

STABILITY OF UNCONDITIONAL SCHAUDER DECOMPOSITIONS IN ℓ_p SPACES

VITALII MARCHENKO

(Received 28 June 2014; accepted 2 May 2015; first published online 3 August 2015)

Abstract

We use the best constants in the Khintchine inequality to generalise a theorem of Kato [‘Similarity for sequences of projections’, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73**(6) (1967), 904–905] on similarity for sequences of projections in Hilbert spaces to the case of unconditional Schauder decompositions in ℓ_p spaces. We also sharpen a stability theorem of Vizitei [‘On the stability of bases of subspaces in a Banach space’, in: *Studies on Algebra and Mathematical Analysis*, Moldova Academy of Sciences (Kartja Moldovenjaska, Chişinău, 1965), 32–44; (in Russian)] in the case of unconditional Schauder decompositions in any Banach space.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46B15; secondary 47A46, 47B40.

Keywords and phrases: Khintchine inequality, unconditional Schauder decomposition, projection, type, cotype, infratype, isomorphism, Schauder–Orlicz decomposition, symmetric basis.

1. Introduction

The stability theory for Schauder bases in Banach spaces originates in 1940 with the work of Krein *et al.* [18] on the well-known basis problem for a Banach space. The main theorem from [18] has the following important consequence: in any Banach space with a basis, a basis may be chosen from an arbitrary dense set. This theorem, the Krein–Milman–Rutman stability theorem, has many generalisations, analogues and applications (see, for example, [19, 24]).

Throughout what follows, E denotes a Banach space, H denotes a Hilbert space and \mathbb{Z}_+ is the set of nonnegative integers. In the 1960s, Marcus, Vizitei and Kato investigated the stability property for Schauder decompositions in connection with the problems of spectral theory in H . The results of Marcus and Vizitei were applied to the spectral analysis of dissipative operators [10, 21] and slightly perturbed normal operators [27]. Djakov and Mityagin applied a stability theorem from [21] to show that spectral decompositions corresponding to Hill operators with singular potentials [8], as well as decompositions associated with one-dimensional

This work was partially supported by the NAS of Ukraine Project ‘Linear evolution equations in a Hilbert space and the Boltzmann equation’.

© 2015 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2015 \$16.00

periodic Dirac operators [9], converge unconditionally. It follows that there exists an unconditional Schauder decomposition consisting of invariant subspaces. In 2010, Wyss [29] used a modification of a lemma from [22] to prove the same property for p -subordinate perturbations of normal operators.

In the same year, Zwart [30] applied a lemma from [28] to obtain the following remarkable spectral theorem for the generator A of a C_0 -group on H : if the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}$ of A (counted with multiplicity) can be decomposed into K sets $\{\lambda_{n,1}\}, \{\lambda_{n,2}\}, \dots, \{\lambda_{n,K}\}$ with $\inf_{n \neq m} |\lambda_{n,k} - \lambda_{m,k}| > 0$, $k = 1, \dots, K$, and the span of the generalised eigenvectors of A is dense, then there are spectral projections $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of A such that $\{J_n H\}_{n=0}^\infty$ forms an unconditional Schauder decomposition in H with $\max_n \dim J_n H = K$.

The present paper focuses on the generalisation of the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 [16]. *Let $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonzero selfadjoint projections in H satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^\infty P_n = I$, $P_n P_m = \delta_n^m P_n$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of nonzero projections in H such that $J_n J_m = \delta_n^m J_n$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Furthermore, suppose that*

$$\dim P_0 = \dim J_0 = m < \infty, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|P_n(J_n - P_n)x\|^2 \leq c^2 \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in H,$$

where c is a constant such that $0 \leq c < 1$. Then $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is similar to $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, that is, there exists an isomorphism S such that $J_n = S P_n S^{-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

This theorem provides an effective tool for spectral analysis of various perturbations of operators in H (see, for example, [7, 12, 17]). Recently, Adduci and Mityagin [1] applied Theorem 1.1 to show that the eigensystem of the perturbed harmonic oscillator $-d^2/dt^2 + t^2 + B$, with $B = b(t)$ and domain in $L_2(\mathbb{R})$, is an unconditional basis. In [2], they also applied Theorem 1.1 to the spectral analysis of the perturbation of a selfadjoint operator with discrete spectrum.

The main goal of this paper is to generalise Theorem 1.1 to the case of ℓ_p spaces, where $1 \leq p < \infty$. For this purpose, we consider unconditional Schauder decompositions in ℓ_p instead of orthogonal Schauder decompositions in H . Moreover, we use intrinsic geometric properties of ℓ_p and the best constants in the Khintchine inequality. As immediate consequences of the main result, we obtain some stability theorems for unconditional and symmetric bases in ℓ_p . The paper [20] also studies the interplay between the problem of stability for Schauder decompositions in a Banach space and the intrinsic geometric properties of a Banach space. The main object in [20] is ℓ_Ψ -Hilbertian Schauder decomposition. In the present paper, we focus on the most interesting and informative case of stability for unconditional Schauder decompositions in ℓ_p space. Moreover, we consider some applications concerning symmetric bases in ℓ_p . Note that ℓ_∞ has no Schauder decomposition [25], although there are nonseparable Banach spaces with Schauder decompositions [6, 23] and

separable Banach spaces without them [3]. For more on Schauder decompositions we refer to [4, 10, 13, 19, 20, 25].

The paper has the following structure. The next section presents an auxiliary lemma (Lemma 2.3) which describes the dependence of the properties of unconditional Schauder decompositions in E on the geometry of E . In Section 3, we use Lemma 2.3 to sharpen a stability theorem of Vizitei in the case of unconditional Schauder decompositions in E . Section 4 is devoted to the main result concerning stability of unconditional Schauder decompositions in ℓ_p spaces (Theorems 4.1 and 4.2). It also provides some results on stability of unconditional and symmetric bases in ℓ_p (Theorems 4.4 and 4.5). Finally, in Section 5 we discuss some applications and show that certain sequences are symmetric bases of ℓ_p .

2. The auxiliary lemma

We will need the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1 [25]. Let $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Schauder decomposition in E . Then the sequence of continuous linear projections $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ on E , defined by $P_n x = x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $x = \sum_{n=0}^\infty x_n$, $x_n \in \mathfrak{M}_n$, is called the sequence of coordinate projections associated to the decomposition $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, or, for short, the associated sequence of coordinate projections (a.s.c.p.).

DEFINITION 2.2. A Schauder decomposition $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in E is said to be unconditional with constant M provided there exists $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^n \delta_i y_i \right\| \leq M \left\| \sum_{i=0}^n y_i \right\| \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, y_i \in \mathfrak{M}_i, \{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \{0, 1\}.$$

One trivially notices that every orthogonal Schauder decomposition in H is unconditional with constant 1. The following auxiliary lemma shows how the properties of unconditional Schauder decompositions in E depend on the inner geometry of E and it will be useful throughout the paper.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be an unconditional Schauder decomposition in E with constant M and the a.s.c.p. $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Also assume that E has type or infratype p and that E has cotype or M -cotype q . Then there exist constants $T = T(p, M) > 0$ and $C = C(q, M) > 0$ such that for every $x \in E$

$$C \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|P_n x\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq \|x\| \leq T \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|P_n x\|^p \right)^{1/p}. \tag{2.1}$$

PROOF. Since E has type or infratype p , for each $x \in E$ and for every finite set $\{P_j x\}_{j=0}^n \subset E$ there exists a set $\{\epsilon_j\}_{j=0}^n \subset \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j P_j x \right\| &= \left(\min_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j P_j x \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} \left(\mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j P_j x \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq T_p(E) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^p \right)^{1/p} & \text{when } E \text{ has type } p, \\ I_p(E) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^p \right)^{1/p} & \text{when } E \text{ has infratype } p \end{cases} \\ &\leq T(p) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^p \right)^{1/p} \quad \left(\text{here } \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j x_j \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j x_j \right\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define the operators $P_n^+ = \sum_{j:\epsilon_j=1} P_j$, $P_n^- = \sum_{j:\epsilon_j=-1} P_j$ on E . Then

$$\|x\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(P_n^+ - P_n^-)^2 x\| \leq 2M \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(P_n^+ - P_n^-)x\| \leq 2MT(p) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Hence, the right-hand side of (2.1) is proved with $T = 2MT(p)$.

Further, since E has cotype or M -cotype q , for each $x \in E$ and for every finite set $\{P_j x\}_{j=0}^n \subset E$ there exists a set $\{\bar{\epsilon}_j\}_{j=0}^n \subset \{-1, 1\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{\epsilon}_j P_j x \right\| &= \left(\max_{\epsilon_j = \pm 1} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j P_j x \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \left(\mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \epsilon_j P_j x \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq C_q(E) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{when } E \text{ has cotype } q, \\ M_q(E) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{when } E \text{ has } M\text{-cotype } q \end{cases} \\ &\geq C(q) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^q \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for each set $\{\bar{\epsilon}_j\}_{j=0}^n \subset \{-1, 1\}$ there exist two sets $\{\delta_j^+\}_{j=0}^n \subset \{0, 1\}$ and $\{\delta_j^-\}_{j=0}^n \subset \{0, 1\}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \bar{\epsilon}_j P_j x \right\| = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^n \delta_j^+ P_j x - \sum_{j=0}^n \delta_j^- P_j x \right\| \leq 2M \|x\|.$$

Therefore, $C(q) \left(\sum_{j=0}^n \|P_j x\|^q \right)^{1/q} \leq 2M \|x\|$, and the left-hand side of (2.1) is proved with $C = (2M)^{-1} C(q)$. □

Lemma 2.3 is a generalisation of a lemma from [28]. Since every Banach space has (trivially) type 1 and cotype ∞ , Lemma 2.3 is valid for unconditional Schauder decompositions in any Banach space. Note that $(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^q)^{1/q}$ turns into $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \|P_n x\|$ when $q = \infty$. Concerning type, infratype, cotype and M -cotype, see, for example, [13–15, 19, 20].

In the case of unconditional Schauder decompositions in $L_p(\mu)$ spaces where $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have the following corollary of Lemma 2.3.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $\{\mathfrak{W}_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an unconditional Schauder decomposition in $L_p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, with constant M and the a.s.c.p. $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. Denote by p_0 the solution of*

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}$$

in the interval $[1, 2]$, where Γ is the Euler gamma function ($p_0 \approx 1.84742$). Then for each $x \in L_p(\mu)$ we have the following two-sided inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^{-1/2-1/p}}{M} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^2\right)^{1/2} &\leq \|x\| \leq 2M \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^p\right)^{1/p} && \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq p_0, \\ \frac{2^{-1/2}}{M} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^2\right)^{1/2} &\leq \|x\| \leq 2M \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^p\right)^{1/p} && \text{for } p_0 \leq p \leq 2, \\ \frac{1}{2M} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^p\right)^{1/p} &\leq \|x\| \leq \sqrt{8}M \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|P_n x\|^2\right)^{1/2} && \text{for } p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. It is known [13] that $L_p(\mu)$ has the best possible type $\min\{2, p\}$ and the best possible cotype $\max\{2, p\}$. The best constants associated to these values of type and cotype may be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} T_p(L_p(\mu)) = 1, \quad C_2(L_p(\mu)) \leq A_p^{-1} &&& \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2, \\ T_2(L_p(\mu)) \leq B_p, \quad C_p(L_p(\mu)) = 1 &&& \text{for } p \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

where A_p and B_p are the best constants in the Khintchine inequality [13], namely,

$$\begin{aligned} A_p &= \begin{cases} 2^{1/2-1/p} & \text{for } 0 < p \leq p_0, \\ 2^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1/p} & \text{for } p_0 \leq p \leq 2, \\ 1 & \text{for } p \geq 2, \end{cases} \\ B_p &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 < p \leq 2, \\ 2^{1/2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{1/p} & \text{for } p \geq 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(see [11]). Now the corollary follows from Lemma 2.3 and its proof. □

3. A sharpening of the theorem of Vizitei

We will use the terminology from [25] in this section. The theorem of Vizitei [26] provides some stability properties of p -Besselian Schauder decompositions in E . It may be characterised as a stability theorem of geometric type (see also [25, Theorem 15.17]). By virtue of Lemma 2.3, any unconditional Schauder decomposition in a Banach space E , which has cotype or M -cotype q , is q -Besselian. Therefore, we can sharpen the theorem of Vizitei as follows.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose E has cotype or M -cotype q with $2 \leq q \leq \infty$ and define p by $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (if $q = \infty$, then $p = 1$). Also suppose that E has an unconditional Schauder decomposition $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with constant M and the a.s.c.p. $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *There is a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that every sequence of subspaces $\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of E satisfying*

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \theta(\mathfrak{M}_n, \mathfrak{N}_n)^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \lambda$$

where

$$\theta(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathfrak{M}, \|x\|=1} \text{dist}(x, \mathfrak{N}), \sup_{y \in \mathfrak{N}, \|y\|=1} \text{dist}(y, \mathfrak{M}) \right\}$$

is itself an unconditional Schauder decomposition of E , isomorphic to $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, with constant $M\|S\| \|S^{-1}\|$, where $\mathfrak{N}_n = S\mathfrak{M}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Note that the constant λ may be chosen as $\lambda = (4 \sup_{0 \leq n < \infty} \|\sum_{j=0}^n P_j\| (1 + \sup_{0 \leq n < \infty} \|P_n\|)^2)^{-1}$.

- (2) *Every sequence of subspaces $\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of E satisfying*

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \theta(\mathfrak{M}_n, \mathfrak{N}_n)^p < \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

and admitting a sequence $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $(\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ is an E -complete generalised biorthogonal system, is a q -Besselian Schauder decomposition of E . If, additionally, $\dim \mathfrak{N}_n < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then the same conclusion holds for every ω -linearly independent sequence of subspaces $\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying (3.1).

Note that, since every Banach space has (trivially) cotype ∞ , Theorem 3.1 is valid in arbitrary Banach spaces possessing unconditional Schauder decompositions.

4. The main result

The main result of the paper is formulated as follows.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Schauder decomposition of the space ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, with the a.s.c.p. $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $\dim F_0 < \infty$ and*

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \|F_n x\|^p = \|x\|^p \quad \text{for each } x \in \ell_p. \tag{4.1}$$

Assume that $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional Schauder decomposition of ℓ_p with constant M and the a.s.c.p. $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, where $P_0 = F_0$. Also suppose that $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of nonzero projections in ℓ_p satisfying (1.1) such that $J_n J_m = \delta_n^m J_n$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Suppose that, for each $x \in \ell_p$,

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|P_n(J_n - P_n)x\|^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \varsigma_1 \|x\| \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \varsigma_1 < \frac{1}{2M} \tag{4.2}$$

when $1 \leq p \leq 2$, or

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|P_n(J_n - P_n)x\|^2\right)^{1/2} \leq \varsigma_2(p) \|x\| \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \varsigma_2(p) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{8M}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{-1/p} \tag{4.3}$$

when $p \geq 2$. Then $\{J_n(\ell_p)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is also an unconditional Schauder decomposition of ℓ_p , isomorphic to $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$.

PROOF. To prove the theorem we use the method of Kato, as proposed in [16], and apply Corollary 2.4. Define the operator S on ℓ_p by

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^\infty P_n J_n.$$

To show that S exists in the strong sense, we will prove that

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty (P_n - P_n J_n) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty P_n (P_n - J_n)$$

is strongly convergent. Since $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional Schauder decomposition in ℓ_p with constant M and the a.s.c.p. $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, Corollary 2.4 yields the following two assertions. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $x \in \ell_p$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, by (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=k}^{k+N} P_n (P_n - J_n)x \right\|^p &\leq (2M)^p \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left\| P_j \left(\sum_{n=k}^{k+N} P_n (P_n - J_n)x \right) \right\|^p \\ &= (2M)^p \sum_{n=k}^{k+N} \|P_n (P_n - J_n)x\|^p \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Analogously, using (4.3), for $p \geq 2$, $x \in \ell_p$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{n=k}^{k+N} P_n (P_n - J_n)x \right\|^2 &\leq 8M^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{2/p} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \left\| P_j \left(\sum_{n=k}^{k+N} P_n (P_n - J_n)x \right) \right\|^2 \\ &= 8M^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}}\right)^{2/p} \sum_{n=k}^{k+N} \|P_n (P_n - J_n)x\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n)x$ converges and, consequently, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n J_n x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n x - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n)x$$

also converges. Now consider the operator

$$R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n) = I - P_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n J_n.$$

Observe that, on the one hand, for $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and each $x \in \ell_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rx\|^p &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n)x \right\|^p \\ &\leq (2M)^p \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| P_j \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n)x \right) \right\|^p \quad \text{by Corollary 2.4} \\ &= (2M)^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|P_n(P_n - J_n)x\|^p \leq (2M)^p \zeta_1^p \|x\|^p \quad \text{by (4.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $p \geq 2$ and each $x \in \ell_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rx\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n)x \right\|^2 \\ &\leq 8M^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{2/p} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| P_j \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(P_n - J_n)x \right) \right\|^2 \quad \text{by Corollary 2.4} \\ &= 8M^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{2/p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|P_n(P_n - J_n)x\|^2 \leq 8M^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{2/p} \zeta_2^2(p) \|x\|^2 \quad \text{by (4.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|R\| < 1$. Further, we observe that, since $S = P_0 J_0 + I - P_0 - R$,

$$\|S\| < \|J_0\| + 3 < \infty.$$

Thus, the theorem will be proved if we show that S is continuously invertible. To prove this, consider the operator

$$\tilde{S} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n J_n = I - P_0 - R.$$

Since $\dim P_0 = m < \infty$ by the definition of the projection P_0 , we see that $(I - P_0)$ is a Fredholm operator with

$$\text{nul}(I - P_0) = m, \quad \text{ind}(I - P_0) = 0, \quad \gamma(I - P_0) = 1,$$

where $\text{nul } T$ denotes the nullity, $\text{ind } T$ the index and $\gamma(T)$ the reduced minimum modulus of the operator T (for these notions, see, for example, [17, Ch. IV, Section 5.1]). Indeed, first we note that $\text{nul}(I - P_0) = \dim P_0 = m$,

$$\text{def}(I - P_0) = \dim \ell_p |_{\text{Im}(I - P_0)} = \dim \text{coker}(I - P_0) = \dim(\text{Im}(I - P_0))^\perp = m,$$

and $\text{ind}(I - P_0) = \text{nul}(I - P_0) - \text{def}(I - P_0) = 0$, where $\text{def } T$ denotes the deficiency of T (see, for example, [4, 17]). Second, by virtue of (4.1) we observe that for each $x \in \ell_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{v \in \ker(I - P_0)} \|x - v\| &= \inf_{v \in \text{Im } F_0} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|F_n(x - v)\|^p \right)^{1/p} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|F_n(x - F_0 x)\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|F_n(x - F_0 x)\|^p \right)^{1/p} = \|(I - P_0)x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\gamma(I - P_0) = \sup \left\{ \gamma : \|(I - P_0)x\| \geq \gamma \inf_{v \in \ker(I - P_0)} \|x - v\|, x \in D(I - P_0) = \ell_p \right\} = 1.$$

Furthermore, since $\|R\| < 1 = \gamma(I - P_0)$, $\tilde{S} = (I - P_0) - R$ is also Fredholm with

$$\text{nul } \tilde{S} \leq \text{nul}(I - P_0) = m, \quad \text{ind } \tilde{S} = \text{ind}(I - P_0) = 0 \quad (4.4)$$

(see [17, Ch. IV, Theorem 5.22]). Since $S = P_0 J_0 + \tilde{S}$, where $P_0 J_0$ is compact, S is also Fredholm and $\text{ind } S = \text{ind } \tilde{S} = 0$ (see [17, Ch. IV, Theorem 5.26]). Therefore, $\text{nul } S = \text{def } S$ and S will be invertible if and only if $\text{nul } S = \text{def } S = 0$. Thus it is sufficient to show that $\text{nul } S = 0$. To this end, we first prove that

$$\ker \tilde{S} = \text{Im } J_0. \quad (4.5)$$

If $x \in \text{Im } J_0$, that is, $x = J_0 y$, then $\tilde{S} x = \tilde{S} J_0 y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n J_n J_0 y = 0$ and, consequently, $x \in \ker \tilde{S}$. On the other hand, $\ker \tilde{S} \subset \text{Im } J_0$, since $\ker \tilde{S}$ and $\text{Im } J_0$ are linear subspaces, $\dim \text{Im } J_0 = m$ and $\dim \ker \tilde{S} \leq m$ by (4.4). Now suppose that $x \in \ker \tilde{S}$. Then,

$$0 = P_0 S x = P_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n J_n x = P_0 J_0 x$$

and $\tilde{S} x = S x - P_0 J_0 x = 0$. Hence, $x \in \ker \tilde{S}$, $x = J_0 y$ by (4.5) and, therefore,

$$P_0 x = P_0 J_0 y = P_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n J_n J_0 y = P_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n J_n x = 0.$$

As a result, $(I - R)x = (\tilde{S} + P_0)x = 0$, and, since $\|R\| < 1$, we obtain $x = 0$. Thus, $\ker S = \{0\}$, $\text{nul } S = 0$ and S is continuously invertible. Finally, we note that $J_n = S^{-1} P_n S$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, implies $\mathfrak{M}_n = S J_n \ell_p$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, which completes the proof. \square

Note that any Schauder decomposition $\{\mathfrak{R}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ with the a.s.c.p. $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfying (4.1) is called a Schauder–Orlicz decomposition in [20] with Orlicz function $\Phi(t) = t^p$. For decompositions of this kind we have the following result.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a Schauder–Orlicz decomposition of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, with Orlicz function $\Phi(t) = t^p$ and the a.s.c.p. $\{P_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, where $\dim P_0 < \infty$. Suppose that $\{J_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a sequence of nonzero projections in ℓ_p satisfying (1.1) such that $J_n J_m = \delta_n^m J_n$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. If for each $x \in \ell_p$ one has*

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|P_n(J_n - P_n)x\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \zeta \|x\| \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \zeta < 1,$$

then $\{J_n(\ell_p)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is an unconditional Schauder decomposition of ℓ_p , isomorphic to $\{\mathfrak{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$.

To formulate some stability results for unconditional and symmetric bases in ℓ_p spaces we propose the following definition based on Definition 2.2.

DEFINITION 4.3. We call a sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset E$ an unconditional basis of E with constant M if the sequence of corresponding one-dimensional subspaces $\{\text{Lin}\{\phi_n\}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ forms an unconditional Schauder decomposition of E with constant M .

For example, every orthonormal basis in H is unconditional with constant $M = 1$. In the case $\dim \mathfrak{M}_n = 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, Theorem 4.1 leads to the following theorem on stability of unconditional bases in ℓ_p .

THEOREM 4.4. *Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a bounded unconditional basis of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, with constant M and the associated sequence of coordinate functionals $\{\phi_n^*\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that, for all $x \in \ell_p$, $\langle \phi_0^*, x \rangle \phi_0 = F_0 x$, where $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is the a.s.c.p. of the Schauder–Orlicz decomposition in ℓ_p with Orlicz function $\Phi(t) = t^p$. Also assume that $(\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ is a biorthogonal system in ℓ_p satisfying*

$$0 < \inf_n \|\psi_n\| \leq \sup_n \|\psi_n\| < \infty.$$

Suppose that, for every $x \in \ell_p$,

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle \psi_n^*, x \rangle \langle \phi_n^*, \psi_n \rangle - \langle \phi_n^*, x \rangle|^p \|\phi_n\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \zeta_1 \|x\| \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \zeta_1 < \frac{1}{2M},$$

in the case $1 \leq p \leq 2$, or

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle \psi_n^*, x \rangle \langle \phi_n^*, \psi_n \rangle - \langle \phi_n^*, x \rangle|^2 \|\phi_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \zeta_2 \|x\| \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \zeta_2 < \frac{1}{\sqrt{8M}} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^{-1/p},$$

in the case $p \geq 2$ ($\zeta_2 = \zeta_2(p)$). Then $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is also an unconditional basis of ℓ_p , equivalent to $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$.

In what follows, we denote by $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ the natural basis of ℓ_p , that is, $e_n = (\delta_i^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. One easily observes that $\{\text{Lin}\{e_n\}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Schauder–Orlicz decomposition of ℓ_p with Orlicz function $\Phi(t) = t^p$. Moreover, it is known that ℓ_p spaces, $1 \leq p < \infty$, have a unique, up to equivalence, symmetric basis [19, Proposition 3.b.5]. Combining these facts with Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 4.5. *Let $(\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ be a biorthogonal system in ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, satisfying*

$$0 < \inf_n \|\psi_n\| \leq \sup_n \|\psi_n\| < \infty.$$

If, for every $x \in \ell_p$,

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\langle \psi_n^*, x \rangle \langle e_n^*, \psi_n \rangle - \langle e_n^*, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \varsigma \|x\| \quad \text{where } 0 \leq \varsigma < 1,$$

then $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a symmetric basis of ℓ_p .

5. Applications

Just as Theorem 1.1 plays a special role in the analysis of spectral properties of nonselfadjoint and unbounded operators in H (see, for example, [1, 2, 7, 12, 17]), Theorems 3.1, 4.1 and 4.2 may be very useful in the study of spectral properties of perturbations of unbounded operators acting on E or ℓ_p . For this purpose, we consider perturbations of operators generating unconditional spectral Schauder decompositions in E or ℓ_p , instead of perturbations of selfadjoint operators, which generate orthogonal spectral Schauder decompositions in H .

It is known that if $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of H then $\{\varphi_n + (1/n)\varphi_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Riesz basis of H ; see, for example, [5]. Note that this fact also follows from Theorem 1.1 of Kato. We will show that some similar facts are true for symmetric bases of ℓ_p . Set $e_{-j} = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the following systems:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n &= e_n - \theta_{n-1}e_{n-1} + \theta_{n-1}\theta_{n-2}e_{n-2} + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta_k \cdot e_0 \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \psi_n^* &= e_n + \theta_n e_{n+1} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

PROPOSITION 5.1. *If $|\theta_n| \leq c$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c < 1$, then $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^\infty$ are symmetric bases of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

PROOF. A trivial computation shows that $(\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty, \{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^\infty)$ is a biorthogonal system in ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, such that $0 < \inf_n \|\psi_n\| \leq \sup_n \|\psi_n\| < \infty$. Obviously,

$$\langle e_n^*, \psi_n \rangle = 1, \quad \langle \psi_n^*, x \rangle = \langle e_n^*, x \rangle + \bar{\theta}_n \langle e_{n+1}^*, x \rangle \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle \psi_n^*, x \rangle \langle e_n^*, \psi_n \rangle - \langle e_n^*, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\bar{\theta}_n \langle e_{n+1}^*, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_{n+1}^*, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq c \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\langle e_n^*, x \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} = c \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Theorem 4.5, $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric basis of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. Consequently, $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric basis of $\overline{\text{Lin}}\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in ℓ_q , where $1/p + 1/q = 1$ (see [24, Proposition 22.5]). Since every basis in a reflexive space is shrinking (see, for example, [24, page 278, Example 4.3]), $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric basis of the whole of ℓ_q , where $1 < q < \infty$. To prove that $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric basis of ℓ_1 , we observe that $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a bounded unconditional basis of ℓ_1 . Therefore, by [24, Theorem 18.2], $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is equivalent to the natural basis of ℓ_1 and, by [19, Proposition 3.b.5], $\{\psi_n^*\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a symmetric basis of ℓ_1 . \square

In particular, from Proposition 5.1, $\{e_0 + e_1\} \cup \{e_n + (1/(n+1))e_{n+1}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ forms a symmetric basis of ℓ_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the anonymous referee for valuable comments, which led to improvements in the paper.

References

- [1] J. Adduci and B. Mityagin, 'Eigensystem of an L^2 -perturbed harmonic oscillator is an unconditional basis', *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **10**(2) (2012), 569–589.
- [2] J. Adduci and B. Mityagin, 'Root system of a perturbation of a selfadjoint operator with discrete spectrum', *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **73**(2) (2012), 153–175.
- [3] G. Allexandrov, D. Kutzarova and A. Plichko, 'A separable space with no Schauder decomposition', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**(9) (1999), 2805–2806.
- [4] B. T. Bilalov and S. G. Veliev, *Some Questions of Bases* (Elm, Baku, 2010) (in Russian).
- [5] P. G. Cazassa and O. Christensen, 'Perturbation of operators and applications to frame theory', *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3**(5) (1997), 543–557.
- [6] J. J. M. Chadwick and R. W. Cross, 'Schauder decompositions in non-separable Banach spaces', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **6**(1) (1972), 133–144.
- [7] C. Clark, 'On relatively bounded perturbations of ordinary differential operators', *Pacific J. Math.* **25**(1) (1968), 59–70.
- [8] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, 'Bari-Markus property for Riesz projections of Hill operators with singular potentials', in: *Functional Analysis and Complex Analysis*, Contemporary Mathematics, 481 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009), 59–80.
- [9] P. Djakov and B. Mityagin, 'Bari-Markus property for Riesz projections of 1D periodic Dirac operators', *Math. Nachr.* **283**(3) (2010), 443–462.
- [10] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 18 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1969).
- [11] U. Haagerup, 'The best constants in the Khintchine inequality', *Studia Math.* **70** (1982), 231–283.

- [12] E. Hughes, 'Perturbation theorems for relative spectral problems', *Canad. J. Math.* **24**(1) (1972), 72–81.
- [13] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Vol. 1 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2001).
- [14] W. B. Johnson and J. Lindenstrauss, *Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces*, Vol. 2 (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003).
- [15] M. I. Kadets and V. M. Kadets, *Series in Banach Spaces, Conditional and Unconditional Convergence* (Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1997).
- [16] T. Kato, 'Similarity for sequences of projections', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73**(6) (1967), 904–905.
- [17] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, 2nd edn, Classics in Mathematics (Springer, Berlin, 1995), reprint.
- [18] M. Krein, D. Milman and M. Rutman, 'On a property of a basis in a Banach space', *Comm. Inst. Sci. Math. Mec. Univ. Kharkoff [Zapiski Inst. Mat. Mech.]* **16**(4) (1940), 106–110; (in Russian, with English summary).
- [19] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I and II* (Springer, Berlin, 1996), (reprint of the 1977, 1979 editions).
- [20] V. Marchenko, 'Isomorphic Schauder decompositions in certain Banach spaces', *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **12**(11) (2014), 1714–1732.
- [21] A. S. Marcus, 'A basis of root vectors of a dissipative operator', *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* **132**(3) (1960), 524–527; (in Russian).
- [22] A. S. Marcus, *Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Polynomial Operator Pencils*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 71 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988).
- [23] B. L. Sanders, 'On the existence of [Schauder] decompositions in Banach spaces', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**(5) (1965), 987–990.
- [24] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces I* (Springer, Berlin, 1970).
- [25] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces II* (Springer, Berlin, 1981).
- [26] V. N. Vizitei, 'On the stability of bases of subspaces in a Banach space', in: *Studies on Algebra and Mathematical Analysis*, Moldova Academy of Sciences (Kartja Moldovenjaska, Chişinău, 1965), 32–44; (in Russian).
- [27] V. N. Vizitei and A. S. Marcus, 'Convergence of multiple decompositions in a system of eigenelements and adjoint vectors of an operator pencil', *Mat. Sb.* **66**(108)(2) (1965), 287–320; (in Russian).
- [28] J. Wermer, 'Commuting spectral measures on Hilbert space', *Pacific J. Math.* **4** (1954), 355–361.
- [29] C. Wyss, 'Riesz bases for p -subordinate perturbations of normal operators', *J. Funct. Anal.* **258**(1) (2010), 208–240.
- [30] H. Zwart, 'Riesz basis for strongly continuous groups', *J. Differential Equations* **249** (2010), 2397–2408.

VITALII MARCHENKO, Mathematical Division,
Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of NAS of Ukraine,
47, Lenin Ave, 61103, Kharkiv, Ukraine
e-mail: v.marchenko@ilt.kharkov.ua