

## THE DEGREE OF APPROXIMATION BY POSITIVE LINEAR OPERATORS ON COMPACT CONNECTED ABELIAN GROUPS

WALTER R. BLOOM and JOSEPH F. SUSSICH

(Received 3 May 1981)

Communicated by J. B. Miller

### Abstract

In 1953 P. P. Korovkin proved that if  $(T_n)$  is a sequence of positive linear operators defined on the space  $C$  of continuous real  $2\pi$ -periodic functions and  $\lim T_n f = f$  uniformly for  $f = 1, \cos$  and  $\sin$ , then  $\lim T_n f = f$  uniformly for all  $f \in C$ . Quantitative versions of this result have been given, where the rate of convergence is given in terms of that of the test functions  $1, \cos$  and  $\sin$ , and the modulus of continuity of  $f$ . We extend this result by giving a quantitative version of Korovkin's theorem for compact connected abelian groups.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification* (*Amer. Math. Soc.*): primary 41 A 65, 41 A 25; secondary 41 A 36, 43 A 70.

Throughout  $G$  will denote a compact Hausdorff abelian group,  $\Gamma$  its character group, and  $C(G)$  the space of continuous functions on  $G$  with the uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . A linear operator  $T$  on  $C(G)$  will be called positive if  $Tf \geq 0$  whenever  $f \geq 0$ . It is well known that such an operator takes real functions into real functions, and  $|Tf| \leq T|f|$  for all  $f \in C(G)$ . In particular  $T$  is continuous with  $\|T\| = \|T1\|$ , where  $1$  denotes the constant function with value  $1$ .

The so-called Korovkin theory is concerned with deducing convergence properties of a sequence  $(T_n)$  of positive linear operators from those of  $(T_n f)$  for  $f$  belonging to a (small) subset  $S(G)$  of  $C(G)$ . We refer to  $S(G)$  as a test set (for  $(T_n)$ ). Korovkin [6] proved that when  $G$  is taken to be the circle group  $\mathbf{T}$  then  $\{1, e_1\}$  serves as a test set, where  $e_1: e^{ix} \rightarrow e^{ix}$ . Subsequently this result was given

in a quantitative form by Shisha and Mond (see [10], Theorem 3 and [11]) in which the rate of convergence of  $(T_n f)$  is estimated in terms of that of  $(T_n 1)$  and  $(T_n e_1)$  and the modulus of continuity of  $f$ . Censor (see [3], Theorem 2 and the remarks immediately preceding it) gave a version of this result for the multi-dimensional torus  $\mathbf{T}^n$ . For other results along these lines, including the case for algebraic polynomials on the unit interval, see [4], [6], [7], [8], [10] and [11]. In a new direction Nishishiraho [9] has given a quantitative version of Korovkin's theorem for compact subsets of a locally convex Hausdorff space, which includes the case where the underlying space is real Euclidean space.

In [1] we considered the Korovkin theory on a locally compact abelian group  $G$ , with test set  $S(G)$  given by a set of continuous characters generating  $\Gamma$ . Here we shall make use of the ideas of Nishishiraho to recast these results in a quantitative form. Our results will include those of Shisha and Mond, and Censor for the periodic case. We shall also derive a corresponding result for the infinite dimensional torus.

For a nonempty subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  denote by  $\langle \Lambda \rangle$  the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  generated by  $\Lambda$ , and by  $A(G, \Lambda)$  the annihilator of  $\Lambda$  in  $G$  (see [5], (23.23)). We define the modulus of continuity of  $f \in C(G)$  with respect to  $\Lambda$  by

$$\omega(f, \Lambda, \delta) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : |\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)| \leq \delta \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Lambda\},$$

where  $\delta \geq 0$ . It is clear that  $\omega(f, \Lambda, \delta)$  is a nondecreasing function of  $\delta$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *For each subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Gamma$  and each  $f \in C(G)$  the function  $\delta \rightarrow \omega(f, \Lambda, \delta)$  is continuous at 0.*

**PROOF.** Write  $K = A(G, \Lambda) = \bigcap \{C_\delta : \delta > 0\}$ , where

$$C_\delta = \{x \in G : |\gamma(x) - 1| \leq \delta \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Lambda\}.$$

We first show that for any open neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $C_\delta \subset K + V$ . Indeed if not then  $\{C_\delta \setminus (K + V) : \delta > 0\}$  is a family of closed sets with the finite intersection property and, since  $G$  is compact,

$$K \setminus (K + V) = \bigcap \{C_\delta \setminus (K + V) : \delta > 0\} \neq \emptyset,$$

a contradiction.

Now choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and an open neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $x - y \in V$ , and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $C_\delta \subset K + V$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f, \Lambda, \delta) &\leq \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)|: x - y \in K + V\} \\ &= \sup\{|f(x) - f(x + y) + f(x + y) - f(x + y + z)|: \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad x \in G, -y \in K, -z \in V\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|f(x) - f(x + y)|: x \in G, -y \in K\} \\ &\quad + \sup\{|f(x) - f(x + z)|: x \in G, -z \in V\} \\ &\leq \omega(f, \Lambda, 0) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and, since  $\omega(f, \Lambda, \delta)$  is nondecreasing as a function of  $\delta$ , this establishes the result.

In order to show that  $\omega(f, \Lambda, \delta)$  is subhomogeneous in  $\delta$  we require a preliminary result concerning characters of compact connected abelian groups.

LEMMA 2. *Let  $G$  be connected and choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (the set of positive integers). Then  $\bigcap \{\gamma_i^{-1}(\xi_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is nonempty for every independent subset  $\Lambda = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$  of  $\Gamma$  and for every  $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\} \subset \mathbb{T}$ .*

PROOF. Since  $\Lambda$  is independent we have that each  $\gamma_i$  is nonconstant and, using the connectedness of  $G$ , that  $\gamma_i(G) = \mathbb{T}$ . Choose  $x_1$  such that  $\gamma_1(x_1) = \xi_1$  and suppose that  $x_k$  has been chosen satisfying  $\gamma_i(x_k) = \xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We show how to choose  $x_{k+1}$  such that  $\gamma_i(x_{k+1}) = \xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$ .

First note that by [5], (24.10),

$$\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k \rangle = A \left\{ \Gamma, \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(\gamma_i) \right\}$$

and, since  $\Lambda$  is independent and its elements have infinite order ([5], (24.25)), we must have  $\gamma_{k+1}^m \notin \langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_k \rangle$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $z_n \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(\gamma_i) \setminus \ker(\gamma_{k+1}^n)$ . In particular, since  $z_n \notin \ker(\gamma_{k+1}^n)$ , it follows that the  $\gamma_{k+1}(jz_n)$  are pairwise distinct for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Hence the subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}$  generated by  $\{\gamma_{k+1}(jz_n) : j = 1, 2, \dots, n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is infinite and thus dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Consequently we can choose a sequence  $(y_n) \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(\gamma_i)$  such that  $\lim_n \gamma_{k+1}(y_n) = \xi_{k+1} \bar{\gamma}_{k+1}(x_k)$ . Using the compactness of  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(\gamma_i)$  we have the existence of  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(\gamma_i)$  and a subnet  $(y_{n_\alpha})$  of  $(y_n)$  such that  $\lim_\alpha y_{n_\alpha} = y$ . From the continuity of  $\gamma_{k+1}$  it follows that  $\gamma_{k+1}(y) = \xi_{k+1} \bar{\gamma}_{k+1}(x_k)$ , and  $\gamma_{k+1}(y + x_k) = \xi_{k+1}$ . We also have  $\gamma_i(y + x_k) = \gamma_i(y)\gamma_i(x_k) = \xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and thus  $x_{k+1} = y + x_k$  satisfies the required condition.

It should be noted that if for some compact abelian group  $G$ ,  $\bigcap \{\gamma_i^{-1}(\xi_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is nonempty for some subset  $\Lambda = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$  of  $\Gamma$  and every

$\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n\} \subset \mathbf{T}$  then  $\Lambda$  must be independent with all its elements having infinite order. Indeed suppose there exist integers  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  not all zero such that  $\gamma_1^{m_1} \gamma_2^{m_2} \dots \gamma_n^{m_n} = 1$ , and choose  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n \in \mathbf{T}$  satisfying  $\xi_1^{m_1} \xi_2^{m_2} \dots \xi_n^{m_n} \neq 1$ . By the assumption on  $\Lambda$  we have the existence of  $x \in G$  such that  $\gamma_i(x) = \xi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$1 = \gamma_1^{m_1} \gamma_2^{m_2} \dots \gamma_n^{m_n}(x) = \xi_1^{m_1} \xi_2^{m_2} \dots \xi_n^{m_n},$$

contradicting our choice of the  $\xi_i$ . Thus the  $\gamma_i$  do not satisfy any nontrivial relation, so that in particular each  $\gamma_i$  has infinite order and  $\Lambda$  is independent. It follows that if every finite subset of a generating set of  $\Gamma$  satisfies the above condition then  $\Gamma$  is torsion free which, by [5], (24.25), implies that  $G$  is connected.

We can now prove:

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $G$  be connected and let  $\Lambda$  be an independent subset of  $\Gamma$ . Then, for any  $f \in C(G)$ ,*

$$\omega(f, \Lambda_0, \lambda\delta) \leq \pi(1 + \lambda)\omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta)$$

for all  $\lambda, \delta \geq 0$  and every finite nonempty subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$ .

**PROOF.** Firstly we show that for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\omega(f, \Lambda_0, n\delta) \leq \pi n \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta).$$

For  $n = 1$  the inequality is evident, so take  $n \geq 2$  and suppose that  $x, y \in G$  satisfy  $|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)| \leq n\delta$  for all  $\gamma \in \Lambda_0$ . Writing  $m = [\frac{1}{2}\pi n] + 2$ , where  $[\lambda]$  denotes the greatest integer not exceeding  $\lambda$ , we can choose  $\xi_1(\gamma), \xi_2(\gamma), \dots, \xi_m(\gamma) \in \mathbf{T}$  such that  $\xi_1(\gamma) = \gamma(x), \xi_m(\gamma) = \gamma(y)$  and

$$|\xi_j(\gamma) - \xi_{j+1}(\gamma)| = n^{-1} |\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)| \leq \delta$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ . Using Lemma 2 we have the existence of  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in G$  with  $x_1 = x, x_m = y$  and  $\gamma(x_j) = \xi_j(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Lambda_0$  and each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (f(x_{j+1}) - f(x_j)) \right| \\ &\leq (m - 1)\omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta) \leq \pi n \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Now take any  $\lambda \geq 0$  and put  $n = [\lambda] + 1$ . Since  $\omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta)$  is nondecreasing as a function of  $\delta$  we have

$$\omega(f, \Lambda_0, \lambda\delta) \leq \omega(f, \Lambda_0, n\delta) \leq \pi n \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta) \leq \pi(1 + \lambda)\omega(f, \Lambda_0, \delta).$$

For any positive linear operator  $T$  on  $C(G)$  and nonempty  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$ , write

$$\tau(\Lambda) = \sup \left\{ \sum \left[ T|\gamma - \gamma(x)|^2(x) : \gamma \in \Lambda \right] : x \in G \right\}^{1/2}.$$

When  $T$  is a convolution operator, given by  $Tf = \mu * f$  for some nonnegative bounded Radon measure  $\mu$ , then using

$$|\gamma - \gamma(x)|^2 = 2 - \bar{\gamma}(x)\gamma - \gamma(x)\bar{\gamma}$$

and applying  $T$ , we obtain

$$\tau(\Lambda) = \left( \sum \{ 2\hat{\mu}(1) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\bar{\gamma}) : \gamma \in \Lambda \} \right)^{1/2}.$$

We define the modulus of continuity of  $f \in C(G)$  with respect to  $T$  and  $\Lambda$  by

$$\Omega(f) = \inf \{ \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \tau(\Lambda_0)) : \Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda \text{ is finite and nonempty} \}.$$

For a net  $(T_\rho)$  of positive linear operators on  $C(G)$ ,  $\tau_\rho$  and  $\Omega_\rho$  will be defined as above with respect to each  $T_\rho$ .

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma$  with  $\langle \Lambda \rangle = \Gamma$  and let  $(T_\rho)$  be a net of positive linear operators on  $C(G)$  such that  $\lim \tau_\rho(\gamma) = 0$  for each  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\lim \Omega_\rho(f) = 0$  for all  $f \in C(G)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $V$  be any open neighbourhood of 0 in  $G$ . We show that there exists a finite nonempty subset  $\Lambda_0$  of  $\Lambda$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(1) \quad \{x \in G: |\gamma(x) - 1| < \delta \text{ for all } \gamma \in \Lambda_0\} \subset V.$$

First note that by the duality of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ , (1) holds for some  $\delta' > 0$  and finite subset  $\Lambda'$  of  $\Gamma$  (replacing  $\delta, \Lambda_0$  respectively). Now take  $\Lambda_0$  to be a finite subset of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\Lambda' \subset \langle \Lambda_0 \rangle$  and  $\delta = n^{-1}\delta'$ , where

$$n = \max \{ i : \gamma \in \Lambda', \gamma = \gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_i, \gamma_j^{e_j} \in \Lambda_0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, i$$

and some choice of  $e_j = \pm 1$  };

in the above representation for  $\gamma$  a word of minimum length appears. Then (1) holds for this choice of  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\delta$ , using the inequality

$$|\gamma_1\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_i - 1| \leq \sum_{j=1}^i |\gamma_j - 1|.$$

Next consider  $f \in C(G)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose an open neighbourhood  $V$  of 0 in  $G$  such that  $x - y \in V$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ , and then  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$  finite nonempty and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying (1). Since  $\lim \tau_\rho(\gamma) = 0$  for each  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  we have the existence of  $\rho_0$  such that

$$\sum \{ \tau_\rho(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Lambda_0 \} < \delta$$

for all  $\rho \geq \rho_0$ , and it follows that for this range of  $\rho$ ,

$$\Omega_\rho(f) \leq \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \tau_\rho(\Lambda_0)) \leq \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \sum \{\tau_\rho(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Lambda_0\}) < \varepsilon.$$

Our main result is an estimate of the rate of convergence of  $(T_\rho(f))$  in terms of that of  $(\Omega_\rho(f))$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be connected, let  $T$  be a positive linear operator on  $C(G)$ , and take  $\Lambda$  to be any independent set generating  $\Gamma$ . Then, for  $f, g \in C(G)$ ,*

$$(2) \quad \|Tf - fg\| \leq \|f\| \|T1 - g\| + \pi \|T1 + (T1)^{1/2}\| \Omega(f).$$

*If  $(T_\rho)$  is a net of positive linear operators on  $C(G)$  such that  $\lim \tau_\rho(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  and  $\lim T_\rho 1 = g$  then  $\lim T_\rho f = fg$  for all  $f \in C(G)$ .*

**PROOF.** Choose  $\Lambda_0$  to be any finite nonempty subset of  $\Lambda$ .

If  $\tau(\Lambda_0) > 0$  then, using Lemma 3, we have for any  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &\leq \omega\left(f, \Lambda_0, \left(\sum \{|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)|^2 : \gamma \in \Lambda_0\}\right)^{1/2}\right) \\ &\leq \pi \left[1 + \tau(\Lambda_0)^{-1} \left(\sum \{|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)|^2 : \gamma \in \Lambda_0\}\right)^{1/2}\right] \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \tau(\Lambda_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply  $T$  and evaluate at  $y$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |Tf(y) - f(y)T1(y)| &\leq \pi \left[T1(y) + \tau(\Lambda_0)^{-1} T\left(\sum \{|\gamma - \gamma(y)|^2 : \gamma \in \Lambda_0\}\right)^{1/2}(y)\right] \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \tau(\Lambda_0)) \\ &\leq \pi \left[T1(y) + (T1(y))^{1/2}\right] \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \tau(\Lambda_0)), \end{aligned}$$

the second step following from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for positive linear functionals. Since these inequalities hold for all  $y \in G$ ,

$$(3) \quad \|Tf - fT1\| \leq \pi \|T1 + (T1)^{1/2}\| \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \tau(\Lambda_0)).$$

If  $\tau(\Lambda_0) = 0$  then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \pi \left[1 + \varepsilon^{-1} \left(\sum \{|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)|^2 : \gamma \in \Lambda_0\}\right)^{1/2}\right] \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \varepsilon).$$

Applying  $T$  as above we have

$$|Tf(y) - f(y)T1(y)| \leq \pi T1(y) \omega(f, \Lambda_0, \varepsilon)$$

and, by Lemma 1, the same inequality holds with 0 replacing  $\varepsilon$ .

Thus (3) holds for all finite nonempty  $\Lambda_0 \subset \Lambda$ , from which (2) follows. The last statement of the theorem is proved by appealing to Lemma 4.

Of particular interest is the following special case of the theorem.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $G$  be connected and take  $\Lambda$  to be any independent set generating  $\Gamma$ . For any net  $(T_\rho)$  of positive linear operators on  $C(G)$  satisfying  $T_\rho 1 = 1$  for all  $\rho$  we have*

$$(4) \quad \|T_\rho f - f\| \leq 2\pi\Omega_\rho(f)$$

for all  $f \in C(G)$ . In particular if  $\lim \tau_\rho(\gamma) = 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  then  $\lim T_\rho f = f$  for all  $f \in C(G)$ .

There is no possibility of extending the result to groups that are not connected, as the following example shows.

**EXAMPLE.** Consider the Cantor group  $\mathbf{D} = \prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathbf{Z}(2)$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}(2)$  denotes the cyclic group of order two, and for each  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  write  $G_n$  for the open subgroup given by

$$G_n = \{(x_i) \in \mathbf{D} : x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \leq n\}.$$

Denoting the characteristic function of  $G_n$  by  $1_n$  we see that the

$$k_n = 2^n 1_n = \sum \{\gamma : \gamma \in A(\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}, G_n)\}$$

are nonnegative trigonometric polynomials, and  $T_n f = k_n * f$  defines a sequence  $(T_n)$  of positive convolution operators on  $C(\mathbf{D})$ . We observe that  $T_n 1 = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and, since  $(k_n)$  is a bounded approximate unit for  $L^1(\mathbf{D})$ ,  $\lim T_n f = f$  for each  $f \in C(\mathbf{D})$ . We show that there exists  $f \in C(G)$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $(T_n)$  cannot satisfy (4) for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

It is well known that  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}} \cong \prod_{i=1}^\infty \mathbf{Z}(2)$  (the countable weak direct product of the groups  $\mathbf{Z}(2)$ ). Writing  $\gamma_i$  for the continuous character of  $\mathbf{D}$  that (under the above isomorphism) has 1 in the  $i$ th entry and zero elsewhere, we see that  $\Lambda = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$  is an independent set generating  $\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}$ . Put  $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty 2^{-i^2} \gamma_i$ . Then  $f \in C(\mathbf{D})$  and

$$\|T_n f - f\| = \left\| \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty 2^{-i^2} \gamma_i \right\| = \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty 2^{-i^2},$$

using the property

$$T_n \gamma = \begin{cases} \gamma, & \gamma \in A(\Gamma_{\mathbf{D}}, G_n), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n(f) &\leq \omega(f, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}\}, \tau(\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}\})) \\ &= \omega(f, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n+1}\}, 2^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

But the continuous characters of  $\mathbf{D}$  take the values  $\pm 1$  only, so that  $|\gamma(x) - \gamma(y)| < 2$  implies that  $x - y \in \ker(\gamma)$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n(f) &\leq \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} 2^{-i^2} (\gamma_i(x) - \gamma_i(y)) \right| : x, y \in \mathbf{D} \right\} \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} 2^{-i^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lim_n \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i^2} (\sum_{i=n+2}^{\infty} 2^{-i^2})^{-1} = \infty$  it is impossible for (4) to hold.

Our theorem includes the known quantitative estimates for convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators on  $C(\mathbf{T})$ . Indeed  $\Lambda = \{e_1\}$  is an independent set generating  $\mathbf{Z}$ , the character group of  $\mathbf{T}$ . The modulus of continuity of  $f \in C(\mathbf{T})$  with respect to  $\Lambda$  is

$$\omega(f, \Lambda, \delta) = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : |x - y| \leq \delta \},$$

which is just the usual modulus of continuity. Now an easy calculation gives

$$\tau(e_1) = 2 \sup \{ T(\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(x - t))(x) : x \in \mathbf{T} \}^{1/2},$$

and substituting into (2) gives Theorem 3 in [10].

We now consider the convergence of positive linear operators on  $C(\mathbf{T}^n)$ . Take  $\Lambda = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$ , where  $\gamma_i: \mathbf{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{T}$  is the projection onto the  $i$ th coordinate,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is an independent set generating the character group of  $\mathbf{T}^n$ . The modulus of continuity of  $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^n)$  with respect to  $\Lambda$  is

$$\omega(f, \Lambda, \delta) = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : |x_i - y_i| \leq \delta \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \},$$

where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . We have

$$\tau(\Lambda) = 2 \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n T(\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}(x_i - t_i))(x) : x \in \mathbf{T}^n \right\}^{1/2},$$

and substituting into (2) gives [3], Theorem 2.

Finally we present an application of our results to the infinite dimensional torus  $\mathbf{T}^\infty$ , the direct product of countably many copies of  $\mathbf{T}$ . Take  $\Lambda = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$ , where  $\gamma_i$  is the  $i$ th coordinate projection of  $\mathbf{T}^\infty$  onto  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $(k_n)$  be a sequence of nonnegative continuous functions on  $\mathbf{T}$  with  $\|k_n\|_1 = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , and define  $(K_n) \subset C(\mathbf{T}^\infty)$  by

$$K_n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n k_n(x_i), \quad x = (x_i) \in \mathbf{T}^\infty;$$

for a similar construction see [5], (44.53). We shall examine the convergence of the positive convolution operators  $T_n f$  given by  $T_n f = K_n * f$ ,  $f \in C(\mathbf{T}^\infty)$ . Suppose

that  $\lim_n \hat{k}_n(e_1) = 1$ , so that by Korovkin's theorem,  $\lim_n \hat{k}_n(e_m) = 1$  for all  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $e_m = e_1^m$ . We have

$$\tau_n(\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}) = n^{1/2}(2 - \hat{k}_n(e_1) - \hat{k}_n(e_{-1}))^{1/2},$$

using the property that  $\hat{K}_n(\gamma_i) = \hat{k}_n(e_1)$  for  $i \leq n$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_n * f - f\| &\leq 2\pi\Omega_n(f) \\ &\leq 2\pi\omega\left(f, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}, n^{1/2}(2 - \hat{k}_n(e_1) - \hat{k}_n(e_{-1}))^{1/2}\right) \\ &= 2\pi \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : |x_i - y_i| \leq n^{1/2}(2 - \hat{k}_n(e_1) - \hat{k}_n(e_{-1}))^{1/2} \\ &\quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular if  $(k_n)$  is the Fejér-Korovkin kernel (see [2], 1.6.1) then  $\hat{k}_n(e_1) = \hat{k}_n(e_{-1}) = \cos \pi/(n+2)$  and

$$\|K_n * f - f\| \leq 2\pi \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : |x_i - y_i| \leq \pi n^{-1/2} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

## References

- [1] Walter R. Bloom and Joseph F. Sussich, 'Positive linear operators and the approximation of continuous functions on locally compact abelian groups', *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **30** (1980), 180–186.
- [2] Paul L. Butzer and Rolf J. Nessel, *Fourier analysis and approximation*, Volume I, *One-dimensional theory* (Lehrbücher und Monographien aus dem Gebiete der exakten Wissenschaften, Mathematische Reihe, Band 40, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1971).
- [3] Erga Censor, 'Quantitative results for positive linear approximation operators', *J. Approximation Theory* **4** (1971), 442–450.
- [4] R. De Vore, 'Optimal convergence of positive linear operators', *Proceedings of the conference on the constructive theory of functions*, Budapest, 1969, pp. 101–119 (Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1972).
- [5] Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Volumes I, II (Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bände 115, 152, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1963, 1970).
- [6] P. P. Korovkin, 'On convergence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions', *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **90** (1953), 961–964 (Russian).
- [7] B. Mond, 'On the degree of approximation by linear positive operators', *J. Approximation Theory* **18** (1976), 304–306.
- [8] B. Mond and R. Vasudevan, 'On approximation by linear positive operators', *J. Approximation Theory* **30** (1980), 334–336.
- [9] Toshihiko Nishishiraho, 'The degree of convergence of positive linear operators', *Tôhoku Math. J.* **29** (1977), 81–89.

- [10] O. Shisha and B. Mond, 'The degree of convergence of sequences of linear positive operators', *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **60** (1968), 1196–1200.
- [11] O. Shisha and B. Mond, 'The degree of approximation to periodic functions by linear positive operators', *J. Approximation Theory* **1** (1968), 335–339.

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences  
Murdoch University  
Perth, Western Australia 6150