

# European Constitutional Law Review

2017 VOLUME 13 ISSUE 3

*Contributions by*

Robert Spano, Wojciech Sadurski, Tarik Olcay, Christoph Krenn, Bogdan Iancu, Barbara Guastaferrero, Paul Gragl, Pavlos Eleftheriadis, Richard Crowe

*Subjects*

EASTERN ENLARGEMENT: The Great Enlargement of 2005-2007 and the Constitutionalization of the EU; ROMANIA: Judicial Independence and the Risks of Sloganized Constitutionalism; EU BUDGET: Fragmentation, Transparency, and Future Reforms; MULTILEVEL PROTECTION OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS: The Relationship between *Strasbourg* and the EFTA Court; Mutual Recognition and the *Bosphorus* Presumption before *Strasbourg* in *Avotiņš*; COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION: Strengthening the Court's Democratic Accountability and the Quality of the Judicial Process through Financial Accountability; ITALIAN CONSTITUTIONAL COURT: From Silence to Dialogue with the Court of Justice of the European Union; UNITED KINGDOM: The Supreme Court's *Gina Miller* judgment and the Nature of the British Constitution; TURKEY: The Constitutional Court's dismissal of two of its own Members in the Aftermath of the Attempted *Coup d'État* of 15 July 2016



**CAMBRIDGE**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

*EuConst*  
2017/3

## BOARD OF EDITORS

*Leonard F.M. Besselink, co-editor-in-chief*  
University of Amsterdam

*Monica Claes, co-editor-in-chief*  
Maastricht University

*Jan-Herman Reestman, co-editor-in-chief*  
University of Amsterdam

*W.T. Eijssbouts*  
University of Amsterdam

*John W. Sap*  
Open Universiteit and VU Amsterdam

*Thomas Beukers*  
European University Institute, Florence

*Jan Komárek*  
London School of Economics and  
Political Science

*Gerhard van der Schyff*  
University of Tilburg

*Mattias Wendel*  
Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin

*Aida Torres Pérez*  
Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona

*François-Xavier Millet*  
Court of Justice of the European Union

*Thomas A.J.A. Vandamme*  
University of Amsterdam

*Bastian Michel, managing editor*  
University of Amsterdam

*Andrew Faughman, language editor*  
Amsterdam

*Special language advisers*  
*Jeremy B. Bierbach*  
Amsterdam

*Russell E.M. Lawson*  
Oxford

## BOARD OF ADVISERS

*Andreas Auer*  
Professor emeritus of public law, Universities of  
Zurich and Geneva

*Marta Cartabia*  
Vice-president of the Italian Constitutional Court  
and Professor, Università Milano-Bicocca

*Paul Craig*  
Professor of English law, St. John's College, Oxford

*Gráinne de Búrca*  
Professor of law, New York University School of Law

*Bruno De Witte*  
Professor of law, European University Institute,  
Florence, and Maastricht University

*Olivier Duhamel*  
University Professor at IEP (Sciences Po), Paris

*Spyridon Flogaitis*  
Professor of administrative law, University of Athens

*Jörg Gerkrath*  
Professor of European law, University of  
Luxembourg

*P.J.G. Kapteyn*  
Former judge in the ECJ

*Rick Lawson*  
Professor of European law, Leiden University

*Koen Lenaerts*  
President of the ECJ and Professor of European law,  
KU Leuven

*Ingolf Pernice*  
Professor of public law and of international and  
European law, HU Berlin

*Jit Peters*  
Professor emeritus of public law, University of  
Amsterdam

*Lucas Prakke*  
Professor emeritus of comparative constitutional  
law, University of Amsterdam

*Sacha Prechal*  
Judge at the ECJ and Professor of international and  
European institutional law, Utrecht University

*W.H. Roobol*  
Professor emeritus of European history, University  
of Amsterdam

*Dominique Rousseau*  
Professor of public law, Université Paris I  
Panthéon-Sorbonne

*Wojciech Sadurski*  
Professor at the University of Sidney and the  
University of Warsaw

*András Sajó*  
Judge in the European Court of Human Rights

*Miroslaw Wyrzykowski*  
Professor of constitutional law, University of  
Warsaw

*Peter G. Xuereb*  
Professor of European and comparative law,  
University of Malta

*Jiří Zemánek*  
Professor of European Union law, Charles  
University, Prague, judge in the Czech  
Constitutional Court

# EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW REVIEW

## 2017 VOLUME 13 ISSUE 3

### CONTENTS

#### *Guest Editorial*

Wojciech SADURSKI – That *other* anniversary – 417

#### *Articles*

Richard CROWE – The European Budgetary Galaxy – 428

Christoph KRENN – The European Court of Justice's Financial Accountability: *How the European Parliament Incites and Monitors Judicial Reform through the Budgetary Process* – 453

Robert SPANO – The EFTA Court and Fundamental Rights – 475

Barbara GUASTAFERRO – The unexpectedly talkative 'dumb son': the Italian Constitutional Court's dialogue with the European Court of Justice in protecting temporary workers' rights in the public education sector – 493

Pavlos ELEFThERiADIS – Two Doctrines of the Unwritten Constitution – 525

#### *Case Notes*

Paul GRAGL – An Olive Branch from Strasbourg? Interpreting the European Court of Human Rights' Resurrection of *Bosphorus* and Reaction to *Opinion 2/13* in the *Avotiņš* Case: ECtHR 23 May 2016, Case No. 17502/07, *Avotiņš v Latvia* – 551

Tarik OLCAY – Firing Bench-mates: The Human Rights and Rule of Law Implications of the Turkish Constitutional Court's Dismissal of Its Two Members: Decision of 4 August 2016, E. 2016/6 (Miscellaneous file), K. 2016/12 – 568

#### *Book Review Essay*

Bogdan IANCU – Perils of Sloganised Constitutional Concepts – Notably that of 'Judicial Independence' – 582

## EDITORIAL POLICY & GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS

The journal is edited in the Hogendorp Centre for European Constitutional Studies, a Jean Monnet Centre of excellence. This Centre is based in the University of Amsterdam. Published four times a year. In the tradition of the Hogendorp Centre, the *European Constitutional Law Review* (EuConst) follows the classical approach of constitutionalism, to discuss EU law's developments as well as comparative public law of the member states, political and constitutional theory and history. The journal is a platform for scholarly discussion of European constitutional events and evolution. It is open to contributions in this field from any country in the world and from any discipline. These contributions should satisfy as to substance, apart from the common scholarly criteria, two specific conditions, to a) have a distinctly European relevance and b) include a reference to and discussion of legal aspects involved.

### *Submitting an article, case note or book review*

The editors of the *European Constitutional Law Review* are happy to receive contributions on relevant subjects at any time. Before submitting, authors should ensure that their contribution falls within the scope of EuConst as stated above.

Articles, case notes and book reviews can be sent by email to [euconst@uva.nl](mailto:euconst@uva.nl). Manuscripts should be attached in Microsoft Word format (and not, specifically, in PDF format) to enable editing, anonymisation and comments. EuConst has an exclusive submission policy. Authors are required to state clearly, when submitting, that their contribution is not under consideration elsewhere.

Authors of article contributions are asked to aim for a length of no more than 10,000 words (including footnotes). Case notes and book reviews should not exceed 5,000 words. Upon request, the editors will consider whether relaxation of these limits is justified.

All submissions must be written in good English. Authors who are uncertain whether their English is of sufficient quality, should have their manuscript reviewed and edited by a native speaker with a background in law.

### *Copyright, licence to publish and open access policy*

Contributors retain copyright. By submitting to EuConst, authors agree that upon acceptance of their contribution they will grant an exclusive licence to publish by signing and returning the appropriate Licence Form. Authors can make their contributions available through academic repositories. For more information, including terms and conditions of publication and authors' rights of reuse, see [journals.cambridge.org/EuConst\\_CTF](http://journals.cambridge.org/EuConst_CTF).

### *Revisions and house style*

Accepted contributions will be edited, linguistically and substantively, subject to authors' approval. Authors should ensure that their submissions conform to the house style. A style sheet is available on the journal website, [journals.cambridge.org/euconst](http://journals.cambridge.org/euconst).



The G.K. VAN HOGENDORP CENTRE FOR EUROPEAN CONSTITUTIONAL STUDIES, short: HOGENDORP CENTRE, is a Jean Monnet centre of excellence at the University of Amsterdam. Founded in 1996, it promotes research and course development in the field of European Constitutional Studies, bringing together the disciplines of constitutional (including comparative) law, history, political theory. Gijsbert Karel van Hogendorp, 1762-1834, is the *auctor intellectualis* of the Dutch Kingdom's first constitution, of 1814.