

A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE SUM FORMULA

A. VERONA AND M.E. VERONA

In this note we present a simple, short proof of the sum formula for subdifferentials of convex functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach space, X^* be its dual endowed with the dual norm. Let $f, g : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be proper, lower semicontinuous, convex functions with (effective) domains $\text{dom } f$ and $\text{dom } g$ respectively, and let $\partial f, \partial g : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be their subdifferential operators respectively. It is straightforward to verify that $\partial f(x) + \partial g(x) \subseteq \partial(f+g)(x)$ for any $x \in X$. However, the converse inclusion is not always true (see for example the remark in [2, Theorem, 3.16]). It is therefore important to find conditions which assure that $\partial f(x) + \partial g(x) = \partial(f+g)(x)$ for any $x \in X$. If for example the domain of f and the interior of the domain of g have nonempty intersection, then the above equality is true (see for example [2, Theorem 3.16]). Attouch and Brezis proved in [1] the following more general result (see also [3] for a different proof):

THE SUM FORMULA. Let f and g be as above and assume that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda>0} \lambda(\text{dom } f - \text{dom } g) = \overline{\text{lin}(\text{dom } f - \text{dom } g)}$$

Then $\partial f + \partial g = \partial(f+g)$.

(In the above statement, “lin” stands for the “linear span of”.)

The aim of this note is to present a short and simple proof of the sum formula.

2. A PARTICULAR CASE

We begin by recalling the definition of the *epi-sum* (or *inf-convolution*) of two functions $f, g : R \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$:

$$(f \overset{e}{+} g)(x) = \inf_{u+v=x} \{f(u) + g(v)\}$$

Received 25th July, 2000

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/01 \$A2.00+0.00.

From the definition it follows that $\text{dom}(f \overset{e}{+} g) = \text{dom } f + \text{dom } g$. The epi-sum $f \overset{e}{+} g$ is called *exact* at (u, v) if $(f \overset{e}{+} g)(u + v) = f(u) + g(v)$. If f and g are convex, then $f \overset{e}{+} g$ is also convex. Finally, a direct computation shows that if f and g are convex and $f \overset{e}{+} g$ is exact at (u, v) then

$$(1) \quad \partial(f \overset{e}{+} g)(u + v) = \partial f(u) \cap \partial g(v).$$

LEMMA 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function and let Y be a closed subspace of X such that $Y + \text{dom } f$ is an absorbing subset of X and $(f \overset{e}{+} I_Y)(0) > -\infty$. Then $0 \in \text{Int}(\text{dom}(f \overset{e}{+} I_Y))$ and $f \overset{e}{+} I_Y$ is locally Lipschitz at 0. In particular $f \overset{e}{+} I_Y$ is subdifferentiable at 0, that is, $\partial(f \overset{e}{+} I_Y)(0) \neq \emptyset$.*

PROOF: By [3, Theorem 3], there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon B \subseteq \{x \in X; \|x\| \leq \lambda, f(x) \leq \lambda\} + Y.$$

It follows immediately that $(f \overset{e}{+} I_Y)(x) \leq \lambda$ for any $x \in \varepsilon B$. Since $(f \overset{e}{+} I_Y)(0) > -\infty$, it follows that $f \overset{e}{+} I_Y$ is nowhere $-\infty$. It is well known (see for example [2, Proposition 1.6] and the remark following it) that f is locally Lipschitz on εB and therefore is subdifferentiable at 0 (see for example [2, Proposition 1.11]). \square

LEMMA 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function and let Y be a closed subspace of X such that $Y + \text{dom } f$ is an absorbing subset of X . Then $\partial(f + I_Y) = \partial f + \partial I_Y$.*

PROOF: It is well known and easy to check that $\partial f(x) + \partial I_Y(x) \subseteq \partial(f + I_Y)(x)$ for any $x \in X$. To prove the other inclusion, let $x^* \in \partial(f + I_Y)(x)$. Define $g : X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ by $g(u) = f(x + u) - \langle x^*, x + u \rangle$. Then

- (i) $\text{dom } g = \text{dom } f - x$ and, since $x \in Y$, $Y + \text{dom } g = Y + \text{dom } f$ is absorbing;
- (ii) $u^* \in \partial f(x)$ if and only if $u^* - x^* \in \partial g(0)$.
- (iii) $u^* \in \partial(f + I_Y)(x)$ if and only if $u^* - x^* \in \partial(g + I_Y)(0)$.

Since $x^* \in \partial(f + I_Y)(x)$, (iii) implies that $0 \in \partial(g + I_Y)(0)$ and thus

$$g(0) + I_Y(0) = \inf_{u \in X} \{g(u) + I_Y(u)\}.$$

It follows that

$$(g \overset{e}{+} I_Y)(0) = \inf_{u \in X} \{g(u) + I_Y(-u)\} = \inf_{u \in X} \{g(u) + I_Y(u)\} = g(0) + I_Y(0) > -\infty.$$

Thus $g \overset{c}{\neq} I_Y$ is exact at $(0,0)$. From (i) and Lemma 1 it follows that $g \overset{c}{\neq} I_Y$ is subdifferentiable at 0 and therefore, from (1),

$$\emptyset \neq \partial(g \overset{c}{\neq} I_Y)(0) = \partial g(0) \cap \partial I_Y(0).$$

Thus, there exists $u^* \in X^*$ such that $u^* \in \partial g(0) \cap \partial I_Y(0)$. Clearly $-u^* \in \partial I_Y(x)$ and, by (ii), $x^* + u^* \in \partial f(x)$. Since $x^* = x^* + u^* + (-u^*) \in \partial f(x) + \partial I_Y(0)$, the lemma is proved. \square

3. PROOF OF THE SUM FORMULA

The proof is now standard, but we shall sketch it for sake of completeness.

First, in view of [3, Lemma 25 (c)] we can assume without any loss of generality that $\overline{\text{lin}(\text{dom } f - \text{dom } g)} = X$ and thus $\text{dom } f - \text{dom } g$ is absorbing in X . Define $h : X \times X \rightarrow R \cup \{+\infty\}$ by $h(x, y) = f(x) + g(y)$ and let $D = \{(x, x); x \in X\}$. Then h is a proper lower semicontinuous convex function on $X \times X$, D is a closed subspace of $X \times X$, and $\text{dom } h - D$ is an absorbing subset of $X \times X$. The Sum Formula follows from Lemma 2 and the following statements which, with the exception of (c), follow more or less directly from the definitions; (c) is a particular case of Lemma 2. As usual we shall identify $(X \times X)^*$ with $X^* \times X^*$.

- (a) $\partial I_D(z, z) = \{(z^*, -z^*); z^* \in X^*\}$;
- (b) $z^* \in \partial(f + g)(z) \iff (z^*, 0) \in \partial(h + I_D)(z, z)$
- (c) $(z^*, 0) \in \partial(h + I_D)(z, z) \iff (z^*, 0) \in \partial h(z, z) + \partial I_D(z, z)$;
- (d) $(z^*, 0) \in \partial h(z, z) + \partial I_D(z, z) \iff z^* = u^* + v^*, (u^*, v^*) \in \partial h(z, z)$;
- (e) $(u^*, v^*) \in \partial h(z, z) \iff u^* \in \partial f(u)$ and $v^* \in \partial f(v)$.

\square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Attouch and H. Brezis, 'Duality for the sum of convex functions in general Banach spaces', in *Aspects of Mathematics and its Applications*, (J.A. Barroso, Editor), North Holland Math. Library 34 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, 1986), pp. 125–133.
- [2] R.R. Phelps, *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability* (2nd Edition), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 1364 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993).
- [3] S. Simons, 'Sum theorems for monotone operators and convex functions', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 350 (1998), 2953–2972.

Department of Mathematics and
Computer Science
California State University
Los Angeles, CA 90032
United States of America
e-mail: averona@calstatela.edu

Department of Mathematics, DRB 155
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1113
United States of America
e-mail: verona@math.usc.edu