

SOME INTEGRABILITY THEOREMS

by YUNG-MING CHEN

(Received 3 June, 1964; and in revised form 5 January, 1965)

1. P. Heywood [3] proved the following theorems:

THEOREM A. *If $0 \leq \gamma < 2$, if $x^{\gamma-1}g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, and if*

$$b_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi g(x) \sin nx \, dx \tag{1.1}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then the series $\sum_1^\infty n^{-\gamma} b_n$ is convergent.

THEOREM B. *If $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, if $x^{\gamma-1}f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, and if*

$$a_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x) \cos nx \, dx \tag{1.2}$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then the series $\sum_1^\infty n^{-\gamma} a_n$ is convergent.

THEOREM C. *Suppose that $g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, that b_n is defined by (1.1) for each n , that $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, and that the series $\sum_1^\infty n^{\gamma-1} |b_n|$ converges. Then the integral*

$$\int_{-0}^\pi x^{-\gamma} g(x) \, dx$$

exists as a Cauchy-Lebesgue integral.

THEOREM D. *Suppose that $f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, that a_n is defined by (1.2) for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, that $0 < \gamma < 1$, and that the series $\sum_1^\infty n^{\gamma-1} |a_n|$ converges. Then the integral*

$$\int_{-0}^\pi x^{-\gamma} f(x) \, dx$$

exists as a Cauchy-Lebesgue integral.

When the index γ satisfies $1 < \gamma < 2$, Siobhan O'Shea [6] has proved the following theorem:

THEOREM E. *Suppose that $1 < \gamma < 2$. Then the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty b_n \sin nx \quad (b_n \geq 0) \tag{1.3}$$

converges everywhere to a function $g(x)$ satisfying $x^{-\gamma}g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, if and only if $\sum_1^\infty n^{\gamma-1} b_n < \infty$.

The present note is concerned with generalizations of these theorems. We shall make use of a class of asymptotic functions which have previously been defined in [2]. By $\phi(x) \sim [a, b]$, $0 \leq a \leq b < \infty$ or $-\infty < a \leq b \leq 0$, we denote a non-negative function $\phi(x)$, not identically zero, such that $x^{-a}\phi(x)$ is non-decreasing and $x^{-b}\phi(x)$ is non-increasing, as x increases in $(0, \infty)$. By $\phi(x) \sim \langle a, b \rangle$, we denote $\phi(x)$ such that there exists some positive constant ε for which $\phi(x) \sim [a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$. We define $\phi(x) \sim [a, b)$ and $\phi(x) \sim \langle a, b \rangle$ in a similar way. We shall establish the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. *If $x^{-1}\phi(x^{-1})g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, where $\phi(x) \sim [-1, 0)$ or $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, -1 \rangle$, and if b_n is defined by (1.1) for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then $\sum_1^\infty \phi(n)b_n$ is convergent.*

THEOREM 2. *If $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$ and $x^{-1}\phi(x^{-1})f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, and if a_n is defined by (1.2) for every n , then $\sum_1^\infty \phi(n)a_n$ is convergent.*

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that $g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, that b_n is defined by (1.1) for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, and that $\sum_1^\infty n^{-1}\phi(n^{-1})|b_n| < \infty$, where $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, 0 \rangle$; then the integral*

$$\int_{-0}^{\pi} \phi(x)g(x) dx$$

exists as a Cauchy-Lebesgue integral.

THEOREM 4. *If $f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, and if a_n is defined by (1.2) for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, such that $\sum_1^\infty n^{-1}\phi(n^{-1})|a_n| < \infty$, where $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$, then the integral*

$$\int_{-0}^{\pi} \phi(x)f(x) dx$$

exists as a Cauchy-Lebesgue integral.

THEOREM 5. *Let $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, -1 \rangle$, and let $b_n \geq 0$ for every n . Then the trigonometric series $\sum_1^\infty b_n \sin nx$ converges everywhere to $g(x)$ such that $\phi(x)g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, if and only if $\sum_1^\infty n^{-1}\phi(n^{-1})b_n < \infty$.*

2. It is natural to inquire whether the result in Theorem A can be extended to integrability of the function $x^{-\gamma}\{g(x)\}^p$ for $p > 1$ (cf. *Math. Z.* **66** (1956), 9–12). The answer is in the negative even when $p = 2$. This may be justified by the example: $g(x) = (\pi - x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Here we have $\pi b_n/2 \simeq K(-1)^{n+1}n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, so that if $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, $p = 2$, then $x^{-\gamma}\{g(x)\}^2 \in L(0, \pi)$, but $\sum_1^\infty n^\gamma b_n^p = \sum_1^\infty n^{\frac{1}{2}} b_n^2 = \infty$.

As a particular case in Theorem 1, we may set $\phi(x) = x^{-\gamma}L(1/x)$, where $0 < \gamma < 2$ and $L(t)$ is a slowly increasing function in the sense of Karamata ([4], [5]; cf. also [7, p. 186]).

Similar conditions may be applied to Theorems 2 to 5. By $a(x) \simeq b(x)$ and $a(x) \asymp b(x)$, as $x \rightarrow c$, we mean $a(x)/b(x) \rightarrow 1$ and $K_1 < a(x)/b(x) < K_2$, respectively, as $x \rightarrow c$. Here and later the letter K denotes a positive constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$g(x) = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_n \sin nx, \tag{2.1}$$

where λ_n decreases steadily to zero. If $\phi(x) \sim [-1, 0\rangle$ and if $\lambda_n \simeq \phi(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $g(x) \asymp x^{-1}\phi(x^{-1})$, as $x \rightarrow +0$.

Proof. Since $\phi(x) \sim [-1, 0\rangle$, by Lemma 1 in [2], $\phi(x)$ is absolutely continuous in (δ, ∞) , where $\phi(x)$ decreases monotonically. From $\phi(x) \sim [-1, 0\rangle$, we obtain $x\phi(x) \sim [0, 1\rangle$, where $x\phi(x)$ is non-decreasing in $(0, \infty)$. It follows that

$$g(x) = \sum_1^\infty \lambda_n \sin nx = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq [1/x]} \lambda_n \sin nx + \sum_{n > [1/x]} \lambda_n \sin nx = S_1 + S_2, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$|S_1| \leq Kx \left| \sum_1^{[1/x]} n\lambda_n \right| \leq Kx \int_1^{1/x} t\phi(t) dt \leq Kx \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \left\{ \frac{1}{x}\phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right\} = \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{2.3}$$

By Abel's transformation, it is easy to verify that

$$|S_2| = \left| \sum_{n > 1/x} \lambda_n \sin nx \right| \leq \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

It remains to show that $g(x) > Kx^{-1}\phi(x^{-1})$, as $x \rightarrow +0$. To see this, write

$$\left. \begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sum_1^\infty \Delta\lambda_n \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(n+\frac{1}{2})x}{\sin \frac{1}{2}x} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \tan \frac{x}{4} \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \Delta\lambda_n \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(n+\frac{1}{2})x}{\sin \frac{1}{2}x} + o\left\{ \frac{1}{x}\phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right\} = S_3 + S_4, \end{aligned} \right\} \tag{2.5}$$

say. If $\phi(x) \sim \langle -m, 0\rangle$, then $x^\epsilon\phi(x)$ decreases and $x^m\phi(x)$ increases for some $\epsilon > 0$ in $(0, \infty)$. This implies that $n^\epsilon\phi(n) > (2n)^\epsilon\phi(2n)$ and $n^m\phi(n) < (2n)^m\phi(2n)$. It follows that $\phi(n) - \phi(2n) > (2^\epsilon - 1)\phi(2n) > 2^{-m}(2^\epsilon - 1)\phi(n) = K\phi(n)$. Write $\lambda_n = \{1 + o(1)\}\phi(n)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$S_3 > \frac{K}{x} \sum_{n/2x \leq n \leq 3n/2x} \Delta\lambda_n \geq \frac{K}{x} \{ \lambda_{[n/2x]+1} - \lambda_{[3n/2x]} \} > \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \tag{2.6}$$

as $x \rightarrow +0$. Hence $g(x) > (K/x)\phi(1/x)$, as $x \rightarrow +0$.

LEMMA 2. If $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$, then, for small positive x ,

$$\left| \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(n) \cos nx \right| \leq \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

and also, for any positive integer N ,

$$\left| \sum_1^N \phi(n) \cos nx \right| \leq \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \tag{2.8}$$

where K in (2.8) is independent of N .

The proofs of (2.7) and (2.8) are similar. For brevity, we only prove (2.7) here. Since $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $x^{1-\varepsilon}\phi(x)$ increases and $x^\varepsilon\phi(x)$ decreases in $(0, \infty)$. By differentiating these functions we obtain

$$\varepsilon\phi(x)/x \leq -\phi'(x) \leq (1-\varepsilon)\phi(x)/x,$$

where $\phi'(x)$ exists almost everywhere. It follows as in the proof of Lemma 1 that

$$f(x) = \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(n) \cos nx = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq [1/x]} + \sum_{n > [1/x]} = S_1 + S_2,$$

say. Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1| &\leq K \int_1^{1/x} \phi(t) dt \leq \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) - K \int_1^{1/x} t\phi'(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) + K(1-\varepsilon) \int_1^{1/x} \phi(t) dt \leq \frac{K}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by shifting the term $K(1-\varepsilon) \int \dots$ to combine with $K \int_1^{1/x} \phi(t) dt$. Also, as in the proof of Lemma 1, we have $|S_2| < Kx^{-1}\phi(x^{-1})$. The result follows.

LEMMA 3. If $\lambda_n \geq 0$, and if the series $\sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_n \sin nx$ converges everywhere to a function $g(x)$ such that $x^{-1}g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, then $\sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$.

LEMMA 4. If $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and if the series $\sum_1^{\infty} \lambda_n \sin nx$ converges everywhere to the function $f(x)$, such that $\phi(x)f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, where $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$, then

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \lambda_n < \infty. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 3 is due to R. P. Boas [1]. For the proof of Lemma 4, it is sufficient to prove that the n th partial sum of (2.9) is bounded. Write $\psi(x) = x^{-1}\phi(x^{-1}) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$. The con-

dition $\phi(x)f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$ with $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$ implies that $\phi(x) \geq K_\phi > 0$ in $(0, \pi)$ and that $f(x) \in L(0, \pi)$. Using Lemma 2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n \frac{1}{k} \phi\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \lambda_k &= \sum_1^n \psi(k) \lambda_k = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_1^n \psi(k) \int_0^\pi f(x) \cos kx \, dx \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x) \sum_1^n \psi(k) \cos kx \, dx \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |f(x)| \left| \sum_1^n \psi(k) \cos kx \right| dx \\ &\leq K \int_0^\pi \frac{1}{x} \psi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) |f(x)| \, dx = K \int_0^\pi \phi(x) |f(x)| \, dx < K. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. If $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, -1 \rangle$, and if

$$g(x) = \sum_1^\infty \phi(n) \sin nx, \tag{2.10}$$

then $g(x) \asymp x^{-1} \phi(x^{-1})$.

Here it should be remarked that $x^{-1} \phi(x^{-1})$ tends to zero as $x \rightarrow +0$. So it is not obvious that $\phi(n)$ in (2.10) can be replaced by $\lambda_n \simeq \phi(n)$, as in (2.1) of Lemma 1.

Since $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, -1 \rangle$, we have $n\phi(n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By [7, Chap. 5, (1.3)], we see that $g(x) \rightarrow 0$, as $x \rightarrow +0$. On the other hand,

$$g'(x) = \sum_1^\infty n\phi(n) \cos nx = \sum_1^\infty \psi(n) \cos nx, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\psi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$, and the series (2.11) converges uniformly in (δ, π) for any $\delta > 0$. It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$g(x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \int_\delta^x g'(t) \, dt = O\left\{ \int_0^x \frac{1}{t} \psi\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt \right\} = O\left\{ \int_0^x \chi(t) \, dt \right\}, \tag{2.12}$$

as $x \rightarrow +0$, where $\chi(t) = t^{-1} \psi(t^{-1}) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2, we see that the right-hand member of (2.12) is $O\{x\chi(x)\} = O\{x^{-1} \phi(x^{-1})\}$.

Furthermore, it follows as in the proof of Lemma 1 that $g(x) > Kx^{-1} \phi(x^{-1})$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5 is completed.

3. We come now to the proof of Theorem 1. The argument is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [3]. For any positive integer N , write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_1^{N-1} \phi(n) b_n &= \int_0^\delta g(x) \sum_1^{N-1} \phi(n) \sin nx \, dx + \int_\delta^\pi g(x) \sum_1^\infty \phi(n) \sin nx \, dx \\ &\quad - \int_\delta^\eta g(x) \sum_N^\infty \phi(n) \sin nx \, dx - \int_\eta^\pi g(x) \sum_N^\infty \phi(n) \sin nx \, dx \tag{3.1} \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

say. Take $\delta = 1/N$, $\eta = 1/\sqrt{N}$. We shall see that I_1, I_3, I_4 tend to zero and I_2 tends to a finite limit. In view of Lemmas 1 and 5, it follows from the hypothesis $x^{-1}g(x)\phi(x^{-1}) \in L(0, \pi)$ that the expression

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} I_2 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} g(x) \sum_1^{\infty} \phi(n) \sin nx \, dx$$

is finite. Similarly, in view of (2.5) and Lemma 1,

$$|I_1| \leq \int_0^{\delta} |g(x)| \left| \sum_1^{N-1} \phi(n) \sin nx \right| dx \leq K \int_0^{\delta} \frac{1}{x} \phi\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) |g(x)| dx = o(1), \quad (3.2)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. By similar arguments, it is easy to show that $I_3 = o(1)$ and $I_4 = o(1)$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. Similar arguments apply in the proof of Theorem 2. The result follows in a similar way, except that Lemma 1 is replaced by Lemma 2. Here we cannot replace $\phi(x) \sim \langle -1, 0 \rangle$ by $\phi(x) \sim [-1, 0]$. This may easily be seen from the special case $\phi(x) = 1/x$, where $\sum n^{-1} \cos nx \sim -\log x$, as $x \rightarrow +0$ [3, p. 174]. This also means that Theorem 2 does not hold for the case $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, -1 \rangle$.

For the proof of Theorem 3, we write

$$\chi(x) = g(x) - \psi(x),$$

where

$$\psi(x) = \sum_1^N b_n \sin nx, \quad N = [\delta^{-1}],$$

and

$$\int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi(x)g(x) \, dx = \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi(x)\psi(x) \, dx + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi(x)\chi(x) \, dx. \quad (3.3)$$

Let

$$X(x) = \int_0^x \chi(t) \, dt.$$

From Lemma 1 in [2], we see that $\phi(x)$ is absolutely continuous in $[\delta, \pi]$ for any $\delta > 0$. Since $g(x) \in L(0, \pi)$ implies $\chi(x) \in L(0, \pi)$, integration by parts gives

$$\int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi(x)\chi(x) \, dx = \phi(\pi)X(\pi) - \phi(\delta)X(\delta) - \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi'(x)X(x) \, dx.$$

By similar arguments as in [3], it can readily be shown that the first and second terms on the right tend to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. It remains to show that the last term tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, since $\phi(x) \sim \langle -2, 0 \rangle$ implies that $\phi(x)$ is absolutely continuous, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi'(x)X(x) \, dx \right| &\leq \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \{-\phi'(x)\} |X(x)| \, dx \leq \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |b_n| \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \{-\phi'(x)\} \, dx \\ &= \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |b_n| \{\phi(\delta) - \phi(\pi)\} \leq \phi(\delta) \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} n^{-1} |b_n| = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where $-\phi'(x)$ is positive almost everywhere. Hence

$$\int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi(x)X(x) dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then it is sufficient to consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \phi(x)\psi(x) dx &= \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x)\psi(x) dx - \int_0^{\delta} \phi(x)\psi(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x)\psi(x) dx - \int_0^{\delta} \phi(x) \sum_1^M b_n \sin nx dx - \int_0^{\delta} \phi(x) \sum_{M+1}^N b_n \sin nx dx \quad (3.4) \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3, \end{aligned}$$

say, where $M = [\delta^{-1}]$. Write $\theta_n(t) = \phi(t/n)/\phi(1/n)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. It is easy to see that

$$t^{-\epsilon} \leq \theta_n(t) \leq t^{\epsilon-2} \quad (0 < t < 1), \quad t^{\epsilon-2} \leq \theta_n(t) \leq t^{-\epsilon} \quad (t > 1).$$

Since $\theta_n(t)$ decreases steadily to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\pi} \phi(t) \sin nt dt \right| &= \frac{1}{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left| \int_0^{n\pi} \theta_n(t) \sin t dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left| \left(\int_0^1 + \int_1^{n\pi} \right) \theta_n(t) \sin t dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \left\{ \int_0^1 t^{\epsilon-2+1} dt + \int_1^{n\pi} t^{-\epsilon} dt \right\} \leq \frac{K}{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Hence

$$J_1 = \sum_1^N b_n \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) \sin nx dx \rightarrow \sum_1^{\infty} b_n \int_0^{\pi} \phi(x) \sin nx dx,$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$, where the last series converges absolutely. It remains to estimate J_2 and J_3 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2| &\leq \sum_1^{[\sqrt{N}]} |b_n| \frac{1}{n} \phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_0^{[1/\sqrt{N}]} \theta_n(t) \sin t dt \\ &\leq KN^{-\epsilon/2} \sum_1^{\infty} n^{-1} \phi(n^{-1}) |b_n| = o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq \sum_{[\sqrt{N}]}^N n^{-1} \phi(n^{-1}) |b_n| \int_0^{n/N} \theta_n(t) \sin t dt \\ &\leq \sum_{[\sqrt{N}]}^N n^{-1} \phi(n^{-1}) |b_n| \int_0^1 t^{\epsilon-1} dt \\ &\leq K \sum_{[\sqrt{N}]}^{\infty} n^{-1} \phi(n^{-1}) |b_n| = o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Finally, it should be remarked that the proof of Theorem 4 is practically the same as that of Theorem 3. Using Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, the proof of Theorem 5 follows in a similar way as in [6] and is omitted here.

My thanks are due to the referee for pointing out a number of slips and for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. R. P. Boas, Jr, Integrability of trigonometric series (III). *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **3** (1952), 217–221.
2. Y. M. Chen, On two functional spaces, *Studia Math.* **24** (1964), 61–88.
3. P. Heywood, Integrability theorems for trigonometric series, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **13** (1962), 172–180.
4. J. Karamata, Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **4** (1930), 38–53.
5. J. Karamata, Sur un mode de croissance régulière, *Bull. Soc. Math. de France* **61** (1933), 55–62.
6. Siobhan O'Shea, Note on an integrability theorem for sine series, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **8** (1957), 279–281.
7. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd edn, Vol. 1 (Cambridge, 1959).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG