

EPICOMPLETE ARCHIMEDEAN LATTICE-ORDERED GROUPS

DAO-RONG TON

In this paper we give the structure of an \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group and the epicomplete objects in the category \mathcal{A}^ℓ .

1. INTRODUCTION

We determined the structure of a complete ℓ -group in [8] and the structure of an Archimedean ℓ -group in [9]. In this paper we will determine the structure of an \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group, in particular, of a σ -complete ℓ -group.

Let \mathcal{L} be the category of all ℓ -homomorphisms between abelian ℓ -groups. In [1], Anderson and Conrad determined the epicomplete objects in \mathcal{L} . An object G in \mathcal{L} is epicomplete if and only if it is divisible. Let \mathcal{A}^ℓ be the category of all ℓ -homomorphisms between Archimedean ℓ -groups. In [2, 3], Ball and Hager determined the epicomplete objects in \mathcal{A}^ℓ . An object G^ℓ in \mathcal{A}^ℓ is epicomplete if and only if G is divisible and σ -complete and σ -laterally complete (meaning each countable subset of positive elements of G which is either bounded or pairwise disjoint has a supremum). In this paper we will give the structure of the epicomplete objects in \mathcal{A}^ℓ .

Our general terminology and notation are standard, as in [5]; for the special notations to be discussed here the reader may refer to [8, 9].

2. THE STRUCTURE OF AN \aleph_i -COMPLETE ℓ -GROUP

Let G be an ℓ -group. We denote the least cardinal α such that $|A| \leq \alpha$ for each bounded disjoint subset A of G by vG , where $|A|$ denotes the cardinal of A . G is said to be v -homogeneous if $vH = vG$ for any convex ℓ -subgroup $H \neq \{0\}$ of the ℓ -group G . Let G be a v -homogeneous ℓ -group and \aleph_i a cardinal number. If $vG = \aleph_i$, we call G an ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. For example, an ℓ -group of countable type is an ℓ -group of \aleph_0 . (For the definition of an ℓ -group of countable type the reader may consult [10].) The free abelian ℓ -group A_η of rank η ($\eta > 1$) is an ℓ -group of \aleph_0 type (see Proposition 8.1 in [9]). A Riesz space (vector lattice) V is said to be of \aleph_i type if it is an ℓ -group of \aleph_i type.

Received 13 May, 1988

The author is indebted to W.C. Holland for his many suggestions and his patience through long hours of discussion of this material.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/89 \$A2.00+0.00.

LEMMA 2.1. Any Archimedean ℓ -group G of \aleph_i type with a weak unit can be embedded into a complete Riesz space of \aleph_i type.

PROOF: Let G^\wedge be the Dedekind-MacNeille completion of G . It follows from Proposition 2.12 in [8] that G^\wedge is a complete ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. From 1.16 in [6] we see that G^\wedge can be embedded into a complete Riesz space $U(G^\wedge)$; that is

$$(1) \quad G \rightarrow G^\wedge \rightarrow Z(G^\wedge) \rightarrow U(G^\wedge),$$

where $Z(G^\wedge) = \{ \frac{x}{n} \mid x \in G^\wedge \& n \in \mathbb{N} \}$ and $U(G^\wedge)$ is the Dedekind-MacNeille completion of $Z(G^\wedge)$. Now we prove that $Z(G^\wedge)$ and $U(G^\wedge)$ are both of \aleph_i type. Without loss of generality, from Proposition 2.2 in [8] we may assume

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G^\wedge \subseteq \ast \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta$$

where each T_δ ($\delta \in \Delta$) is a real group, or an integer group, or a complete v -homogeneous ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. Put $\bar{T}_\delta = \{ g \in G^\wedge \mid \delta' \neq \delta \implies g_{\delta'} = 0 \}$ for $\delta \in \Delta$. Then \bar{T}_δ is a convex ℓ -subgroup of G^\wedge for $\delta \in \Delta$. So $v\bar{T}_\delta = vG^\wedge = \aleph_i$. Hence each T_δ ($\delta \in \Delta$) is a continuous complete ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. From (1) we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G^\wedge \subseteq Z(G^\wedge) \subseteq \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} Z(T_\delta),$$

where $Z(T_\delta) = \{ \frac{x_\delta}{n} \mid x_\delta \in T_\delta \& n \in \mathbb{N} \}$. Let $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a disjoint subset with an upper bound in $Z(G^\wedge)$. Then there exists a division of Δ from formula (3)

$$\Delta = \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \Delta_\alpha \right) \cup \Delta',$$

where $\Delta_\alpha = \{ \delta \in \Delta \mid x_\delta^\alpha \neq 0 \}$, and $\Delta' = \{ \delta \in \Delta \mid (\forall \alpha \in A)(x_\delta^\alpha = 0) \}$. It is clear that, if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ then $\Delta_\alpha \cap \Delta_{\alpha'} = \emptyset$. Let x be a weak unit in G . Then x is also a weak unit in G^\wedge . In fact, for any $y \in G^\wedge$, $y = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} \{ y_\alpha \in G \mid \alpha \in A \}$. Then

$$0 = x \wedge y = x \wedge \left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} y_\alpha \right) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} (x \wedge y_\alpha) \text{ implies } x \wedge y_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in A. \text{ So } y = 0.$$

Let \bar{x}_α be the element whose δ component is x_δ and all other components are zero. Put

$$\bar{x}_\delta^\alpha = \begin{cases} x_\delta & \delta \in \Delta_\alpha \\ 0 & \delta \in \Delta_{\alpha'}, \end{cases}$$

then $\bar{x}^\alpha = (\dots \bar{x}_\delta^\alpha \dots) = \bigvee_{\delta \in \Delta_\alpha} (G^\wedge)_{\bar{x}_\delta^\alpha}$, hence $\{ \bar{x}^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A \}$ is a disjoint subset with an upper bound x in G^\wedge . So $|A| \leq \aleph_i$ and $vZ(G^\wedge) \leq \aleph_i$. On the other hand, since

$G^\wedge \subseteq Z(G^\wedge)$, we have $vZ(G^\wedge) \geq vG^\wedge = \aleph_i$. Therefore, $Z(G^\wedge)$ is of \aleph_i type. From Proposition 2.12 in [8] we see that $v\bigcup(G^\wedge) = \aleph_i$. ■

A lattice L is called \aleph_i -complete if each of its non-empty subsets with cardinality number $\alpha \leq \aleph_i$ has a supremum and an infimum. A Boolean algebra X is said to be \aleph_i -complete if X is an \aleph_i -complete lattice. A Boolean algebra \mathcal{P} is called an algebra of \aleph_i type if the cardinal number of each disjoint subset is at most \aleph_i .

LEMMA 2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be an \aleph_i -complete Boolean algebra of \aleph_i type. Then in an arbitrary infinite subset E of \mathcal{P} there exists a subset $E' \subseteq E$ with $|E'| \leq \aleph_i$ such that*

$$\vee E' = \vee E, \quad \wedge E' = \wedge E.$$

PROOF: The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem VI.1.1 in [10]. Let E be an arbitrary infinite subset of \mathcal{P} . We denote by \mathcal{N} the set of all subsets $N \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ with $|N| \leq \aleph_i$ possessing the following properties:

- a) N is a disjoint set;
- b) if $e \notin N$, then there exists an $e_1 \in E$ such that $e \leq e_1$.

The set \mathcal{N} is non-empty. We assume that \mathcal{N} is ordered by inclusion. We will show that \mathcal{N} satisfies the condition of Zorn's Lemma. In fact, if $\mathcal{N}' \subset \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N}' = \{N_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ and \mathcal{N}' is a chain, then we put $N' = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} N_\alpha$. Since for arbitrary $e_1, e_2 \in N'$ an index α can be found for which $e_1, e_2 \in N_\alpha$, then N' consists of pairwise disjoint elements and hence $|N'|$ is at most \aleph_i and $N' \in \mathcal{N}$. By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal set N_0 in \mathcal{N} . Let $e_0 = \vee N_0$. We can show that $e_0 = \vee E$. Suppose that there exists $e_1 \in E$ such that e_1 is not $\leq e_0$. Then $e_1 \wedge e'_0 = e > 0$ (see Theorem II.5.2 a), b) in [10]). Now, adjoining the element e to the set N_0 , we obtain a set which also occurs in \mathcal{N} which contradicts the maximality of N_0 . On the other hand, since N_0 satisfies the condition b), then there exists a subset E' in E with $|E'| \leq |N_0| \leq \aleph_i$ such that $e_0 \leq \vee E'$. Consequently, $e_0 \vee E' = \vee E$. ■

A Riesz space X is called a space of \aleph_i type if the cardinal number of each bounded disjoint subset is at most \aleph_i .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let X be a Dedekind complete Riesz space of \aleph_i type. Then in every infinite subset $E \subseteq X$ which is bounded above (below), there exists a subset $E' \subseteq E$ with $|E'| \leq \aleph_i$ such that $\vee E' = \vee E$ ($\wedge E' = \wedge E$).*

PROOF: This is similar to the proof of Theorem VI.2.2 in [10], using Lemma 2.2 to replace Theorem VI.1.1. ■

LEMMA 2.4. *In any Archimedean ℓ -group G of \aleph_i type with a weak unit, if $z = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} (G)_{z_\alpha}$, then there exists a subset $\{z_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A\}$ with $|A'| \leq \aleph_i$ of $\{z_\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$*

such that $z = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'} {}^{(G)}z_{\alpha'}$.

PROOF: Let G be an Archimedean ℓ -group of \aleph_i type with a weak unit. From Lemma 2.1 we see G can be embedded into a complete Riesz space $U(G)$ of \aleph_i type according to the process of (1). Since $U(G)$ is a regular extension of $Z(G)$, we can assume

$$(4) \quad z' = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} {}^{(Z(G))}z_{\alpha} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} {}^{(U(G))}z_{\alpha}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 there exists a subset $\{z_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A'\}$ with $|A'| \leq \aleph_i$ of $\{z_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}$ such that

$$(5) \quad z' = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'} {}^{(Z(G))}z_{\alpha'} = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'} {}^{(U(G))}z_{\alpha'}.$$

We denote the set of all upper bounds of the subset M of G in $Z(G)$ by $M_{Z(G)}^*$ and the set of all upper bounds of M in G by M_G^* . From (4) and (5) we have

$$\{z_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}_{Z(G)}^* = \{z_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A'\}_{Z(G)}^*,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \{z_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}_{Z(G)}^* \cap G &= \{z_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A'\}_{Z(G)}^* \cap G, \\ \{z_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\}_G^* &= \{z_{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A'\}_G^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'} {}^{(G)}z_{\alpha'} = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} {}^{(G)}z_{\alpha} = z.$$

■

An ℓ -group G is said to be \aleph_i -complete if each upper bounded subset E with $|E| \leq \aleph_i$ in G has a least upper bound. For example, a σ -complete ℓ -group G is \aleph_0 -complete. Since a σ -complete ℓ -group is Archimedean, if $\aleph_i \geq \aleph_0$, an \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group is Archimedean.

LEMMA 2.5. Any \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group of \aleph_i type with a weak unit is complete.

PROOF: Let G be an \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group of \aleph_i type with a weak unit ε . Then G is an Archimedean ℓ -group of \aleph_i type with a weak unit ε and G has a Dedekind completion G^\wedge . Let $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be an arbitrary upper bounded subset in G . Assume

$$x = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A} {}^{(G^\wedge)}x^\alpha.$$

By Proposition 2.12 in [8] we see that G^\wedge is also an ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. And ε is also a weak unit in G^\wedge . So, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a subset $\{x^{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A'\}$ with $|A'| \leq \aleph_i$ of $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ such that

$$x = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'} (G^\wedge)x^{\alpha'}.$$

Therefore

$$x = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'} (G)x^{\alpha'}.$$

■

LEMMA 2.6. *Let G be an Archimedean ℓ -group. If the completion G^\wedge has a weak unit, then G also has a weak unit.*

PROOF: Let ε be a weak unit in G^\wedge . From Theorem 2.4 in [4] there exists ε' in G such that $\varepsilon' \geq \varepsilon$. Then ε' is a weak unit in G . Because, if $\varepsilon' \wedge x = 0$ for $x \in G$, then $\varepsilon \wedge x = 0$. Hence $x = 0$. ■

Let ℓ -group G be a subdirect sum of $\{G_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$. If there exists a subset $\Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta$ such that $\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} G_\delta \subseteq G$, then we call G a *semicomplete subdirect sum* of $\{G_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$.

An ℓ -group G is said to be *projectable* or a *P-group*, if $G = g^{\perp\perp} \boxplus g^\perp$ for each $g \in G$, where $g^\perp = \{g\}^\perp = \{x \in G \mid |g| \wedge |x| = 0\}$ and $g^{\perp\perp} = (g^\perp)^\perp$. It is well-known that any σ -complete ℓ -group G is projectable. So any \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group G is projectable for $\aleph_i \geq \aleph_0$. An Archimedean ℓ -group G is said to be *continuous*, if for any strictly positive element x we have $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$, where $x_1 \neq 0$ and $x_2 \neq 0$.

LEMMA 2.7. *Any \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group G of \aleph_j type with \aleph_i and $\aleph_j \geq \aleph_0$ is continuous.*

PROOF: Let $0 < x \in G$. Since $v[x] = vG = \aleph_j$, by 4.3 in [7], $[0, x]$ is not a chain, and there exists $0 < x_1 < x$ and $0 < x_2 < x$ such that $x_2 \wedge x_1 = 0$. It is clear that $x^{\perp\perp}$ is also \aleph_i -complete. In fact, if $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a subset in $x^{\perp\perp}$ with $|A| \leq \aleph_i$ and $x^\alpha \leq \bar{x} \in x^{\perp\perp}$ for $\alpha \in A$, then there exists $\bigvee_{\alpha \in A} (G)x^\alpha = x_0 \in G$. Since $\bar{x} \wedge y = 0$ for each $y \in x^\perp$, $x_0 \wedge y = 0$ for each $y \in x^\perp$ and so $x_0 \in x^{\perp\perp}$. Because G is projectable, we have

$$(6) \quad x^{\perp\perp} = x_1^{\perp\perp} \boxplus x_1^\perp.$$

It is easy to see that $x \in x_1^{\perp\perp}$. In fact, if $x \in x_1^{\perp\perp}$, then $x^{\perp\perp} \subseteq x_1^{\perp\perp}$, hence $x^{\perp\perp} = x_1^{\perp\perp}$. But $x_2 \in x_1^\perp \subseteq x^{\perp\perp}$, giving a contradiction. On the other hand, since $x_1 \in x_1^\perp$, we have

$x \in x_1^\perp$. From (6) we see that there exist $0 < x^1 \in x_1^{\perp\perp}$ and $0 < x^2 \in x_1^\perp$ such that $x = x^1 + x^2$. Therefore G is continuous. ■

THEOREM 2.8. *Any \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group G is ℓ -isomorphic to an semicomplete subdirect sum of real groups, integer groups, continuous complete ℓ -groups of \aleph_i type and continuous \aleph_i -complete ℓ -groups of \aleph_j type with $\aleph_j > \aleph_i$.*

PROOF: We will proceed in three steps.

(1) Let G be an \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group. Then G has a Dedekind completion G^\wedge . From Theorem III.4.4 and Theorem III.4.6 in [11] we see that

$$(7) \quad G^\wedge \subseteq^* \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda,$$

where G_λ is a complete ℓ -group with a weak unit x_λ for $\lambda \in \Lambda$. From Proposition 2.2 in [8], without loss of generality, we have

$$(8) \quad G_\lambda \subseteq^* \prod_{\lambda_\delta \in \Delta_\lambda} G_{\lambda_\delta}$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where G_{λ_δ} ($\lambda_\delta \in \Delta_\lambda$) is a real group, or an integer group or a continuous v -homogeneous complete ℓ -group. We can show that x_{λ_δ} is a weak unit in G_{λ_δ} for each $\lambda_\delta \in \Delta_\lambda$. In fact, let $y_{\lambda_\delta} \in G_{\lambda_\delta}$ and $x_{\lambda_\delta} \wedge y_{\lambda_\delta} = 0$. Let \bar{y}_{λ_δ} be the element in G_{λ_δ} whose λ_δ component is y_{λ_δ} and all other components are zero. Then $x_\lambda \wedge \bar{y}_{\lambda_\delta} = 0$ and so $\bar{y}_{\lambda_\delta} = 0$, therefore $y_{\lambda_\delta} = 0$.

From (7) and (8) we have

$$G^\wedge \subseteq^* \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda \subseteq^* \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left(\prod_{\lambda_\delta \in \Delta_\lambda} G_{\lambda_\delta} \right) \subseteq^* \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in \Lambda \\ \lambda_\delta \in \Delta_\lambda}} G_{\lambda_\delta}.$$

Putting $\Delta = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Delta_\lambda$ and $T_\delta = G_{\lambda_\delta}$ for each $\delta \in \Delta$, we get

$$G^\wedge \subseteq^* \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta.$$

Let ρ_δ be the projection from $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta$ to T_δ and $T'_\delta = G\rho_\delta$ for each $\delta \in \Delta$. Then

$$(9) \quad G \subseteq' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T'_\delta,$$

where each T_δ is a real group, or an integer group or a continuous v -homogenous complete ℓ -group with a weak unit and T'_δ is a subgroup of reals or a v -homogenous Archimedean ℓ -group with a weak unit for $\delta \in \Delta$ (see Lemma 2.6).

(2) It is clear that the projection ρ_δ from G onto T'_δ is complete. Let $\{x^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ be a subset in T'_δ with $|A| \leq \aleph_i$ and $x^\alpha_\delta \leq x_\delta \in T'_\delta$ for $\alpha \in A$. Let x^α be the element in G whose δ component is x^α_δ and x the element in G whose δ component is x_δ . Put $y^\alpha = x^\alpha \wedge x$. Then $\{y^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ is a subset of G with an upper bound x and $|A| \leq \aleph_i$. Thus there exists $y = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A}^{(G)} y^\alpha$. Clearly, $y^\alpha \rho_\delta = x^\alpha_\delta$. Put $y \rho_\delta = y_\delta \in T'_\delta$.

Then $y_\delta = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A}^{(T'_\delta)} x^\alpha_\delta$. So each T'_δ is \aleph_i -complete for $\delta \in \Delta$. If T'_δ is a subgroup of the reals, then T'_δ is \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Z} . If T'_δ is not a subgroup of the reals, then T'_δ is v -homogeneous. Suppose $vT'_\delta = \aleph_j$. If $\aleph_j = \aleph_i$, T'_δ is an \aleph_i -complete ℓ -group of \aleph_i type. It follows from Lemma 5 that T'_δ is complete for those $\delta \in \Delta$ for which T'_δ is of \aleph_i type. It then follows from Lemma 2.7 that each T'_δ is continuous for $\delta \in \Delta$.

(3) Finally we prove G is a semicomplete subdirect sum. Put $\Delta_1 = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid T'_\delta \text{ is } \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{Z} \text{ or a continuous complete } \ell\text{-group of } \aleph_i \text{ type}\}$. For each $\delta \in \Delta_1$, set

$$\overline{T}'_\delta = \{g \in G \mid \delta' \neq \delta \implies g_{\delta'} = 0\}.$$

Let \overline{z}_δ be the strictly positive element in \overline{T}'_δ whose δ component is z_δ . Then $\overline{z}_\delta \in G^\wedge$ because $G^\wedge \supseteq \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \supseteq \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} T'_\delta$. From Theorem 1.1 in [4] we have

$$(10) \quad \overline{z}_\delta = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A}^{G^\wedge} \{z^\alpha \in G \mid 0 \leq z^\alpha \leq \overline{z}_\delta\}.$$

It is clear that $z^\alpha \in \overline{T}'_\delta$. It follows from (10) that

$$\overline{z}_\delta = \bigvee_{\alpha \in A}^{(T'_\delta)} z^\alpha_\delta.$$

By Lemma 2.4 there exists a subset $\{z^{\alpha'} \mid \alpha' \in A'\}$ with $|A'| \leq \aleph_i$ of $\{z^\alpha \mid \alpha \in A\}$ such that

$$z_\delta = \bigvee_{\delta' \in A'}^{(T'_\delta)} z^{\alpha'}_{\delta'}.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{z}_\delta = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'}^{(G^\wedge)} z^{\alpha'}_{\delta'}.$$

From Theorem 2.4 in [4] there exists $z' \in G$ such that $\overline{z}_\delta \leq z'$. Since G is \aleph_i -complete, there exists $\bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'}^{(G)} z^{\alpha'}$. From Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.4 in [4] and (11) above, we see that

$$\bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'}^{(G)} z^{\alpha'} = \bigvee_{\alpha' \in A'}^{(G^\wedge)} z^{\alpha'} = \overline{z}_\alpha.$$

Thus $\bar{z}_\delta \in G$. This proves that $\bar{T}'_\delta \subseteq G$ for each $\delta \in \Delta_1$. Therefore

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1} T'_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq ' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T'_\delta.$$

3. EPICOMPLETE OBJECTS IN THE CATEGORY \mathcal{A}^l

LEMMA 3.1. *The following are equivalent for $G \in \mathcal{A}$:*

- (a) *G is epicomplete in \mathcal{A}^l ;*
- (b) *G is conditionally and laterally σ -complete, and G is divisible (see Theorem 4.9 in [3]).*

From Theorem 2.8 we have the following result.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Any σ -complete l -group is l -isomorphic to a semicomplete subdirect sum of real groups, integer groups, continuous complete l -groups of countable type and continuous σ -complete l -groups of \aleph_j type with $\aleph_j > \aleph_0$. That is, there exists an l -isomorphism f such that*

$$(12) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq f(G) \subseteq ' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where $\Delta_1 = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid T_\delta \text{ is a real group, or an integer group or a continuous complete } l\text{-group of countable type}\}$ and $\Delta \setminus \Delta_1 = \{\delta \in \Delta \mid T_\delta \text{ is a continuous } \sigma\text{-complete } l\text{-group of } \aleph_j \text{ type with } \aleph_j > \aleph_0\}$.

Now let G be a divisible σ -complete l -group. Without loss of generality, from (12) we have

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq ' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta.$$

Each T_δ is a homomorphic image of G , hence T_δ is divisible for each $\delta \in \Delta$. Thus we get:

COROLLARY 3.3. *Any divisible σ -complete l -group is l -isomorphic to a semicomplete subdirect sum of real groups, continuous divisible complete l -groups of countable type and continuous divisible σ -complete l -groups of \aleph_j type with $\aleph_j > \aleph_0$.*

Let $\{T_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ be a set of l -groups. Put

$$\prod_{\delta \in \Delta} \sigma T_\delta = \{x \in \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta \mid \exists \text{ a countable subset } \Delta_\sigma \text{ in } \Delta \text{ such that } x_\delta = 0 \text{ if } \delta \in \Delta_\sigma\}.$$

Let l -group G be a semicomplete subdirect sum of $\{T_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$. That is,

$$\sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq ' \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta.$$

If $\prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} \sigma T_\delta \subseteq G$, then we call G a σ -semicomplete subdirect sum of $\{T_\delta \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let G be an epicomplete object in the category \mathcal{A}^ℓ . Then G is ℓ -isomorphic to a σ -semicomplete subdirect sum of real groups, continuous complete epicomplete ℓ -groups of countable types and continuous ℓ -groups of \aleph_j type with $\aleph_j > \aleph_0$.*

PROOF: By Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.3 we have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_1 \subseteq \Delta} T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta,$$

where T_δ is a real group or a continuous divisible complete ℓ -group of countable type for each $\delta \in \Delta_1$ and T_δ is a continuous divisible σ -complete ℓ -group of \aleph_j type with $\aleph_j > \aleph_0$. By 6.1 in [2] the real group \mathbb{R} is epicomplete in \mathcal{A}^ℓ . For each $\delta \in \Delta_1$ put

$$\bar{T}_\delta = \{g \in G \mid \delta' \neq \delta \implies g_\delta = 0\}.$$

From (13) we have

$$G = \bar{T}_\delta \boxplus G_\delta$$

for each $\delta \in \Delta_1$, where $G_\delta = \{g \in G \mid g_\delta = 0\}$. If $\bar{T}_\delta \leq T'_\delta$ is epic in \mathcal{A}^ℓ , put $G' = T'_\delta \boxplus G_\delta$. Then $G \leq G'$. Suppose α_1 and α_2 are two ℓ -homomorphisms from G' to an Archimedean ℓ -group P such that $\alpha_1|_G = \alpha_2|_G$. Then $\alpha_1|_{\bar{T}_\delta} = \alpha_2|_{\bar{T}_\delta}$. So $\alpha_1|_{T'_\delta} = \alpha_2|_{T'_\delta}$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$. This means $G \leq G'$ is epic in \mathcal{A}^ℓ . Since G is epicomplete, $G = G'$ and $\bar{T}_\delta = T'_\delta$. Therefore each \bar{T}_δ or T_δ is epicomplete in \mathcal{A}^ℓ for $\delta \in \Delta_1$.

On the other hand, G is σ -laterally complete. Hence

$$\prod_{\delta \in \Delta_1} \sigma T_\delta \subseteq G \subseteq \prod_{\delta \in \Delta} T_\delta.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Anderson and P.F. Conrad, ‘Epicomplete ℓ -groups’, *Algebra Universalis* **12** (1981), 224–241.
- [2] R.N. Ball and A.W. Hager, ‘Epimorphisms in archimedean ℓ -groups and vector lattices’ (to appear), (Bowling Green text on ℓ -groups).
- [3] R.N. Ball and A.W. Hager, ‘Epicomplete archimedean ℓ -groups’, (submitted).
- [4] P. Conrad and D. Mcalister, ‘The completion of a lattice ordered group’, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **9** (1969), 182–208.
- [5] P. Conrad, *Lattice Ordered Groups, Lecture Notes* (Tulane University, 1970).
- [6] J. Jakubik, ‘Представление И Расширение ℓ -Групп’, *Czech. Math. J.* **13** (1963), 267–283.
- [7] J. Jakubik, ‘Homogeneous lattice ordered groups’, *Czech. Math. J.* **22** (1972), 325–337.
- [8] Dao-Rong Ton, ‘The structure of a complete ℓ -group’. (submitted) .
- [9] Dao-Rong Ton, ‘Some properties of an Archimedean ℓ -group’. (submitted) .

- [10] B.Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces* (Wolter-Noordhoff, Ltd, Groningen, 1967).
- [11] Zheng-pan Yan, *Introduction to Partially Ordered Spaces*, (in Chinese) (Scientific Publishing House of China, 1964).

Department of Mathematics and Physics,
Hohai University,
Jingsu Province,
Nanjing,
People's Republic of China.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Bowling Green State University,
Bowling Green, Oh. 43403-0221
United States of America.