

Errata

In the article of Hooyberghs et al., 2004 (NJG 83/1: 33-40) the plates 1, 2 and 3 have been printed at half the original size; plate 4 is reduced to 1.6 of the original size. The stated enlargements in the explanation of these plates therefore should be divided by above mentioned reduction factors.

Due to a printing error p. 159 in vol. 83(3) has not been completely printed; the entire page is added here to replace the previous one.