European Psychiatry S283

on adolescent hyperactivity and conduct problems was examined considering the three survey time points (pre-, post-, and follow-up) using a series of linear regression models utilizing the Generalized Least Squares (GLS) Maximum Likelihood (ML), unstructured model.

Results: The average score for conduct problems was classified within the normal range, while the average score for hyperactivity was considered borderline at baseline. More than 5 hours of playing video games were significantly associated with increased conduct problems [β = -1.75, 95% CI=-0.20 – 3.30, p=0.03]. Accounting for age, sex, baseline mental health status, and screen time, the mindfulness intervention program significantly contributed to decreased hyperactivity at post-intervention compared to the baseline [β =-0.49, 95% CI=-0.91 to -0.08, p=0.02]. It was maintained at follow-up [β =-0.64, 95% CI=-1.26 to -0.03, p=0.04].

Conclusions: Our findings suggest an adverse impact of excessive video gaming on behavioural problems among community youth and confirm that the trend remains the same. Considering the simplicity, brevity, non-invasive nature and other mental health benefits of the mindfulness intervention, we argue that the results are promising and worthy of further study and larger-scale implementation. Clinicians, parents, and educators should work collaboratively to provide developmentally appropriate strategies to moderate screen time spent on video games among youth.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

EPP0443

Interventions targeting social determinants of mental disorders and the Sustainable Development Goals: A systematic review of reviews

T. K. Oswald¹*, M. T. Nguyen², L. Mirza^{1,3}, C. Lund^{4,5}, H. G. Jones¹, G. Crowley^{1,6}, D. Aslanyan¹, K. Dean^{2,7}, P. Schofield⁸, M. Hotopf^{1,6} and J. Das-Munshi¹

¹Department of Psychological Medicine, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom; ²Discipline of Psychiatry and Mental Health, School of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; ³University Hospitals Sussex, Sussex; ⁴Centre for Global Mental Health, Health Service and Population Research Department, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology & Neuroscience, King's College London, London, United Kingdom; ⁵Alan J Flisher Centre for Public Mental Health, Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa; 6South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, United Kingdom; ⁷Justice Health and Forensic Mental Health Network, New South Wales, Australia and ⁸School of Life Course and Population Sciences, Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, United Kingdom *Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.591

Introduction: Globally, mental disorders account for almost 20% of disease burden and there is growing evidence that mental disorders are associated with various social determinants. Tackling the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs), which address known social determinants of mental disorders, may be an effective way to reduce the global burden of mental disorders.

Objectives: To examine the evidence base for interventions that seek to improve mental health through targeting the social determinants of mental disorders.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review of reviews, using a five-domain conceptual framework which aligns with the UN SDGs (PROSPERO registration: CRD42022361534). PubMed, PsycInfo, and Scopus were searched from 01 January 2012 until 05 October 2022. Citation follow-up and expert consultation were used to identify additional studies. Systematic reviews including interventions seeking to change or improve a social determinant of mental disorders were eligible for inclusion. Study screening, selection, data extraction, and quality appraisal were conducted in accordance with PRISMA guidelines. The AMSTAR-2 was used to assess included reviews and results were narratively synthesised.

Results: Over 20,000 records were screened, and 101 eligible reviews were included. Most reviews were of low, or critically low, quality. Reviews included interventions which targeted socio-cultural (n = 31), economic (n = 24), environmental (n = 19), demographic (n = 15), and neighbourhood (n = 8) determinants of mental disorders. Interventions demonstrating the greatest promise for improved mental health from high and moderate quality reviews (n = 37) included: digital and brief advocacy interventions for female survivors of intimate partner violence; cash transfers for people in low-middle-income countries; improved work schedules, parenting programs, and job clubs in the work environment; psychosocial support programs for vulnerable individuals following environmental events; and social and emotional learning programs for school students. Few effective neighbourhood-level interventions were identified.

Conclusions: This review presents interventions with the strongest evidence base for the prevention of mental disorders and highlights synergies where addressing the UN SDGs can be beneficial for mental health. A range of issues across the literature were identified, including barriers to conducting randomised controlled trials and lack of follow-up limiting the ability to measure long-term mental health outcomes. Interdisciplinary and novel approaches to intervention design, implementation, and evaluation are required to improve the social circumstances and mental health experienced by individuals, communities, and populations.

Disclosure of Interest: None Declared

Quality Management

EPP0444

The nurses' assessment of the psychiatric care quality and the development of measures to improve it

V. Mitikhin¹*, T. Solokhina¹, L. Burygina² and L. Alieva¹

¹Department of Mental Health Services, Mental Health Research Centre and ²Psychiatric clinical hospital № 4 named after P.B. Gannushkin, Moscow, Russian Federation

*Corresponding author.

doi: 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2024.592

Introduction: The development of the methodology for the psychiatric care quality managing is associated with the implementation of criteria and standards, systematic evaluation and the continuous improvement of the care quality. Important role in assessing the care quality belongs to the specialists of the psychiatric