

A VANISHING THEOREM FOR HYPERPLANE COHOMOLOGY

G.I. LEHRER

Let \mathcal{A} be a hyperplane arrangement in an arbitrary finite dimensional vector space V and let $G \leq GL(V)$ be an automorphism group of \mathcal{A} . If λ is a complex representation of G such that $(\lambda, 1)_{G_H} = 0$ for all pointwise isotropy groups G_H ($H \in \mathcal{A}$), then we prove the “local-global” result that λ does not appear in the representation of G on the Orlik-Solomon algebra of \mathcal{A} . The result is applied to complex reflection groups and to finite orthogonal groups. It may also be viewed as a combinatorial result concerning the homology of the lattice of intersections of \mathcal{A} . A more general version of the main result is also discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a field k and suppose \mathcal{A} is a finite collection (“arrangement”) of hyperplanes in V . Orlik and Solomon have defined a graded exterior algebra $A(\mathcal{A})$ (in [7], see [8, Chapter 3] for an exposition), which in this work we take to be over \mathbb{C} , the complex numbers. In the case $k = \mathbb{C}$, they showed that

(1.1) $A(\mathcal{A}) \cong H^*(M_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C})$ as graded \mathbb{C} -algebras where $M_{\mathcal{A}} = V \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$ is the associated hyperplane complement.

If G is a finite subgroup of $GL(V)$ which stabilises the set \mathcal{A} then G has an induced action on $A(\mathcal{A})$ and it is this graded representation of G with which we are concerned. When \mathcal{A} is the set of complexified reflecting hyperplanes of a Weyl group W , it was shown in [3] that

(1.2) $(H^j(M_W, \mathbb{C}), \varepsilon)_W = 0$ for all j where M_W is the corresponding complex hyperplane complement, ε is the alternating character of W and $(,)_W$ denotes the standard multiplicity form (or inner product of characters).

The proof of (1.2) was by a “reduction mod p ” argument, which related the Poincaré series of the multiplicity to a count of the rational regular semisimple orbits in a reductive Lie algebra via ℓ -adic cohomology (see [3] and [4]).

The purpose of the present work is to show that (see (2.3) below) for $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, we have:

Received 19th June, 1995

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/96 \$A2.00+0.00.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let λ be a complex representation of G which contains no non-zero vector fixed by any group $G_H = \{g \in G \mid gv = v \text{ for } v \in H\}$ ($H \in \mathcal{A}$). Then $(A^j(\mathcal{A}), \lambda)_G = 0$ for all j .*

Clearly (1.3) may be thought of as a “local-global” result. The hypotheses relate to the restrictions of the representation λ to the “local” isotropy groups G_H ($H \in \mathcal{A}$) and the conclusion concerns the “global” representation $A^*(\mathcal{A})$.

An easy consequence of our result is

(1.4) Let G be a finite unitary reflection group acting on the \mathbb{C} -vector space V . Let M_G be the complement of the union of its reflecting hyperplanes. Then

$$(H^j(M_G, \mathbb{C}), \det^{\pm 1})_G = 0 \text{ for all } j,$$

where \det is the determinant character of G .

Of course (1.4) has (1.2) as a special case. Clearly our main result (2.3) has a combinatorial interpretation in terms of the lattice $L(\mathcal{A})$ (see Section 3 below and [8, Chapter 6]). In its combinatorial context, the result belongs to the circle of ideas discussed in [10] and [2]. Moreover in view of the connection between (1.2) and the theory of reductive groups (see [3]) and Lie algebras over finite fields one might expect other applications there (see [1]).

After giving the proofs of our main statements in Section 3, we give, in Section 4, a slightly more general version of the main result. In Section 5 we give an application in the context of the finite orthogonal groups to the arrangement of “non-isotropic” hyperplanes in an orthogonal space over a finite field.

2. NOTATION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

Notation will be as in [8, Chapters 3, 6]. Given V , k and \mathcal{A} as in Section 1, the algebra $A(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as follows.

(2.1) $A(\mathcal{A})$ is generated as (unital, associative, graded) \mathbb{C} -algebra by $\{a_H \mid H \in \mathcal{A}\}$ ($\deg a_H = 1$ for $H \in \mathcal{A}$) subject to the relations

(2.1.1)
$$a_H a_K = -a_K a_H \quad (H, K \in \mathcal{A}).$$

(2.1.2) If $H_1, \dots, H_s \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{codim}_V(H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_s) < s$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^s (-1)^i a_{H_1} \dots \widehat{a_{H_i}} \dots a_{H_s} = 0.$$

Following Orlik and Solomon, one associates with \mathcal{A} the lattice $L(\mathcal{A})$ of all intersections of elements of \mathcal{A} , ordered by the reverse of inclusion. Then $L(\mathcal{A})$ has a bottom

element V and top element $T(L) = \bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. It is known that $L(\mathcal{A})$ is a geometric lattice and hence that its order complex (the simplicial complex with simplexes the chains in $L(\mathcal{A}) \setminus \{V, T(L)\}$) has the homotopy type of a bouquet of spheres.

The lattice $L(\mathcal{A})$ has rank function $r(X) = \text{codim}_V(X)$ ($X \in L(\mathcal{A})$). We write $r(T(L)) = r = r(\mathcal{A})$ for the rank of the arrangement.

DEFINITION 2.2: For $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$ write $G_X = \{g \in G \mid gv = v \text{ for all } v \in X\}$ and $N_X = \{g \in G \mid gX = X\}$.

Clearly G_X is a normal subgroup of N_X . If $\bigcap_{g \in G_X} \text{Fix } g = X$, then $N_X = N_G(G_X)$.

Since the relations (2.1) are homogeneous (in the exterior algebra), $A(\mathcal{A})$ has a natural grading. We write $A^j(\mathcal{A})$ for the j^{th} graded component.

THEOREM 2.3. Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement in the k -vector space V (k any field) and let $G \leq \text{GL}(V)$ be a finite group such that $G\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let λ be a complex representation of G satisfying

$$(2.3.1) \text{ For } H \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ we have } (\text{Res}_{G_H}^G(\lambda), 1)_{G_H} = 0.$$

$$(2.3.2) \quad (\lambda, 1)_G = 0.$$

Then for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$ we have

$$(A^j(\mathcal{A}), \lambda)_G = 0.$$

where $A(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^r A^j(\mathcal{A})$ is the (complex) Orlik–Solomon algebra of \mathcal{A} .

Note that if \mathcal{A} is not empty, the condition (2.3.2) is a consequence of (2.3.1).

COROLLARY 2.4. With notation as in (2.3), assume $k = \mathbb{C}$ and write $M_{\mathcal{A}} = V \setminus \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{A}} H$. Then $(H^j(M_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C}), \lambda) = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$.

COROLLARY 2.5. With notation as in (2.3), suppose $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$ and that there is a homomorphism $d : G \rightarrow k^\times$ with non-trivial restriction to G_H (each $H \in \mathcal{A}$). Then $\{d(g) \mid g \in G\}$ is a (finite) cyclic subgroup $d(G)$ of k^\times . Let $\iota : d(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be any monomorphism and define $\delta(g) = \iota(d(g))$ ($g \in G$). Then $(A^j(\mathcal{A}), \delta)_G = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, r$.

COROLLARY 2.6. Let G ($\neq 1$) be a finite unitary reflection group of rank r acting on the complex vector space V . If M_W is the complement of the reflecting hyperplanes of G in V , then $(H^j(M_W, \mathbb{C}), \det^{\pm 1})_G = 0$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$.

3. PROOFS

Let $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$ and write $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \leq X \text{ in } L(\mathcal{A})\}$. Then \mathcal{A}_X is an

arrangement in V which is stabilised by N_X (see (2.2). Hence we may speak of the N_X -module $A^j(\mathcal{A}_X)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots$).

LEMMA 3.1. *For each $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, there is an isomorphism of G -modules: $A^j(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{X \in (L/G)_j} \text{Ind}_{N_X}^G(A^j(\mathcal{A}_X))$ where $(L/G)_j$ denotes a set of representatives of the G -orbits on $L(\mathcal{A})_j = \{Y \in L(\mathcal{A}) \mid \tau(Y) = j\}$.*

PROOF: This is essentially proved in [6, (2.4) and following remarks], using results from [7]. Although the context in [6] is more specific, the arguments there yield the statement (3.1). □

(3.2) **PROOF OF THEOREM (2.3):** Observe that the hypothesis (2.3.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.3.1)' \quad \text{For } V \neq X \in L(\mathcal{A}), \text{ we have } (\lambda, 1)_{G_X} = 0.$$

This is because for $X \leq Y$ in $L(\mathcal{A})$ we have $G_X \leq G_Y$. Hence $(\lambda, 1)_{G_H} = 0$ for $H \in \mathcal{A}$ implies that $(\lambda, 1)_{G_X} = 0$ for $X \in L(\mathcal{A})$, $X \neq V$, because the elements of \mathcal{A} are the atoms of $L(\mathcal{A})$.

Next, we have from (3.1), using Frobenius reciprocity,

$$(3.2.1) \quad (A^j(\mathcal{A}), \lambda)_G = \sum_{X \in (L/G)_j} (A^j(\mathcal{A}_X), \text{Res}_{N_X}^G(\lambda))_{N_X}.$$

Observe that if $j > 0$, the hypotheses (2.3.1) and (2.3.2) apply with \mathcal{A}_X , N_X , $\text{Res}_{N_X}^G(\lambda)$ in place of \mathcal{A} , G , λ respectively. This is because $L(\mathcal{A}_X) = \{Y \in L(\mathcal{A}) \mid Y \leq X\}$; hence if (2.3.1)' holds for $Y \in L(\mathcal{A})$, it holds also for $Y \in L(\mathcal{A}_X)$. To check (2.3.2), observe that since $\text{Res}_{G_X}^G(\lambda)$ does not contain the trivial representation of G_X , we have a fortiori that $\text{Res}_{N_X}^G(\lambda)$ does not contain the trivial representation of N_X . Hence $(\text{Res}_{N_X}^G(\lambda), 1)_{N_X} = 0$, proving (2.3.2). If $j = 0$, the above assertion is clear from (2.3.2).

If $X \in L_j$ (that is, $\tau(X) = j$) then $\tau(\mathcal{A}_X) = j$. It follows that if (2.3) holds for $j = \tau = \tau(\mathcal{A})$, then by applying it to all triples $(\mathcal{A}_X, N_X, \text{Res}_{N_X}^G(\lambda))$ with $j = \tau(\mathcal{A}_X)$ and using (3.2.1), one obtains (2.3) for all j . We have therefore shown

$$(3.2.2) \quad \text{It suffices to prove (2.3) for } j = \tau(\mathcal{A}) = \tau.$$

Now the character of G on $A^\tau(\mathcal{A})$ has been calculated by Orlik and Solomon in terms of the lattice $L = L(\mathcal{A})$ (see [8, (6.1.14)]):

$$(3.2.3) \quad \text{For } g \in G, \text{ trace } (g, A^\tau(\mathcal{A})) = (-1)^\tau \mu_g(T(L)) \text{ where } \mu_g \text{ is the M\"obius function of } L^g = \{Y \in L \mid gY = Y\}.$$

It follows that (abusing notation by identifying λ with its character)

$$(3.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (A^r(\mathcal{A}), \lambda) &= (-1)^r |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \mu_g(T(L)) \lambda(g) \\ &= (-1)^r |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} (1 + \mu_g(T(L))) \lambda(g), \end{aligned}$$

since (2.3.2) implies that $\sum_{g \in G} \lambda(g) = 0$. But by a result of Rota [9], $1 + \mu_g(T(L)) = \chi(L^g)$, where χ denotes Euler characteristic. Thus

$$1 + \mu_g(T(L)) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (-1)^j n_j(L^g),$$

where $n_j(L^g)$ is the number of chains $\sigma = X_0 < \dots < X_j$ with $X_i \in L^g \setminus \{T(L), V\}$. Hence

$$(3.2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{g \in G} (1 + \mu_g(T(L))) \lambda(g) &= \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (-1)^j n_j(L^g) \lambda(g) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} (-1)^j \sum_{\sigma \in C_j(L)} \left(\sum_{g \in G_\sigma} \lambda(g) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_j(L)$ is the set of chains $X_0 < \dots < X_j = \sigma$ with $X_i \in L \setminus \{T(L), V\}$ and G_σ is the stabiliser of σ in G , that is, $\{g \in G \mid gX_i = X_i \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, j\}$ (the last equality is obtained by reversing the order of summation in the previous one). But G_σ contains G_{X_0} , since if $g \in G_{X_0}$, g fixes X_0 pointwise and hence fixes any subspace of X_0 . (Recall $X_0 < X_i$ means that X_i is a subspace of X_0 .) It follows that since $X_0 \neq V$, the restriction of λ to G_σ does not contain 1_{G_σ} , whence the inner sum $\sum_{g \in G_\sigma} \lambda(g) = 0$.

Thus from (3.2.4), we obtain $(A^r(\mathcal{A}), \lambda) = 0$ and by (3.2.2) the proof is complete. \square

(3.3) DEDUCTION OF COROLLARIES. Corollary (2.4) follows from the statement [8, (5.4.14)].

(3.3.1) Suppose \mathcal{A} is a complex arrangement in (2.3). There is a G -equivariant isomorphism of graded \mathbb{C} -algebras: $A(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^*(M_{\mathcal{A}}, \mathbb{C})$.

The G -equivariance is not pointed out in [8, 6.1.14], but is obvious from the isomorphism, which is explicit.

Suppose now that we have the situation of (2.5). The character δ clearly satisfies the conditions of (2.3) and (2.5) follows immediately.

In the situation of (2.6), for each $X \in L$, $X \neq V$, G_X contains a non-trivial reflection. Hence $\text{Res}_{G_X}^G (\det^{\pm 1})$ is non-trivial and the result follows from (2.4).

4. A GENERALISATION

Essentially the same proof as (3.2) yields the following slightly more general result.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an arrangement in the k -vector space V (k any field) and let $G \leq GL(V)$ be a finite group such that $G\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. Let λ be a complex representation of G satisfying*

(4.1.1) *For any chain $\sigma = X_0 < X_1 < \dots < X_j$ in $L(\mathcal{A})$ (including the empty chain), we have $(Res_{G_\sigma}^G(\lambda), 1)_{N_\sigma} = 0$, where N_σ is the isotropy group of σ in G .*

Then for $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$ we have

$$(A^j(\mathcal{A}), \lambda)_G = 0.$$

where $A(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^j A^i(\mathcal{A})$ is the (complex) Orlik-Solomon algebra of \mathcal{A} .

REMARK 4.2. An immediate consequence of (4.1) is that if \mathcal{A} is the arrangement of all hyperplanes in an n -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q and $G = GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, then only principal series representations of G may appear in $A(\mathcal{A})$. This result is of course not new.

5. AN APPLICATION – FINITE ORTHOGONAL GROUPS

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q (q odd) and let $G = O^\pm(n, q)$ be the isometry group of a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ on V . It is well known (see [8, 6.32] or [11]) that G is generated by reflections (isometries fixing a hyperplane pointwise) in a set of hyperplanes of V . Any such reflection τ has the form

$$(5.1) \quad \tau(v) = v - 2 \frac{\beta(v, v_0)}{\beta(v_0, v_0)} v_0 \quad (v \in V)$$

for some $v_0 \in V$ such that $\beta(v_0, v_0) \neq 0$, that is, for some non-isotropic v_0 .

It follows easily that the set \mathcal{A} of hyperplanes corresponding to reflections in G is

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{A} = \{u^\perp \mid u \text{ is a non-isotropic vector in } V\}.$$

We refer to the hyperplanes of (5.2) as *non-isotropic*. Note that in [8, 6.32] Orlik and Terao erroneously state that \mathcal{A} is the set of all hyperplanes of V .

Our result (2.5) may now be applied as follows.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a symmetric, non-degenerate bilinear form on the finite dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{F}_q (q odd). Assume $\dim V \geq 2$. Let G be the isometry group of (V, β) and let δ be the “sign” character of G ($\delta(g) = \det g = \pm 1 \in \mathbb{C}$*

for $g \in G$). If \mathcal{A} is the arrangement of non-isotropic hyperplanes of V , then G acts on (V, \mathcal{A}) and we have

$$(A^j(\mathcal{A}), \delta)_G = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, \dim V - 2.$$

Using the fact that $A^n(\mathcal{A}) \cong H_{n-2}(L(\mathcal{A}))$ where $L(\mathcal{A})$ is the lattice of the arrangement \mathcal{A} and $n = \dim V$, we deduce immediately

COROLLARY 5.4. *Let L be the lattice of intersections of the non-isotropic hyperplanes of (V, β) (notation as in (5.3)). Then $(H_{n-2}(L), \delta)_G = 0$, where H_{n-2} denotes homology with complex coefficients of the order complex of L and δ and G are as in (5.3).*

EXAMPLE 5.5. Take $n = 2$ in (5.4) and let $\beta \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2$.

It is then easily verified that

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & -a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q, a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

The representation $H_0(L)$ is then the permutation representation of G on the non-isotropic lines of \mathbb{F}_q^2 and the formula (5.4) reads as follows.

(5.5.1) Let $n_0 = \#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \mid a^2 + b^2 = 1\}$. Then $n_0 = q + 1$ (respectively, $q - 1$) if -1 is a non-square (respectively, square) in \mathbb{F}_q .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Broué and G. Malle, 'Zyklotomische Heckealgebren', *Astérisque* **212** (1993), 119–189.
- [2] P. Hanlon, 'A proof of a conjecture of Stanley concerning partitions of a set', *European J. Combin.* **4** (1983), 137–141.
- [3] G.I. Lehrer, 'Rational tori, semisimple orbits and the topology of hyperplane complements', *Comment. Math. Helv.* **67** (1992), 226–251.
- [4] G.I. Lehrer, 'The ℓ -adic cohomology of hyperplane complements', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **24** (1992), 76–82.
- [5] G.I. Lehrer and L. Rylands, 'The split building of a reductive group', *Math. Ann.* **296** (1993), 607–624.
- [6] G.I. Lehrer and L. Solomon, 'On the action of the symmetric group on the cohomology of the complement of its reflecting hyperplanes', *J. Algebra* **104** (1986), 410–424.
- [7] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, 'Combinatorics and the topology of complements of hyperplanes', *Invent. Math.* **56** (1980), 167–189.
- [8] P. Orlik and H. Terao, *Arrangements of hyperplanes* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1992).

- [9] G.-C. Rota, 'On the foundations of combinatorial theory I. Theory of Möbius functions', *Z. Wahrsch.* **2** (1964), 340–368.
- [10] R. Stanley, 'Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets', *J. Comb. Theory Ser. A* **32** (1982), 132–161.
- [11] D.E. Taylor, *The geometry of the classical groups*, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, **9** (Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1992).

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Sydney
Sydney NSW 2006
Australia