

CORRESPONDENCE.

ASSURANCES ON x AGAINST y AND t YEARS LONGER.

To the Editor of the Journal of the Institute of Actuaries.

SIR,—As premiums for assurances on x provided he die before y or within t years after him, are frequently required in connection with reversionary transactions, I thought it would be useful to have a table by which the addition to be made to the ordinary survivorship net premium to cover the risk of x dying within t years after y , could be readily determined, instead of making an arbitrary addition as is sometimes done in consequence of the labour of computing it. I have therefore calculated that addition by the H^M Table at 4 per-cent interest for decennial ages, and $t=1, 3, 5, 7,$ and 10 , (1) when the premium is payable until the risk determines, and (2) when it is payable during the joint existence of the lives only, and trust that you will find space for the tables in your valuable *Journal*. For the calculation of the annual premium for x before y or within t years after him, when it is payable until the risk determines, I used the formula

$$A_x - \frac{D_{x+t} \{A_{x+t} - A_{x+t,y}\}}{D_x},$$

$$1 + a_x - \frac{D_{x+t} \{a_{x+t} - a_{x+t,y}\}}{D_x},$$

and the substitution of a_{xy} for the expression in the denominator gave the annual premium payable during the joint existence of the lives only. I calculated the survivorship premiums by the ordinary formula; and as I believe these have not hitherto been published, I append a table of them also for decennial ages.

I should have liked, if possible, to have made the calculation by Mr. Sprague's Select Tables in combination with the $H^{M(5)}$; but as the joint-life annuities by these tables are not tabulated, and the calculation had to be kept within practicable limits, I had to abandon the idea. I may mention that I had 216 values of $A_{x+t,y}$ to compute before I was in a position to commence the calculation of the addition to the survivorship premium, and I also append a table of some of these values in the hope that they will prove serviceable. I have made some calculations, however, with the view of ascertaining what addition would have been made to the Select and $H^{M(5)}$ survivorship premiums had these tables been used in the calculation; and it may be interesting to give a few of the results, and compare them with those by the H^M Table. The Select premium for 40 against 70 is $\cdot 01098$ and the H^M $\cdot 01149$; while for 70 against 40 they are $\cdot 08991$ and $\cdot 09541$ respectively, and for 30 against 30, $\cdot 01354$ and $\cdot 01315$. The Select premiums if 40 die before 70 or within 3, 7, and 10 years after him, payable until the risk determines, are $\cdot 01196$, $\cdot 01331$, and $\cdot 01435$ respectively, the additions to the survivorship premium being therefore $\cdot 00098$, $\cdot 00233$, and $\cdot 00337$ respectively, which are considerably greater than those given in my table, namely, $\cdot 00072$, $\cdot 00182$, and $\cdot 00273$. The Select premiums for 40 against 70 or within 3, 7, and 10 years after him, payable during the joint existence of the lives only, are $\cdot 01500$, $\cdot 02038$, and $\cdot 02437$ respectively, the additions to the survivorship premium being therefore $\cdot 00402$, $\cdot 00940$, and $\cdot 01339$ respectively, which agree very closely with those given in my table, namely, $\cdot 00401$, $\cdot 00934$, and $\cdot 01333$. I believe that, when the premium is payable during the joint existence of the lives only, the addition will be almost exactly the same as that given in my table, but that, when the premium is payable until the risk determines, the addition will be greater, except when one—or both—of the lives is young.

Mr. Meikle has given (*J.I.A.*, iv, 134) a method of approximating to the premium for x against y and t years longer. His formula is (using modern notation) $P_{xy}^1 + P_{xy,|t}^1 A_{x+z}$, where $z = e_{xy}$. Here it will be noticed that, when the premium is payable during the joint existence of the lives only, the addition to the ordinary survivorship premium is $P_{xy,|t}^1 A_{x+z}$, that is to say, the annual premium which will provide a temporary insurance on x for t years after the joint existence has failed, provided it is dissolved by the death of y . I give some of the additions calculated in this way, and it will be observed that the results by his approximate method agree fairly well with the exact values given in my table; but as the formula assumes a table of the expectation of two joint lives to have been formed, it cannot be readily applied. For 40 against 70, and $t=1, 3, 5, 7$, and 10, the additions, using first differences, would be $\cdot 00128$, $\cdot 00384$, $\cdot 00637$, $\cdot 00887$, and $\cdot 01266$ respectively, as against $\cdot 00134$, $\cdot 00401$, $\cdot 00668$, $\cdot 00934$, and $\cdot 01333$ by my table. When the premium is payable until the risk determines, however, the above formula should not be multiplied by $\frac{1+a_{xy}}{1+a_x}$, as stated by him, but by $\frac{1+a_{xy}}{1+a_{y(t).x}}$.

I take this opportunity of submitting another solution of the problem, in the belief that it will be more readily followed by the younger readers of the *Journal*.

Required the present value of an assurance of 1 payable if x die before y or within t years after him.

(1) During the first t years the insurance would be paid whether x died before or after y , and we have ${}_tA_{xy}^1 + {}_tA_{xy}^2 = {}_tA_x$. (2) After t years the insurance would be paid in any year, say the $(t+n)$ th, if x die in that year and y be alive t years previously, that is to say, on the average at the middle of the n th year. The value of the second part is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum v^{n+t} \frac{d_{x+t+n-1}}{l_x} \cdot \frac{l_{y+n-\frac{1}{2}}}{l_y} \\ &= v^t \frac{l_{x+t}}{l_x} \sum v^n \frac{d_{x+t+n-1}}{l_{x+t}} \cdot \frac{l_{y+n-\frac{1}{2}}}{l_y} \\ &= v^t {}_t p_x A_{x+t,y}^1. \end{aligned}$$

The total value of the assurance is therefore

$${}_tA_x + v^t {}_t p_x A_{x+t,y}^1.$$

It may be useful to point out that Mr. Curtis Otter, in solving this problem (*J.I.A.*, vii, 240), speaks of the payment in the n th year when it is evidently the payment in the $(t+n)$ th year which is meant.

I am, Sir,
Your obedient servant,
JAMES CHATHAM.

*Scottish Equitable Life Assurance Socy.,
Edinburgh, 7th December, 1885.*

Table showing the Addition to $100\omega_{xy}^1$ to cover the Risk of x dying within t Years after y , when the Premium is payable until the Risk determines,— $100(\omega_{x,y(t)}^1 - \omega_{xy}^1)$. H^M 4 per-cent.

x	y	$t=1$	$t=3$	$t=5$	$t=7$	$t=10$	x	y	$t=1$	$t=3$	$t=5$	$t=7$	$t=10$
20	20	·008	·026	·042	·058	·080	50	20	·008	·024	·041	·058	·085
	30	·010	·030	·049	·070	·099		30	·015	·043	·071	·098	·137
	40	·009	·027	·046	·067	·098		40	·026	·077	·126	·173	·239
	50	·007	·022	·039	·057	·085		50	·039	·118	·197	·273	·381
	60	·007	·021	·035	·051	·075		60	·045	·142	·243	·347	·502
	70	·007	·021	·035	·049	·072		70	·047	·148	·258	·373	·555
30	20	·011	·028	·045	·061	·084	60	20	·007	·025	·044	·066	·097
	30	·014	·041	·068	·094	·131		30	·013	·040	·070	·100	·142
	40	·016	·048	·081	·114	·164		40	·024	·074	·123	·172	·237
	50	·014	·044	·076	·109	·163		50	·045	·137	·225	·310	·424
	60	·011	·038	·066	·095	·143		60	·069	·216	·363	·505	·702
	70	·012	·037	·062	·089	·131		70	·090	·284	·486	·690	·985
40	20	·008	·026	·042	·059	·082	70	20	·011	·034	·061	·088	·121
	30	·015	·045	·074	·102	·139		30	·014	·048	·085	·119	·162
	40	·023	·069	·114	·158	·220		40	·023	·077	·133	·183	·244
	50	·027	·081	·138	·194	·280		50	·046	·146	·243	·329	·430
	60	·024	·077	·134	·193	·288		60	·091	·279	·460	·620	·808
	70	·023	·072	·125	·182	·273		70	·158	·490	·808	1·092	1·432

Table showing the addition to $100\omega_{xy}^1$ to cover the Risk of x dying within t Years after y , when the Premium is payable during the joint existence of the Lives only, $-100\left\{\frac{A^1_{x:y(\bar{t})}}{a_{xy}} - \omega_{xy}^1\right\}$. H^M 4 per-cent.

x	y	$t=1$	$t=3$	$t=5$	$t=7$	$t=10$	x	y	$t=1$	$t=3$	$t=5$	$t=7$	$t=10$
20	20	·018	·055	·088	·120	·163	50	20	·033	·091	·143	·194	·258
	30	·023	·068	·111	·152	·212		30	·047	·133	·210	·279	·368
	40	·026	·079	·129	·180	·253		40	·074	·210	·333	·442	·584
	50	·032	·095	·157	·218	·308		50	·114	·331	·532	·717	·961
	60	·045	·132	·216	·297	·415		60	·163	·484	·794	1·092	1·507
	70	·069	·203	·331	·454	·630	70	·232	·694	1·153	1·607	2·273	
30	20	·024	·065	·104	·139	·187	60	20	·043	·124	·194	·255	·329
	30	·031	·091	·148	·200	·272		30	·061	·169	·264	·345	·444
	40	·039	·117	·191	·264	·367		40	·093	·261	·406	·529	·676
	50	·047	·142	·235	·326	·462		50	·160	·450	·702	·918	1·179
	60	·061	·184	·304	·422	·597		60	·269	·775	1·230	1·631	2·133
	70	·091	·270	·443	·613	·861	70	·429	1·260	2·042	2·766	3·725	
40	20	·026	·074	·118	·159	·213	70	20	·070	·184	·277	·349	·424
	30	·038	·111	·178	·239	·318		30	·088	·239	·358	·450	·545
	40	·056	·164	·265	·360	·487		40	·127	·345	·517	·647	·780
	50	·075	·222	·365	·503	·699		50	·219	·594	·888	1·111	1·336
	60	·096	·289	·480	·669	·949		60	·415	1·132	1·704	2·145	2·596
	70	·134	·401	·668	·934	1·333	70	·773	2·154	3·300	4·212	5·183	

Table of ω_{xy}^1 . H^M 4 per-cent.

x	y	ω_{xy}^1	x	y	ω_{xy}^1	x	y	ω_{xy}^1
20	20	·01004	40	20	·02127	60	20	·05526
	30	·00913		30	·02012		30	·05455
	40	·00823		40	·01823		40	·05310
	50	·00748		50	·01580		50	·05010
	60	·00686		60	·01344		60	·04505
	70	·00635	70	·01149	70	·03858		
30	20	·01432	50	20	·03333	70	20	·09697
	30	·01315		30	·03239		30	·09645
	40	·01168		40	·03056		40	·09541
	50	·01024		50	·02746		50	·09304
	60	·00905		60	·02350		60	·08812
	70	·00811	70	·01963	70	·07966		

Table of A^1_{xy} . H^M 4 per-cent.

x	y	A^1_{xy}									
20	20	·1715	35	20	·2693	50	20	·4205	65	20	·6166
	30	·1475		30	·2413		30	·3994		30	·6045
	40	·1211		40	·2002		40	·3602		40	·5813
	50	·0944		50	·1517		50	·2940		50	·5310
	60	·0682		60	·1051		60	·2097		60	·4385
	70	·0448		70	·0661		70	·1299		70	·3095
25	20	·1979	40	20	·3129	55	20	·4828	70	20	·6839
	30	·1712		30	·2864		30	·4650		30	·6744
	40	·1395		40	·2433		40	·4305		40	·6564
	50	·1069		50	·1863		50	·3661		50	·6156
	60	·0760		60	·1280		60	·2723		60	·5335
	70	·0489		70	·0791		70	·1734		70	·4028
30	20	·2313	45	20	·3639	60	20	·5494	75	20	·7468
	30	·2031		30	·3399		30	·5345		30	·7395
	40	·1662		40	·2975		40	·5057		40	·7258
	50	·1262		50	·2341		50	·4471		50	·6942
	60	·0887		60	·1626		60	·3504		60	·6261
	70	·0567		70	·1000		70	·2336		70	·5051

ON THE ANALOGY BETWEEN AN ANNUITY-CERTAIN AND A LIFE ANNUITY.

To the Editor of the Journal of the Institute of Actuaries.

SIR,—The analogy existing between an annuity-certain and a life annuity has been remarked upon by Mr. G. King, in his interesting note in Volume xx of the *Journal* (p. 435), and Mr. James Chisholm in the preface to his recently-published *Tables of Policy-Values*. Both of these writers have investigated the subject on the assumption that the annuities were payable once a year, and little remains to be said upon the matter from this point of view. But the assumption of annual intervals makes it necessary that the functions should be manipulated before their similarity can be demonstrated; while even then, the analogy, in one respect (compare expressions (3) and (4), *J.I.A.*, xx, 436), appeals to the intelligence rather than to the eye. A far stricter resemblance—indeed, a complete and exact coincidence—will, however, be found to exist between the two functions, and between other cognate functions depending upon the same elements, when we regard them as being payable continuously, or by momentarily instalments. The following formulas attest the truth of this assertion, and may be considered of some interest to students of actuarial science.

On the assumption that the interest is convertible, and the annuity payable, momentarily, we have

$$\bar{a}_n = \frac{1 - \epsilon^{-n\delta}}{\delta}$$

Here $\epsilon^{-n\delta}$ represents the present value of 1 to be received at the end of n years on the conditions specified, and is really the single payment necessary to secure the unit at the expiration of this period. As