

# A QUESTION OF C. R. HOBBY ON REGULAR $p$ -GROUPS

by I. D. MACDONALD

(Received 14th January 1972)

In (2) a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  is said to be nearly regular if it has the following two properties:

(i) There exists a central subgroup  $Z$  of order  $p$  and  $G/Z$  is regular.

(ii) If  $x \in G$  and  $y \in \gamma_2(G)$ , then  $gp\{x, y\}$  is regular.

(For unfamiliar notation we refer to (1).) C. R. Hobby proved in (2) that (i) implies (ii) when  $p = 2$  or  $3$ , and an open question is whether (i) implies (ii) for  $p \geq 5$ . It has been suggested in (3) and (4) that this is a deep problem, comparable to the Hughes problem perhaps, though the solution is in fact quite simple; it seems worth while to set the record straight with the present note. We shall exhibit, for any  $p \geq 5$ , a finite metabelian  $p$ -group with (i) but not (ii).

In considering the structure of a metabelian  $p$ -group  $G$  with property (i) but not (ii) we shall be guided by the necessary and sufficient conditions given in (1) for a nilpotent metabelian  $p$ -group to be regular, namely that  $\gamma_p(H) \leq \gamma_2(H)^p$  for every two-generator subgroup  $H$ . Such a group has of course  $p \geq 5$  and  $G/Z$  will not have exponent  $p$ .

Let us suppose that  $G$  is generated by  $\{a, b\}$  and that  $G$  has class  $p+1$ , more precisely that  $Z = \gamma_{p+1}(G)$ . It follows according to (i) that

$$\gamma_p(G) \leq \gamma_2(G)^p Z,$$

which implies that  $\gamma_{p+1}(G) \leq \gamma_3(G)^p$ , and so  $\gamma_p(G) \leq \gamma_2(G)^p$ . Let us define the subgroup  $K$  as  $gp\{x, y\}$ , where  $x = a$  and  $y = [a, b]$ ;  $K$  is to be the non-regular subgroup appearing in (ii). Let us make  $K$  non-regular by arranging that  $\gamma_p(K) \leq \gamma_2(K)^p$  is false. We have  $\gamma_p(K) \leq \gamma_{p+1}(G) \leq \gamma_3(G)^p$  and so we must not allow  $\gamma_3(G)^p \leq \gamma_2(K)^p$ ; in particular  $\gamma_3(G)^p \neq 1$ . Since  $G/Z$  is regular we have  $\gamma_p(K) \leq \gamma_2(K)^p Z$ , however, and the fact that  $Z$  has order  $p$  now implies that  $Z \leq K$ . Note that  $\gamma_p(K)$  cannot be 1 as  $K$  is non-regular, so it seems reasonable to put  $Z = gp\{z\}$ , where  $z = [a, b, (p-1)a]$ .

We return to  $\gamma_3(G)^p \not\leq \gamma_2(K)^p$ . Since

$$\gamma_2(K)^p = gp\{[a, b, ia]^p : 1 \leq i < p\}$$

we shall aim to have  $[a, b, b]^p \notin \gamma_2(K)^p$ . Earlier remarks indicate that we must avoid  $z \in \gamma_2(K)^p$ . Since  $\gamma_p(G) \leq \gamma_2(G)^p$  we face the problem of specifying  $[a, b, ia, (p-2-i)b]$  as an element of  $\gamma_2(G)^p$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ .

We put

$$[a, b, (p-2)a] = [a, b, b]^p z,$$

a relation which implies  $z = [a, b, a, b]^p$  and therefore  $[a, b, (p-2)a] \in \gamma_2(G)^p$ ,

without obviously entailing  $z \in \gamma_2(K)^p$ . We note the further consequence

$$[a, b, a, b]^{p^2} = 1.$$

Next we put

$$[a, b, b, b] = [a, b, a, a, b] = 1$$

and this trivially yields  $[a, b, ia, (p-2-i)b] = 1$  for  $0 \leq i < p-2$ . Such relations as we have mentioned do not imply that  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, and so we put  $a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = 1$ .

If  $H$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$  and if  $H$  has 2 generators, then the relations give the fact that, modulo  $Z$ ,  $H$  has class  $p-1$ ; so  $G/Z$  is regular by the criterion of (1), and we have (i), if  $Z$  has order  $p$ . It therefore remains to establish that  $z \neq 1$  and that  $[a, b, b]^p \notin \gamma_2(K)^p$ , in order to prove (i) and disprove (ii).

At this point a construction, which we shall merely outline, is called for. We start with symbols  $c_{00}, c_{10}, \dots, c_{p-1, 0}, c_{01}, c_{11}$  which we suppose generate an abelian group of exponent  $p^2$ , and we impose the further relations

$$c_{20}^p = c_{30}^p = \dots = c_{p-1, 0}^p = 1, \\ c_{p-2, 0} = c_{01}^p c_{11}^p, c_{p-1, 0} = c_{11}^p.$$

There results a group of order  $p^{p+4}$ . From this we may obtain the required example  $G$  by adjoining elements  $a$  and  $b$ , using extension theory, so that

$$a^{p^2} = b^{p^2} = 1, [a, b] = c_{00}, \\ [c_{ij}, a] = c_{i+1, j}, [c_{ij}, b] = c_{i, j+1},$$

where  $c_{i+1, j}$  and  $c_{i, j+1}$  are 1 if not in the initial set of symbols. Then  $G$  will have order  $p^{p+8}$ . Once this is established it is clear that  $z = c_{p-1, 0}$  has order  $p$  and that  $[a, b, b]^p = c_{01}^p \notin \gamma_2(K)^p$  where  $K = gp\{a, c_{00}\}$  and  $\gamma_2(K)^p = gp\{c_{10}^p\}$ . Hence:

**Theorem.** *There is a metabelian  $p$ -group, for each  $p \geq 5$ , that satisfies (i) and does not satisfy (ii).*

This group does not satisfy the conclusion of Hobby's theorem in (2) about nearly regular  $p$ -groups either; a fact which may be verified directly by means of Corollary 2.3 of (1) for instance.

REFERENCES

(1) WARREN BRISLEY and I. D. MACDONALD, Two classes of metabelian  $p$ -groups, *Math. Z.* **112** (1969), 5-12.  
 (2) C. R. HOBBY, Nearly regular  $p$ -groups, *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 520-522.  
 (3) I. D. MACDONALD, The Hughes problem and others, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **10** (1969), 475-479.  
 (4) I. D. MACDONALD, Solution of the Hughes problem for finite  $p$ -groups of class  $2p-2$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **27** (1971), 39-42.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 THE UNIVERSITY OF STIRLING  
 STIRLING, SCOTLAND