

# ON A RELATION BETWEEN INJECTORS AND CERTAIN COMPLEMENTED CHIEF FACTORS OF FINITE SOLUBLE GROUPS

Dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann

A. R. MAKAN

(Received 27 January 1972)

Communicated by G. E. Wall

## 1. Introduction

The Fitting class  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$  of finite soluble  $\pi$ -groups, where  $\pi$  is an arbitrary set of primes, has the property that each complement of an  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$ -avoided, complemented chief factor of any finite soluble group  $G$  contains an  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$ -injector of  $G$ . In other words, each  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$ -avoided, complemented chief factor of  $G$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$ -complemented in the sense of Hartley (see [2]).

In general, for a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{X}$  of finite soluble groups, none of the complements of an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -avoided, complemented chief factor of a finite soluble group  $G$  may contain an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -injector of  $G$ , as an example in Section 2 of [3] shows. As in [3], we will call an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -avoided, complemented chief factor of  $G$  a partially  $\mathfrak{X}$ -complemented chief factor of  $G$  if at least one of its complements contains an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -injector of  $G$ . Moreover,

**DEFINITION.** A Fitting class  $\mathfrak{X}$  of finite soluble groups will be said to have the property  $(\Lambda)$  ( $(\Lambda^*)$ ) if in each finite soluble group  $G$  every  $\mathfrak{X}$ -avoided, complemented chief factor of  $G$  is necessarily a partially  $\mathfrak{X}$ -complemented (an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -complemented) chief factor of  $G$ .

For the rest of the terminology used here we refer the readers to Hartley [2]. All groups considered here are finite and soluble.

Our main purpose of this note is to show that

**THEOREM 1.1.** *A Fischer class has the property  $(\Lambda)$  if and only if it is the Fischer class of  $\pi$ -groups for some suitable set  $\pi$  of primes.*

In general, one can have a Fitting class which has the property  $(\Lambda)$  but which is not  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$  for any set  $\pi$  of primes. The normal Fitting class  $\mathfrak{H}$  defined in Satz 3.2 of Blessohl and Gaschütz [1] provides an example of such a Fitting class.

The  $\mathfrak{H}$ -injector  $V$  of any group  $G$  has index at most 2 in  $G$ . Hence, if  $R/S$  is an  $\mathfrak{H}$ -avoided chief factor of  $G$ , then  $V = VS$  complements  $R/S$  in  $G$ , and so  $R/S$  is also a partially  $\mathfrak{H}$ -complemented chief factor of  $G$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{H}$  has the property  $(\Lambda)$ , but it is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{H}$  is not  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$  for any set  $\pi$  of primes.

Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 3 and in Section 2 we discuss Fitting classes with the property  $(\Lambda^*)$ .

## 2. Fitting classes with the property $(\Lambda^*)$ .

In this section, we show that a Fitting class with the property  $(\Lambda^*)$  is necessarily  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$  for some suitable set  $\pi$  of primes.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Fitting class with the property  $(\Lambda^*)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_\pi$  for some suitable set  $\pi$  of primes.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\pi$  be the uniquely determined set of primes such that  $\mathfrak{N}_\pi \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\pi$  where  $\mathfrak{N}_\pi$  is the class of all finite nilpotent  $\pi$ -groups (see Remark 1 of Section 3.3 in Hartley [2]). We show that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_\pi$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{S}_\pi$  and let  $G \in \mathfrak{S}_\pi \setminus \mathfrak{F}$  be of minimal order. Since both  $\mathfrak{S}_\pi$  and  $\mathfrak{F}$  are Fitting classes, it is clear that  $G$  has a unique maximal normal subgroup  $M$  of index  $p$ , say, which belongs to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Consider the group  $H = G \times G/M$ . Clearly  $M \times G/M$  is the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of  $H$ . Let  $G^*$  be the subset of  $H$  which consists of all elements  $(x, xM)$ , where  $x \in G$ . Then  $G^* \triangleleft H$  and  $H = GG^*$ . In particular,  $G^* \cap G = M$ . Thus,  $G^*$  complements  $G/M$  in  $H$ . Since  $G/M$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -avoided, complemented, and hence  $\mathfrak{F}$ -complemented chief factor of  $H$ , it follows then that  $G^*$  contains the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector  $M \times G/M$  of  $H$ . But this is impossible. Hence, we must have  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and so  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_\pi$ , as required.

In view of Theorem 2.1 and the remark at the beginning of Section 1, we immediately have

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *A Fitting class has the property  $(\Lambda^*)$  if and only if it is the Fitting class of  $\pi$ -groups for some suitable set  $\pi$  of primes.*

## 3. Proof of the main theorem

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we will need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Fischer class with the property  $(\Lambda)$ , let  $\mathfrak{S}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and let  $G$  be a semidirect product of an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -group  $A$  by a cyclic group  $B = \langle b \rangle$  of order  $p^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $G$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -group.*

**PROOF.** Let  $C = \langle c \rangle$  be a cyclic group of order  $p^{n+1}$ , let  $H = B \times C$  and let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $H$  generated by  $bc^p$ . Consider the twisted wreath product (see Neumann [4])  $W$  of  $A$  by  $H$  over  $B \times K$  with the action of  $B \times K$  on  $A$  being defined as follows: Let  $B$  act on  $A$  as in the semidirect product  $G$

of  $A$  by  $B$ , and let  $K$  act trivially on  $A$ . Since  $H$  is abelian, it is easy to check that  $K$  acts trivially on the base group  $D = A_1 \times A_c \times \dots \times A_{c^{p-1}}$  which is the direct product of  $p$  copies of  $A$  indexed by the coset representatives  $\{1, c, \dots, c^{p-1}\}$  of  $B \times K$  in  $H$ , and also  $A_{c^i}$  is  $B$ -invariant and  $[A_{c^i}]B \cong G$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ . In particular,  $D \times K$  is contained in the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector  $V$  of  $W$ . But then, we must have that  $DB/D\langle b^p \rangle$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -covered chief factor of  $W$ ; for, otherwise, it would be an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -avoided, complemented chief factor of  $W$  which is not partially  $\mathfrak{F}$ -complemented in  $W$  since  $DK\langle b^p \rangle$  is not contained in any complement of  $DB/D\langle b^p \rangle$  in  $W$ . Thus,  $V$  covers  $DB/D\langle b^p \rangle$ . However, since  $D\langle b^p \rangle/D$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $DB/D$ , it follows now that  $V$ , in fact, covers  $DB/D$ , and so  $V \geq DB$ . In particular,  $DB \in \mathfrak{F}$  since  $DB \triangleleft \triangleleft V$ . Finally, since  $A_1$  is  $B$ -invariant, and hence also  $DB$ -invariant, since  $A_1B/A_1$  is a  $p$ -group and since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fischer class, it follows that  $G \cong [A_1]B \in \mathfrak{F}$ , and the lemma is proved.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 as follows:

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** In view of the remark at the beginning of Section 1, it remains to show that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fischer class with the property  $(\Lambda)$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the Fischer class of  $\pi$ -groups for some set  $\pi$  of primes. Let  $\pi$  be the uniquely determined set of primes such that  $\mathfrak{N}_\pi \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_\pi$  (see the proof of Theorem 2.1) We will show that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}_\pi$ . Assume to the contrary that  $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{S}_\pi$ , and let  $G \in \mathfrak{S}_\pi \setminus \mathfrak{F}$  be of minimal order. Then  $G$  has a unique maximal normal subgroup  $M$  which lies in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $|G : M| = p$  and let  $x \in G$  be of  $p$ -power order such that  $\langle M, x \rangle = G$ . Consider the semidirect product  $W$  of  $G$  by a cyclic group  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  of order  $p^n = |x|$ , the order of  $x$  in  $G$ , with the action of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  on  $G$  being given by  $g^\alpha = g^x$  for each  $g \in G$ . Clearly  $M$  is  $\langle \alpha \rangle$ -invariant, and so, by Lemma 3.1,  $[M]\langle \alpha \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Similarly,  $[M]\langle \alpha x \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ . But then

$$W = [M]\langle \alpha, \alpha x \rangle \in N_0\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F},$$

whence, in particular,  $G \in S_N\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}$ , a contradiction. With this contradiction, the proof is complete.

### Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Dr. R. D. Bercov and Dr. A. H. Rhemtulla for their financial support while this work was done.

### References

[1] D. Blessenohl and W. Gaschütz, 'Über normale Schunck — und Fittingklassen', *Math. Z.* 118 (1970), 1–8.  
 [2] B. Hartley, 'On Fischer's dualization of formation theory', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 19 (1969), 193–207.

- [3] A. Makan, 'Another characteristic conjugacy class of subgroups of finite soluble groups', *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 11 (1970), 395–400.
- [4] B. H. Neumann, 'Twisted wreath products of groups', *Archiv. Math.* 14 (1963), 1–6.

The University of Alberta  
Edmonton, Alberta  
Canada