

Satellite-monitored movements of the Amazon River dolphin and considerations for their conservation

ELIZABETH CAMPBELL, JOANNA ALFARO-SHIGUETO, JEFFREY C. MANGEL
JOSE LUIS MENA, RUTH H. THURSTAN, BRENDAN J. GODLEY and DAVID MARCH

Abstract The Amazon River dolphin *Inia geoffrensis* occurs throughout the basins of the Amazon and Orinoco Rivers and is categorized as Endangered on the IUCN Red List. Using satellite tracking data from eight dolphins (one female and seven males) in the Peruvian Amazon, we demonstrate that these dolphins inhabit a variety of habitat types and have core areas and home range areas of variable magnitudes. To gain a better understanding of how threats affect these dolphins, we examined the distance of dolphin records to locations of current and potential future anthropogenic threats. On average, dolphin home ranges overlapped with fisheries by 89%. Dolphins were found at an average distance of 252 km from the nearest proposed dam and 125 km from the nearest proposed dredging site. Given that many of these threats are still in the planning stage, we advise the government to consider the negative effects these activities have already had on other riverine species before proceeding. Additionally, efforts should be made to expand river dolphin tracking programmes to span multiple seasons, to track more females at our study sites and to increase the numbers tracked overall in other areas to improve our knowledge of the species' movement patterns.

Keywords Amazon, boto, dams, fishery interactions, *Inia geoffrensis*, Peru, river dolphin, satellite tracking

The supplementary material for this article is available at doi.org/10.1017/S0030605322001557

Introduction

Amazon River dolphins *Inia geoffrensis* are aquatic mammals occurring in the Amazon–Orinoco basin of Brazil, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru and Venezuela. They are distributed across all aquatic habitats, including backwaters, small lagoons, confluences and flooded forests. Their habitat use is influenced significantly by flood pulses, as the quantity and quality of available habitats change annually (McGuire & Winemiller, 1998; Martin & da Silva, 2004b; Gomez-Salazar et al., 2012). In a regional study, lakes, confluences and areas within 200 m of riverbanks were identified as critical habitat hotspots with the highest dolphin densities (Martin & da Silva, 2004b; Gomez-Salazar et al., 2012; Paschoalini et al., 2021).

Data on the distribution and habitat use of aquatic mammals such as the Amazon River dolphin can be difficult to obtain, and this has been identified as a key knowledge gap for this group (Campbell et al., 2022). Many of these species are widely distributed, are highly mobile and can occur in areas that are difficult for researchers to access. The habitat use of river cetaceans has mostly been estimated visually through boat-based surveys (Gomez-Salazar et al., 2010, 2012; Pavanato et al., 2019; Aliaga-Rossel & Duran, 2020; Aliaga-Rossel & Escobar-ww, 2020) and through mark-recapture via photographic identification (McGuire & Henningsen, 2007; Gómez-Salazar et al., 2011). Acoustic surveys, in which hydrophones or acoustic data loggers record echolocation clicks and whistles emitted by the cetaceans, have also been used in freshwater settings. They have been able to provide a relative measure of abundance, and elaborate diel patterns and habitat use under less favourable weather conditions and when cetaceans are submerged (Tregenza et al., 2007; Dong et al., 2015; Campbell et al., 2017). More recently, drones have been successfully used to study the spatial distribution of these species (Fürstenau Oliveira et al., 2017; Oliveira-da-Costa et al., 2020).

The use of satellite transmitters to track focal individuals is another method of generating information on the habitat use of these species. Satellite tracking can provide detailed information on individual animal movements and habitat use, as well as combining temporal and spatial information at the broader regional and global scales (Gredzens et al., 2014; Sveegaard et al., 2015). Satellite transmitters have been used successfully to track river dolphins but examples of this approach are limited (Mosquera-Guerra et al., 2021,

ELIZABETH CAMPBELL*† (Corresponding author, orcid.org/0000-0002-6812-4531, ec564@exeter.ac.uk), JOANNA ALFARO-SHIGUETO*† (orcid.org/0000-0002-5148-7686), JEFFREY C. MANGEL† (orcid.org/0000-0002-9371-8606), RUTH H. THURSTAN (orcid.org/0000-0002-8045-1631), BRENDAN J. GODLEY (orcid.org/0000-0003-3845-0034) and DAVID MARCH‡§ (orcid.org/0000-0002-6118-761X) Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Cornwall Campus, Penryn, Cornwall, UK

JOSÉ LUIS MENA (orcid.org/0000-0002-3716-598X) Instituto de Investigaciones en Ciencias Biomédicas, Universidad Ricardo Palma, Lima, Peru

*Also at: Carrera de Biología Marina, Universidad Científica del Sur, Villa el Salvador, Lima, Peru

†Also at: ProDelphinus, Miraflores, Lima, Peru

‡Also at: Cavanilles Institute of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

§Also at: Unidad de Zoología Marina, Instituto Cavanilles de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, Universitat de València, Paterna, Spain

Received 08 July 2022. Revision requested 22 November 2022.

Accepted 19 December 2022. First published online 3 July 2023.

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Oryx, 2023, 57(6), 769–779 © The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Fauna & Flora International doi:10.1017/S0030605322001557

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605322001557> Published online by Cambridge University Press

2022). In addition to providing information on dispersal and migration movements of these species, tracking can provide information on their behaviour, their relationship with environmental conditions and how these govern their distribution, and the importance of these environments to their life history (Davis et al., 2014; Gredzens et al., 2014). Data from telemetry can also be used to identify overlaps with negative anthropogenic effects or impacts (Queiroz et al., 2016; Hart et al., 2018; Frankish et al., 2021). This information is critical for identifying high-priority habitats and developing conservation measures such as the designation and regulation of protected areas or the development of policies to mitigate anthropogenic threats (Graham et al., 2012; Le Corre et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2012; Hays et al., 2019).

The Amazon River dolphin is facing increasing anthropogenic threats, including from fisheries, which leads to competition for resources, bycatch and intentional killing (Campbell et al., 2020). The species' habitat has been degraded by mining, logging and agricultural conversion (Gomez-Salazar et al., 2012). The construction of dams, mainly in Brazil, is an expanding threat, with 175 dams operating or under construction in the Amazon basin, as well as at least 428 more planned over the next 30 years (Forsberg et al., 2017; Anderson et al., 2018; Almeida et al., 2020).

Additionally, the Amazon Waterway has been approved and is under contract for construction. This proposed Waterway involves dredging sites across four main rivers of the Amazon basin and the expansion of ports to facilitate ship navigation across the Amazon, Ucayali and Marañón Rivers (InfrAmazonia, 2022). The goal is to create a network that facilitates transportation within the Peruvian Amazon but also to and from Brazil by opening an outlet to the Atlantic for Peruvian trade to the north and to the Pacific for Brazil. The total population of the Amazon River dolphin is unknown and it is categorized as Endangered on the IUCN Red List (da Silva et al., 2018). Knowledge of the ecology of the Amazon River dolphin, particularly its reliance on the diverse habitats available, is critical for improving the conservation prospects for this species.

Here we expand on our previous analysis of Amazon River dolphin movements (Mosquera-Guerra et al., 2021) to focus on the Peruvian Amazon. We estimate core areas and home ranges and put this information into the context of habitat disturbance from anthropogenic activities and the conservation status of and prospects for this species in the Peruvian Amazon.

Study areas

We undertook tag deployment in August 2018, before a period of rising waters (October–May), in two areas in the north-eastern Peruvian Amazon (Fig. 1). The first location was in the Pacaya Samiria National Reserve, in the

Yanayacu–Pucate River, close to the confluence of the Marañón and Ucayali Rivers in Loreto State. Pacaya Samiria National Reserve covers an area of 20,800 km² and is a Ramsar site with a relatively abundant population of Amazon River dolphins (Gomez-Salazar et al., 2012). The second location was Nucuray, Loreto, a tributary of the Marañón River, close to the confluence of the Marañón and Huallaga Rivers.

Methods

Tag deployment

We captured dolphins using the purse seine method (Plate 1; Read & Westgate, 1997). Only eight adults and subadults were selected for tagging, based on body length, following previous descriptions (Martin & da Silva, 2018). We used SPOT-299A tags (Wildlife Computers, Redmond, USA), measuring 21 × 31 cm and weighing 70 g in air. We programmed the tags to turn off during low satellite pass coverage (i.e. during 4.00–7.00 and 16.00–20.00 GMT) and to transmit up to 250 locations per day. We attached the transmitters to the proximal part of the dorsal fin according to the methodology of Wells et al. (2017) using a 6 mm cordless drill and a 1.5 mm polyoxymethylene bolt with silicone tubing. The attachment installation process took 17–20 min and we kept the dolphins moist using sponges and covered their eyes to reduce disturbance. Veterinarians were present to monitor the dolphins' health.

Filtering data

We received locations via the ARGOS data collection and location system (ARGOS, 2023). We removed near-duplicate positions, defined as positions that occurred ≤ 2 min after a position fix from the same individual (Ropert-Coudert et al., 2020; March et al., 2021). We then filtered the data using a speed, distance and angle filter that removed all location class Z values and points with unrealistic swimming speeds (> 3 m/s; da Silva, 2008) or improbable turning angles (we removed locations creating angles $< 15^\circ$ or $< 25^\circ$ if the lengths of the vectors were greater than 2.5 or 5.0 km, respectively) using the *argosfilter* package (Freitas et al., 2008) in R 4.2.0 (R Core Team, 2021).

Post-processing of location data

Many of the satellite locations were outside the main river channel because of the error inherent in ARGOS locations. We therefore created a river mask using OpenStreetMap (2020) and reallocated each position to the closest river cell (100 m resolution) within the error ellipses from the ARGOS Kalman Filter. Common speed filters, such as that used for the pre-filtering of the data, consider a




FIG. 1 The study area in north-east Peru, showing the distribution of the Amazon River dolphin *Inia geoffrensis* (da Silva et al., 2018), Pacaya Samiria Natural Reserve, and the two sites where the satellite tags were deployed (in the Yanayacu–Pucate River, close to the confluence of the Marañón and Ucayali Rivers in Pacaya Samiria National Reserve, and in Nucuray, a tributary of the Marañón River, close to the confluence of the Marañón and Huallaga Rivers).

Euclidean space and do not account for displacement across river boundaries. The two closest points could be separated by larger distances if they were located in different tributaries. Therefore, we calculated the speed between consecutive locations along the river path using the *gdistance* package in *R* and estimated the maximum speed threshold by calculating the 95% quantile (v_{max} ; van Etten, 2017). We removed a location if the speed from a previous location or to a subsequent location exceeded v_{max} . We conducted this filtering approach twice. After filtering, we then interpolated the tracks at regular intervals (i.e. 2 h) along the river. We broke up tracks with data gaps in excess of 7 days, for separate regularization (i.e. we did not interpolate within gaps). We chose the location on the river using the ARGOS location error ellipses. We depicted the ellipse with the river mask for each location, and from multiple possible locations on the river we selected the one closest to the Argos location centroid.

Home range estimates

We applied dynamic Brownian bridge movement models to estimate the utilization distribution (UD, i.e. the variation in intensity of use over space expressed as a bivariate probability density function; Marzluff et al. 2001) for all of our tracking data using the *move* package (Kranstauber

et al., 2021) in *R*. Unlike standard utilization distribution estimators (e.g. minimum convex polygon and kernel density estimation), the dynamic Brownian bridge movement model estimates home range by considering the temporal and behavioural features of movement trajectories (Kranstauber et al., 2012). In our model we specified a location error of 2 km, and to estimate the variance of Brownian motion (σ^2 m), we used a moving window size of 11 locations and a margin of 29 locations to account for potential differences in behavioural movement patterns. We selected these parameters by trial and error, determining which parameters resulted in home and core ranges that best matched the location points, considering the speed and spatial restrictions the species has as well as the raster resolution. Estimates were then clipped with the raster layer of the Amazon River developed using OpenStreetMap features with a resolution of 200 m. Values for the 50% and 95% UD were calculated from the polygon contours extracted from the dynamic Brownian bridge movement model for core use and home ranges, respectively.

Spatial threat overlap

We gathered spatial data layers to examine the scope of three proximal anthropogenic threats that are of types considered the most significant for river cetaceans globally (Pavanato



PLATE 1 Installation of satellite tags on Amazon River dolphins *Inia geoffrensis*. (a) An Amazon River dolphin is caught with a local purse seine-type net called a *boliche*. (b) The dolphin is transferred to the shore with help of the boat and net. (c) The individual is transported to the beach. (d) The dolphin is placed on a stretcher and the tag is installed within 20 min. (e) The dolphin is released with the satellite transmitter attached. Photos: M. Pajuelo.

et al., 2016; Brownell et al., 2017, 2019; Campbell et al., 2022): dams, the Amazon Waterway and fisheries. The temporal span of the threat layers varies, and therefore we included layers that do not overlap completely with the tracking periods. We sourced dam data from Anderson et al. (2018), including dams that are extant, under construction or proposed. We obtained information on the location of dredging and port sites on the Amazon Waterway from InfrAmazonia (2022), and calculated the distance from the point of each dolphin's home range that was closest to the nearest dredging site and existing and proposed dams along the river network. For fisheries we used catch data (kg) from the government fisheries agency DIREPRO-Loreto (DIREPRO-L, 2016; Wildlife Conservation Society, 2020b), which are available by fishing zone (there are 927 fishing zones in 29 rivers/tributaries in Loreto State). We used this information as a representation of regions with varying fishing pressures because of the inherent error in data collection and analysis from self-reported fishing

effort and landings. We utilized the same classification categories as the original sources, ranging from the lowest (75–50,000 kg) to the highest extraction rates (2,500,000–7,867,282 kg) during the 4-year period 2016–2019. We calculated the per cent of the dolphin's home range area (95% UD) in each category of fishery catch during 2016–2019 and per cent of overlap with the Pacaya Samiria National Reserve.

Results

We analysed locations for eight Amazon River dolphins (one female, seven males) with a body length of 170–197 cm and a weight of 64–112 kg (Table 1). The mean number of satellite locations per individual was $802 \pm \text{SD } 434$. Tags provided data for a mean of 88 days (Table 1). The mean minimum distance travelled per day was $3.5 \pm \text{SD } 0.5$ km, the longest by an individual was 8.5 km and the shortest was 2.3 km. The maximum displacement from the deployment site averaged $31 \pm \text{SD } 16$ km (Table 1, Fig. 2). There was no significant correlation between maximum displacement and overall duration of tracking period ($r(6) = 0.14$, $P = 0.7$).

Home range analysis showed that the tagged dolphins moved in tributaries (Yanayacu–Pucate, Nucuray), main rivers (Marañón) and confluences (of Marañón and Huallaga Rivers, and Marañón and Amazon Rivers; Fig. 2). The mean home range area (95% UD) was $53.9 \pm \text{SD } 28.9$ km². When averaged per deployment site, dolphins from Pacaya Samiria National Reserve had a smaller mean home range than those from Nucuray, the unprotected area, with home ranges of $38.3 \pm \text{SD } 5.6$ km² and $69.4 \pm \text{SD } 33.9$ km², respectively (Table 1, Fig. 2). The mean core area (50% UD) was $16.7 \pm \text{SD } 9.7$ km². Dolphins tagged in the unprotected area had a core area of $22.5 \pm \text{SD } 10.9$ km², whereas in the Reserve the mean core area was $10.9 \pm \text{SD } 2.0$ km². Home range sizes had a positive but non-significant correlation with the duration of the tracking period ($r(6) = 0.25$, $P = 0.55$).

Dolphins tagged in the protected area had a mean overlap of 51% with Pacaya Samiria National Reserve. Those that were tagged in Nucuray had a minimal overlap with the Reserve, with a mean value of 11%.

All satellite-tracked dolphins moved within areas where there are small-scale fisheries (Figs 3a & 4), including overlap with low (range 20–84%), medium (range 15–49%) and high (range 1–30%) levels of fishery extraction. Dolphins tagged in the protected area had the greatest overlap with fishery extraction. Mean distance to the nearest existing dam was $444 \pm \text{SD } 112$ km, and mean distance to the nearest proposed dam was $252 \pm \text{SD } 94$ km (Fig. 3b, Table 2). Dolphins were close to two proposed Waterway dredging sites: a mean of $90 \pm \text{SD } 48$ km from Puinaha and $162 \pm \text{SD } 84$ km from Progreso (Table 2, Fig. 3b).

The home ranges of dolphins from both sites were a similar mean distance to the closest proposed dam

TABLE 1 Summary of Amazon River dolphin *Inia geoffrensis* tag deployments in the north-eastern Peruvian Amazon (Fig. 1), grouped by deployment site and date. The table shows the duration of the tracking period, total number of detections, utilization distribution (UD) estimates (95% UD home range, and 50% UD core range; Fig. 2) and maximum displacement (furthest point from the deployment site) for each individual, and the mean, standard deviation and range for all tagged individuals.

ID (sex ¹)	Length (cm)	Weight (kg)	Duration of tracking period (days)	Number of detections	95% UD (km ²)	50% UD (km ²)	Maximum displacement (km)
Pacaya Samiria National Reserve (13 August 2018)							
A (M)	177	64	49	563	40.8	13.6	19.2
B (M)	182	73	45	520	29.3	11.9	13.1
C (M)	197	97	118	1,008	44.3	10.0	33.1
D (F)	173	102	187	894	39.0	8.2	44.9
Nucuray (21 August 2018)							
E (M)	180	97	43	508	56.5	17.9	48.8
F (M)	170	93	34	389	19.9	7.2	12.5
G (M)	182	112	164	1,829	95.4	29.1	16.3
H (M)	176	99	60	708	105.7	35.8	55.8
Mean \pm SD	180 \pm 8	92 \pm 15	87.5 \pm 56.5	802 \pm 434	53.9 \pm 28.9	16.7 \pm 9.7	30.5 \pm 16.4
Range	170–197	64–112	34–187	389–1,829	19.9–105.7	7.2–35.8	12.5–55.8

¹F, female; M, male.

(252.0 \pm SD 95.7 km at Pacaya Samiria National Reserve and 252.0 \pm SD 92.1 km at Nucuray) and dredging site (126.5 \pm SD 79.2 km at Pacaya Samiria National Reserve and 125.3 \pm SD 75.7 km at Nucuray).

Discussion

Home range results

Our results indicate that Amazon River dolphins have variable home range sizes that can cover > 100 km². As many of the individuals in our sample were subadults, these movements could be a proxy for the movements of adult females (Martin & da Silva, 2006). These home range sizes were similar to the findings of previous studies of *Inia* species. Using a kernel density estimator, Mosquera et al. (2021) estimated a mean home range of 59 km² (range 6–233 km²) for 23 Amazon River dolphins, and using VHF radio transmitters, Martin & da Silva (1998) estimated the distances covered by 53 Amazon River dolphins in Mamirauá Sustainable Development Reserve, Brazil, recording maximum displacements from their deployment location of up to 225 km (Martin & da Silva, 1998; Martin et al., 2004).

Individuals displayed differences in the magnitude of movement at each of the two sites; dolphins tagged in the Reserve had smaller home ranges than those tagged in Nucuray. Although eight dolphins is a small sample, we can hypothesize about the potential factors that could contribute to differences in ranging behaviour. A potential cause is that a higher prey density in the Reserve means dolphins have to travel less far to search for food and therefore their home ranges are smaller. The diet of Amazon River dolphins is diverse: they consume at least 43 species

of fish from 19 families (da Silva, 1983). The stomach of an individual *Inia* contained the remains of 15 fish with a mean length of c. 65 mm (McGuire & Winemiller, 1998). A high density of dolphins has been shown to correlate with a high density of characin fishes in main rivers (Mintzer et al., 2016), and prey availability could be one of the principal reasons for the elective movement of dolphins between suitable habitats (Martin & da Silva, 2004a). Confluences are also areas with high dolphin density as fish aggregate in these nutrient-rich areas (Gomez-Salazar et al., 2012; Pivari et al., 2021).

Another possible factor driving differences in home range sizes between protected and unprotected areas is habitat availability. We found that the monitored dolphins moved in tributaries, confluences and main rivers. Previous research based on boat surveys found that Amazon River dolphins exhibit differing habitat use between the low- and high-water seasons, with a preference for main rivers when the water level is low and for tributaries and flooded forests when water levels are at their highest (Martin et al., 2004; Gomez-Salazar et al., 2012). It is possible that dolphins tagged in the unprotected area swam to the main river to forage for larger prey but preferred the Nucuray tributary for refuge and rest (Martin & da Silva, 2004b), explaining their larger home ranges. In contrast, dolphins in Pacaya Samiria National Reserve did not need to travel far to access areas that allowed all of these activities. These matters warrant further study with an increased sample size.

Limitations

Our analysis was constrained by a few key factors. We tagged only one female but found her home range size




FIG. 2 Estimates of the core and home range areas of eight Amazon River dolphins tagged in north-eastern Peru (individuals A–D in Pacaya Samiria National Reserve, and individuals E–H in Nucuray; Fig. 1, Table 1), with total duration of tracking in parentheses, and the sites where the tags were deployed and the maximum displacement of each dolphin from the deployment site.

was within that of males from the same site. Any potential effects of sex on home range size are not yet well known. Previous studies have shown that males are more common in major river habitats than females and that females prefer habitats inside flooded forests (Martin & da Silva, 2004b). In addition, mark–recapture studies have revealed that male Amazon River dolphins exhibit similar levels of site fidelity to that of females despite their preference for large river systems (Martin et al., 2004). We

recommend that future satellite telemetry studies give priority to monitoring similar numbers of males and females.

Another limitation of our study was that it was not designed to look at seasonal changes in movement patterns. Our tags lasted for a mean of 88 days, similar to the 107 day mean of Mosquera et al. (2021). We tagged all individuals whilst water levels were rising, and therefore we recommend that further monitoring be conducted when water levels are low to see whether and how dolphin




FIG. 3 The spatial extents in north-eastern Peru of (a) small-scale fishing catches (in kg) and (b) locations of extant, under construction and proposed dams, ports and dredging sites of the Amazon Waterway project, with corrected dolphin locations from ARGOS and the species' distribution (da Silva et al., 2018). (Readers of the printed journal are referred to the online article for a colour version of this figure.)

movement changes under these circumstances. We also recommend that studies use tag models with batteries that last up to 1 year or tag deployments that are timed to facilitate year-round monitoring. These could be coupled with non-invasive methods such as environmental DNA or passive acoustic monitoring to better monitor movement patterns. Water-level fluctuation also affects the delineation of river spatial boundaries, having a potential impact on the river mask used in our analysis. Freshwater research and management could benefit from improved river maps. Developing robust, open-access data infrastructures containing such information for rivers has been identified as a priority for freshwater conservation (Maasri et al., 2022).

Implications for conservation

The overlap of home ranges with anthropogenic threats highlights patterns that could help to identify priority areas for the conservation of river dolphins in Peru. The home ranges of all monitored dolphins overlapped with fishing grounds. Although fishing catch does not necessarily correlate with fishing effort, we used it as an indicator of fishing pressure. A previous study found a positive correlation between effort (mean 433 kg landings per trip, 12 fishing trips per year) and landings in the Loreto fisheries (Tello & Bayley, 2001). The commercial fishing fleet of Loreto primarily uses purse seine-type nets and gillnets




FIG. 4 Overlap of the home ranges (95% utilization distribution; see text for details) of the eight monitored Amazon River dolphins (A–D; Table 1) with fisheries catch. Dolphins A–D were tagged in Pacaya Samiria National Reserve and E–H outside the Reserve. The grey bars indicate either a lack of fishing activity or the absence of fisheries data. (Readers of the printed journal are referred to the online article for a colour version of this figure.)

TABLE 2 Minimum distance (nearest point from 95% UD; Table 1) to dams and proposed dredging sites for the Amazon Waterway for each of the eight monitored dolphins and per cent overlap of home ranges with protected areas.

ID	Distance to dams ¹ (km)				Distance to dredging sites (km)		% overlap with protected areas
	Gera (E)	Mazan (P)	Manseriche (P)	Pumayacu (P)	Puinahua	Progreso	
Pacaya Samiria National Reserve							
A	592	141	410	329	61	257	40
B	567	148	392	303	21	230	43
C	552	308	225	148	156	84	62
D	541	283	213	124	141	62	60
Mean ± SD (range)	563 ± 19 (541–592)	220 ± 76 (141–308)	310 ± 91 (213–410)	226 ± 90 (124–329)	95 ± 56 (21–156)	158 ± 86 (62–257)	51 ± 10 (40–62)
Nucuray (unprotected)							
E	336	146	395	312	37	239	15
F	332	267	224	137	119	72	7
G	316	140	410	327	58	255	9
H	316	274	236	161	127	95	13
Mean ± SD (range)	325 ± 9 (316–336)	207 ± 64 (140–274)	316 ± 87 (224–410)	234 ± 86 (137–327)	85 ± 39 (37–127)	165 ± 82 (72–255)	11 ± 3 (7–15)

¹E, existing dam; P, proposed dam.

and, to a lesser degree, drag nets (Tello-Martin & Montreuil-Frias, 1994; Alvarez Gómez & Rios Torres, 2009). We therefore hypothesize that in areas with medium and high fishing catch, dolphins are more likely to be taken as bycatch. Bycatch is an understudied threat to river cetaceans because of the lack of comprehensive impact assessments and the absence of consolidated data (Raby et al., 2011; Whitty, 2016; Dewhurst-Richman et al., 2020; Campbell et al., 2022). Previous research in Peru has documented cetacean bycatch incidents in purse seine-type nets and gillnets (locally called *honderas* and *agalleras*, respectively; Campbell et al., 2020), but given the proximity of our tagged dolphins to fisheries, even in protected areas, the overlap could be higher than reported previously. Pacaya Samiria National Reserve allows managed fishing by local communities, which is sustainable in areas close to small communities (Kirkland et al., 2020). However, given the high overlap of the home ranges of dolphins tagged in the Reserve and fishery extraction, further research is needed to understand their exposure to risk. In priority areas for river dolphin conservation, onboard observer programmes should be implemented to estimate the frequency of bycatch and the fate of dolphins taken. In addition, overfishing could also potentially be a threat to Amazon River dolphins (e.g. by depleting fish prey; Allan et al., 2005), although there has been only limited research on this (Campbell et al., 2022).

Compared to river dolphin populations in Brazil (Araújo & Wang, 2015; Pavanato et al., 2016), those in Peru are distant from extant dams. However, all proposed dams in Peru are upstream of and close to dolphin populations, and are therefore likely to cause alterations to key dolphin habitats. Downstream effects of dams on river dolphins have been observed and should be considered in Peru before construction begins. In Brazil, Araguaian dolphin densities were 68% lower downstream of the Tucuruí dam compared to upstream and dolphins shifted their range in response to dam construction (Paschoalini et al., 2020). Similar effects have been observed in other river cetacean species, such as the Yangtze finless porpoise *Neophocaena asiaeorientalis asiaeorientalis* after the construction of the Three Gorges and Gezhouba dams, which caused flow regime alterations and reductions in fish spawning (Wang, 2009; Fang et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2017).

Dredging could have negative effects on the Amazon River dolphin population similar to those that have occurred in Yangtze finless porpoise populations, where dredging has been correlated with higher cortisol and lower testosterone levels (Nabi et al., 2018). Entrainment (i.e. the process by which surface sediment is incorporated into a fluid flow), habitat degradation, noise, contaminant remobilization and sedimentation can all affect benthic communities, which in turn can affect cetaceans indirectly via prey displacement (Todd et al., 2015). Noise also affects dolphins directly as it often causes communication

masking (when the ability to detect or recognize a sound of interest is reduced by the presence of another sound; Erbe et al., 2016). Construction of the Amazon Waterway is currently under contract to commence but has been delayed because of objections from Indigenous and local communities and environmental groups, who claim that the impact assessments were not scientifically robust and the project is not viable in the long term (Sierra Praeli, 2020). Following construction of the Waterway, dredging will be undertaken regularly to maintain navigability. Greater vessel traffic in these areas could lead to collisions with dolphins and an increase in underwater noise.

These effects of water infrastructure projects and interactions with fisheries are cumulative with other threats such as climate change, pollution and hunting for use as bait (Palmer et al., 2008; Mintzer et al., 2013; Barbosa et al., 2021). Although the Amazon River dolphin population is believed to be larger than that of other threatened river dolphin species, the total population size is unknown; however, it is known to be decreasing (Martin & da Silva, 2022). We therefore recommend a precautionary management approach. Peru is in a unique position as many of the drivers of habitat degradation we have described here are still at the proposal stage. On a broader scale, the Peruvian Amazon fisheries sector requires a stronger legislative framework that recognizes the central role of subsistence fishing in food security in the region and considers the realities of fishing by remote riverine communities (Wildlife Conservation Society, 2020a). This would be an important first step towards better organizing fisheries to then promote more sustainable fishing gear (e.g. hooks, harpoons) and estimate bycatch rates of river dolphins. In the case of dams and the Amazon Waterway, governments must consider explicitly the potential effects that dams will have at the basin scale and on freshwater species, as they will affect not only aquatic mammals but also the fisheries sector and food security (Winemiller et al., 2016). Without careful consideration, new dams will inevitably cause a decline in biodiversity and ecosystem services.

Acknowledgements We thank the South American River Dolphin Initiative and WWF–Peru for funding this study; M. Pajuelo, N. Acuna, F. Nishio, P. Colchao and the fishers and community members who participated in tag deployment; and the editor and an anonymous reviewer for their comments. Elizabeth Campbell has a doctoral fellowship from WWF–Education for Nature.

Author contributions Fieldwork: EC, JAS, JCM, JLM; data analysis, writing, revision: all authors.

Conflicts of interest None.

Ethical standards Satellite tagging was conducted under national research permits (RD 515-2018 PRODUCE, RJ 003-2018SERNANP) and was reviewed and approved by the University of Exeter Ethics Committee (eCORN001707), and this research otherwise abided by the *Oryx* guidelines on ethical standards.

References

- ALIAGA-ROSSEL, E. & DURAN, L.A.G. (2020) Four decades of research on distribution and abundance of the Bolivian river dolphin *Inia geoffrensis boliviensis*. *Endangered Species Research*, 42, 151–165.
- ALIAGA-ROSSEL, E. & ESCOBAR-WW, M. (2020) Translocation of trapped Bolivian river dolphins (*Inia boliviensis*). *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 21, 17–23.
- ALLAN, J.D., ABELL, R., HOGAN, Z., REVENGA, C., TAYLOR, B.W., WELCOMME, R.L. & WINEMILLER, K. (2005) Overfishing of inland waters. *BioScience*, 55, 1041–1051.
- ALMEIDA, R.M., HAMILTON, S.K., ROSI, E.J., BARROS, N., DORIA, C.R.C., FLECKER, A.S. et al. (2020) Hydropeaking operations of two run-of-river mega-dams alter downstream hydrology of the largest Amazon tributary. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 8, 1–11.
- ALVAREZ GÓMEZ, L.F. & RIOS TORRES, S.J. (2009) *Viabilidad económica de la pesca artesanal en el departamento de Loreto*. Instituto de Investigaciones de la Amazonía Peruana, Iquitos, Peru. repositorio.iiap.gob.pe/bitstream/20.500.12921/266/1/Alvarez_documento_tecnico_2009_13.pdf [accessed 17 April 2023].
- ANDERSON, E.P., JENKINS, C.N., HEILPERN, S., MALDONADO-OCAMPO, J.A., CARVAJAL-VALLEJOS, F.M., ENCALADA, A.C. et al. (2018) Fragmentation of Andes-to-Amazon connectivity by hydropower dams. *Science Advances*, 4, 1–8.
- ARAÚJO, C.C. & WANG, J.Y. (2015) The dammed river dolphins of Brazil: impacts and conservation. *Oryx*, 49, 17–24.
- ARGOS (2023) argos-system.org [accessed 17 April 2023].
- BARBOSA, M.S., CARVALHO, D.P., GRAVENA, W., DE ALMEIDA, R., MUSSY, M.H., SOUSA, E.A. et al. (2021) Total mercury and methylmercury in river dolphins (Cetacea: Iniidae: *Inia* spp.) in the Madeira river basin, western Amazon. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28, 45121–45133.
- BROWNELL, R.L., JR, REEVES, R.R., READ, A., SMITH, B.D., THOMAS, P., RALLS, K. et al. (2019) Bycatch in gillnet fisheries threatens Critically Endangered small cetaceans and other aquatic megafauna. *Endangered Species Research*, 40, 285–296.
- BROWNELL, R.L., JR, REEVES, R.R., THOMAS, P.O., SMITH, B.D. & RYAN, G.E. (2017) Dams threaten rare Mekong dolphins. *Science*, 355, 805.
- CAMPBELL, E., ALFARO-SHIGUETO, J., ALIAGA-ROSSEL, E., BEASLEY, I., BRICEÑO, Y., CABALLERO, S. et al. (2022) Challenges and priorities for river cetacean conservation. *Endangered Species Research*, 49, 13–42.
- CAMPBELL, E., ALFARO-SHIGUETO, J., GODLEY, B.J. & MANGEL, J.C. (2017) Abundance estimate of the Amazon River dolphin (*Inia geoffrensis*) and the tucuxi (*Sotalia fluviatilis*) in southern Ucayali, Peru. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Research*, 45, 957–969.
- CAMPBELL, E., MANGEL, J.C., ALFARO-SHIGUETO, J., MENA, J.L., THURSTAN, R.H. & GODLEY, B.J. (2020) Coexisting in the Peruvian Amazon: interactions between fisheries and river dolphins. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 56, 125859.
- CHEN, M., ZHANG, X., WANG, K., LIU, Z., WAN, A., YU, D. et al. (2017) Spatial and temporal distribution dynamics of the Yangtze finless porpoise at the confluence of the Yangtze and Wanhe rivers: implications for conservation. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, 49, 2263–2269.
- DA SILVA, V.M.F. (1983) *Ecologia alimentar dos golfinhos da Amazonia*. MSc thesis. Universidade do Amazonas, Manaus, Brazil.
- DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2008) Amazon River dolphin. In *Encyclopedia of Marine Mammals (2nd Edition)* (eds W.F. Perrin, B. Würsig, J.G.M. Thewissen), pp. 21–24. Academic Press, San Diego, USA.
- DA SILVA, V., TRUJILLO, F., MARTIN, A., ZERBINA, A.N., CRESPO, E., ALIAGA-ROSSEL, E. & REEVES, R. (2018) *Inia geoffrensis*. In *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species* 2018. dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T10831A50358152.en [accessed 10 April 2023].

- DAVIS, R., DAVID, J., MEYER, M., SEKIGUCHI, K., BEST, P., DASSIS, M. & RODRIGUEZ, D. (2014) Home range and diving behaviour of Heaviside's dolphins monitored by satellite off the west coast of South Africa. *African Journal of Marine Science*, 36, 455–466.
- DEWHURST-RICHMAN, N.I., JONES, J.P.G.G., NORTHRIDGE, S., AHMED, B., BROOK, S., FREEMAN, R. et al. (2020) Fishing for the facts: river dolphin bycatch in a small-scale freshwater fishery in Bangladesh. *Animal Conservation*, 23, 160–170.
- DIREPRO-L (Dirección Regional de la Producción Loreto) (2016) *Base de Datos de Desembarque Pesquero Comercial de Consumo Humano*. Oficina de Planeamiento y Presupuesto de la Dirección Regional a la Producción de Loreto, Iquitos, Peru.
- DONG, L., WANG, D., WANG, K., LI, S., MEI, Z., WANG, S. et al. (2015) Yangtze finless porpoises along the main channel of Poyang Lake, China: implications for conservation. *Marine Mammal Science*, 31, 612–628.
- ERBE, C., REICHMUTH, C., CUNNINGHAM, K., LUCKE, K. & DOOLING, R. (2016) Communication masking in marine mammals: a review and research strategy. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 103, 15–38.
- FANG, H., HE, G., HAN, D., DUAN, J., HUANG, L. & CHEN, M. (2014) Fluvial processes and their impact on the finless porpoise's habitat after the Three Gorges Project became operational. *Science China Technological Sciences*, 57, 1020–1029.
- FORSBERG, B.R., MELACK, J.M., DUNNE, T., BARTHEM, R.B., GOULDING, M., PAIVA, R.C.D. et al. (2017) The potential impact of new Andean dams on Amazon fluvial ecosystems. *PLOS ONE*, 12, e0182254.
- FRANKISH, C.K., CUNNINGHAM, C., MANICA, A., CLAY, T.A., PRINCE, S. & PHILLIPS, R.A. (2021) Tracking juveniles confirms fisheries-bycatch hotspot for an endangered albatross. *Biological Conservation*, 261, 109288.
- FREITAS, C., KOVACS, K.M., LYDERSEN, C. & IMS, R.A. (2008) A novel method for quantifying habitat selection and predicting habitat use. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45, 1213–1220.
- FÜRSTENAU OLIVEIRA, J.S., GEORGIADIS, G., CAMPELLO, S., BRANDÃO, R.A. & CIUTI, S. (2017) Improving river dolphin monitoring using aerial surveys. *Ecosphere*, 8, e01912.
- GOMEZ-SALAZAR, C., PORTOCARRERO-AYA, M., TRUJILLO, F., CABALLERO, S., BOLANOS-JIMENEZ, J., UTRERAS, V. et al. (2010) Update on the freshwater distribution of *Sotalia* in Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Venezuela and Suriname. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Mammals*, 8, 171–178.
- GOMEZ-SALAZAR, C., TRUJILLO, F., PORTOCARRERO-AYA, M. & WHITEHEAD, H. (2012) Population, density estimates, and conservation of river dolphins (*Inia* and *Sotalia*) in the Amazon and Orinoco river basins. *Marine Mammal Science*, 28, 124–153.
- GÓMEZ-SALAZAR, C., WHITEHEAD, H., TRUJILLO, F., WHITEHEAD, H., GOMEZ-SALAZAR, C., TRUJILLO, F. et al. (2011) Population size estimates of pink river dolphins (*Inia geoffrensis*) using mark-recapture methods on photo-identification. *Latin American Journal of Aquatic Mammals*, 9, 132–139.
- GRAHAM, R.T., WITT, M.J., CASTELLANOS, D.W., REMOLINA, F., MAXWELL, S., GODLEY, B.J. & HAWKES, L.A. (2012) Satellite tracking of manta rays highlights challenges to their conservation. *PLOS ONE*, 7, e36834.
- GREDZENS, C., MARSH, H., FUENTES, M.M.P.B., LIMPUS, C.J., SHIMADA, T. & HAMANN, M. (2014) Satellite tracking of sympatric marine megafauna can inform the biological basis for species co-management. *PLOS ONE*, 9, e98944.
- HART, K., IVERSON, A., FUJISAKI, I., LAMONT, M., BUCKLIN, D. & SHAVER, D. (2018) Marine threats overlap key foraging habitat for two imperiled sea turtle species in the Gulf of Mexico. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5, 336.
- HAYS, G.C., BAILEY, H., BOGRAD, S.J., BOWEN, W.D., CAMPAGNA, C., CARMICHAEL, R.H. et al. (2019) Translating marine animal tracking data into conservation policy and management. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 34, 459–473.
- INFRAMAZONIA (2022) *La Hidrovia Amazonica*. *InfrAmazonia*. inframazonia.com/hidrovia-proyecto [accessed 3 March 2022].
- KIRKLAND, M., EISENBERG, C., BICERRA, A., BODMER, R.E., MAYOR, P. & AXMACHER, J.C. (2020) Sustainable wildlife extraction and the impacts of socio-economic change among the Kukama-Kukamilla people of the Pacaya-Samiria National Reserve, Peru. *Oryx*, 54, 260–269.
- KRANSTAUBER, B., KAYS, R., LAPOINT, S.D., WIKELSKI, M., SAFI, K., KRANSTAUBER, B. et al. (2012) A dynamic Brownian bridge movement model to estimate utilization distributions for heterogeneous animal movement. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 81, 738–746.
- KRANSTAUBER, B., SMOLLA, M. & SCHARF, A.K. (2021) move: Visualizing and Analyzing Animal Track Data. R package version 4.0.6. bark.gitlab.io/move [accessed 18 August 2022].
- LE CORRE, M., JAEGER, A., PINET, P., KAPPES, M.A., WEIMERSKIRCH, H., CATRY, T. et al. (2012) Tracking seabirds to identify potential marine protected areas in the tropical western Indian ocean. *Biological Conservation*, 156, 83–93.
- MAASRI, A., JÄHNIG, S.C., ADAMESCU, M.C., ADRIAN, R., BAIGUN, C., BAIRD, D.J. et al. (2022) A global agenda for advancing freshwater biodiversity research. *Ecology Letters*, 25, 255–263.
- MARCH, D., METCALFE, K., TINTORÉ, J. & GODLEY, B.J. (2021) Tracking the global reduction of marine traffic during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature Communications*, 12, 1–12.
- MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (1998) Tracking aquatic vertebrates in dense tropical forest using VHF telemetry. *Marine Technology Society Journal*, 32, 82–87.
- MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2004a) Number, seasonal movements, and residency characteristics of river dolphins in an Amazonian floodplain lake system. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, 1315, 1307–1315.
- MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2004b) River dolphins and flooded forest: seasonal habitat use and sexual segregation of botos (*Inia geoffrensis*) in an extreme cetacean environment. *Journal of Zoology*, 263, 295–305.
- MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2006) Sexual dimorphism and body scarring in the boto (Amazon River dolphin) *Inia geoffrensis*. *Marine Mammal Science*, 22, 25–33.
- MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2018) Reproductive parameters of the Amazon river dolphin or boto, *Inia geoffrensis* (Cetacea: Iniidae); an evolutionary outlier bucks no trends. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 123, 666–676.
- MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2022) Amazon River dolphins *Inia geoffrensis* are on the path to extinction in the heart of their range. *Oryx*, 56, 587–591.
- MARTIN, A.R., DA SILVA, V.M.F. & SALMON, D.L. (2004) Riverine habitat preferences of botos (*Inia geoffrensis*) and tucuxi (*Sotalia fluviatilis*) in the central Amazon. *Marine Mammal Science*, 20, 189–200.
- MARZLUFF, J.M., KNICK, S.T. & MILLSPAUGH, J.J. (2001) High-tech behavioral ecology: modeling the distribution of animal activities to better understand wildlife space use and resource selection. In *Radiotracking and Animal Populations* (eds J.J. Millspaugh & J.M. Marzluff), pp. 309–326. Academic Press, San Diego, USA.
- MCGUIRE, T.L. & HENNINGSSEN, T. (2007) Movement patterns and site fidelity of river dolphins (*Inia geoffrensis* and *Sotalia fluviatilis*) in the Peruvian Amazon as determined by photo-identification. *Aquatic Mammals*, 33, 359–367.
- MCGUIRE, T.L. & WINEMILLER, K.O. (1998) Habitat associations, and potential prey of the occurrence patterns, in the Cinaruco river, Venezuela. *Biotropica*, 30, 625–638.
- MINTZER, V.J., LORENZEN, K., FRAZER, T.K., DA SILVA, V.M.F. & MARTIN, A.R. (2016) Seasonal movements of river dolphins (*Inia*

- geoffrensis*) in a protected Amazonian floodplain. *Marine Mammal Science*, 32, 664–681.
- MINTZER, V.J., MARTIN, A.R., DA SILVA, V.M.F., BARBOUR, A.B., LORENZEN, K. & FRAZER, T.K. (2013) Effect of illegal harvest on apparent survival of Amazon River dolphins (*Inia geoffrensis*). *Biological Conservation*, 158, 280–286.
- MOSQUERA-GUERRA, F., TRUJILLO, F., OLIVEIRA-DA-COSTA, M., MARMONTEL, M., VAN DAMME, P., FRANCO, N. et al. (2021) Home range and movements of Amazon River dolphins *Inia geoffrensis* in the Amazon and Orinoco river basins. *Endangered Species Research*, 45, 269–282.
- MOSQUERA-GUERRA, F., TRUJILLO, F., PÉREZ-TORRES, J., MANTILLA-MELUK, H., FRANCO, N., VALDERRAMA, M.J. et al. (2022) Identifying habitat preferences and core areas of Amazon River dolphin activity using spatial ecology analysis. *Landscape Ecology*, 37, 2099–2119.
- NABI, G., HAO, Y., MCLAUGHLIN, R.W. & WANG, D. (2018) The possible effects of high vessel traffic on the physiological parameters of the critically endangered Yangtze finless porpoise (*Neophocaena asiaeorientalis* ssp. *asiaeorientalis*). *Frontiers in Physiology*, 9, 1–11.
- OLIVEIRA-DA-COSTA, M., MARMONTEL, M., DA-ROSA, D.S.X., COELHO, A., WICH, S., MOSQUERA-GUERRA, F. & TRUJILLO, F. (2020) Effectiveness of unmanned aerial vehicles to detect Amazon dolphins. *Oryx*, 54, 696–698.
- OPENSTREETMAP (2020) openstreetmap.org [accessed 12 December 2022].
- PALMER, M.A., REIDY LIERMANN, C.A., NILSSON, C., FLÖRKE, M., ALCAMO, J., LAKE, P.S. & BOND, N. (2008) Climate change and the world's river basins: anticipating management options. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 6, 81–89.
- PASCHOALINI, M., ALMEIDA, R.M., TRUJILLO, F., MELO-SANTOS, G., MARMONTEL, M., PAVANATO, H.J., et al. (2020) On the brink of isolation: population estimates of the Araguaian river dolphin in a human-impacted region in Brazil. *PLOS ONE*, 15, e0231224.
- PASCHOALINI, M., TRUJILLO, F., MARMONTEL, M., MOSQUERA-GUERRA, F., PAITACH, R.L., JULIÃO, H.P. et al. (2021) Density and abundance estimation of Amazonian river dolphins: understanding population size variability. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*, 9, 1184.
- PAVANATO, H.J., GOMEZ-SALAZAR, C., TRUJILLO, F., LIMA, D., PASCHOALINI, M., RISTAU, N. & MARMONTEL, M. (2019) Density, abundance and group size of river dolphins (*Inia geoffrensis* and *Sotalia fluviatilis*) in Central Amazonia, Brazil. *Journal of Cetacean Research and Management*, 20, 93–100.
- PAVANATO, H., MELO-SANTOS, G., LIMA, D., PORTOCARRERO-AYA, M., PASCHOALINI, M., MOSQUERA, F. et al. (2016) Risks of dam construction for South American river dolphins: a case study of the Tapajós River. *Endangered Species Research*, 31, 47–60.
- PIVARI, D., PAGLIANI, B., LEMOS, L., LIMA, D. & GRAVENA, W. (2021) Monitoring a critical population of the Bolivian river dolphin, *Inia boliviensis*, before and after closing the floodgates of a hydroelectric dam in the Amazon Basin, Brazil: a quantitative analysis. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 64, 126082.
- QUEIROZ, N., HUMPHRIES, N.E., MUCIENTES, G., HAMMERSCHLAG, N., LIMA, F.P., SCALES, K.L. et al. (2016) Ocean-wide tracking of pelagic sharks reveals extent of overlap with longline fishing hotspots. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113, 1582–1587.
- RABY, G.D., COLOTELO, A.H., BLOUIN-DEMERS, G. & COOKE, S.J. (2011) Freshwater commercial bycatch: an understated conservation problem. *BioScience*, 61, 271–280.
- R CORE TEAM (2021) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. r-project.org [accessed 17 April 2023].
- READ, A.J. & WESTGATE, A.J. (1997) Monitoring the movements of harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*) with satellite telemetry. *Marine Biology*, 130, 315–322.
- ROBERT-COUDERT, Y., VAN DE PUTTE, A.P., REISINGER, R.R., BORNEMANN, H., CHARRASSIN, J.B., COSTA, D.P. et al. (2020) The retrospective analysis of Antarctic tracking data project. *Scientific Data*, 7, 1–11.
- SCOTT, R., HODGSON, D.J., WITT, M.J., COYNE, M.S., ADNYANA, W., BLUMENTHAL, J.M. et al. (2012) Global analysis of satellite tracking data shows that adult green turtles are significantly aggregated in marine protected areas. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 21, 1053–1061.
- SIERRA PRAELI, Y. (2020) *Hidrovia Amazónica: cuestionado proyecto entrampado por problemas con el estudio de impacto ambiental*. es.mongabay.com/2020/01/hidrovia-amazonica-estudio-de-impacto-ambiental [accessed June 2023].
- SVEEGAARD, S., GALATIUS, A., DIETZ, R., KYHN, L., KOBLITZ, J.C., AMUNDIN, M. et al. (2015) Defining management units for cetaceans by combining genetics, morphology, acoustics and satellite tracking. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 3, 839–850.
- TELLO-MARTIN, J.S. & MONTREUIL-FRIAS, V.H. (1994) Características de la flota pesquera comercial de Iquitos. *Folia Amazónica*, 6, 233.
- TELLO, S. & BAYLEY, P. (2001) Esfuerzo pesquero de la flota comercial de Loreto con énfasis en el Análisis de la Relación entre captura y esfuerzo pesquero de la flota comercial de Iquitos, Cuenca del Amazonas (Peru). *Folia Amazónica*, 12, 123–139.
- TODD, V.L.G., TODD, I.B., GARDINER, J.C., MORRIN, E.C.N., MACPHERSON, N.A., DIMARZIO, N.A. & THOMSEN, F. (2015) A review of impacts of marine dredging activities on marine mammals. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 72, 328–340.
- TREGENZA, N., MARTIN, A.R. & DA SILVA, V.M.F. (2007) Click train characteristics in river dolphins in Brazil. *Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics*, 29, 8.
- VAN ETEN, J. (2017) R package *gdistance*: distances and routes on geographical grids. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 76, 1–21.
- WANG, D. (2009) Population status, threats and conservation of the Yangtze finless porpoise. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 54, 3473–3484.
- WELLS, R.S., SCHWACKE, L.H., ROWLES, T.K., BALMER, B.C., ZOLMAN, E., SPEAKMAN, T. et al. (2017) Ranging patterns of common bottlenose dolphins *Tursiops truncatus* in Barataria Bay, Louisiana, following the Deepwater Horizon oil spill. *Endangered Species Research*, 33, 159–180.
- WHITTY, T. (2016) Multi-methods approach to characterizing the magnitude, impact, and spatial risk of Irrawaddy dolphin (*Orcaella brevirostris*) bycatch in small-scale fisheries in Malampaya Sound, Philippines. *Marine Mammal Science*, 32, 1022–1043.
- WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY (2020a) Evaluación espacial de los conflictos pesqueros en la región Loreto. Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, USA. library.wcs.org/doi/ctl/view/mid/33065/pubid/DMX384290000.aspx [accessed January 2023].
- WILDLIFE CONSERVATION SOCIETY (2020b) *Pesquerías en Loreto, Amenazas emergentes y presiones prevalentes*. Wildlife Conservation Society, New York, USA. global.wcs.org/Resources/Publications/Publications-Search-II/ctl/view/mid/13340/pubid/DMX385690000.aspx [accessed January 2023].
- WINEMILLER, K.O., MCINTYRE, P.B., CASTELLO, L., FLUET-CHOUINARD, E., GIARRIZZO, T., NAM, S. et al. (2016) Balancing hydropower and biodiversity in the Amazon, Congo, and Mekong. *Science*, 351, 128–129.