

THE DYNAMICS OF AN ACTION OF $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$

ANTHONY NIELSEN

S.G. Dani and S. Raghavan showed the linear action of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ on the space of symplectic p -frames for $p \leq n$ is topologically transitive. We give an alternative proof, from the prime number theorem and the congruence subgroup theorem, and show the action of every finite index subgroup of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ is topologically transitive.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that the symplectic groups $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ are the subgroups of $SL(2n, \mathbb{R})$ and $SL(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ respectively of matrices A which satisfy $A^t J A = J$ where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A Euclidean p -frame over \mathbb{R}^{2n} is a p -tuple (u_1, \dots, u_p) of linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . For $1 \leq p \leq n$, a symplectic p -frame is a Euclidean p -frame which satisfies $u_i^t J u_j = 0$ for all i, j when the u_i are written as column vectors. The space of symplectic p -frames is the subset of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n})^p$ of all symplectic p -frames with the relative topology. An action of a group G on a topological space X is *topologically transitive* if for each $g \in G$ the bijection g on X is a homeomorphism and for each pair of nonempty open sets $U, V \subseteq X$ there is some $g \in G$ such that $gU \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The action is *topologically k -transitive* if the action induced on X^k is topologically transitive.

Dani and Raghavan ([5]), based on Moore's ergodicity theorem, showed the linear action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ on \mathbb{R}^n is topologically $(n-1)$ -transitive and the action of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ on the space of symplectic p -frames is topologically transitive. Our main result is an alternative proof in the $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ case which applies to the finite index subgroups.

THEOREM 1. *For $p \leq n$, the linear action on the space of symplectic p -frames of every finite index subgroup of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ is topologically transitive.*

The proof, in Section 4, is a modification of the one used in [4] to show the actions on \mathbb{R}^n of the finite index subgroups of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ are topologically $(n-1)$ -transitive. Sections 2 and 3 introduce the underlying theorems, the prime number theorem modulo m and the congruence subgroup theorem.

Received 17th November, 2004

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/05 \$A2.00+0.00.

2. DIRICHLET'S THEOREM

Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions says, provided $m \geq 2$ and a and m are relatively prime, there are infinitely many primes equal to a modulo m , and if, for $x > 0$, $\pi_m(x, a)$ is the number of primes $\leq x$ equal to a modulo m and φ is the Euler totient function, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi_m(x, a) \log x}{x} = \frac{1}{\varphi(m)}.$$

See [1, Chapter 7]. An easy corollary is

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(k, a)}{k \log k} = \varphi(m)$$

where $p(k, a)$ denotes the k th prime equal to a modulo m .

The following argument is due to Mendès France in the Math Review of [6]. It shows the quotients of primes are dense in the positive reals (originally proved by Sierpiński in [9]). If $x > 0$ and $\lfloor kx \rfloor$ is the integer part of kx , since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lfloor kx \rfloor / kx = 1$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \log \lfloor kx \rfloor / \log kx = 1$,

$$1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor kx \rfloor, a)}{\varphi(m) kx \log kx}.$$

Therefore

$$x = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor kx \rfloor, a)}{\varphi(m) k \log k + \varphi(m) k \log x} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor kx \rfloor, a)}{\varphi(m) k \log k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor kx \rfloor, a)}{p(k, a)}.$$

LEMMA 1. *Let U, V be nonempty open sets in \mathbb{R}^l . For each $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq i \leq l$, $U \times V$ contains a point of the form*

$$\frac{(r_1, \dots, r_i, \dots, r_l; s_1, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_l)}{\varphi(m) k \log k}$$

where the r_j and s_j are each $\pm m$ times a prime—except for r_i and s_i which are just \pm a prime and equal to 1 modulo m —and the primes are all distinct.

PROOF: Choose a point $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}; y_1, \dots, y_{2n})$ in $U \times V$ whose entries are all nonzero and distinct in absolute value. For the x_j other than x_i

$$\frac{|x_j|}{m} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(\lfloor k|x_j|/m \rfloor, \pm 1)}{\varphi(m) k \log k},$$

where ± 1 agrees in sign with x_j , and likewise for the y_j other than y_i . For x_i and y_i similar equations hold but without $|x_i|$ or $|y_i|$ divided by m . For a sufficiently large k the primes in the numerators on the right are all distinct, and for a possibly larger k the quotients on the right, after those that correspond to negative x_j or y_j are multiplied by -1 and those that don't correspond to x_i or y_i are multiplied by m , form the desired $4n$ -tuple in $U \times V$. □

3. THE CONGRUENCE SUBGROUP THEOREM

Let ρ denote the maps $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m^n$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m^{n \times n}$ which reduce modulo m the entries of an n -tuple of integers and an $n \times n$ matrix of integers. For $n \geq 2$, the kernels of the group homomorphisms $\rho : SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL(n, \mathbb{Z}_m)$ are denoted $G_{n,m}$ and called the *principal congruence subgroups* of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$; a *congruence subgroup* is one which contains a principal congruence subgroup. The congruence subgroup theorem says, for $n \geq 3$, every finite index subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup. It was proved separately in [3] and [8]. A *principal congruence subgroup* of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ is an intersection $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \cap G_{2n,m}$ for some m , and a *congruence subgroup* is one which contains a principal congruence subgroup. A version of the congruence subgroup theorem says that for $n \geq 2$ every finite index subgroup of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a congruence subgroup ([3, Théorème 3]).

For $x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ let $\gcd(x)$ mean the component-wise greatest common divisor. It is not difficult to show the orbit of x in \mathbb{Z}^n under the obvious action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\gcd(y) = \gcd(x)$. Humphreys in [7, Section 17.2] shows that the $G_{n,m}$ -suborbit of x is the set of $y \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\gcd(y) = \gcd(x)$ and $\rho(y) = \rho(x)$.

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

The following lemma is well known. See [2, Theorem 3.8].

LEMMA 2. *Each symplectic p -frame $u = (u_1, \dots, u_p)$ forms the first p columns of some element in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$.*

PROOF: The vectors w which satisfy $w^t J u_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$ make up the orthogonal complement of Ju relative to the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^{2n} —a $(2n - p)$ -dimensional subspace which contains u itself. Therefore, while $p < n$ we can extend u to a symplectic n -frame by induction.

If u is a symplectic n -frame, the orthogonal complement of $J(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ has dimension n and is contained in the orthogonal complement of $J(u_2, \dots, u_n)$ which has dimension $n + 1$. So there is $u_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with $u_{n+1}^t J u_1 = -1$ and $u_{n+1}^t J u_i = 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. It must be that u_{n+1} is linearly independent of u_1, \dots, u_n , else $u_1^t J u_{n+1}$ would be zero. Now, the orthogonal complement of $J(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1})$ has dimension $n - 1$ and is contained in the orthogonal complement of $J(u_1, u_3, \dots, u_{n+1})$ which has dimension n . So there is u_{n+2} with $u_{n+2}^t J u_2 = -1$ and $u_{n+2}^t J u_i = 0$ for $i = 1$ and $3 \leq i \leq n + 1$ and linearly independent of u_1, \dots, u_{n+1} ; and so on. Arranged as columns, u_1, \dots, u_{2n} form an element in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$. \square

LEMMA 3. *Let $u = (u_1, \dots, u_p)$ be a symplectic p -frame contained in an open set U of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n})^p$. Then there are p open sets U_i of \mathbb{R}^{2n} with $u_i \in U_i$, $U_1 \times \dots \times U_p \subseteq U$, and such that the following holds: if $1 \leq q < p$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_q)$ is a symplectic q -frame with $w_i \in U_i$ for each i , there is $w_{q+1} \in U_{q+1}$ which makes (w_1, \dots, w_{q+1}) a symplectic $(q + 1)$ -frame.*

PROOF: We shall define a continuously differentiable function $f : (\mathbb{R}^{2n})^q \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Take an element in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{R})$ with u_1, \dots, u_q as its first q columns and then replace those columns with variable columns $x_i, 1 \leq i \leq q$. Call the resulting matrix A . If y is another variable column, f takes $(x_1, \dots, x_q; y)$ to

$$A^t J y + e_{n+q+1}$$

where e_{n+q+1} is the element in the usual basis for \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Notice $f(u_1, \dots, u_q; u_{q+1}) = 0$ and the Jacobian

$$\frac{\partial(f_1, \dots, f_{2n})}{\partial(y_1, \dots, y_{2n})}$$

evaluated at $(u_1, \dots, u_q; u_{q+1})$ is $\det A = 1$. Therefore, by the implicit function theorem, there is an open neighbourhood V of (u_1, \dots, u_q) in $(\mathbb{R}^{2n})^q$ and a continuously differentiable function $g : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that $g(u_1, \dots, u_q) = u_{q+1}$ and

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_q; g(x_1, \dots, x_q)) = 0 \text{ for all } (x_1, \dots, x_q) \in V.$$

Now choose open neighbourhoods U_i of each of the u_i of u sufficiently small that $U_1 \times \dots \times U_p \subseteq U$ and each $(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in U_1 \times \dots \times U_p$ is a Euclidean p -frame. Set $q = p - 1$ and let g be the function as above which takes (u_1, \dots, u_{p-1}) to u_p . Make U_1, \dots, U_{p-1} smaller, if necessary, so that g maps $U_1 \times \dots \times U_{p-1}$ into U_p : if (w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}) is a symplectic $(p - 1)$ -frame in $U_1 \times \dots \times U_{p-1}$, $(w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}; g(w_1, \dots, w_{p-1}))$ is a symplectic p -frame. Next, set $q = p - 2$ and repeat—this time make U_1, \dots, U_{p-2} smaller still, if necessary, so that the new g maps $U_1 \times \dots \times U_{p-2}$ into U_{p-1} . Once q reaches 1 the U_i will be as required. □

For the next lemma it helps to think of a symplectic matrix in terms of its columns. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ let \underline{x} be the first half of x , that is, the first n -tuple, and \bar{x} be the second. The product $x^t J y$ can be written $\underline{x} \cdot \bar{y} - \bar{x} \cdot \underline{y}$. If x_1, \dots, x_{2n} are the columns of a matrix, it is symplectic if $\underline{x}_i \cdot \bar{x}_j - \bar{x}_i \cdot \underline{x}_j$ is 0 for $j > i$ except for $j = n + i$ when it must be 1.

Alternatively, if the matrix in block form is $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$, the conditions are $A^t C - C^t A = 0$, $A^t D - C^t B = I$, $B^t D - D^t B = 0$. In particular, $Sp(2, \mathbb{Z}) = SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

LEMMA 4. Let $r = (r_1, \dots, r_{2n})$ have the properties in the statement of Lemma 1 for some $i \leq n$, and assume also $r_{n+1}, \dots, r_{n+i-1} = 0$. Then there is a matrix in $Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \cap G_{2n,m}$ with e_1, \dots, e_{i-1}, r its first i columns.

PROOF: First consider the case $i < n$; so $n \geq 2$. Let $k = n - (i - 1)$ and

$$r = (\underline{r}, \bar{r}) = (r_1, \dots, r_i, \dots, r_n, 0, \dots, 0, r_{n+i}, \dots, r_{2n}).$$

The k -tuple (r_i, \dots, r_n) reduces modulo m to $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and has gcd 1. Therefore, by Section 3, there is an element $A' \in G_{k,m}$ whose first column is (r_i, \dots, r_n) . Use A'

Next, using Lemma 1 again, with $i = 2$ and $l = 2n - 1$, choose a point of the above form in $A_1U_2 \times B_1V_2$, this time with r_2 and s_2 equal to 1 modulo m , $r_{n+1} = s_{n+1} = 0$, and the other entries equal to 0 modulo m . Let t_2 be the denominator. By Lemma 4 there are $A_2, B_2 \in Sp(2n, \mathbb{Z}) \cap G_{2n, m}$ which fix e_1 and with $A_2r = B_2s = e_2$. If $t_2A_1w_2 = (r_1, \dots, r_{2n})$ this time, (w_1, w_2) is a symplectic 2-frame in $U_1 \times U_2$: A_2A_1 takes it to $(e_1/t_1, e_2/t_2)$. Likewise, B_2B_1 takes a symplectic 2-frame in $V_1 \times V_2$ to $(e_1/t_1, e_2/t_2)$. Again, there is $w'_3 \in U_3$ such that (w_1, w_2, w'_3) is a symplectic 3-frame. It follows that $A_2A_1U_3$ meets the subspace $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = 0\}$, and the same is true of $B_2B_1V_3$.

In the next step we choose a point of the above form in $A_2A_1U_3 \times B_2B_1V_3$, and so on. We get A_3 and B_3 such that $A_3A_2A_1$ and $B_3B_2B_1$ take symplectic 3-frames in $U_1 \times U_2 \times U_3$ and $V_1 \times V_2 \times V_3$ respectively to $(e_1/t_1, e_2/t_2, e_3/t_3)$. The process continues till we get A_p, B_p . The matrix we are after is $B_1^{-1} \cdots B_p^{-1} A_p \cdots A_1$; it takes $(w_1, \dots, w_p) \in U_1 \times \cdots \times U_p$ to a symplectic p -frame in $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_p$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to analytic number theory* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976).
- [2] E. Artin, *Geometric algebra* (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York-London, 1957).
- [3] H. Bass, M. Lazard, and J.-P. Serre, 'Sous-groupes d'indice fini dans $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ', *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70** (1964), 385–392.
- [4] G. Cairns and A. Nielsen, 'On the dynamics of the linear action of $SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ ', *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **71** (2005), 359–365.
- [5] S.G. Dani and S. Raghavan, 'Orbits of Euclidean frames under discrete linear groups', *Israel J. Math.* **36** (1980), 300–320.
- [6] D. Hobby and D.M. Silberger, 'Quotients of primes', *Amer. Math. Monthly* **100** (1993), 50–52.
- [7] J.E. Humphreys, *Arithmetic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **789** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
- [8] J.L. Mennicke, 'Finite factor groups of the unimodular group', *Ann. of Math. (2)* **81** (1965), 31–37.
- [9] W. Sierpiński, *Elementary theory of numbers*, Monografie Matematyczne **42** (Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1964).

Department of Mathematics
 La Trobe University
 Melbourne
 Australia 3086
 e-mail: A.Nielsen@latrobe.edu.au