



Advancing Medical Education in a Mental Health Trust: Trainees' and Students' Perspectives – Focus Groups

Dr Michelle Keag¹, Mr Varoonan Sritharan² and Dr Bruce Tamilson^{1,2,3,4}

¹South West London and St George's NHS Mental Health Trust, London, United Kingdom; ²St George's University of London, London, United Kingdom; ³St George's Hospital, London, United Kingdom. and ⁴Kingston Hospital, London, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10383](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10383)

Aims: Medical education is a cornerstone of the NHS, influencing the continuous improvement of patient care. This study aims to explore the experiences of psychiatric trainees and medical students within a mental health trust, identifying opportunities to enhance medical education quality.

Methods: A qualitative focus group methodology was employed to capture detailed perspectives of participants. Four separate focus groups were conducted, categorized by the level of training: medical students (n=4), foundation doctors (n=4), core psychiatric trainees (n=8), and higher specialty trainees (n=4). Participants were recruited via internal mass email. Focus groups were guided by a standardized topic guide. Data were analysed using thematic analysis.

Results: Key findings reveal shared themes of issues in induction processes, access to information, rota issues, and facilities. Additionally, the study highlighted the importance of structured support for achieving psychotherapy competencies and the necessity for both clinical and non-clinical training. There is also a significant need for better supervision, support, and appreciation.

Conclusion: The study provides insights into the experiences of psychiatric trainees and medical students, highlighting key areas for improvement. Implementing the practical recommendations can enhance medical education quality within mental health trusts, benefiting trainees, educators, and patients.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

Improving Lone Work Practice Within a Mental Health Trust: A Quality Improvement Project

Dr Tooba Khan^{1,2}, Dr Khunsha Cheema¹, Dr Yuyu Htwe¹, Dr Hannah Liu¹ and Dr Anum Asim¹

¹Birmingham and Solihull Mental Health Foundation Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom and ²Birmingham Women's and Children's Trust, Birmingham, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10384](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10384)

Aims: Ensuring resident doctors' safety during lone working is crucial, due to unique risks and challenges faced when working alone. Birmingham and Solihull Mental Health Foundation Trust's (BSMHFT) current lone working policy recommends local procedures based on risk assessment and site needs. However, gaps in implementation have raised concerns about the consistency and effectiveness of safety measures.

Aims were to:

1. Increase awareness and adherence to lone working policy amongst Resident doctors in inpatient and community settings by 20% by September 2024.

2. Standardise lone working processes across BSMHFT by September 2024.

Methods: Our quality improvement (QI) project worked alongside the Trust's QI team, utilising improvement methodology. A baseline survey was conducted to understand issues faced whilst lone working, alongside process mapping to analyse root cause. We followed the Model For Improvement model and initiated four Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) cycles for the following interventions:

Incorporated Lone Work checklist into orientation checklist for all resident doctors rotating within Trust.

Lone work presentation at induction.

Created video on lone working, alarm use and policy guidance.

Sent clinical supervisors reminders to discuss lone work procedures with their trainees.

Data was collected via surveys alongside video views and returned checklists.

Results: 4 surveys were conducted amongst resident doctors in BSMHFT.

Before interventions:

Baseline survey (24 responses): 71% conducted lone working, 29% felt informed about policies, 43% received alarms with 66% of these trained to use them.

First pulse check survey (25 responses): 8% felt very confident in lone working, 32% had alarms, and 32% were "not confident" in following trust policies.

After interventions:

Second pulse check survey (17 responses): confidence improved with 35% feeling very confident, 65% had alarms, and all could follow trust policies.

Detailed post-intervention survey (19 responses): 68% conducted lone working, 63% felt well informed and received alarms, 72% felt confident using alarms.

Feedback on interventions:

83% found the lone working video guide helpful.

68% were unaware of or had incomplete induction checklists for local lone working policies.

Conclusion: We have been able to achieve our aim of improving adherence and awareness of lone working policy amongst resident doctors by over 20% (33.83%). Alongside, there is improvement in doctors' confidence in lone working and the number, and utilisation, of alarms issued. This cycle has highlighted ongoing challenges and a need for further PDSAs to continue to improve, for example, pathway of escalation for lone working incidents and named alarms for doctors. The second cycle commences March 2025.

Abstracts were reviewed by the RCPsych Academic Faculty rather than by the standard *BJPsych Open* peer review process and should not be quoted as peer-reviewed by *BJPsych Open* in any subsequent publication.

NELFT Adult Autism Service Quality Improvement Project: Managing Demand, Capacity and Flow of Referrals for Adult Autism Assessments

Mrs Fahima Khanom and Dr Saras Saminathan

North East London NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom

doi: [10.1192/bjo.2025.10385](https://doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2025.10385)

Aims: The team joined the Royal College of Psychiatrists Quality Improvement (QI) Demand, Capacity and Flow (DCF) Collaborative. The aim was to increase the discharge rate to 19 per month following specialist assessment by June 2024.

Methods: Participants: NELFT Adult autism Service multi-disciplinary team (MDT), NELFT QI advisor, Directorate Business