (Theorem 3.4 of [1]).** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{qri } C \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $\Phi \in X^*$ . If  $\text{Int } \Phi(C) \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$\Phi(\text{qri } C) = \text{Int } \Phi(C).$$

**Proposition 1.11.** Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$ . Then

$$\text{qri } C = \text{qri}(\text{qri } C).$$

**Proof.** Obviously,  $\text{qri } C \supseteq \text{qri}(\text{qri } C)$ . Let  $x_0 \in \text{qri } C$ . We show that  $\text{cone}(C - x_0) = \text{cone}(\text{qri } C - x_0)$ . For this purpose, let  $z \in \text{cone}(C - x_0)$ ; then  $z = \alpha(x - x_0)$  with  $x \in C$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . After choosing  $\lambda > 1$  it is easy to observe that

$$z = \alpha\lambda \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)x_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda}x - x_0 \right].$$

By Proposition 1.8 we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)x_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda}x \in \text{qri } C$$

and then we obtain  $z \in \text{cone}(\text{qri } C - x_0)$ . Thus,

$$\overline{\text{cone}}(C - x_0) = \overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri } C - x_0) \tag{1.4}$$

and then  $x_0 \in \text{qri}(\text{qri } C)$ . □

Before proceeding, we point out that, by (1.4), if  $y_0 \in X$ , trivially one has

$$\text{qri } C - y_0 = \text{qri}(\text{qri } C - y_0)$$

and it is also easy to prove that

$$\text{qri } C - y_0 = \text{qri}(C - y_0).$$

In particular, if  $C$  is an affine set, then  $\text{qri } C = C$ .

**Proposition 1.12.** *Let  $C$  and  $D$  be two convex subsets of  $X$  such that  $\text{aff } C = \text{aff } D$ . Then, if  $C \subseteq D$ ,  $\text{qri } C \subseteq \text{qri } D$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x_0 \in \text{qri } C$ , then  $\overline{\text{cone}}(C - x_0)$  is a linear subspace of  $X$  and so  $\overline{\text{cone}}(C - x_0) = \overline{\text{span}}(C - x_0)$ . It is easy to observe that

$$\overline{\text{cone}}(C - x_0) \subseteq \overline{\text{cone}}(D - x_0) \subseteq \overline{\text{span}}(D - x_0).$$

As  $\text{aff } C = \text{aff } D$ , one easily obtains  $\overline{\text{span}}(C - x_0) = \overline{\text{span}}(D - x_0)$ . This implies that  $\overline{\text{span}}(C - x_0) = \overline{\text{span}}(D - x_0)$  and then  $x_0 \in \text{qri } D$ . □

**Proposition 1.13.** *If  $C$  is a non-trivial convex acute cone, then  $\theta_X \notin \text{qri } C$ .*

**Proof.** Arguing by contradiction, let us suppose that  $\theta_X \in \text{qri } C$ . Then  $\overline{\text{cone}}C$  is a linear subspace of  $X$  and then,  $\bar{C}$  is also a linear subspace of  $X$ . Therefore,  $\bar{C} \cap (-\bar{C}) = \bar{C}$  and this contradicts the fact that  $C$  is acute and non-trivial. □

## 2. Separation theorems

Before proceeding, we point out that, generally, separation between sets can be hard in the infinite-dimensional case working only with the quasi-relative interior. We show two examples.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional normed vector space and let  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a non-continuous linear functional. Consider the affine set  $S := \{x \in X : \varphi(x) = 1\}$ . In this case  $\text{qri } S = S$  and  $\theta_X \notin \text{qri } S$ . Anyway  $\theta_X$  cannot be separated from  $S$ ; in fact, if there exists  $g \in X^*$  such that  $g(x) \leq 0$  for each  $x \in S$ , then  $g(x) \leq 0$  for each  $x \in \bar{S} = X$ , and so  $g = \theta_{X^*}$ .

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X$  be an infinite-dimensional normed vector space and let  $V \neq X$  be a dense linear subspace. Let  $x_0 \notin V = \text{qri } V$ . Also in this case  $x_0$  cannot be separated from  $V$ ; in fact, if there exists  $g \in X^*$  such that  $g(x) \leq g(x_0)$  for each  $x \in V$ , then  $g(x) \leq g(x_0)$  for each  $x \in \bar{V} = X$ , and so  $g = \theta_{X^*}$ .

Before proving the main results, we need to establish the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{qri } C \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\overline{\text{cone}}[\text{qri } C - x_0]$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$ . Then  $\exists g \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $g(x) \leq g(x_0)$  for all  $x \in C$ .*

**Proof.** First, if  $x_0 \in C$ ,  $x_0 \in C \setminus \text{qri } C$ . Hence, Proposition 1.6 ensures that  $N_C(x_0)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X^*$ , which means that  $N_C(x_0) \neq \{\theta_{X^*}\}$ . Then  $\exists g \in N_C(x_0)$  such that  $g \neq \theta_{X^*}$ ; this ensures that  $g(x) \leq g(x_0)$  for all  $x \in C$ .

Instead, if  $x_0 \in X \setminus C$ , we take  $A = C - x_0$  and  $B = \text{conv}[\text{qri } A \cup \{\theta_X\}]$ . It is easy to prove that  $\overline{\text{cone}} B = \overline{\text{cone}}[\text{qri } C - x_0]$ . This ensures that  $\theta_X \in B \setminus \text{qri } B$  and for the previous case we find that  $\exists g \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $g(x) \leq 0$  for all  $x \in B$  and then  $g(x) \leq g(x_0)$  for all  $x \in C$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $C$  be a convex subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{qri } C \neq \emptyset$  and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\text{cone}[\text{qri } C - x_0]$  is acute. Then  $\exists g \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $g(x) \leq g(x_0)$  for all  $x \in C$ .*

**Proof.** First, if  $C = \{x_0\}$ , then the conclusion holds, taking as  $g$  any non-zero continuous linear functional. If  $C \neq \{x_0\}$ , it is easy to observe that Proposition 1.8 ensures that  $\text{qri } C \neq \{x_0\}$  and then the set  $V = \text{cone}[\text{qri } C - x_0]$  is a non-trivial acute cone. Obviously,  $\theta_X \in V$  and, by Proposition 1.13,  $\theta_X \notin \text{qri } V$ . Therefore,  $\overline{\text{cone}}[\text{qri } C - x_0]$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$  and the conclusion follows by Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

Now we are able to prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be non-empty convex subsets of  $X$  with  $\text{qri } S \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\text{qri } T \neq \emptyset$  and such that  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$ . Then there exists  $\Phi \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $\Phi(s) \leq \Phi(t)$  for all  $s \in S$ ,  $t \in T$ .*

**Proof.** Let us consider the convex set  $\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T$ . By Proposition 1.11 and (1.1), one has

$$\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T = \text{qri}(\text{qri } S) - \text{qri}(\text{qri } T) \subseteq \text{qri}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T) \subseteq \text{qri } S - \text{qri } T$$

and then  $\text{qri}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\overline{\text{cone}}[\text{qri}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T)]$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$ , by Proposition 2.3, taking  $x_0 = \theta_X$ , there exists  $\Phi \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $\Phi(z) \leq 0$  for all  $z \in \text{qri } S - \text{qri } T$ .

It is easy to observe that the previous fact implies that

$$\sup_{\text{qri } S} \Phi \leq \inf_{\text{qri } T} \Phi. \quad (2.1)$$

Now we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{qri } S \subseteq S \subseteq \bar{S} &= \overline{\text{qri } S}, \\ \text{qri } T \subseteq T \subseteq \bar{T} &= \overline{\text{qri } T}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have also made use of Proposition 1.7. So, by a general property of the continuous functions, one has  $\sup_{\text{qri } S} \Phi = \sup_S \Phi$ , and  $\inf_{\text{qri } T} \Phi = \inf_T \Phi$ . Therefore, (2.1) ensures that

$$\sup_S \Phi \leq \inf_T \Phi.$$

Then  $\Phi$  is the continuous linear functional that separates  $S$  and  $T$ . □

**Remark 2.6.** We observe that, by Proposition 2.4, the previous result continues to hold if we replace the condition that  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$  with the condition that  $\text{cone}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T)$  is acute.

**Remark 2.7.** Now we want to observe that it is not generally true that, if there exists  $\Phi \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  separating  $S$  and  $T$ , then  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$  (or  $\text{cone}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T)$  is acute). To show this, we can consider the following simple example.

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $S = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2x + 3y \geq 0\}$  and  $T = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Obviously,  $S$  and  $T$  are convex and  $\text{qri } T = \{(0, 0)\}$ . Moreover, the continuous linear functional  $\Phi(x, y) = 2x + 3y$  for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  separates  $S$  and  $T$ , but in this case  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T) = S$  is not a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  (and  $\text{cone}(\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T) = S$  is not acute).

We note that the sets in Examples 2.1 and 2.2 do not satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5. In fact the sets  $\overline{\text{cone}}(S)$  in Example 2.1 and  $\overline{\text{cone}}(V - x_0)$  in Example 2.2 coincide with the entire space  $X$ . Moreover, the sets  $\text{cone}(S)$  and  $\text{cone}(V - x_0)$  are pointed but not acute (and so the hypothesis that the cone is acute cannot be weakened by the hypothesis that the cone is pointed).

Now we wish to state a strict separation theorem.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $S$  and  $T$  be non-empty disjoint convex subsets of  $X$  such that  $\text{qri } S \neq \emptyset$  and  $\text{qri } T \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that there exists a convex set  $V \subseteq X$  such that  $\overline{V - V} = X$ ,  $\theta_X \in \text{qri } V$ , and  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri}(S - T) - \text{qri } V)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$ . Then there exists  $\Phi \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $\sup_S \Phi < \inf_T \Phi$ .*

**Proof.** We apply Theorem 2.5 to the sets  $S - T$  and  $V$ . In particular, by (1.1) and (1.2), we obtain

$$\text{qri } S - \text{qri } T \subseteq \text{qri}(S - T)$$

and then  $\text{qri}(S - T) \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, by hypothesis,  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri}(S - T) - \text{qri } V)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$ . Therefore, there exists  $\Phi \in X^* \setminus \{\theta_{X^*}\}$  such that  $\Phi(x - y) \leq \Phi(v)$  for each  $x \in S$ ,  $y \in T$ ,  $v \in V$ . Certainly, we can find  $\bar{v} \in V$  such that  $\Phi(\bar{v}) \neq 0$ . In fact if  $\Phi(V) = \{0\}$ , we obtain  $\Phi(\overline{V - V}) = \{0\}$ , that is  $\Phi = \theta_{X^*}$ . This ensures that  $\Phi(V)$

is a real non-degenerate interval and consequently  $\text{Int } \Phi(V) \neq \emptyset$ . By Proposition 1.10,  $0 \in \text{Int } \Phi(V)$ , and hence there exists  $\tilde{v} \in V$  such that  $\Phi(\tilde{v}) < 0$ . Therefore,

$$\sup_S \Phi - \inf_T \Phi \leq \Phi(\tilde{v}) < 0,$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** Also in this case, we observe that Theorem 2.8 continues to hold if we replace the condition that  $\overline{\text{cone}}(\text{qri}(S - T) - \text{qri } V)$  is not a linear subspace of  $X$  with the condition that  $\text{cone}(\text{qri}(S - T) - \text{qri } V)$  is acute.

## References

1. J. M. BORWEIN AND R. GOEBEL, Notions of relative interior in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Sci.* **4** (2003), 2542–2553.
2. J. M. BORWEIN AND A. S. LEWIS, Partially finite convex programming, I, Quasi-relative interiors and duality theory, *Math. Program.* **57** (1992), 15–48.