

ON THE INVARIANCE OF A QUOTIENT GROUP OF THE CENTER OF $F/[R,R]$

Trueman MacHenry

(received January 21, 1969)

1. Let F be a free group of rank ≥ 2 , let $F/R \cong \Pi$, and let $F_0 = F/[R,R]$. Auslander and Lyndon showed that the center F_0^* of F_0 is a subgroup of $R/[R,R] = R_0$, and that it is non-trivial if and only if Π is finite [1, corollary 1.3 and theorem 2]. In this paper it will be shown that there is a canonically defined (and not always trivial) quotient group of the center of F_0 which depends only on Π . This result provides a dual to the well-known result of Baer [2] and Hopf [6] that $H_2(\Pi, J) \cong R \cap F'/[R, F]$, where J is the ring of integers and $F' = [F, F]$. $H_2(\Pi, J)$ is a quotient group of $Z = R_0 \cap F_0'$ while the group discussed here is a quotient group of $D = R_0 \cap F_0^* = F_0^*$.

In order to state the main results we let Π be a finite group and denote by P the subgroup of R_0 whose elements are products of all conjugates of an element in R_0 by distinct coset representatives of R_0 in F_0 . Thus, regarding R_0 as a Π -module under the operation induced by the inner automorphisms of F_0 acting on R_0 , $P = \{r \in R_0 \mid r = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha r_0, r_0 \in R_0\}$. Clearly, $P \leq F_0^*$. For an arbitrary group Π we define

$$K = \begin{cases} D/P & \text{if } \Pi \text{ is finite} \\ D & \text{if } \Pi \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1. $K \cong H_1(\Pi, J)$ if Π is finite;

$K = \langle 1 \rangle$ if Π is infinite and $\text{rank } F > 1$.

Thus, for finite Π , $K \cong \Pi/\Pi'$.

Next, let Π be finite and let $T: F \rightarrow R_0$ be the transfer map of F to R_0 [5, p. 201]. If TR is the image of the restriction of T to R , then

THEOREM 2.¹⁾

- i) $TF = D$,
- ii) $TR = P$,
- iii) $TF/TR = K$.

Finally, with Z as defined above,

THEOREM 3. $Z \cap D = \langle 1 \rangle$.

Thus no central element in F_0 can be written as a non-trivial product of commutators.

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my deep appreciation to Professor W. Magnus for his encouragement and suggestions during the preparation of this paper. I would also like to acknowledge the debt I owe to Professor R. Heaton of Rutgers University. It was from an interest we jointly explored that the ideas for this paper grew.

¹⁾ That $TF = D$ has been proved independently by A. Karrass and D. Solitar, by H. Neumann, and by M. Ojanguren [9, Satz 6.2] using different methods from ours. The first two of these proofs have not been published.

2. Proofs of theorems 1 and 2. In the course of these proofs

we use the following notation:

- $J\Pi$ is the integral group ring of Π ;
- \mathfrak{f} the fundamental ideal of $J\Pi$, i.e.,
 $\mathfrak{f} = \text{id}\langle 1 - \alpha, \alpha \in \Pi \rangle$;
- \mathfrak{s} the trace ideal, i.e., $\mathfrak{s} = \text{id}\langle \sum \alpha, \alpha \in \Pi \rangle$;
- T is a right transversal of R in F with 1 representing R . T is chosen to be a (two-sided) Schreier system [8, p. 93].

For any group G , $G' = [G, G]$ and $G^* =$ center of G .

LEMMA 1. $TF/TR \cong \Pi/\Pi'$, where Π is a finite group.

Proof. We suppose Π finite and let $F = \langle x_i \rangle_{i \in I} = X$, where X is a free generating set of F of cardinality greater than 1, and let T be a right Schreier transversal of R in F , then

$$Tx = \prod_{t \in T} tx\bar{t}x^{-1} \text{ mod } R' = \prod_{t \in T} (t, x), \quad x \in X,$$

where $\bar{t}x$ is the representative in T of tx , and $(t, x) = tx\bar{t}x^{-1} \text{ mod } R'$, [see, e.g., 5, 14.2.4]. Since T is a homomorphism, Tx_i generates TF . Since R_0 is free abelian, so is TF . Moreover, since exactly $|T| - 1$ of the elements of (t, x) , $t \in T$, $x \in X$, are the identity [8, theorem 2.10], $Tx_i \neq 1$ for any $i \in I$. Because $\{(t, x) | t \in T, x \in X, (t, x) \neq 1\}$ is a free generating set for R [8, theorem 2.9], $\{Tx_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a free-abelian generating set for TF . Hence the free-abelian rank

of TF is equal to the free rank of F and so $TF \cong F/F'$. The mapping $\tau x_i \xrightarrow{\theta} x_i \pmod{F'}$ determines such an isomorphism; call it θ also. θ sends R to RF'/F' , and with the aid of the third isomorphism theorem we have finished the proof of the lemma.

Next let $\psi: F \rightarrow \Pi$ be an epimorphism with Kernel R . In order to prove theorem 2, it is convenient to choose a particular representation for F_0 , namely, the Magnus representation: If M is the free Π -module with a free generating set $\{s_x | x \in X\}$, then the set of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\alpha \in \Pi$, $m \in M$, form a group E which is the splitting extension of the Π -module M by the group Π . The matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \psi x & s_x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ generate a subgroup of E isomorphic to F_0 [7]. The subgroup of E representing R_0 belongs to M . With this representation of F_0 in mind we have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & R_0 & \rightarrow & F_0 & \rightarrow & \Pi \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \circ & & \downarrow \circ & & \downarrow \\
 1 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow & E & \rightarrow & \Pi \rightarrow 1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 1 & &
 \end{array}$$

We shall abbreviate the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ to (α, m) .

Now M is a Π -derivation module for F (in fact, for JF) determined by a Π -derivation Δ of F to M , i.e., a map $\Delta: F \rightarrow M$ such that $\Delta x = s_x$, $x \in X$, and $\Delta(fg) = \psi f \Delta g + \Delta f$, $f, g \in F$ [cf. 4 and 3, chapter 14, problems 11-13]. Given an element $f \in F$, its Magnus representative²⁾ will be $(\psi f, \Delta f)$.

²⁾ The element Δf is a homomorphic image of the Fox derivative of Δf , and the coefficient of s_x in Δf is a homomorphic image of the partial of f with respect to x^x (see [4]).

LEMMA 2. $\Delta t x \bar{x}^{-1} = -\Delta \bar{t} \bar{x} + \Psi t s_x + \Delta t$, $t \in T$, $x \in X$.

Thus the Magnus representation of R_0 is generated by $\{(1, -\Delta t x + \Psi t s_x + \Delta t) \mid x \in X, t \in T\}$.

LEMMA 3. TF is represented in M by elements of the form (1,m) where $m \in \Delta M$, i.e., m belongs to the submodule of M whose coefficients lie in Δ .

Proof. Using lemma 2,

$$\Delta \prod_{t \in T} t x \bar{x}^{-1} = \sum_{t \in T} (-\Delta \bar{t} \bar{x} + \Psi t s_x + \Delta t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha s_x.$$

We have proved more, namely,

COROLLARY L3. ΔM lies in R_0 .

LEMMA 4. Let $1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 1$ be a splitting extension of an abelian group A by a group C. If $b \in B$ is written canonically as $b = (c, a)$, then the center of B consists just of those elements $b^* = (c^*, a^*)$ such that $c^* \in C^*$, the center of C, and $c^* \cdot a = a$ for all $a \in A$ and $c \cdot a^* = a^*$ for all $c \in C$, A being regarded as a left C-module whose action is determined by the extension.

The proof is straightforward and will therefore be omitted.

LEMMA 5. The annihilator in $J\Pi$ of \mathfrak{f} is Δ .

Proof. Plainly $\Delta <$ annihilator of \mathfrak{f} . On the other hand

$$\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} k_\alpha \right) (1 - \gamma) = 0, \quad k_\alpha \in J, \quad \text{implies that } k_\alpha = k_{\alpha\gamma}^{-1}, \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Pi.$$

Denoting the center of E by E^* , we have

LEMMA 6. $E^* = \delta M$.

Proof. Elements of E can be represented canonically in the form (γ, m) , $\gamma \in \Pi$, $m \in M$ with multiplication

$$(\gamma, m)(\gamma', m') = (\gamma\gamma', m + \gamma m').$$

Since M is free, by lemma 4 $E^* \leq M$ and consists of those elements $m^* = \sum u_x s_x$, $u_x \in J\Pi$, $x \in X$, such that $\gamma m^* = m^*$ for all $\gamma \in \Pi$. Thus we demand that $\gamma u_x = u_x$. By lemma 5, $u_x \in \delta$. Since $\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha s_x \in E^*$, the proof is complete.

Combining corollary L3 and lemma 6, we have

COROLLARY L6. $E^* \leq R_0$.

But E^* consists precisely of those elements of R_0 left fixed by the action of Π . Hence $E^* = D$ [1, corollary 1.4]. By lemma 3 $TF = D$.

The proof of theorem 2 will be complete if we can show that $TR = P$. However this follows easily from [5, p. 206, lemma 14.4.1] or we may compute directly, using the Magnus representation, that

$\Delta \text{tr} \overline{tr}^{-1} = -\Delta \overline{tr} + \psi t \Delta r + \Delta t$. Hence $\Delta \Pi \text{tr} \overline{tr}^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha \Delta r$. But P is represented in M by $\{(1, \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \alpha \Delta r), r \in R\}$. Thus theorem 2 is proved.

If Π is finite and the rank of $F \geq 2$, theorem 1 follows from theorem 2 and lemma 1. If the rank of F is 1 and Π is finite, then the result is obvious; however, theorem 2 now holds only with the weaker conclusion that $TF/TR \approx K$. If Π is infinite, then $K = D = \langle 1 \rangle$ [1, theorem 2] if the rank of F is greater than 1. This completes the proof of theorem 1.

3. Proof of theorem 3.

LEMMA 7. $\Delta F' \leq \mathfrak{f}M$, i.e., $\Delta F'$ is contained in the submodule of M whose coefficients lie in \mathfrak{f} .

Proof. First we notice that if $f, g \in F'$, then

$$\Delta fg = \Psi f \Delta g + \Delta f \in \mathfrak{f}M.$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that $[f, g] \in \mathfrak{f}M$, $f, g \in F$. But direct computation shows that

$$\Delta[f, g] = (1 - \Psi(fgf^{-1}))\Delta f + (\Psi f - \Psi[f, g])\Delta g.$$

LEMMA 8. $\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{s} = \langle 0 \rangle$.

To prove theorem 3 we first observe that $Z = R_0 \cap F'_0 \leq \Delta F'$ and then that the map $s_x \rightarrow 1$ determines a Π -homomorphism $M \rightarrow J\Pi$, where $J\Pi$ is regarded as a left Π -module. Under this homomorphism $\mathfrak{f}M \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{s}M \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$. Since $Z \leq \mathfrak{f}M$ (lemma 7) and $D = \mathfrak{s}M$ (lemma 6 and remark ff. lemma 6), by lemma 8 it follows that $\mathfrak{f}M \cap \mathfrak{s}M = \langle 0 \rangle$, and hence that $Z \cap D = \langle 1 \rangle$.

REFERENCES

1. M. Auslander and R. Lyndon, Commutator subgroups of free groups. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1955) 929-931.
2. R. Baer, Representations of groups as quotient groups, I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1945) 295-347.
3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, Homological algebra. (Princeton University Press, 1956).

4. H. Fox, Free differential calculus, I. *Math. Ann.* 57 (1953) 547-560.
5. M. Hall, *The theory of groups.* (Macmillan, 1959).
6. H. Hopf, Fundamentalgruppe und zweite Bettische Gruppe. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 14 (1941/42) 257-309.
7. W. Magnus, On a theorem of M. Hall. *Math. Ann.* 40 (1939) 764-768.
8. _____, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory.* (Interscience, 1966).
9. M. Ojanguren, Freie Präsentierungen endlicher Gruppen und zugehörige Darstellungen. *Math. Zeitschr.* 106 (1968) 293-311.

Adelphi University
Garden City
New York

and

York University
Toronto