

ON A QUESTION OF GROSS CONCERNING UNIQUENESS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

HONG-XUN YI

In this paper, we prove that there exist two finite sets S_1 (with 1 element) and S_2 (with 3 elements) such that any two entire functions f and g satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ must be identical. This answers a question posed by Gross. Examples are provided to show that this result is sharp.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let h be a nonconstant entire function, and let S be a subset of distinct elements in \mathbb{C} . Define (see [10])

$$E_h(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z \mid h(z) = a, \text{ counting multiplicities}\},$$
$$\overline{E}_h(S) = \bigcup_{a \in S} \{z \mid h(z) = a, \text{ ignoring multiplicities}\}.$$

Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let S be a subset of distinct elements in \mathbb{C} . If $E_f(S) = E_g(S)$, we say f and g share the set S CM (counting multiplicity). If $\overline{E}_f(S) = \overline{E}_g(S)$, we say f and g share the set S IM (ignoring multiplicity). As a special case, let $S = \{a\}$, where $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If $E_f(\{a\}) = E_g(\{a\})$, we say f and g share the value a CM. If $\overline{E}_f(\{a\}) = \overline{E}_g(\{a\})$, we say f and g share the value a IM (see [2]).

In 1976, Gross asked the following question:

QUESTION 1. (See [1, Question 6].) Can one find two finite sets S_j ($j = 1, 2$) such that any two entire functions f and g satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ must be identical?

In 1994, the present author [6] proved the following theorem, which answered the above Question 1 in the affirmative.

Received 20th August, 1997

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/98 \$A2.00+0.00.

THEOREM A. *Let $S_1 = \{\omega \mid \omega^n - 1 = 0\}$ and $S_2 = \{a\}$, where $n \geq 5$, $a \neq 0$ and $a^{2n} \neq 1$. If f and g are entire functions such that $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $f \equiv g$.*

In [1] Gross wrote: "If the answer to Question 6 is affirmative, it would be interesting to know how large both sets would have to be."

Now it is natural to ask the following question:

QUESTION 2. What are the smallest cardinalities of S_1 and S_2 respectively, where S_1 and S_2 are two finite sets such that any two entire functions f and g satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ must be identical?

In this paper, we prove the following theorems, which answer Question 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let $S_1 = \{0\}$ and $S_2 = \{\omega \mid \omega^2(\omega + a) - b = 0\}$, where a and b are two nonzero constants such that the algebraic equation $\omega^2(\omega + a) - b = 0$ has no multiple roots. If f and g are two entire functions satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $f \equiv g$.*

REMARK. Let $S_1 = \{0\}$ and $S_2 = \{2, -3, -6\}$. It is easy to see that $S_2 = \{\omega \mid \omega^2(\omega + 7) - 36 = 0\}$. From Theorem 1 we immediately obtain that if f and g are entire functions satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$, then $f \equiv g$.

THEOREM 2. *If S_1 and S_2 are two finite sets such that any two entire functions f and g satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ must be identical, then $\max\{\#(S_1), \#(S_2)\} \geq 3$, where $\#(S)$ denotes the cardinality of the set S .*

REMARK. From Theorem 2 we immediately obtain that the smallest cardinalities of S_1 and S_2 are 1 and 3 respectively, where S_1 and S_2 are two finite sets such that any two entire functions f and g satisfying $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ must be identical. This shows that Theorem 1 is sharp.

2. SOME LEMMAS

In this paper, we use the usual notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic functions as explained in [3].

LEMMA 1. (See [9, Lemma 5].) *Let f and g be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, and let c_1, c_2 and c_3 be three nonzero constants. If*

$$c_1 f + c_2 g = c_3,$$

then

$$T(r, f) < \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) + \bar{N}(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

Let h be a nonconstant meromorphic function. We denote by $N_2(r, h)$ the counting function of poles of h , where a simple pole is counted once and a multiple pole is counted two times (see [7]).

LEMMA 2. (See [7, Theorem 1].) *Let F and G be two nonconstant meromorphic functions such that F and G share the value 1 CM. If*

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in I}} \frac{N_2(r, 1/F) + N_2(r, F) + N_2(r, 1/G) + N_2(r, G)}{T(r)} < 1,$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$, I denotes any set of infinite linear measure of $0 < r < \infty$, then $F \equiv G$ or $F \cdot G \equiv 1$.

LEMMA 3. *Let*

$$(2.2) \quad F = \frac{f^2(f + a)}{b}, \quad G = \frac{g^2(g + a)}{b},$$

where f and g are two nonconstant entire functions, a and b are two nonzero constants. Then $F \cdot G \neq 1$.

PROOF: If $F \cdot G \equiv 1$, from (2.2) we have

$$f^2(f + a)g^2(g + a) \equiv b^2.$$

From this we know that 0 and $-a$ are Picard exceptional values of f , which is impossible. Thus $F \cdot G \neq 1$. □

LEMMA 4. *Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions which share the value 0 IM. If $F \equiv G$, where F and G are given by (2.2), then $f \equiv g$.*

PROOF: Since $F \equiv G$, we have from (2.2)

$$(2.3) \quad f^2(f + a) = g^2(g + a).$$

Noting f and g share the value 0 IM, from (2.3) we know that f and g share 0 CM. From (2.3) we have

$$(2.4) \quad f^3 - g^3 = -a(f^2 - g^2).$$

If $f^3 \neq g^3$, from (2.4) we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad g = -\frac{a(h + 1)}{(h - u)(h - u^2)},$$

where $h = f/g$ and $u = \exp((2\pi i)/3)$. From (2.5) we know that h is a nonconstant meromorphic function. Noting f and g share the value 0 CM, from $h = f/g$ we know that 0 and ∞ are Picard exceptional values of h . Since g is a nonconstant entire function, from (2.5) we know that u and u^2 are Picard exceptional values of h , which is impossible. Thus $f^3 \equiv g^3$ and $f^2 \equiv g^2$. From this, we get $f \equiv g$. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let F and G be given by (2.2). Thus,

$$(3.1) \quad T(r, F) = 3T(r, f) + S(r, f), \quad T(r, G) = 3T(r, g) + S(r, g).$$

Set

$$(3.2) \quad H = \frac{F'}{F-1} - \frac{G'}{G-1}.$$

We discuss the following two cases.

CASE 1. Suppose that $H \equiv 0$. By integration we have from (3.2)

$$(3.3) \quad F - 1 \equiv A(G - 1),$$

where A is a nonzero constant. We discuss the following two subcases.

CASE 1.1. Assume that $A = 1$. From (3.3) we have $F \equiv G$. By Lemma 4 we get $f \equiv g$.

CASE 1.2. Assume that $A \neq 1$. Suppose 0 is not a Picard exceptional value of f and g . Since f and g share the value 0 CM, then there exists z_0 such that $f(z_0) = g(z_0) = 0$. From (2.2) we obtain $F(z_0) = G(z_0) = 0$. From this and (3.3) we get $A = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, 0 is a Picard exceptional value of f and g . From (3.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad F - AG = 1 - A.$$

From this we have

$$(3.5) \quad T(r, G) = T(r, F) + O(1).$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain from (2.2), (3.1), (3.4) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} 3T(r, f) &\leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f+a}\right) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g+a}\right) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq 2T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

CASE 2. Suppose that $H \neq 0$. Then $F \neq G$. By $E_f(S_2) = E_g(S_2)$, we know that F and G share the value 1 CM. From (3.2) we have

$$(3.6) \quad T(r, H) = m(r, H) + N(r, H) = S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Since f and g share the value 0 CM, f and g have the same zeros. Let z_0 be a zero of f and g . From (2.2) and (3.2) we know that z_0 is a zero of H . From this and (3.6) we get

$$\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{H}\right) \leq S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

From this, (2.2) and (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f+a}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g+a}\right) + S(r, F) + S(r, G) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3}T(r, F) + \frac{1}{3}T(r, G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\limsup_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in I}} \frac{N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2(r, F) + N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) + N_2(r, G)}{T(r)} \leq \frac{2}{3} < 1,$$

where $T(r) = \max\{T(r, F), T(r, G)\}$, I denotes any set of infinite linear measure of $0 < r < \infty$. By Lemma 2, we obtain $F \cdot G \equiv 1$. Again by Lemma 3, we get a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

4.1. SOME EXAMPLES.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $S_1 = \{a\}$ and $S_2 = \{b\}$, where a and b are any two finite distinct complex numbers. Let

$$f(z) = a + (b - a)e^{h(z)}, \quad g(z) = a + (b - a)e^{-h(z)},$$

where $h(z)$ is a nonconstant entire function. It is easy to show that $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), but $f \not\equiv g$.

EXAMPLE 2. (See [8].) Let $S_1 = \{a\}$ and $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$, where a, b_1 and b_2 are any three finite distinct complex numbers. Let

$$f(z) = a + (b_1 - a)e^{h(z)}, \quad g(z) = a + (b_2 - a)e^{-h(z)},$$

where $h(z)$ is a nonconstant entire function. It is easy to show that $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), but $f \not\equiv g$.

EXAMPLE 3. (See [4].) Let $S_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$, where a_1, a_2, b_1 and b_2 are any four finite distinct complex numbers satisfying $a_1 + a_2 \neq b_1 + b_2$. Let

$$f(z) = d + (d - a_1)e^{h(z)}, \quad g(z) = d + (d - a_2)e^{-h(z)},$$

where $h(z)$ is a nonconstant entire function, $d = (a_1a_2 - b_1b_2)/(a_1 + a_2 - b_1 - b_2)$. It is easy to show that $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), but $f \not\equiv g$.

EXAMPLE 4. (See [5].) Let $S_1 = \{a_1, a_2\}$ and $S_2 = \{b_1, b_2\}$, where a_1, a_2, b_1 and b_2 are any four finite distinct complex numbers satisfying $a_1 + a_2 = b_1 + b_2$. Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function, $g(z) = a_1 + a_2 - f(z)$. It is easy to show that $E_f(S_j) = E_g(S_j)$ ($j = 1, 2$), but $f \not\equiv g$.

4.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

Suppose that $\max\{\#(S_1), \#(S_2)\} < 3$. We proceed to get a contradiction. If $\#(S_1) = \#(S_2) = 1$, from Example 1 we have a contradiction. If $\#(S_1) = 1$ and $\#(S_2) = 2$ or $\#(S_1) = 2$ and $\#(S_2) = 1$, from Example 2 we have again a contradiction. If $\#(S_1) = \#(S_2) = 2$, from Example 3 and Example 4 we can get a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □

5. CONCLUDING REMARK

In fact, in Section 3 of this paper we proved the following theorem, which is an improvement of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. *Let $S_1 = \{0\}$ and $S_2 = \{\omega \mid \omega^2(\omega + a) - b = 0\}$, where a and b are two nonzero constants such that the algebraic equation $\omega^2(\omega + a) - b = 0$ has no multiple roots. If f and g are two entire functions satisfying $\overline{E}_f(S_1) = \overline{E}_g(S_1)$ and $E_f(S_2) = E_g(S_2)$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the following result, which is an extension of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. *Let $S_1 = \{0\}$ and $S_2 = \{\omega \mid \omega^n(\omega + a) - b = 0\}$, where $n(\geq 2)$ is an integer, a and b are two nonzero constants such that the algebraic equation $\omega^n(\omega + a) - b = 0$ has no multiple roots. If f and g are two entire functions satisfying $\overline{E}_f(S_1) = \overline{E}_g(S_1)$ and $E_f(S_2) = E_g(S_2)$, then $f \equiv g$.*

Let $n(\geq 2)$ be an integer, and let a and b be two nonzero constants. It is easy to show that if $b \neq (n^n a^{n+1})/(n + 1)^{n+1}$, the algebraic equation $\omega^n(\omega + a) - b = 0$ has no multiple roots. Specially, if $b \neq 4a^3/27$, the algebraic equation $\omega^2(\omega + a) - b = 0$ has no multiple roots.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Gross, 'Factorization of meromorphic functions and some open problems', in *Complex Analysis (Proc. Conf. Univ. Kentucky, Lexington, KY, 1976)*, Lecture Notes in Math. **599** (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1977), pp. 51–69.
- [2] G.G. Gundersen, 'Meromorphic functions that share three or four values', *J. London Math. Soc.* **20** (1979), 457–466.
- [3] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964).
- [4] P. Li, *Uniqueness and value sharing of meromorphic functions* (Thesis, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, 1996).
- [5] Hong-Xun Yi, 'On a result of Gross and Yang', *Tôhoku Math. J.* **42** (1990), 419–428.
- [6] Hong-Xun Yi, 'Uniqueness of meromorphic functions and question of Gross', *Science in China (Series A)* **37** (1994), 802–813.
- [7] Hong-Xun Yi, 'Meromorphic functions that share one or two values', *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **28** (1995), 1–11.
- [8] Hong-Xun Yi, 'The unique range sets for entire or meromorphic functions', *Complex Variables Theory Appl.* **28** (1995), 13–21.
- [9] Hong-Xun Yi and C.C. Yang, 'A uniqueness theorem for meromorphic functions whose n -th derivatives share the same 1-points', *J. D'Analyse Math.* **62** (1994), 261–270.
- [10] Hong-Xun Yi and C.C. Yang, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions* (Science Press, Beijing, 1995).

Department of Mathematics
Shandong University
Jinan, Shandong 250100
People's Republic of China
e-mail: hxyi@sdu.edu.cn