

## SOME CLASSES OF $\Theta$ -COMPACTNESS

BY

S. BROVERMAN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $\Lambda$  and  $\Delta$  denote the classes of ordinal spaces with the order topology and  $\Sigma$ -product spaces of the two point discrete space respectively. Characterizations are given in terms of ultrafilters of clopen sets of those 0-dimensional Hausdorff topological spaces that can be embedded homeomorphically as a closed subspace of a topological product of either spaces from the class  $\Lambda$  or the class  $\Delta$ . Both classes consist of spaces that are  $\omega_0$ -bounded. An example is given of a 0-dimensional Hausdorff  $\omega_0$ -bounded space that cannot be homeomorphically embedded as a closed subset of a product of spaces from either  $\Lambda$  or  $\Delta$ , answering a question of R. G. Woods.

**1. Introduction.** The notion of E-compactness was introduced by R. Engelking and S. Mrowka in [4]. More recently this notion has been considered in a more general context in the book by J. Porter and R. G. Woods, [7]. Given a topological space E, another space X is said to be **E-compact** if it can be embedded as a closed subset of the product space  $E^m$  for some cardinal number m. H. Herrlich in [5] introduces a natural generalization of the notion of E-compactness. If  $\Theta$  is a class of topological spaces, then a space X is said to be  **$\Theta$ -compact** if X can be embedded as a closed subset of some topological product of spaces from the class  $\Theta$ . Given a class of spaces, it is clear that this class is equal to the class of all  $\Theta$ -compact spaces for some class  $\Theta$  if and only if the given class is closed under the formation of topological products and closed subspaces. If  $\Theta$  is a given class of spaces, let  **$K\Theta$**  denote the class of all  $\Theta$ -compact spaces.

If m is an infinite cardinal number, then a topological space X is said to be **m-bounded** if every subset of X of cardinality at most m has compact closure in X. For a given cardinal m, it has been shown by R. G. Woods in [9] that the class of m-bounded spaces is a class of  $\Theta$ -compactness (also referred to in [9] as an extension property) but is not equal to the class of E-compact spaces for any space E. The question has been raised in [9] of whether or not the class of Hausdorff, 0-dimensional (i.e., has a base of clopen sets),  $\omega_0$ -bounded spaces is equal to  $K\Gamma$ , where  $\Gamma$  denotes the class of ordinals of uncountable cofinality (in their order topologies). In this paper that question is answered in the negative. We also give characterizations by means of ultrafilters of those spaces in  $K\Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is as above, and also where  $\Gamma$  is the class of

---

Received by the editors August 3, 1984 and, in revised form, January 29, 1985.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 54D30, 54B10, 54A25.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1985.

$\Sigma$ -spaces. Some of the results in this paper formed part of the author's doctoral thesis.

We shall confine our attention to completely regular, Hausdorff spaces (all 0-dimensional, Hausdorff spaces are easily seen to be completely regular).

**2.  $\omega_0$ -bounded spaces and  $K\Gamma$ .** It is well known (see [1]) that every 0-dimensional space  $X$  has a 0-dimensional compactification  $\beta_0 X$ , maximal in the following sense: If  $Y$  is 0-dimensional and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous then there is a continuous map  $\beta_0(f): \beta_0 X \rightarrow \beta_0 Y$  such that  $\beta_0(f)|_X = f$ . The space  $\beta_0 X$  can be viewed as the 0-dimensional analogue of the Stone-Cech compactification. It is constructed as the maximal filter (i.e., Stone) space of the Boolean algebra of clopen subsets of  $X$ . Thus the points of  $\beta_0 X - X$  correspond to free ultrafilters of clopen sets in  $X$  in such a way that if  $p \in \beta_0 X - X$  and  $U_p$  is the corresponding ultrafilter and  $A$  is a clopen subset of  $X$ , then  $p \in c|_{\beta_0 X}(A)$  if and only if  $A \in U_p$ . The following slight modification of Theorem 4.1 of [6] will be needed.

**2.1. THEOREM.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a class of 0-dimensional spaces and let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional space. Then  $X \in K\Gamma$  if and only if given any point  $p \in \beta_0 X - X$ , there is a space  $Y \in \Gamma$  and a continuous map  $f_p: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $(\beta_0(f_p))(p) \in \beta_0 Y - Y$ .*

We recall some facts concerning ordinal numbers. An ordinal number  $\kappa$  with the topology induced by its total order is 0-dimensional, locally compact and normal. The cofinality of an ordinal  $\kappa$  is denoted  $cf(\kappa)$  and is defined to be the least cardinal number of a cofinal (unbounded) subset of  $\kappa$ . Given an ordinal  $\alpha$ , the  $\alpha$ -th uncountable cardinal number is denoted  $\omega_\alpha$ . An ordinal with its induced order topology will be referred to as an ordinal space. Since closed and bounded initial segments in ordinal spaces are compact, if  $m$  is a cardinal number then the ordinal space  $\kappa$  is  $m$ -bounded if and only if  $cf(\kappa) > m$ . Furthermore, just as for the ordinal space  $\omega_1$  of the first uncountable cardinal we have  $\beta(\omega_1) = \beta_0(\omega_1 + 1) = \omega_1$  (the one point compactification of  $\omega_1$ ), it is also true that  $\beta(\kappa) = \beta_0(\kappa) = \kappa + 1$  for any ordinal space  $\kappa$  for which  $cf(\kappa) > \omega_0$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  denote the class of all ordinal spaces of cardinal numbers of uncountable cofinality, i.e.,  $\Gamma = \{\omega_\alpha: cf(\omega_\alpha) > \omega_0, \alpha \text{ is an ordinal}\}$ . A slight modification of Theorem 4 of [2] shows that if  $\kappa$  is an ordinal with  $cf(\kappa) = \omega_\alpha$  then as ordinal spaces,  $\kappa$  is  $\omega_\alpha$ -compact. Recall that a regular cardinal number  $m$  is defined to satisfy  $cf(m) = m$ . Thus, since  $cf(\kappa)$  is a regular cardinal for any ordinal  $\kappa$ , it follows that  $K\Gamma = K\Gamma_1 = K\Lambda$  where  $\Gamma_1$  is the class of all ordinal spaces of uncountable cofinality and  $\Lambda$  is the class of all ordinal spaces of regular uncountable cardinal numbers. We now offer a characterization in terms of clopen ultrafilters of those spaces in  $K\Lambda$ . Open and closed interval notation will be used for a totally ordered space, and should be clear from the context. Also, a point  $p \in \beta_0 X - X$  will be identified with its corresponding ultrafilter of clopen sets.

**2.2. PROPOSITION:** *Let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional space, and let  $\omega_\alpha$  be a regular uncountable cardinal viewed as an ordinal space with its induced order topology. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\omega_\alpha$ -compact (in the sense of  $E$ -compact).  
 (ii) For any free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$ , say  $p$ , there is a family  $U = \{U_i : i < \omega_\alpha\} \subseteq p$  such that  $\bigcap U = \emptyset$  and for all  $j < \alpha$ ,  $U_j \subseteq \bigcap \{U_i : i < j\}$ .

PROOF: i)  $\rightarrow$  ii). Suppose that  $X$  is  $\omega_\alpha$ -compact. By Theorem 2.1 there is a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow \omega_\alpha$  such that  $(\beta_0(f))(p) = \omega_\alpha$  (where  $\omega_\alpha$  also denotes the point at infinity in  $\omega_\alpha + 1$ , the one-point and Stone-Cech compactification of  $\omega_\alpha$ ). Let  $U_i = f^{-}((i, \omega_\alpha))$  for all  $i < \omega_\alpha$ . Then  $U_i \in p$ , for clearly  $U_i$  is clopen, and if  $(X - U_i) \in p$  then  $p \in cl_{\beta_0 X}(X - U_i)$ , and hence  $(\beta_0(f))(p) \in cl_{\beta_0 \omega_\alpha}[f(X - U_i)] \in [0, i]$ , which is contrary to hypothesis. Let  $U = \{U_i : i < \omega_\alpha\}$ . If  $x \in X$  then  $f(x) \in \omega_\alpha$  and hence there is an  $i < \omega_\alpha$  such that  $f(x) \leq i$ . Thus,  $x \in U_i$  and hence,  $\bigcap U = \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $U$  satisfies the other condition of (ii).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $p$  be a free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$ , and let  $U$  be the subfamily of  $p$  guaranteed by the hypothesis. Let  $f: X \rightarrow \omega_\alpha$  be defined as follows:  $f(x) = \min \{i < \omega_\alpha : x \in U_i\}$ . Then  $f^{-}((i, j)) = U_i - \bigcap \{U_t : t < j\}$  if  $i < j < \omega_\alpha$ . Since  $U_i$  is clopen for all  $i < \omega_\alpha$ , this set is open and hence  $f$  is continuous. Also, since  $f^{-}((i, \omega_\alpha)) = U_i \in p$  for every  $i < \omega_\alpha$ , and  $p \in cl_{\beta_0 X}(U_i)$  we must have  $(\beta_0(f))(p) \in cl_{\beta_0 \omega_\alpha}[(i, \omega_\alpha)] = (i, \omega_\alpha]$  for every  $i < \omega_\alpha$ . Thus,  $(\beta_0(f))(p) = \omega_\alpha \in \beta_0 \omega_\alpha - \omega_\alpha = (\omega_\alpha + 1) - \omega_\alpha$ , and it follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $X$  is  $\omega_\alpha$ -compact.  $\square$

Note that the family  $U$  in the statement of Proposition 2.2 need not be a base for the ultrafilter  $p$ . For example, if  $D_{\omega_1}$  denotes the discrete space of cardinality  $\omega_1$  and if  $X = \bigcup \{cl_{D_{\omega_1}}(A) : A \subset D_{\omega_1}, |A| < \omega_1\}$ , then  $X$  is  $\omega_1$ -compact (in the sense of  $E$ -compact) but no free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$  has a well-ordered base (ordered by reverse inclusion).

The following theorem combines the result of Proposition 2.2 for all regular uncountable cardinals.

2.3. THEOREM: Let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional space. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i)  $X \in k\Lambda$ .  
 (ii) Every free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$  has a non-empty well-ordered subfamily with empty intersection and with the order type of a regular uncountable cardinal (where the ordering is by reverse inclusion).

Question 5.5 of [9] asks whether or not  $k\Lambda$  as defined above is equal to the class of all 0-dimensional  $\omega_0$ -bounded spaces. We show that the answer is no. The space that provides the answer happens to be a  $\Sigma$ -product of the two point discrete space  $\{0, 1\}$  (henceforth denoted  $\mathbf{2}$ ).  $\Sigma$ -products were introduced by H. Corson in [3] and have been studied extensively, with particular interest in Corson compact and Eberlein compact spaces.

2.4. DEFINITION: Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal number. The  $\Sigma$ -product space  $\Sigma_\kappa$  is defined as follows:  $\Sigma_\kappa = \{f \in \mathbf{2}^\kappa : |\{i < \kappa : f(i) = 1\}| < \kappa\}$ .

It is shown in [8] that  $\beta_0 \Sigma_\kappa = \beta \Sigma_\kappa = 2^\kappa$  for any uncountable cardinal  $\kappa$ . The following lemma gives conditions on cardinals  $m$  and  $\kappa$  equivalent to  $\Sigma_\kappa$  being  $m$ -bounded.

2.5. LEMMA: *Let  $m$  and  $\kappa$  be infinite cardinal numbers. Then  $\Sigma_\kappa$  is  $m$ -bounded if and only if  $m < cf(\kappa)$ .*

PROOF: Necessity. Suppose  $\Sigma_\kappa$  is  $m$ -bounded and  $cf(\kappa) \leq m$ . Let  $\{A_i : i < cf(\kappa)\}$  be a family of subsets of  $\kappa$  such that for all  $i$ ,  $|A_i| < \kappa$  and  $A_i \subset A_j$  if  $i < j$  and  $\bigcup_i A_i = \kappa$ . For each  $i < cf(\kappa)$  define the point  $p_i$  in  $\Sigma_\kappa$  as follows: for  $t < \kappa$  let  $p_i(t) = 1$  if  $t \in A_i$ ,  $p_i(t) = 0$  otherwise. Then for each  $i$ ,  $p_i \in \Sigma_\kappa$ . Let  $p \in 2^\kappa$  be such that for all  $t < \kappa$ ,  $p(t) = 1$ . Clearly  $p$  is in the closure in  $\Sigma_\kappa$  of the set  $\{p_i : i < cf(\kappa)\}$ . Since  $p$  is not in  $\Sigma_\kappa$ , it follows that  $\Sigma_\kappa$  is not  $cf(\kappa)$ -bounded, and hence it is not  $m$ -bounded. This contradiction shows that  $m < cf(\kappa)$ .

Sufficiency. Suppose  $m < cf(\kappa)$  and  $P = \{p_i : i < m\} \subseteq \Sigma_\kappa$ . For each  $i < m$ , define  $A_i$  to be  $A_i = p_i^{-1}(\{1\})$ . Then each  $A_i$  has cardinality less than  $\kappa$  and hence  $A = \bigcup_{i < m} A_i$  has cardinality less than  $\kappa$  since  $m < cf(\kappa)$ . Thus,  $cI_{\Sigma_\kappa} P \subseteq 2^A \times [\prod\{0_i : t \in \kappa - A\}] \subset \Sigma_\kappa$ . As the set in the middle is compact, it follows that  $\Sigma_\kappa$  is  $m$ -bounded.

As we shall see, the  $\Sigma$ -product spaces provide the answer to question 5.5 of [9].

2.6. DEFINITION: *If  $m$  is an infinite cardinal number, then a space  $X$  is called **strongly- $m$ -bounded** if every union of at most  $m$  compact subsets of  $X$  has compact closure in  $X$ .*

A straightforward argument shows that for a given cardinal number  $m$ , the class of strongly- $m$ -bounded spaces is a class of  $\Theta$ -compactness. It is also clear that every member of the class  $\Lambda$  defined above is strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded (in fact,  $\omega_\alpha$  is strongly- $m$ -bounded if and only if  $cf(\omega_\alpha) > m$ ). Thus, every member of  $K\Lambda$  is strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded. However,  $\Sigma_{\omega_1}$  has the following dense,  $\sigma$ -compact subset  $A : A = \bigcup_{i < \omega_0} A_i$  where  $A_i = \{p \in 2^{\omega_1} : |\{t : p(t) = 1\}| \leq i\}$ . Since  $\Sigma_{\omega_1}$  is not compact, it cannot be strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded as it has a dense  $\sigma$ -compact subset. Thus,  $\Sigma_{\omega_1}$  is  $\omega_0$ -bounded (by Lemma 2.5) but is not in the class  $K\Lambda$  as it is not strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded.

We now provide a characterization of those spaces that are  $\Sigma_\kappa$ -compact (in the sense of  $E$ -compact) that is analogous to Proposition 2.2. This characterization will show that the  $\Sigma$ -product spaces considered here “generate” a larger compactness class of  $\omega_0$ -bounded spaces than the ordinal spaces.

2.7. PROPOSITION: *Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal of uncountable cofinality, and let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional space. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X$  is  $\Sigma_\kappa$ -compact (in the sense of  $E$ -compact).
- (ii) If  $p$  is a free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$ , there is a subfamily  $U = \{U_i : i < \kappa\} \subset p$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $|\{i : x \in U_i\}| < \kappa$ .

PROOF: (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii). Suppose that  $X$  is  $\Sigma_\kappa$ -compact and  $p$  is a free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$ . By Theorem 2.1 there is a continuous map  $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma_\kappa$  such that

$(\beta_0(f))(p) \in \beta_0 \Sigma_\kappa - \Sigma_\kappa$ . Thus if  $q = (\beta_0(f))(p)$  then the set  $A = q^{-}(\{1\}) \subset \kappa$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ . For each  $i \in A$  let  $U_i = f^{-}(\pi_i^{-}(\{1\}))$  (where  $\pi_i$  denotes the projection map to the  $i$ -th factor of  $2^\kappa$ ). Since  $\{1\}$  is a clopen subset of  $\{0, 1\}$ ,  $U_i$  is a clopen subset of  $X$ . Furthermore,  $U_i \in p$ , for if  $(X - U_i) \in p$ , then  $p \in cl_{\beta_0 X}(X - U_i)$  and hence  $(\beta_0(f))(p) \in cl_{2^\kappa}(f(X - U_i)) \subset \pi_i^{-}(\{0\})$ . It would follow that  $q(i) = 0$  which is false if  $i \in A$ . Thus,  $U_i \in p$ . Let  $U = \{U_i : i \in A\}$ , and let  $x \in X$ . It is clear that  $x \in U_i$  if and only if  $(f(x))(i) = 1$ . Since  $f(x) \in \Sigma_\kappa$ , it follows that  $|\{i \in A : x \in U_i\}| = |\{i \in A : \pi_i(f(x)) = 1\}| < \kappa$ . Hence,  $U$  satisfies condition (ii).

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i). Suppose that  $X$  satisfies condition (ii). Let  $p$  be a free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$ . Let  $U$  be the subfamily of  $p$  guaranteed by hypothesis. Define a function  $f : X \rightarrow \Sigma_\kappa$  as follows: if  $i < \kappa$ , let  $\pi_i(f(x)) = 1$  if  $x \in U_i$  and  $\pi_i(f(x)) = 0$  if  $x \notin U_i$ . Clearly  $f$  is continuous. Also, for each  $i < \kappa$ ,  $\pi_i(\beta_0(f))(p) = 1$ . Thus,  $(\beta_0(f))(p) \in \beta_0 \Sigma_\kappa - \Sigma_\kappa$  and it then follows from Theorem 2.1 that  $X$  is  $\Sigma_\kappa$ -compact.

It may seem reasonable to consider a slightly more general approach to  $\Sigma$ -product spaces. If  $\omega_0 < \lambda \leq \kappa$  then we define the space  ${}_\lambda \Sigma_\kappa = \{f \in 2^\kappa : |\{i : f(i) = 1\}| < \lambda\}$ . It follows from Proposition 2.7 that  ${}_\lambda \Sigma_\kappa$  is  $\Sigma_\lambda$ -compact, (in fact is a closed subspace of  $(\Sigma_\lambda)^\kappa$ ). Thus, such a generalization does not enlarge the class of compactness generated by  $\Sigma$ -product spaces.

We combine the result of Proposition 2.7 for all cardinals of uncountable cofinality to obtain the following theorem. We will denote by  $\Delta$  the class of the spaces  $\Sigma_\kappa$  for which  $\kappa$  has uncountable cofinality.

2.8. THEOREM: *Let  $X$  be a 0-dimensional space. The following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $X \in K\Delta$ .
- (ii) *If  $p$  is a free ultrafilter of clopen subsets of  $X$ , then there is a cardinal  $\kappa$  of uncountable cofinality and a subfamily  $U \subset p$  such that  $|U| = \kappa$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $|\{i : x \in U_i\}| < \kappa$ .*

If  $\omega_\alpha \in \Lambda$ , and we let  $U$  be the family of all cofinal clopen intervals in  $\omega_\alpha$ , then  $U$  satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 2.8, and it follows that  $K\Lambda \subset K\Delta$ . This containment is strict since members of  $K\Lambda$  are strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded whereas members of  $K\Delta$  may not be (for instance no  $\Sigma_\kappa \in \Delta$  is strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded). Even though  $K\Delta$  strictly contains  $K\Lambda$ ,  $K\Delta$  still does not contain all (strongly-) 0-dimensional  $\omega_0$ -bounded spaces.

2.9. EXAMPLE: Let  $X$  be the subspace of the product of ordinal spaces  $(\omega_1 + 1) \times (\omega_2 + 1)$  formed by removing the point at infinity (i.e., the point at the "upper right hand corner",  $(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ ). The point at infinity completes the Stone-Cech compactification of  $X$ . It is also clear that  $X$  is 0-dimensional and given any clopen subset of  $X$ , either it or its complement is compact.  $X$  is strongly- $\omega_0$ -bounded, and has a base of clopen sets of size  $\omega_2$ . If the ultrafilter of clopen sets that corresponds to the point at infinity of  $X$  is denoted by  $p$ , then any member of  $p$  contains an "upper right rectangle" in  $X$

(i.e., a set of the form  $(A \times B) \cap X$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are cofinal intervals in  $\omega_1 + 1$  and  $\omega_2 + 1$  respectively).

If  $U$  is subfamily of  $p$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$ , then there will be a point on the “right edge” of  $X$  that will be in all members of  $U$  (since  $\omega_1$ -many cofinal intervals on the right edge of  $X$  have non-empty intersection in  $X$ ). Furthermore, if  $|U|$  has cofinality  $\omega_1$  (with sets of  $U$  possibly repeated) a similar argument shows that some point (in fact some cofinal interval) on the right hand edge is in  $|U|$ -many members of  $U$ . Thus,  $|U|$  cannot serve as  $\kappa$  in condition (ii) of Theorem 2.8.

If  $|U| = \omega_2$ , then some cofinal interval on the “top edge” of  $X$  must be contained in  $\omega_2$ -many members of  $U$  (the top edge of  $X$  is a copy of the ordinal space  $\omega_1$  and each member of  $U$  meets the top edge of  $X$  in a cofinal interval). Thus,  $\omega_2$  cannot serve as  $\kappa$  in condition (ii) of Theorem 2.8. A similar argument shows that since the weight of  $X$  is  $\omega_2$ , if  $|U|$  has cofinality  $\omega_2$  then  $|U|$  cannot serve as  $\kappa$  in condition (ii) of Theorem 2.8.

Finally, if the cofinality of  $|U|$  is greater than  $\omega_2$ , then since the weight of  $X$  is  $\omega_2$ , some member of  $U$  must be repeated  $|U|$ -many times (assuming that members of  $p$  are “upper-right rectangles” in  $X$ ). Thus,  $|U|$  cannot serve as  $\kappa$  in condition (ii) of Theorem 2.8. This completes the example.

#### REFERENCES

1. B. Banaschewski, *Über nulldimensionale Räume*, Math. Nachr. **13** (1955), pp. 129–140. MR 19 p. 157.
2. R. L. Blefko, *Some classes of E-compactness*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **13** (1972), pp. 492–500. MR 47 #2552.
3. H. H. Corson, *Normality in subsets of product spaces*, Amer. J. Math., **81** (1959), pp. 785–796.
4. R. Engelking and S. Mrowka, *On E-compact spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **6** (1958), pp. 429–436. MR 20 #3522.
5. H. Herrlich, *C-kompakte Räume*, Math. Z. **96** (1967), pp. 228–255. MR 34 #5051.
6. S. Mrowka, *Further results on E-compact spaces*, Acta. Math. **120** (1968), pp. 161–185. MR 37 #2165.
7. J. Porter and R. G. Woods, *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer, 1985.
8. M. Ulmer, *C-Embedded  $\Sigma$ -spaces*, Pac. J. Math. **46** (1973), pp. 591–602.
9. R. G. Woods, *Topological extension properties*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **210** (1975), pp. 365–385.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,  
TORONTO, ONTARIO,  
CANADA M5S 1A1