

ON WEAKLY ALMOST-PERIODIC FAMILIES OF LINEAR OPERATORS

BY
ARIBINDI SATYANARAYAN RAO

ABSTRACT. In this note first the weak almost-periodicity of the action of a weakly almost-periodic family of linear operators on an almost-periodic function is established. Then an application of this result is given.

1. Let \mathcal{J} be the interval $-\infty < t < \infty$, \mathcal{X} a Banach space and \mathcal{X}^* the dual space of \mathcal{X} . A continuous function $f: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is said to be (strongly) almost-periodic if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is, on the real line, a relatively dense set of numbers $\{\tau\}_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|f(t+\tau) - f(t)\| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \{\tau\}_\varepsilon$$

(see Amerio and Prouse [1]; the results of this paper are based on this reference). We say that a function $f: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is weakly almost-periodic if $\langle x^*, f(t) \rangle = x^*f(t)$ is almost-periodic for each $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^p(\mathcal{J}; \mathcal{X})$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ is said to be \mathcal{S}^p almost-periodic if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a positive real number $l = l(\varepsilon)$ such that any interval of the real line of length l contains at least one point τ for which

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{J}} \left[\int_a^{a+l} \|f(t+\tau) + f(t)\|^p dt \right]^{1/p} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ be the space of bounded linear operators of \mathcal{X} into itself. An operator-valued function $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is said to be strongly (weakly) almost-periodic if $\mathcal{G}(t)x$, $t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is strongly (weakly) almost-periodic for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

$\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is called a one-parameter group if $\mathcal{G}(0) = \mathcal{I}$ = the identity operator of \mathcal{X} and $\mathcal{G}(t_1 + t_2) = \mathcal{G}(t_1)\mathcal{G}(t_2)$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathcal{J}$.

Our main result is as follows.

THEOREM 1. *If $f(t)$, $t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is almost-periodic and if $\mathcal{G}(t)$, $t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is weakly almost-periodic, then the function $\mathcal{Y}(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)f(t)$ is weakly almost-periodic.*

Proof. For an arbitrary but fixed $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\{x^*\mathcal{G}(t)\}_{t \in \mathcal{J}}$ is a family of bounded

Received by the editors April 12, 1972 and, in revised form, January 24, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classification scheme (1970). Primary: 43A60, 34C25, 34G05; Secondary: 47D05, 47D10.

linear functionals on \mathcal{X} . Further, by our assumption, for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$; the scalar-valued function $x^*\mathcal{G}(t)x$ is almost-periodic, and so is bounded on \mathcal{J} . Thus, by the uniform boundedness principle,

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|x^*\mathcal{G}(t)\| = \mathcal{M} < \infty.$$

To see that $\mathcal{G}(t)f(t)$ is weakly continuous, let $t'_n, t' \in \mathcal{J}$ and $t'_n \rightarrow t'$. Then the function $x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t')$ is continuous, and so, by (1.1) and the continuity of f ,

$$|x^*\mathcal{G}(t'_n)f(t'_n) - x^*\mathcal{G}(t')f(t')| \leq \|x^*\mathcal{G}(t'_n)\| \cdot \|f(t'_n) - f(t')\| + |x^*\mathcal{G}(t'_n)f(t') - x^*\mathcal{G}(t')f(t')| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let \mathcal{R}_f denote the range of the function f . As is well known, \mathcal{R}_f is a relatively compact set in \mathcal{X} . So, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite set $\{f(t_1), f(t_2), \dots, f(t_{n_\varepsilon})\}$ which is an ε -net for \mathcal{R}_f . We observe that the $(n_\varepsilon + 1)$ functions

$$x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t_1), x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t_2), \dots, x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t_{n_\varepsilon}), f(t)$$

are almost-periodic, and hence admit a common relatively dense set $\{\tau\}_\varepsilon$ of ε -almost-periods. Consequently, we have

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |x^*\mathcal{G}(t+\tau)f(t_k) - x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t_k)| \leq \varepsilon, \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|f(t+\tau) - f(t)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $\tau \in \{\tau\}_\varepsilon$ and $k=1, 2, \dots, n_\varepsilon$.

For an arbitrary but fixed $\tilde{t} \in \mathcal{J}$, there is $f(t_k)$ in the ε -net for \mathcal{R}_f such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|f(\tilde{t}) - f(t_k)\| < \varepsilon.$$

Now, if $\tau \in \{\tau\}_\varepsilon$, then by (1.1)–(1.3), we have

$$(1.4) \quad |x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t}+\tau)f(\tilde{t}+\tau) - x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t})f(\tilde{t})| \leq \|x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t}+\tau)\| \cdot \|f(\tilde{t}+\tau) - f(\tilde{t})\| + \|x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t}+\tau)\| \cdot \|f(\tilde{t}) - f(t_k)\| + |x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t}+\tau)f(t_k) - x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t})f(t_k)| + \|x^*\mathcal{G}(\tilde{t})\| \cdot \|f(t_k) - f(\tilde{t})\| \leq \mathcal{M}\varepsilon + \mathcal{M}\varepsilon + \varepsilon + \mathcal{M}\varepsilon = (3\mathcal{M} + 1)\varepsilon.$$

So it follows that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |x^*\mathcal{G}(t+\tau)f(t+\tau) - x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t)| \leq (3\mathcal{M} + 1)\varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \{\tau\}_\varepsilon,$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARKS. (i) From (1.1), again by the uniform boundedness principle, we obtain

$$(1.5) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|\mathcal{G}(t)\| < \infty.$$

(ii) From the proof of Theorem 1, it is obvious that Theorem 1 remains valid if $f(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is almost-periodic, (1.5) holds and $\mathcal{G}(t)x, t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is weakly almost-periodic for each $x \in \mathcal{R}_f$.

(iii) Let $\mathcal{G}^*(t)$ be the conjugate of the operator $\mathcal{G}(t)$. If $\mathcal{G}^*(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X}^*)$

is strongly almost-periodic, and if $f(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is weakly almost-periodic, then $\mathcal{G}(t)f(t)$ is weakly almost-periodic.

Proof. By our assumption, for each $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $\mathcal{G}^*(t)x^*$ is almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to \mathcal{X}^* . So, by an argument similar to that of Theorem 1, the scalar-valued function

$$x^*[\mathcal{G}(t)f(t)] = [x^*\mathcal{G}(t)]f(t) = [\mathcal{G}^*(t)x^*]f(t)$$

is almost-periodic, here making use of the relative compactness of the range of $\mathcal{G}^*(t)x^*$ in \mathcal{X}^* .

2. As an application of our Theorem 1, we demonstrate the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose \mathcal{X} is a Banach space, $\mathcal{G}(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is a one-parameter group with $\mathcal{G}^*(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X}^*)$ being strongly almost-periodic, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, a continuous function $f(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is \mathcal{S}^p almost-periodic, and a function $u(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ has the representation*

$$(2.1) \quad u(t) = \mathcal{G}(t)u(0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(t-s)f(s) ds.$$

Then, if

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|u(t)\| < \infty,$$

$u(t)$ is weakly almost-periodic.

Proof. Consider the function

$$(2.3) \quad f_h(t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(t+s) ds \quad \text{for any } h > 0.$$

Since f is \mathcal{S}^p almost-periodic (and hence \mathcal{S}^1 almost-periodic), it follows easily that $f_h(t)$ is almost-periodic for each fixed $h > 0$. It can be proved, as for scalar-valued functions (see Besicovitch [2], pp. 80-81), that $f_h \rightarrow f$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ in the \mathcal{S}^1 sense, that is,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s) - f_h(s)\| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0.$$

Under the assumption made on \mathcal{G}^* , it is easy to see that $\mathcal{G}(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is weakly almost-periodic, and so, as shown in the proof of Theorem 1, $\mathcal{G}(t)f(t)$ is weakly continuous. Now, for an arbitrary but fixed $x^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t) = x^*\mathcal{G}(t)[f(t) - f_h(t)] + x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f_h(t),$$

and, by (1.1),

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \int_t^{t+1} |x^*\mathcal{G}(s)[f(s) - f_h(s)]| ds \\ \leq \mathcal{M} \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \int_t^{t+1} \|f(s) - f_h(s)\| ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Theorem 1, the functions $x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f_n(t)$ are almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to the (field of) scalars, it follows that $x^*\mathcal{G}(t)f(t)$ is \mathcal{S}^1 almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to the scalars.

Since $\mathcal{G}(-s), s \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is weakly almost-periodic, it follows that $x^*\mathcal{G}(-s)f(s), s \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow$ the scalars is continuous and \mathcal{S}^1 almost-periodic.

If we write

$$(2.6) \quad v(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{G}(-s)f(s) ds,$$

then we have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{G}(-t)u(t) = u(0) + v(t).$$

So, by (1.1) and (2.2), $x^*v(t) = \int_0^t x^*\mathcal{G}(-s)f(s) ds$ is (uniformly) bounded on \mathcal{J} . Now the \mathcal{S}^1 almost-periodicity of $x^*\mathcal{G}(-s)f(s)$ and the boundedness of $x^*v(t)$ imply that $x^*v(t), t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow$ the scalars is almost-periodic. Then, by Remark (iii), $\mathcal{G}(t)v(t)$ is weakly almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to \mathcal{X} . Since $\mathcal{G}(t)u(0)$ is weakly almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to \mathcal{X} , the desired conclusion follows.

Notes. (i) Towards the end of the proof of Theorem 2, we used the following result: If, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, a function $\phi(s)$ is \mathcal{S}^p almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to the scalars, and if $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \phi(s) ds$ is bounded on \mathcal{J} , then $\Phi(t)$ is almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to the scalars.

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{\rho_n(t)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of infinitely differentiable positive functions, null for $|t| \geq 1/n$ with integral = 1. The convolution between ϕ and ρ_n is defined by

$$(\phi * \rho_n)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \phi(t-s)\rho_n(s) ds = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \phi(s)\rho_n(t-s) ds.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(\Phi * \rho_n)'(t) = (\phi * \rho_n)(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathcal{J};$$

$$\sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|(\Phi * \rho_n)(t)\| \leq \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|\Phi(t)\| < \infty \quad (\text{by our assumption}).$$

As shown in the proof of Theorem VII, p. 78, Amerio and Prouse [1], $(\phi * \rho_n)(t)$ is almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to the scalars. Hence, by Bohl-Bohr's theorem, $(\Phi * \rho_n)(t)$ is almost-periodic from \mathcal{J} to the scalars ($n=1, 2, \dots$).

Further, by Theorem VIII, p. 79, Amerio and Prouse [1], $\Phi(t)$ is uniformly continuous on \mathcal{J} . By the uniform continuity of $\Phi(t)$, the sequence of convolutions $(\Phi * \rho_n)(t)$ converges uniformly to $\Phi(t)$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $\Phi(t)$ is almost-periodic.

(ii) Theorem 2 remains valid if the function f is weakly almost-periodic instead of continuous and \mathcal{S}^p almost-periodic, with \mathcal{G}^*, u satisfying the conditions imposed on them.

Proof. By Remark (iii), $\mathcal{G}(-s)f(s), s \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is weakly almost-periodic.

By (1.5), (2.2), and (2.7), $v(t)$ is bounded on \mathcal{J} . So, by Bohl-Bohr's theorem, $v(t)$ is weakly almost-periodic. Now the result stated is obvious.

(iii) From the proof of Theorem 2, the following result is obvious.

THEOREM 3. *If $\mathcal{G}(t)$, $t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$ is weakly almost-periodic, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, (t) , $t \in \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is continuous and \mathcal{L}^p almost-periodic, and $\mathcal{F}(t) = \int \mathcal{G}(s)f(s) ds$ is bounded on \mathcal{J} , then $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is weakly almost-periodic (\mathcal{X} a Banach space).*

(iv) If \mathcal{A} is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous one-parameter group $\mathcal{G}: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X})$, and if $f: \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a continuous function, then any solution of the inhomogeneous operator differential equation

$$u'(t) = \mathcal{A}u(t) + f(t) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{J}$$

has the representation (2.1) (see Dunford and Schwartz [3]).

The author takes this opportunity to thank Prof. S. Zaidman for the financial support from his N.R.C. grant and for his most useful comments in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. L. Amerio and G. Prouse, *Almost-periodic functions and functional equations*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company (1971).
2. A. S. Besicovitch, *Almost periodic functions*, Dover Publications, Inc. (1954).
3. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, part I*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York (1958).
4. S. Zaidman, *Sur la perturbation presque-périodique des groupes et semi-groupes de transformations d'un espace de Banach*, *Rendiconti di Matematica e delle sue Applicazioni* (Rome), Vol. 16 (1957), pp. 197-206.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF MONTREAL
MONTREAL, QUEBEC
CANADA