

## A LOWER BOUND FOR THE PERMANENT ON A SPECIAL CLASS OF MATRICES

BY  
D. J. HARTFIEL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $U_n(r)$  denote the class of all  $n \times n$  (0, 1)-matrices with precisely  $r$ -ones,  $r \geq 3$ , in each row and column. Then

$$\min_{A \in U_n(r)} (\text{per } A) \geq (r-1)! + n(r-2)! + \cdots + n(2!) + n + 1.$$

A brief discussion of the main tool of our investigation, the  $r$ -nearly decomposable matrix [3], is now given. We define this matrix as follows:

An  $n \times n$  (0, 1)-matrix  $A$  is  $r$ -nearly decomposable if  $A$  is fully indecomposable and possess  $t$ ,  $t > 0$ , ones in different rows and columns so that when any one of these 1's is replaced by 0 yielding  $A'$ ,  $A'$  is partly decomposable. Further if all of these 1's are replaced by 0's yielding  $A''$ ,  $A''$  has precisely  $r$ -ones in each row and column. The following lemma concerning this matrix is of particular importance.

**LEMMA 1.** Suppose  $U_m^*(r)$  denotes the class of all fully indecomposable matrices with precisely  $r$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , ones in each row and column. Let  $M_m(r) = \min_{A \in U_m^*(r)} (\text{per } A)$ . Then if  $A$  is  $n \times n$  and  $r$ -nearly decomposable with  $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} - nr = t$  it follows that  $\text{per } A \geq M_m(r) + t(r-1)!$ .

**Proof.** The proof is essentially that of Lemma 2 in [3], making use of a stronger form of Theorem B implied by Hall's inequality, i.e.

**THEOREM B'.** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  fully indecomposable (0, 1)-matrix with at least  $k$  ones in each row and column, then each 1 is on at least  $(k-1)!$  positive diagonals.

This then provides the impetus for the result of the paper.

**THEOREM.** If  $A \in U_n(r)$ ,  $r \geq 3$ , then

$$\text{per } A \geq (r-1)! + n(r-2)! + \cdots + n(2!) + n + 1.$$

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $r$ . For  $r=3$  the result is that of Hartfiel [4], hence suppose the theorem holds for all  $r$ ,  $3 \leq r < h$ . Now let  $A$  have  $h$  ones in each row and column. As  $\min_{A \in U_n(h)} (\text{per } A)$  is achieved on a fully indecomposable matrix [5] we may assume  $A$  is fully indecomposable. Let  $\Pi$  be any positive diagonal in  $A$ . Replace as many ones of  $\Pi$  as possible with 0's, say  $n-t$  in number,

---

Received by the editors April 21, 1972 and, in revised form, February 26, 1973.

*Subject Classification.* Primary 1530; secondary 1548.

*Key Phrases.* (0, 1)-matrices, permanent, lower bounds.

yielding a matrix  $A'$  so that:

Case I. If  $t=0$ ,  $A'$  is fully indecomposable.

Case II. If  $t>0$ ,  $A'$  is  $(h-1)$ -nearly decomposable.

Note that  $t < n$  is a consequence of the special form for  $h$ -nearly decomposable matrices as  $h \geq 3$  [3]. In either case by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } A' &\geq M_n(h-1) + t(h-2)! \\ &\geq [(h-2)! + n(h-3)! + \cdots + n(2)! + n + 1] + t(h-2)!. \end{aligned}$$

Replace  $n-t-1$  of the removed 1's on  $\Pi$  and note that by Hall's inequality each of these 1's is on  $(h-2)!$  positive diagonals. By replacing the remaining 1 on  $\Pi$  yields  $(h-1)!$  positive diagonals. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } A &\geq \text{per } A' + (n-t-1)(h-2)! + (h-1)! \\ &= (h-1)! + n(h-2)! + \cdots + n(2)! + n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

#### REFERENCES

1. P. M. Gibson, *A lower bound for the permanent of a (0, 1)-matrix*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **33**, (1972), 245-246.
2. M. Hall, Jr., *Distinct representations of subsets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 922-926.
3. D. J. Hartfiel and J. W. Crosby, *A lower bound for the permanent on  $U_n(r, r)$* , Journal of Combinatorial Theory **12** (1972), 283-288.
4. D. J. Hartfiel, *A simplified form for nearly reducible and nearly decomposable matrices*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 388-393.
5. R. Sinkhorn, *Concerning a conjecture of Marshall Hall*, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 197-201.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY,  
COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843