

# NOTE UPON THE GENERALIZED CAYLEYAN OPERATOR

H. W. TURNBULL

1. The following note which deals with the effect of a certain determinantal operator when it acts upon a product of determinants was suggested by the original proof which Dr. Alfred Young gave of the property

$$(NP)^2 = \theta NP$$

subsisting between the positive  $P$  and the negative  $N$  substitutional operators,  $\theta$  being a positive integer<sup>1</sup>. This result which establishes the idempotency of the expression  $\theta^{-1}NP$  within an appropriate algebra is fundamental in the Quantitative Substitutional Analysis that Young developed. The present note, which is couched in the language of determinants, proves a result which is equivalent to Young's alternative statement  $(PN)^2 = \theta PN$ .

These operators  $P$  and  $N$  take their rise in the theory of groups. In fact let

$$p = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_h$$

be a partition of a positive integer  $p$  into  $h$  non-zero parts which are arranged in descending order: that is

$$p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_h.$$

Let  $p$  distinct elements be arranged in the following fashion

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} u_1 & u_2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & u_{p_1} \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & v_{p_2} \\ \dots & \dots \\ w_1 & w_2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & w_{p_h} \end{array}$$

so as to form an array of  $h$  rows and  $p_1$  columns, each row being filled consecutively from the left and starting at the first column, while each column is filled consecutively downwards and starts at the first row. No row can exceed in length any row which lies above it, and no column can exceed any column which is upon its left. If  $p_1 = p_h$  the array is rectangular: but usually  $p_1 > p_h$  and the array has a zigzag boundary upon its right. This array is called a tableau.

Let  $f(u_1, \dots, w_{p_h})$  be a function of these  $p$  elements, treated as  $p$  arguments of the function, and let  $p!$  expressions be formed by interchanging the arguments in every possible way. Usually these expressions will be distinct, as for instance the  $2!$  expressions  $f(x, y)$  and  $f(y, x)$  differ, unless  $f$  happens to be symmetric in these two arguments. Let  $\delta_i$  denote the operation of producing the  $i^{\text{th}}$  of these expressions, namely

$$\delta_i f(u_1, \dots, w_{p_h}) = f(u'_1, \dots, u'_p)$$

where  $u'_1, \dots$  denote the corresponding arrangement of the  $p$  arguments

Received February 23, 1948.

<sup>1</sup>[5] p. 366.

$u_1, \dots, w_{p_h}$ . There are therefore  $p!$  such operations  $\delta_i$  and they characterize the symmetric group of order  $p!$  Let those  $p!$  distinct operations be performed which permute the elements belonging to the first row only of the tableau. Let the sum of the resulting functions be regarded as the effect of a resultant operation,  $P_1$  say, acting upon the original function: namely

$$P_1 f = \Sigma \delta_i f(u_1, \dots, u_{p_1}, v_1, \dots) = \Sigma f(u'_1, \dots, u'_{p_1}, v_1, \dots)$$

where the first  $p_1$  arguments only are to be permuted, while the remainder are unchanged. This summation has  $p_1!$  terms.

Let a corresponding operation be performed for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row of the tableau. By taking the rows successively in turn we thus obtain  $h$  such operations  $P_i$ . Since each of these operations affects a distinct set of arguments, the  $h$  operations are independent of one another. We can therefore combine them in any order and form a further resultant operation

$$P = P_1 P_2 \dots P_h = P_2 P_1 \dots P_h = \dots,$$

which consists of  $p_1! p_2! \dots p_h!$  terms, obtained by all the possible different permutations of the elements, each within its own row of the tableau. Since these terms are added together, this  $P$  is called the *positive* symmetric group associated with the tableau.

In contrast to this a new operator  $N_1$  is defined, with reference to the first column of the tableau, and consisting of  $h!$  terms caused by the complete set of permutations among the elements of this column: only in this case each term that belongs to an odd permutation is accompanied by a negative sign, and otherwise by a positive sign: namely

$$N_1 f = \Sigma (-)_j \delta_j f(u_1, \dots)$$

where the summation has  $h!$  terms,  $\delta_j$  denotes the typical permutation of  $u_1, v_1, \dots, w_1$ , and  $(-)_j$  denotes a positive or negative sign according as the corresponding permutation is even or odd. Let  $p_1$  such operations be defined, one for each column, and combined as before into a resultant operation

$$N = N_1 N_2 \dots N_{p_1} = N_2 N_1 \dots N_{p_1} = \dots$$

which consists of  $q_1! q_2! \dots$  terms, where  $q_j$  denotes the number of elements in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, p_1$ ). This  $N$  is called the *negative* symmetric group associated with the tableau. If a row or a column possesses a single element only, the corresponding factor of  $P$  or  $N$  may be omitted as it has the effect of the factor unity in the whole product.

When a further operator is made by using  $P$  and  $N$  in succession the products  $PN$  and  $NP$  usually differ. They do however satisfy the same quadratic relation  $X^2 = \theta X$ , where  $X = PN$  or  $NP$ , as already mentioned. One more preliminary remark should be made, before turning to the application of this theory of Young's Substitutional Operators: namely, that the expression  $f(u_1, \dots)$  upon which the operator takes effect may be construed in a most general sense, provided only that each particular arrangement of the  $p$  elements  $u_1, \dots$  defines the expression and that they make sense when they are permuted. For instance  $f$  might be a determinant, and the  $u_i$  might denote suffixes which indicate the columns of the determinant.

The connexion between the abstract analysis and the determinantal theory is as follows. The  $n \times n$  determinant  $\Sigma \pm x_{11}x_{22} \dots x_{nn}$  may be written  $N_1\phi$ , where  $\phi$  is  $x_{11}x_{22} \dots x_{nn}$  and  $N_1$  is the operator which permutes the  $n$  second suffixes of the  $x_{ij}$  in all possible ways and sums the results accompanied by a negative sign for each interchange of a pair of suffixes. A product  $\phi$  of  $v$  determinants may consequently be written  $N\phi = N_1N_2 \dots N_v\phi$ , where  $N_j$  is the operator which generates the  $j^{\text{th}}$  determinant from its leading term. If the determinants which compose the product  $N\phi$  are not all of the same order, the factors are to be arranged in a descending order. The operation  $P_1$  is then that which generates a sum of  $v!$  such terms  $N\phi$  by permuting the first columns, one from each of the  $r$  determinants, in all their different ways and adding together the results:  $P_2$  likewise permutes all the second columns; and so on until all the columns are so treated. Then  $P = P_1P_2 \dots$ , and  $PN\phi$  is the final expression. This positive substitutional operation is reflected, in what follows, by taking a single product of determinants and making all *first* columns that occur the *same*; and so on. Except for a factor  $v!$  the two expressions are substitutionally equivalent. Again, instead of taking a product  $\phi$  of determinants whose orders may differ, all the factors have been brought up to the same order  $n \times n$ , by the introduction of arbitrary constant borders, in distinction from which those elements  $x_{ij}$  that undergo permutation (or, equivalently, differentiation) are called the variables. Young's formula is implicit in (13) below.

2. Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  denote  $n$  sets of  $n$  independent variables such that  $x_i$  denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  set  $\{x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \dots, x_{in}\}$  when it is arranged in a column. Let  $\Delta = (x_1x_2 \dots x_n)$  denote the  $n \times n$  determinant of these  $n$  columns in this order, so that  $\Delta$  is a function of  $n^2$  independent variables  $x_{ij}$ . Let  $\Omega = (\partial/\partial x_1 \dots \partial/\partial x_n)$  denote the corresponding determinant when each element  $x_{ij}$  is replaced, in its own position, by the corresponding differential operator  $\partial/\partial x_{ij}$ : thus  $\partial/\partial x_i$  denotes the column of the operators which correspond to the column  $x_i$ .

Let 
$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \partial/\partial x_{ni} = \left( a \mid \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)$$

denote the polar operator which substitutes a set of  $n$  arbitrary constants  $a_i$  for the set of variables  $x_n$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a linear form in the  $n$  components of  $x_n$  it follows at once by differentiation that

$$(a \mid \partial/\partial x_n) \Delta = (x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-1}a)$$

which we abbreviate to  $(X_{n-1}a)$ . More generally, and by further such polarizations of the  $x_i$ , let  $r$  of these sets, say the last  $r$ , be replaced by  $r$  columns of arbitrary constants, namely

(1) 
$$\Delta_r = (x_1x_2 \dots x_{n-r}\beta_1\beta_2 \dots \beta_r)$$

which we write as  $A_Z\Delta = \Delta_r = (X_{n-r}A_r)$ , where  $A_Z$  denotes the operator which substitutes the block  $A_r$  of  $r$  columns for  $Z$  the block of the last  $r$  columns of  $\Delta$ . (The above single column operator is therefore written as  $a_z$ , with  $z = x_n$ .)

If this is done for the first  $n - 1$  values of  $r$  we obtain altogether  $n$  different

determinants, each of which involves the first column  $x_1$  of the variables, all but one involve the second column  $x_2$ , and so on, until  $\Delta$  alone involves the last column  $x_n$  only. Let a power product

$$(2) \quad \phi = \Delta^{p_0} \Delta_1^{p_1} \dots \Delta_{n-1}^{p_{n-1}} = \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (X_{n-r} A_r)^{p_r}$$

of these determinants be constructed, where the exponents  $p_r$  are zero or positive integers, and where all the blocks of constants  $A_r$  are arbitrary.

For example  $\phi = (xyz)^p (xya)^q (x\beta\gamma)^r$  is such a product of three rowed determinants.

It is well known, and indeed it is a fundamental result in the theory of projective invariants, that the effect of the Cayleyan<sup>2</sup> operator  $\Omega = |\partial/\partial x_{ji}|$ , already mentioned, acting upon a perfect  $p^{th}$  power of  $\Delta$ , is analogous to ordinary differentiation with regard to  $\Delta$  and yields the identity

$$(3) \quad \Omega \Delta^p = p(p+1) \dots (p+n-1) \Delta^{p-1}.$$

The object of the present note is to extend this property to the more general power product  $\phi$ , and to shew that

$$(4) \quad \Omega \phi = p_0(p_0 + p_1 + 1) \dots (p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{n-1} + n - 1) \phi_1,$$

where  $\phi_1 \Delta = \phi$ , that is to reduce the index  $p_0$  by unity while leaving the remaining indices unchanged. Naturally if  $p_0 = 0$ ,  $\Omega \phi$  vanishes.

To prove this we shall first establish a more general theorem. In fact let a set of positive integers  $\lambda_r$  be introduced where

$$\lambda_r = p_0(p_0 + p_1 + 1) \dots (p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{r-1} + r - 1),$$

with  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . From an  $n \times n$  determinant of arbitrary constants let the last  $r$  columns be chosen and called  $B$ . Furthermore let

$$(5) \quad B_Z = (b_1 b_2 \dots b_r \mid \partial/\partial z_1 \partial/\partial z_2 \dots \partial/\partial z_r)$$

denote the bideterminantal (or compound inner product) operator obtained by combining the  $r$  columns of  $B$  with the last  $r$  columns of  $\Omega$ . Here for convenience the  $(n - r + 1)^{th}$  set  $x$  has been renamed  $z_1$ , and so on until the last  $x_n$  is the same as  $z_r$ . With this understanding the following result holds:

$$(6) \quad \text{THEOREM. } B_Z \phi = \lambda_r (X_{n-r} B) \phi_1.$$

*Proof.* We proceed by induction upon  $r$ . For if  $r = 1$ , and  $b$  denotes a single column and  $z$  denotes  $x_n$ , then, by differentiation,

$$b_z \Delta^{p_0} = p_0 \Delta^{p_0-1} b_z \Delta.$$

But since  $\Delta = (X_{n-1} z)$ ,  $b_z \Delta = (X_{n-1} b)$ .

Hence  $b_z \Delta^{p_0} = p_0 (X_{n-1} b) \Delta^{p_0-1}$ ,

that is  $b_z \phi = \lambda_1 (X_{n-1} b) \phi_1$  since  $z$  is absent from all the remaining factors belonging to  $\phi$ : which proves the result when  $r = 1$ . By assuming it true for  $r$  we shall prove it true for  $r + 1$ . To do this, write

$$X_{n-r} = Xy, \quad y = x_{n-r},$$

so that  $y$  denotes the last of the  $n - r$  columns  $x$ , and  $X$  denotes all the earlier columns. The original set of  $n$  columns is now exhibited by

$$\Delta = (XyZ).$$

<sup>2</sup> [2].

Let  $\Delta_0 = (XyB)$ . The assumed identity is therefore

$$(7) \quad B_Z\phi = \lambda_r\Delta_0\Delta^{p_0-1}\Delta_1^{p_1}\dots\Delta_r^{p_r}N$$

where  $N$  denotes all those factors into which the column  $y$  does not enter, since in (2)  $y$  does not enter  $\Delta_s$  whenever  $s > r$ . Now each of the  $r + 2$  (unrepeated and repeated) factors  $\Delta_0, \Delta, \dots, \Delta_r$  is of the form

$$(XyT)$$

where the block of  $r$  columns  $T$  differs but  $X$  and  $y$  are always present in each factor. Operate with  $c_y$ , that is  $\sum c_i \partial / \partial y_i$ , upon both sides of the equation (7). On the right-hand side we obtain a sum of  $r + 2$  terms, one for each different  $\Delta$ . Thus, the affected parts in the various terms are

$$c_y\Delta_0 = (XcB), \quad c_y(XyT)^{p_s} = p_s(XcT)(XyT)^{p_s-1}.$$

Now perform<sup>3</sup> the determinantal permutation  $\{c, B\}'$  which consists of  $r + 1$  terms interchanging  $c$  with each of the  $r$  columns of  $B$  in turn, accompanied by a change of sign, and adding the term (the *static* term, let us say) in which  $c$  remains unmoved. The result of this upon the left member  $c_y B_Z\phi$  of our equation produces the corresponding operator of order  $r + 1$ , namely

$$\{c, B\}' c_y B_Z \equiv \left( cB \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right. \right) \equiv \left( cb_1 b_2 \dots b_r \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial z_r} \right. \right),$$

as is seen at once on expanding this last determinantal expression by its first column.

On the right there are  $r + 2$  terms, as already seen. In the first term  $\Delta_0$  has been altered to  $(XcB)$ , and in any other term a single  $\Delta_s$ , say, has been altered to  $(XcT)$  multiplied by  $p_s$ . The effect of the new operation  $\{c, B\}'$  on the first term produces

$$(r + 1) (XcB)$$

from  $C_y\Delta_0$ , merely by deranging the  $r + 1$  columns of  $cB$  within this determinant. In each of the other  $r$  terms the new operation convolves the columns  $c, B$  which occur entirely within  $\Delta_s$  and  $\Delta_0$  respectively. But by the fundamental identity<sup>4</sup>

$$(c, B)'(XyB)(XcT) = (XcB)(XyT)$$

that is, the operation interchanges the  $c$ , wherever it occurs with the  $y$ , which occurs in  $\Delta_0$  the first determinantal factor. This restores the full exponent  $p_s$  to  $\Delta_s$  for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , and in the case of  $\Delta$  itself restores  $p_0 - 1$  which had dropped to  $p_0 - 2$  through the operation  $c_y$ . Gathering these results together we infer that

$$(8) \quad \left( cB \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z} \right. \right) \phi = \lambda_r[(r + 1) + (p_0 - 1) + p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_r](XcB)\phi_1 \\ = \lambda_{r+1}(XcB)\phi_1,$$

which is of the same form as the assumed identity but with  $r + 1$  replacing  $r$ . Since the identity is true when  $r = 1$  this proves it by induction for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . In the last stage when  $r = n - 1$  in (8) the operator factorizes into

<sup>3</sup>[4] p. 27.

<sup>4</sup>[4] p. 44.



determinant (now called  $|C_n|$ ) along with  $\Omega$  we may drop the factor  $\Omega$  and let  $s$  run from 0 to  $n-1$  in the product; for  $(C_n | \Omega_0)$  factorizes to  $|C_n| \Omega$  since  $|\Omega_0| = \Omega$ . It is then convenient to express the whole operator in terms of the integers  $q_i$  as follows:

$$(12) \quad \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (C_{n-s} | \Omega_s) \equiv (C_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n} | \Omega_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}) \equiv (C_Q | \Omega_Q) \equiv C_\Omega.$$

Here the capital suffix  $Q$  denotes the multiple suffix  $q_1 q_2 \dots$ ; and in the latter, which is a set of positive integers written in descending order since their first differences are the  $p_i$  which are  $\geq 0$ , it is unnecessary to include any zero suffixes. This  $Q$  therefore denotes a partition  $\{q_1 q_2 \dots\}$  of  $\Sigma q_i$ , written in the usual way. Reference to (2) shews that the operator effects the substitution of the  $C_Q$ 's for the  $X$ 's as follows:

$$(13) \quad \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} (C_{n-s} | \Omega_s) \phi = \theta_Q \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} (C_{n-r} A_r)^{p_r},$$

where  $\theta_Q = \mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_r \dots \mu_{n-1}$ , a numerical constant which is a positive integer. The more general case when all  $p_r$  of the  $C_{n-r}$  are distinct, for each value of  $r$ , can be written down without serious difficulty (only it is rather prolix!). It has the same numerical factor  $\theta_Q$ .

Two further corollaries follow at once:

**COROLLARY 2.** Take all the  $A_r$  which occur in the product  $\phi$  to be non-zero portions of the unit matrix  $[\delta_{ij}]$ , so that  $(X_{n-r} A_r)$  is then an  $(n-r)$  rowed minor of the determinant  $[x_{ij}]$ . Thus  $\phi$  is a power product of such minors of all orders (every minor of a lower order being a minor within the columns but not necessarily the rows occupied by a minor of a higher order, owing to the original condition imposed upon the columns  $x_i$ ). Take each  $C_{n-r}$  to be the complementary portion of the unit matrix so that  $(C_{n-r} A_r) = 1$ . Then the corresponding operator  $C_\Omega$  reduces  $\phi$  to the positive integer  $\theta_Q$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** Replace each  $C_{n-s}$  by the corresponding matrix  $X_{n-s}$ . Then  $(X_{n-s} | \Omega_s)$  is the well-known *Capelli operator*.<sup>5</sup> The generalized operator  $X_\Omega$  will produce two sorts of terms when it operates on any function of the  $x_{ij}$ —(i) intrinsic terms due to differentiating those parts  $X_i$  of the operator which stand in factors to the right of the partial operator  $\partial/\partial x_{ij}$ , and (ii) extrinsic terms due to direct operation on the operand. Since the right-hand side member of (13) reverts to  $\phi$  itself on substituting the  $X$  for the  $C$ , it follows that

$$\text{extr } X_\Omega \phi = \theta_Q \phi$$

where the notation indicates the extrinsic terms only.

What happens to the intrinsic terms? Is there a result comparable in beauty to the original formula of Capelli? This formula expresses the operator

$$\sum_I (x_1 x_2 \dots x_s)_I (\partial/\partial x_1 \partial/\partial x_2 \dots \partial/\partial x_s)_I$$

(for  $I = i_1 i_2 \dots i_s$ , any set of  $s$  different integers  $1, 2, \dots, n$ ) as a determinant

$$| (x_i | \partial/\partial x_j) + (n-i)\delta_{ij} |, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

<sup>5</sup>[1].

where the first  $n - 1$  integers appear in descending order, finishing with zero, as additions to the elements upon the leading diagonal. These additions are caused by the intrinsic terms, and the expansion of the whole determinant must be taken in the strict order of its columns.<sup>6</sup>

As an example of the complete operator acting upon

$$\phi = (xyz)(xy\alpha)^2(x\beta\gamma)$$

take  $C_\Omega \equiv (\partial/\partial x \partial/\partial y \partial/\partial z) \cdot (\delta\epsilon \mid \partial/\partial x \partial/\partial y)^2 (\zeta \mid \partial/\partial x)$  where  $\delta, \epsilon, \zeta$  are arbitrary columns, and all the columns consist of three elements each. Then  $Q$ , as in (12), denotes the suffix row 4, 3, 1 which indicate the numbers of appearances of  $x, y, z$  respectively in  $\phi$ . Then

$$C_\Omega = \theta_{431}(\delta\epsilon\alpha)^2(\zeta\beta\gamma) = 576(\delta\epsilon\alpha)^2(\zeta\beta\gamma).$$

Again, if  $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon\zeta$  denote the columns of the unit matrix, the result is zero unless  $\delta\epsilon\alpha$  include the three different columns, as well as  $\zeta\beta\gamma$ . For instance

$$(\partial/\partial x \partial/\partial y \partial/\partial z)(\partial/\partial x \partial/\partial y)_{13}^2(\partial/\partial x)_1 \phi = 576$$

when  $\phi = (xyz)(xy)_{13}^2 x_1$ .

The numerical coefficient

$$\theta_Q \equiv \theta_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_n}$$

may be found from the above product  $\mu_0 \mu_1 \dots \mu_{n-1}$  where

$$\mu_i = p_i! \frac{(p_i + p_{i+1} + 1)!}{(p_{i+1} + 1)!} \dots \frac{(p_i + \dots + p_{n-1} + n - i - 1)!}{(p_{i+1} + \dots + p_{n-1} + n - i - 1)!},$$

for these actual values of the  $\mu_i$  follow directly by repeated use of the identity (6). On substituting for the  $\mu_i$  in terms of the  $q_i$  we obtain<sup>7</sup>

$$(14) \quad \theta_Q = \prod_{r < s} \mu_r = \frac{\prod (q_r + r - 1)!}{\prod (q_r - q_s - r + s)!}, \quad \left. \begin{matrix} r \\ s \end{matrix} \right\} = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

This is a positive integer, since each  $\mu_i$  is, and it is the well-known cofactor of the number  $f_Q$  for  $n!$ , namely

$$\theta_Q f_Q = n!$$

where  $f_Q$  is the group characteristic  $\chi_0^Q$ , or the  $Q^{\text{th}}$  component of the character  $\chi_0$ , which was given by Frobenius for the symmetric group of order  $N = \Sigma q_i$ .

This number  $\theta_Q$  can also be defined<sup>8</sup> by the determinant

$$\Delta_Q = \left| d_{ij} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{(q_i - i + j)!} \right| = \frac{1}{\theta_Q},$$

where  $d_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $q_i < i - j$  and  $d_{ij} = 1$  whenever  $q_i = i - j$ . The number of rows and columns in the determinant is taken to be the number of non-zero suffixes in the set  $Q = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n$  ( $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq \dots$  etc.).

<sup>6</sup>Cf. [1], and [4] p. 117.

<sup>7</sup>Cf. [5] p. 366.

<sup>8</sup>[4] p. 359. A misprint is here corrected from  $j$  to  $i - j$ .

For example

$$\frac{1}{\theta_{431}} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{4!} & \frac{1}{5!} & \frac{1}{6!} \\ \frac{1}{2!} & \frac{1}{3!} & \frac{1}{4!} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{1!} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{576}.$$

The proof that the determinantal and the product formulae for  $\theta_Q$  are equivalent follows at once on evaluating the determinant by Dodgson's method.<sup>9</sup> If by compact minor we mean a minor chosen in any manner from any  $r$  consecutive rows and any  $r$  consecutive columns of the original determinant, then the method depends upon the systematic condensation of  $\Delta_Q$  by the use of compact minors. Here for instance, if  $u_Q$  denotes  $1/\theta_Q$ , we have the condensation

$$u_{431} \equiv \begin{vmatrix} u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \\ u_2 & u_3 & u_4 \\ 0 & 1 & u_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad \begin{vmatrix} u_{43} & u_{54} \\ u_{21} & u_{31} \end{vmatrix}, \quad |u_{431}| = u_{431}.$$

In this and all such sequences of condensing determinants those elements which stand *within* the whole outer border of elements are called pivotal elements ( $u_3$  alone is such a pivot in this example). If  $v$  is such a pivot and  $V$  is the  $3 \times 3$  minor determinant of which  $v$  is the central element then  $V$  appears as an element in the next but two member of the sequence. If  $v$  happens to be zero, then by definition of  $\Delta_Q$ , the three consecutive elements which stand in the row immediately below that of  $v$ ,

$$\begin{matrix} 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \dots \end{matrix}$$

symmetrically, must also be zero. Thus  $V$  also vanishes. When  $v \neq 0$  the usual pivotal process is available (for example  $u_{43}u_{31} - u_{54}u_{21} = u_{431}u_3$ , where  $u_3$  can be cancelled since it does not vanish). In either case the process is definite, and leads to the required result.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Capelli, *Math. Ann.*, vol. 29 (1887), 331-338.  
 [2] A. Cayley, *Collected Works*, vol. 1 (1845), 80-94, 95-112.  
 [3] J. H. Grace and A. Young, *The Algebra of Invariants* (Cambridge, 1903), 259.  
 [4] H. W. Turnbull, *Theory of Determinants, Matrices and Invariants* (Glasgow, 1928; 2nd ed. 1945).  
 [5] A. Young, "Quantitative Substitutional Analysis," *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (1) vol. 34 (1902), 361-397, in particular p. 364.

*The University  
 St. Andrews, Scotland*

<sup>9</sup>[4] p. 340.