

TRACE-CLASS OPERATORS IN CSL ALGEBRAS

SHLOMO ROSENOER

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that if \mathcal{L} is a commutative subspace lattice, then every trace-class operator in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ lies in the norm-closure of the span of rank-one operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. We also give an elementary proof of a recent result of Davidson and Pitts that if \mathcal{L} is a CSL generated by completely distributive lattice and finitely many commuting chains, then \mathcal{L} is compact in the strong operator topology if and only if \mathcal{L} is completely distributive.

1. Introduction. By a CSL (commutative subspace lattice) we mean a lattice of commuting self-adjoint projections on a separable Hilbert space containing O and I and closed in the strong operator topology. For a CSL \mathcal{L} , $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$, or the corresponding CSL algebra, is the algebra of all operators leaving each projection (or subspace) in \mathcal{L} invariant. In other words, CSL algebras are reflexive algebras containing maximal abelian self-adjoint algebra (m.a.s.a.).

For the study of CSL and their respective algebras it is sometimes important to know what can be said about the ideal of compact operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. For example, if \mathcal{L} is a chain, then the linear span of rank-one operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ (denoted by $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$) is weak* dense in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. On the other hand, if \mathcal{L} is a non-atomic Boolean algebra, then $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ does not contain non-zero compact operators. It is still an open question (see Davidson [2]) whether weak* density of compact operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ implies that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ is also weak* dense or, at least, non-zero. However, according to Laurie [9] $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ is weak* dense if and only if Hilbert-Schmidt operators are so. Trent provided an example of a CSL algebra containing non-zero Hilbert-Schmidt operator but no rank-one one (see [7]). Froelich [6] showed that for every $p > 2$ there exists a CSL algebra which has non-zero intersection with Schatten class C_p but fails to meet C_q for $q < p$. Nevertheless, Hopenwasser and Moore [8] proved that existence of a finite-rank operator in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ implies that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ is non-zero. Davidson [3, Theorem 23.16] has generalized this result showing that the norm-closure of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ contains all finite-rank operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$.

The main purpose of this paper is to extend this Davidson's theorem to the trace-class operators. That is, we will show (Theorem 1.5) that the norm-closure of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ contains all trace-class operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. As a corollary, we easily get the above-mentioned result of Laurie [9].

Recently, Davidson and Pitts [4] proved that if \mathcal{L} is a CSL generated by a completely distributive lattice and a CSL of finite width, then \mathcal{L} is compact in the strong operator

This work was partially supported by Post-doctoral Fellowship at the University of Toronto.

Received by the editors January 2, 1991 .

AMS subject classification: Primary: 47D25; secondary: 46L10.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1992.

topology if and only if \mathcal{L} is completely distributive. While their proof is rather lattice-theoretic, we will give a very short algebraic proof of this result.

In the sequel, H denotes separable Hilbert space, $B(H)$ the algebra of bounded linear operators on H and $K(H)$ its ideal of compact operators.

2. Trace-class operators in CSL algebra. Let \mathcal{L} be a CSL and \mathcal{M} -a m.a.s.a. which contains \mathcal{L} . \mathcal{L} is called *synthetic* if $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is the unique weak* closed algebra that contains \mathcal{M} and satisfies $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}$ (see Arveson [1, Theorem 2.18 and Definition 2.2.1]).

A linear subspace \mathcal{J} of $B(H)$ is called a \mathcal{M} -bimodule if $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{J}\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{J}$. Let us call a linear subspace $\mathcal{J} \subseteq B(H)$ *transitive* if, for every non-zero $f \in H$, $\mathcal{J}f$ is dense in H . The following Lemma is known [3, Theorem 15.9]; however, we give here a new proof of it. The idea of this proof is due to Victor Shul'man.

LEMMA 1.1. *The only transitive weak* closed m.a.s.a.-bimodule is $B(H)$.*

PROOF. Let \mathcal{M} be a m.a.s.a. on H and \mathcal{J} a transitive weak* closed \mathcal{M} -bimodule. Let \mathcal{A} denote the subalgebra of $B(H \oplus H)$ which consists of all operators of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & T \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A, B \in \mathcal{M}, T \in \mathcal{J}.$$

A routine check shows that \mathcal{A} is a weak* closed algebra that contains a m.a.s.a. on $H^{(2)} = H \oplus H$ (i.e., $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}$). Moreover, the transitivity of \mathcal{J} implies that

$$\text{Lat } \mathcal{A} = \{L \oplus 0, H \oplus N : L, N \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Since $\text{Lat } \mathcal{M}$ is a Boolean algebra (the one of all projections in \mathcal{M}), there exists a chain C such that $\text{Lat } \mathcal{M} = C \vee C^\perp$.

It follows that

$$\text{Lat } \mathcal{A} = (C \oplus 0) \vee (C^\perp \oplus 0) \vee (H \oplus C) \vee (H \oplus C^\perp)$$

and therefore $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ is a CSL of finite width. According to Arveson [1, Theorem 2.2.3], every CSL of finite width is synthetic. Hence

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{A} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & T \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : A, B \in \mathcal{M}, T \in B(H) \right\},$$

that is, $\mathcal{J} = B(H)$, as required.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{J} \subseteq C_1$ be a non-zero m.a.s.a.-bimodule closed in the topology induced by the trace-norm. Then \mathcal{J} contains a rank-one operator.*

PROOF. Consider the annihilator of \mathcal{J} , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{J}^\perp = \left\{ T \in B(H) : \text{tr}(TX) = 0 \text{ for every } X \in \mathcal{J} \right\}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{J}^\perp \subseteq B(H)$ is weak* closed m.a.s.a.-bimodule. Moreover, since $\mathcal{J} \neq 0$, \mathcal{J}^\perp is strictly contained in $B(H)$. By the previous lemma, \mathcal{J}^\perp is not transitive. Hence there exists a rank-one $R = x \otimes y$ such that $(Tx, y) = \text{tr}(TR) = 0$ for each $T \in \mathcal{J}$. By a standard duality argument, $R \in \mathcal{J}$, as asserted.

We will need the following elementary lemma which shows that every trace-class operator may be approximated by trace-class pseudo-integral operators. For the definition of a pseudo-integral operator see [1, Section I.5].

LEMMA 1.3. *Let (X, m) be finite Borel measure space. Let K be a trace-class operator on $L^2(X, m)$ and \mathcal{M} multiplication algebra on $L^2(X, m)$. Then there exist two sequences of projections in \mathcal{M} , $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ strongly converging to 1 such that $P_n K Q_n$ is a pseudo-integral operator for $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

PROOF. Write K as a sum

$$K = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n \otimes g_n, \text{ where } f_n, g_n \in L^2(X, m)$$

satisfy $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|f_n\|^2 < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|g_n\|^2 < \infty$. Let

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n(x) \overline{g_n(y)}.$$

Note that $k(x, y)$ is defined a.e., for

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty |f_n(x)|^2 < \infty \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^\infty |g_n(y)|^2 < \infty \text{ a.e.}$$

It follows easily from the dominated convergence theorem that $k(x, y)$ is the kernel of K , i.e.,

$$Kf(x) = \int k(x, y)f(y) dm(y) \text{ for every } f \in L^2(X, m)$$

and $k(x, y) \in L^1(X \times X, m \times m)$.

Arveson remarked [1, p. 493] that every Hilbert-Schmidt operator whose kernel function $k(x, y)$ satisfies

$$h(y) = \int_X |k(x, y)| dm(x) \leq M < \infty \text{ for all } y \in X$$

and

$$g(x) = \int_X |k(x, y)| dm(y) \leq M < \infty \text{ for all } x \in X$$

is a pseudo-integral operator.

In the case when $k(x, y) \in L^1(X \times X, m \times m)$ one has, by Fubini's theorem, that $h(y) < \infty$ a.e., $g(x) < \infty$ a.e. and

$$\int_X h(y) dm(y) = \int_X g(x) dm(x) < \infty.$$

Let $E_n = \{x \in X : |g(x)| \leq n\}$ and $F_n = \{y \in X : |h(y)| \leq n\}$. Let P_n and Q_n be projections corresponding to multiplication by χ_{E_n} and χ_{F_n} , respectively. Clearly $P_n \rightarrow I$, $Q_n \rightarrow I$ (strongly) and

$$\begin{aligned} P_n K Q_n f(x) &= \chi_{E_n}(x) \int_X k(x, y) f(y) \chi_{F_n}(y) dm(y) \\ &= \int_X \chi_{E_n}(x) \chi_{F_n}(y) k(x, y) f(y) dm(y), \end{aligned}$$

which means that $P_n K Q_n$ is an integral operator with kernel $k_n(x, y) = \chi_{E_n \times F_n} k(x, y)$. However, for all $y \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |k_n(x, y)| dm(x) &= \int_X \chi_{E_n}(x) \chi_{F_n}(y) |k(x, y)| dm(x) \\ &= \chi_{F_n}(y) \int_X \chi_{E_n}(x) |k(x, y)| dm(x) \\ &\leq \chi_{F_n}(y) \int_X |k(x, y)| dm(x) \\ &= \chi_{F_n}(y) h(y) \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all $x \in X$,

$$\int_X |k_n(x, y)| dm(y) \leq n.$$

By the above Arveson's remark, $P_n K Q_n$ is pseudo-integral, which finishes the proof.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a weak* closed algebra which contains m.a.s.a. \mathcal{M} . Then every trace-class operator in \mathcal{A} belongs to weak* closure of the linear span of rank-one operators in \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. Let X be a trace-class operator in \mathcal{A} , and let \mathcal{J} denote the weak* closure of the linear span of rank-one operators in \mathcal{A} . We claim that if P and Q are projections in \mathcal{M} such that $PJQ = 0$, then $PXQ = 0$.

Let us assume the converse, i.e., $PJQ = 0$ but $PXQ \neq 0$. Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{T \in \mathcal{A} : PTQ = T\}.$$

Clearly, \mathcal{S} is weak* closed \mathcal{M} -bimodule. Since $PXQ \in \mathcal{S}$, \mathcal{S} contains a non-zero trace-class operator. By Lemma 1.2 applied to $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C}_1$, \mathcal{S} contains a rank-one operator R . It follows that $R \in \mathcal{J}$ and $R = PRQ \neq 0$ thus contradicting the equality $PJQ = 0$.

Now let us prove that for every $f \in H$, $Xf \in \overline{\mathcal{J}f}$. Let E be the projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{J}f}$ and F the projection onto $\overline{\mathcal{M}f}$. Since \mathcal{J} is \mathcal{M} -bimodule, one has $E \in \mathcal{M}$. Also

$$\mathcal{J}F(H) \subseteq E(H),$$

and therefore $E^\perp \mathcal{J}F = 0$. Finally, $E^\perp Xf = E^\perp XFf = 0$, so that $Xf \in E(H)$, as asserted.

Now let $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{Q_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be two sequences of projections provided by the previous Lemma. By the above, for each n and for every $f \in H$ $P_n X Q_n f$ is the closure of $\mathcal{J}f$. Since $P_n X Q_n$ is pseudo-integral, and \mathcal{J} is weak* closed, it follows, by Arveson [1, Lemma, p. 499] that $P_n X Q_n \in \mathcal{J}$. But $\|P_n X Q_n - X\| \rightarrow 0$, so that $X \in \mathcal{J}$. This completes the proof.

Now a very little needs to be done in order to get our main result.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a weak* closed algebra containing a m.a.s.a. \mathcal{M} . Then every trace-class operator in \mathcal{A} lies in the norm-closure of the linear span of rank-one operators in \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. It suffices to notice that if S is a norm-closed linear subspace of $K(H)$ and $A \in K(H)$, then A belongs to a weak* closure of S if and only if $A \in S$.

COROLLARY 1.6 (DAVIDSON [3, THEOREM 23.16]). *Let \mathcal{L} be a CSL. The norm closure of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ contains all finite-rank operators in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$.*

COROLLARY 1.7 (LAURIE [9]). *Let \mathcal{L} be a CSL. The Hilbert-Schmidt operators are weak* dense in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ is weak* dense in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that weak* density of Hilbert-Schmidt operators implies the weak* density of trace-class operators. This, in turn, is equivalent to the requirement that for every semi-invariant projection E of $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ there exists a trace-class operator $C \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ such that $ECE \neq 0$ [9, Lemma 4.1]. Suppose the converse. Since the product of two Hilbert-Schmidt operators is a trace-class one, it would follow that $EXYE = 0$ for any X, Y in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{C}_2$. Now, the density of the latter algebra implies that the closed linear span of

$$\{YE(H) : Y \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{C}_2\}$$

contains $E(H)$. Hence $EXE = 0$ for each $X \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{C}_2$, thus contradicting the density assumption.

The following corollary is immediately obtained from Theorem 1.5.

COROLLARY 1.8. *Let \mathcal{L} be a CSL. $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is rank-one operator free if and only if its pre-annihilator (i.e. the space of all trace-class X satisfying $\text{tr}(AX) = 0$ for all $A \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$) is norm-dense in $K(H)$.*

Hopenwasser and Moore gave an example of a CSL algebra \mathcal{A} containing a rank-two operator which can not be expressed as sum of two rank-one operators in \mathcal{A} [8]. Nevertheless, in the case of rank 2, we can strengthen Davidson's Theorem as follows:

PROPOSITION 1.9. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ be a CSL algebra. Then every operator of rank 2 belongs to the trace-norm closure of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$.*

PROOF. Let $B \in \mathcal{A}$ be an operator of rank 2. It is enough to show that for every $T \in B(H)$ satisfying $\text{tr}(TR) = 0$ for all $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$, one has $\text{tr}(TB) = 0$.

Let $S = \{A \in B(H) : \text{tr}(AF) = 0 \text{ for any finite-rank } F \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Let

$$\tilde{S} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} C & A \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} : C, D \in \mathcal{M}, A \in S \right\}.$$

Fix an operator $T \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})^\perp$ and let

$$\tilde{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ is a weakly closed m.a.s.a.-containing algebra. Moreover, since $T \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})^\perp$, one has

$$\text{Lat } \tilde{T} \supseteq \text{Lat } \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \text{ [1, p. 499] .}$$

By Feintuch [5] and Shul'man [10], this yields the inclusion

$$\text{Lat } \tilde{T}^{(2)} \supseteq \text{Lat } \tilde{\mathcal{S}}^{(2)},$$

i.e., every operator of rank 2 that annihilates $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ annihilates \tilde{T} as well.

Now

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is an operator of rank 2 which annihilates $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ and therefore

$$\text{tr}(\tilde{T}\tilde{B}) = \text{tr}(TB) = 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Applying the same argument to Arveson's example of a non-reflexive weak* closed algebra containing a m.a.s.a., [1, p. 507] we get the following

PROPOSITION 1.10. *There exists a CSL algebra $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ containing an operator of rank 10 which is not in the trace-norm closure of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$.*

3. CSL generated by a completely distributive CSL and finitely many chains. In this section we will give an easy proof of a recent result of Davidson and Pitts [4].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D} \vee C$, where \mathcal{D} is completely distributive and C is a chain. If \mathcal{L} is compact in the strong operator topology, then $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ contains an operator of rank 1.*

PROOF. Remark that without loss of generality we can assume that C is continuous chain. Indeed, otherwise there exist such P and Q in C that P is the immediate predecessor to Q . Let $E = Q - P$. Complete distributivity of \mathcal{D} means that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{D})$ is weak* dense in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{D}$ [7]. Hence one can find a rank-one $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{D})$ such that $ERE \neq 0$. If so, ERE is a rank-one operator which leaves both \mathcal{D} and C invariant. So let us assume henceforth that C is continuous.

Arguing contrapositively, suppose that $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ is rank-one operator free. Since, for every $R \in \text{Alg } \mathcal{D}$ and every $P \in C$ an operator PRP^\perp is in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$, it follows that $PRP^\perp = 0$ whenever R is a rank-one operator in $\mathcal{A} = \text{Alg}(\mathcal{D})$. Again the density of $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{D})$ implies that

$$P\mathcal{A}P^\perp = (P^\perp)^\perp \mathcal{A}P^\perp = 0.$$

Since CSL are reflexive [1, Theorem 1.6.3], $P^\perp \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore $C^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, so that

$$C \vee C^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{L}.$$

We see that \mathcal{L} contains a non-atomic Boolean algebra which contradicts the assumption about compactness of \mathcal{L} .

THEOREM 2.2. *Every strongly compact CSL generated by a completely distributive CSL and finitely many chains is completely distributive.*

PROOF. It is enough to prove the theorem in the case of a completely distributive lattice and one chain. The general result will follow then by induction. Let us show that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D} \vee \mathcal{C}$ is completely distributive, or equivalently, that $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$ is weak* dense in $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$. Suppose the converse. Then, as mentioned above, there is a non-zero semi-invariant projection E for $\text{Alg } \mathcal{L}$ such that $ERE = 0$ for every $R \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{L})$. On the other hand, it is clear that the lattice $\mathcal{L}_E = \{PE, P \in \mathcal{L}\}$ is compact. To complete the proof, apply the previous Lemma to $\mathcal{L}_E = \mathcal{D}_E \vee \mathcal{C}_E$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author expresses gratitude to Professor Kenneth Davidson for raising the question which has led to the main result of this paper.

ADDED IN PROOF. It has become known to us that Shul'man generalized our Theorem 1.5 by showing that every trace-class operator in a CSL algebra belongs to the Hilbert-Schmidt norm closure of its rank one ideal. Moreover, Katsoulis and Moore (*On compact operators in certain reflexive operator algebras*, to appear in J.O.T.) have found a proof of Theorem 2.2 very similar to ours.

REFERENCES

1. W. B. Arveson, *Operator algebras and invariant subspaces*, Ann. Math. (3) **100**(1974), 433–532.
2. K. R. Davidson, *Open problems in reflexive algebras*, Rocky Mnt. Math. J., (2) **20**(1990), 317–330.
3. ———, *Nest Algebras*, Research Notes in Math. **191**, Pitman, Boston-London-Melbourne, 1988.
4. K. R. Davidson and D. R. Pitts, *Compactness and complete distributivity for commutative subspace lattices*, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.
5. A. Feintuch, *There exist nonreflexive inflations*, Mich. Math. J. **21**(1974), 13–17.
6. J. Froelich, *Compact operators, invariant subspaces and spectral synthesis*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Iowa, 1984.
7. A. Hopenwasser, C. Laurie and R. Moore, *Reflexive algebras with completely distributive subspace lattices*, J. Operator Theory **11**(1984), 91–108.
8. A. Hopenwasser and R. Moore, *Finite rank operators in reflexive algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **27**(1983), 331–338.
9. C. Laurie, *On density of compact operators in reflexive algebras*, Indiana U. Math. J. **30**(1981), 1–16.
10. V. Shul'man, *On reflexive operator algebras*, Math. USSR-Sb. **16**(1972), 181–189.

*Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada
M5S 1A1*