

## SIGN CHANGES OF FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF ENTIRE MODULAR INTEGRALS

YOUNGJU CHOIE

*Department of Mathematics, Pohang Institute of Science and Technology and  
Pohang Mathematical Institute (PMI), Pohang 790-784, Korea  
e-mail: yjc@postech.ac.kr*

and WINFRIED KOHNEN

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität, INF 288, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany  
e-mail: winfried@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de*

(Received 31 March 2010; revised 5 September 2011; accepted 21 December 2011)

**Abstract.** Let  $f$  be a non-zero cusp form with real Fourier coefficients  $a(n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) of positive real weight  $k$  and a unitary multiplier system  $\nu$  on a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  that is finitely generated and of Fuchsian type of the first kind. Then, it is known that the sequence  $(a(n))_{n \geq 1}$  has infinitely many sign changes. In this short note, we generalise the above result to the case of entire modular integrals of non-positive integral weight  $k$  on the group  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  ( $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) generated by the Hecke congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and the Fricke involution  $W_N := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{N} \\ \sqrt{N} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  provided that the associated period functions are polynomials.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F03, 11F99

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f$  be a non-zero cusp form with real Fourier coefficients  $a(n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) of positive real weight  $k$  and a unitary multiplier system  $\nu$  on a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  that is finitely generated and of Fuchsian type of the first kind. Then it was shown in [3] that the sequence  $(a(n))_{n \geq 1}$  has infinitely many sign changes. The proof uses analytical properties of the Hecke  $L$ -function attached to  $f$ .

In this short note we shall generalise the above result to the case of entire modular integrals of non-positive integral weight  $k$  on the group  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  ( $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ) generated by the Hecke congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and the Fricke involution  $W_N := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1/\sqrt{N} \\ \sqrt{N} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  [2], provided that the associated period functions are polynomials. The proof again uses the analytical properties of the Hecke  $L$ -function attached to  $F$  [2]. In addition, we will make use of an elementary trick first applied in [4] and exploit in a stronger way non-negativity of Fourier coefficients.

**2. Statement of result and proof.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex upper half-plane. If  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and if  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function, we define the Petersson slash operator as usual by

$$(f|_k \gamma)(z) := (cz + d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d}\right) \quad (z \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Note that

$$(f|_k W_N)(z) = N^{-k/2} z^{-k} f\left(-\frac{1}{Nz}\right).$$

We shall prove.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $k$  be a non-positive integer. Let  $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a non-zero holomorphic function periodic with period 1, with Fourier expansion*

$$F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n) e^{2\pi i n z}$$

such that

$$a(n) \ll n^c$$

for some  $c > 0$ . We assume further that

$$(F|_k W_N)(z) = CF(z) + q_{W_N}(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{H}),$$

where  $C \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $|C| = 1$  and  $q_{W_N}(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq -k$ . Then if the  $a(n)$  is real for all  $n \geq 1$ , the sequence  $(a(n))_{n \geq 1}$  has infinitely many sign changes.

*Proof.* Put

$$L(F, s) := \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) n^{-s} \quad (\sigma := \Re(s) > c + 1).$$

Then according to Lemma 2 in [2] the completed function

$$L^*(F, s) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s) L(F, s)$$

has meromorphic continuation to  $\mathbf{C}$  with at most finitely many poles at certain integer points  $s$ . Observe that the poles arising from the constant terms of  $F$  and  $F|_k W_N$  occur at  $s = 0$  and  $s = k$ , respectively, and that  $k \leq 0$ . Since by hypothesis  $q_{W_N}(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq -k$ , inspecting the proof of Lemma 2 in [2] in detail we find that  $L^*(F, s)$  has no poles in  $\sigma > 0$ . Since  $\Gamma(s)$  has its poles exactly at the points  $s = 0, -1, -2, \dots$ , we conclude therefore that  $L(F, s)$  is holomorphic everywhere and that there exists a non-negative integer  $M$  such that  $L(F, \nu) = 0$  for  $\nu = -M, -M - 1, -M - 2, \dots$ .

Now assume that  $a(n) \geq 0$  for all but a finite number of  $n$ . Then according to Landau’s classical theorem on Dirichlet series with non-negative coefficients,  $L(F, s)$  either must have a singularity at the real point of its abscissa of convergence or must converge everywhere. From what we saw above, we thus conclude that  $L(F, s)$  converges for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  and that

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} a(n) n^\nu = 0 \quad (\nu = M, M + 1, M + 2, \dots). \tag{1}$$

We now argue in a similar way as in [4]. Recall that by hypothesis  $a(m) \geq 0$  for all but a finite number of  $m$ . Let

$$a(m_1), a(m_2), \dots, a(m_t) \quad (m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_t; t \geq 0)$$

be those coefficients that are strictly negative. Then (1) can be written as

$$\sum_{m \geq 1, m \neq m_1, \dots, m_t} a(m) \left(\frac{m}{m_t}\right)^v = -a(m_1) \left(\frac{m_1}{m_t}\right)^v - \dots - a(m_t).$$

Here the right-hand side has the limit  $-a(m_t) \geq 0$  for  $v \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, if on the left-hand side there exists  $m > m_t$  with  $a(m) > 0$ , then the left-hand side will be arbitrarily large for arbitrarily large  $v$ , a contradiction.

Hence, we find that  $a(m) = 0$  for  $m > m_t$ . Then (1) means that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{m_t} a(m)m^v = 0 \quad (\forall v \geq M). \quad (2)$$

Suppose that not all of the  $a(m)$  ( $1 \leq m \leq m_t$ ) are zero and denote by  $a(m_*)$  ( $m_* \geq 1$ ) the largest non-zero coefficient. Then from (2) we see that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{m_*-1} a(m) \left(\frac{m}{m_*}\right)^v + a(m_*) = 0,$$

hence for  $v \rightarrow \infty$  we conclude that  $a(m_*) = 0$ , a contradiction.

This concludes the proof of the Theorem.  $\square$

REMARK. We note that our Theorem applies in the case where  $F$  is an entire modular integral on  $\Gamma_0^*(N)$  of weight  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $k \leq 0$  and with unitary multiplier system  $\nu$ , provided that the period functions are polynomials [2]. Recall that by definition such an  $F$  is a holomorphic complex-valued function on  $\mathcal{H}$ , such that

- (1)  $F|_k \gamma = \epsilon_\gamma F + q_\gamma$  ( $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$ ),  
where  $q_\gamma$  is a polynomial and  $\epsilon_\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $|\epsilon_\gamma| = 1$ ,
- (2)  $F|_k W_N = CF + q_{W_N}$ ,  
where  $C \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $|C| = 1$  and  $q_{W_N}$  is a polynomial,
- (3)  $F$  is holomorphic at the cusps.

For any smooth function  $g$ , the following holds (this is called ‘Bol’s identity’ [1]): For a non-positive integer  $k$  and any  $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbf{R})$ ,

$$\frac{d^{-k+1}(g|_k \gamma)}{dz^{-k+1}} = \frac{d^{-k+1}g}{dz^{-k+1}} \Big|_{-k+2} \gamma.$$

If we take  $g = F$  as an entire modular integral of weight  $k$  with  $F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} a(n)q^n$ , then

$\frac{d^{-k+1}F}{dz^{-k+1}}$  becomes a modular form of weight  $-k + 2$  with Fourier expansion of the form

$$(2\pi i)^{-k+1} \sum_{n>0} n^{-k+1} a(n) e^{2\pi inz}.$$

The theorem implies that the sequence  $(a(n))_{n \geq 1}$  has infinitely many sign changes. In fact, since  $F$  is an entire modular integral,  $\frac{d^{-k+1}F}{dz^{-k+1}}$  is a cusp form. Thus, Theorem 1 of [3] follows from the elementary argument given in the proof of the theorem here together with Landau’s classical result given in [5]. Conversely, since  $F$  can be regarded as an

Eichler integral of modular form, the theorem can be derived using Theorem 1 of [3] as well.

Finally, we remark that Theorem 2 of [3] can be combined with Bol's identity to derive a generalisation of our theorem in the above context to the case in which the condition that the coefficients are real is relaxed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The first author was partially supported by Priority Research Center program through NRF 2011-0030749, NRF 2011-0008928 and NRF 2011-0027708.

## REFERENCES

1. G. Bol, Invarianten linearer differential gleichungen, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg.* **16**(3–4) (1949), 1–28.
2. M. Knopp, Modular integrals on  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and Dirichlet series with functional equations, in *Number theory* (D. V. Chudnovsky et al. Editors) (Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1985), 211–224. Lect. No. 1135.
3. M. Knopp, W. Kohnen and W. Pribitkin, On the signs of Fourier coefficients of cusp forms, *Ramanujan J.* **7** (2003), 269–277.
4. W. Kohnen, On the growth of the Petersson norms of Fourier-Jacobi coefficients of Siegel cusp forms, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **43**(4) (2011), 717–720.
5. E. Landau, Uber einen Satz von Tschebyschef, *Math. Ann.* **61** (1906), 527–550.