

WEIGHT FUNCTIONS WHICH ADMIT TCHEBYCHEFF QUADRATURE

FRANZ PEHERSTORFER

We describe a class of weight functions, which admit Tchebycheff quadrature.

Let integers $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $m \leq 2n-1$, and a nonnegative continuous weight function w on $(-1, +1)$ be given. We call a quadrature formula of the type

$$(1) \quad \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x)w(x)dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f(x_i) + R_n(f)$$

a $(2n-1-m, n, w)$ Tchebycheff quadrature formula on $[-1, +1]$, if the following three conditions are fulfilled: $-1 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < 1$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_n = 1/n$, and $R_n(f) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1-m}$, where \mathbb{P}_{2n-1-m} is the set of polynomials of degree at most $2n-1-m$. We say that the weight function w admits T -quadrature, if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist nodes x_1, \dots, x_n , such that (1) is a (n, n, w) Tchebycheff quadrature formula.

Ullman [5] has shown that the weight function

$$w_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{1+\alpha x}{1+\alpha^2+2\alpha x}, \quad x \in (-1, +1), \quad \alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}],$$

admits T -quadrature. So far these are the only known weight functions

Received 2 March 1982.

with this property (compare [1]). In this paper we describe a class of weight functions, which admit T -quadrature.

We denote the closure and the boundary of a set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ by \bar{E} and ∂E respectively. The open disk of radius r $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < r\}$ is denoted by U_r . As usual the index r is omitted for $r = 1$. Furthermore T_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denotes the Tchebycheff polynomial of first kind of degree k .

For the following theorem see also Theorem 1 of [4].

THEOREM 1. *The following three properties are equivalent:*

- (a) *a quadrature formula based on nodes $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}$, $-1 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n < 1$, is a $(2n-1-m, n, w)$ Tchebycheff quadrature formula on $[-1, +1]$;*

- (b) $1 - \frac{zt'_{2n}(z)}{nt_{2n}(z)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1-m} c_k z^k + O(z^{2n-m})$ for $z \in U$, where

$$t_{2n}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(z^2 - 2 \cos \varphi_j z + 1 \right), \quad \varphi_j = \arccos x_j, \text{ and}$$

$$c_k = 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x)w(x)dx \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, 2n-1-m;$$

- (c) $t_{2n}(z) = \exp \left(n \int_0^z \frac{1-F(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi \right) + O(z^{2n-m})$ for $z \in U$, where

$$F(\xi) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k \xi^k \text{ and } c_k = 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x)w(x)dx \text{ for}$$

$k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Since

$$c_k = 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x)w(x)dx = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \cos k\varphi_j \text{ for } k = 0, \dots, 2n-1-m,$$

we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1-e^{i\varphi_j z}} + \frac{1}{1-e^{-i\varphi_j z}} - 1 \right) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1-m} c_k z^k + O(z^{2n-m}) \text{ for } z \in U.$$

Using the fact that

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{1-e^{i\varphi_j} z} + \frac{1}{1-e^{-i\varphi_j} z} - 1 \right) = 1 - \frac{zt'_{2n}(z)}{nt_{2n}(z)}$$

the implication is proved.

(b) ⇒ (c). Taking into consideration the facts that t_{2n} has all zeros on the circumference and that $t_{2n}(0) = 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \ln t_{2n}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1-F(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi + O(z^{2n-m})$$

from which the assertion follows.

(c) ⇒ (a). Since

$$1 - \frac{zt'_{2n}(z)}{nt_{2n}(z)} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1-m} c_k z^k + O(z^{2n-m})$$

we get with the aid of (2) that

$$c_k = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \cos k\varphi_j \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, 2n-1-m.$$

Henceforth we denote by

$$q_n^*(z) = z^n \bar{q}(z^{-1}) = \bar{\gamma} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \bar{z}_i z)$$

the reciprocal polynomial of

$$q_n(z) = \gamma \prod_{i=1}^n (z - z_i), \quad \gamma, z, z_i \in \mathbb{C}.$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain (compare also [2, Theorem 1])

COROLLARY 1. *Let*

$$F(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k,$$

where

$$c_k = 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x) w(x) dx$$

for $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Further let p_n^* be defined by

$$p_n^*(z) = \exp\left[n \int_0^z \frac{1-F(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi\right] + O(z^{n+1})$$

and assume that p_n has all zeros in $\overline{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}$. The quadrature formula based on nodes which are the zeros of the polynomial

$$q_n(x) = \operatorname{Re}\left\{p_n(e^{i\varphi}) - (p_n(0)/2)\right\}, \quad x = \cos \varphi, \quad \varphi \in [0, \pi],$$

is a (n, n, w) Tchebycheff quadrature formula on $[-1, +1]$.

Proof. Since p_n has all zeros in $\overline{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}$, it follows from [3, p. 80] that all zeros of $p_n - p_n(0)/2$ lie in the circle

$$|z| \leq \frac{1}{2} + |p_n(0)/2|^{1/n} < 1.$$

Thus the cosine polynomial $\operatorname{Re}\left\{p_n(e^{i\varphi}) - (p_n(0)/2)\right\}$ has n simple zeros in $(0, \pi)$. Setting

$$t_{2n}(z) = p_n^*(z) + z^n(p_n(z) - p_n(0))$$

the assertion follows from Theorem 1 (c) and the fact that

$$z^{-n} t_{2n}(z)/2 = \operatorname{Re}\{p_n(z) - (p_n(0)/2)\} \quad \text{for } z = e^{i\varphi}, \quad \varphi \in [0, \pi].$$

The following corollary gives us an extension of some results of Ullman [5] and Geronimus [2].

COROLLARY 2. Let $l \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed and let

$$p_l(z) = \prod_{i=1}^l (z - \alpha_i),$$

$\alpha_i \in \overline{U}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ real or complex conjugate. Put

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1+\alpha_i x}{1+\alpha_i^2+2\alpha_i x} \quad \text{for } x \in (-1, +1).$$

The quadrature formula based on nodes which are the zeros of the polynomial $(n \in \mathbf{N})$

$$q_{ln}(x) = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left[p_l(e^{i\varphi}) \right]^n - \left[p_l(0) \right]^n / 2 \right\}, \quad x = \cos \varphi, \quad \varphi \in [0; \pi],$$

is a (ln, ln, w) Tchebycheff quadrature formula on $[-1, +1]$.

Proof. Using the notation of Corollary 1 we obtain $(z = e^{i\varphi}, \varphi \in [0, \pi])$

$$\pi w(\cos \varphi) \sin \varphi = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_i z} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} F(z).$$

Hence

$$\exp \left[ln \int_0^z \frac{1 - F(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi \right] = \prod_{i=1}^l (1 - \alpha_i z)^n.$$

In view of Corollary 1 the assertion is proved.

LEMMA 1. Let $E_1, E_2, E, \bar{E}_1 \subset \bar{E}_2 \subset E, 0 \in E_1$, be bounded simple connected domains in the complex plane, such that

$$F(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$$

is analytic on E and

$$\delta := \left(\max_{z \in \partial E_1} |z| \right) / \left(\min_{\xi \in \partial E_2} |\xi| \right) < 1.$$

Put $G(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k/k) z^k$. If

$$-\frac{1}{n} \ln(-1 + (1/\delta)) + \ln \delta \leq \min_{\xi \in \partial E_2} \operatorname{Re} G(\xi) - \max_{z \in \partial E_1} \operatorname{Re} G(z),$$

then p_n^* , defined by $p_n^*(z) = \exp(-nG(z)) + O(z^{n+1})$, has no zero in E_1 .

Proof. Put

$$H(z) = \exp \left[\int_0^z \frac{1 - F(\xi)}{\xi} d\xi \right] = \exp(-G(z)) \quad \text{for } z \in E$$

and assume that p_n^* has a zero $v \in E_1$. Since

$$(H(z))^n = p_n^*(z) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E_2} (z/\xi)^n \left(\frac{z}{\xi-z} \right) (H(\xi))^n \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \text{ for } z \in E_2$$

we obtain, by using the inequality

$$\frac{1-\delta}{\delta} \leq |\xi/z| - 1 \leq |(\xi/z)-1| \text{ for } z \in \partial E_1, \xi \in \partial E_2,$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial E_2} (v/\xi)^n \left(\frac{v}{\xi-v} \right) \left(\frac{H(\xi)}{H(v)} \right)^n \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right| \\ &< \left(\max_{\xi \in \partial E_2} |H(\xi)|^n \right) / \left(\min_{z \in \partial E_1} |H(z)|^n \right) \cdot \delta^n \cdot \frac{\delta}{(1-\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into consideration the fact that

$$|H(\xi)| = \exp(-\text{Re } G(\xi))$$

we get

$$\max_{\xi \in \partial E_2} |H(\xi)| = 1 / \left(\exp \left(\min_{\xi \in \partial E_2} \text{Re } G(\xi) \right) \right),$$

respectively

$$\min_{z \in \partial E_1} |H(z)| = 1 / \left(\exp \left(\max_{z \in \partial E_1} \text{Re } G(z) \right) \right).$$

Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< \left(\max_{\xi \in \partial E_2} |H(\xi)| \right) / \left(\min_{z \in \partial E_1} |H(z)| \right) \cdot \delta \cdot \left(\frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \right)^{1/n} \\ &= \exp \left(\max_{z \in \partial E_1} \text{Re } G(z) - \min_{\xi \in \partial E_2} \text{Re } G(\xi) \right) \cdot \delta \cdot \left(\frac{\delta}{1-\delta} \right)^{1/n} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

THEOREM 2. (a) Let σ be an odd bounded nondecreasing function on

$[-\pi, \pi]$, such that $\int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} d\sigma(t) = 1$. Suppose that $\alpha \in [-1/8, 1/8]$. Then

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} \operatorname{Re} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{1-\alpha e^{it/z}}, \quad x = \frac{1}{2} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad z = e^{i\varphi}, \quad \varphi \in (0, \pi),$$

admits T -quadrature on $[-1, +1]$.

(b) Let $\Phi(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, be analytic on U_8 and suppose that $|\Phi(z)| \leq 1/8$ for $z \in U_8$. Then

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1+z\Phi(z)}, \quad x = \frac{1}{2} \left(z + \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad z = e^{i\varphi}, \quad \varphi \in (0, \pi)$$

admits T -quadrature on $[-1, +1]$.

Proof. (a) Let

$$F(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{1-\alpha e^{it/z}} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k.$$

Then F is analytic on $U_{1/|\alpha|}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} F(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{1-\alpha e^{it/z}} d\sigma(t) \geq \frac{1}{1+|\alpha|} \quad \text{for } |z| \leq 1.$$

Thus $\pi\sqrt{1-x^2} w(x) = \operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\varphi})$, $x = \cos \varphi$, $\varphi \in (0, \pi)$, is positive and continuous on $(-1, +1)$. Furthermore we have that

$$c_k = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \operatorname{Re} F(e^{i\varphi}) e^{-in\varphi} d\varphi = 2 \int_{-1}^{+1} T_k(x) w(x) dx.$$

In view of Corollary 1 it suffices to show that p_n^* has no zero in U_2 .

Putting $E_1 = U_2$ and $E_2 = U_4$ in Lemma 1 and observing that

$$G(z) = - \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \ln(1-\alpha e^{it/z}) d\sigma(t)$$

we obtain, by using the inequality

$$1 - |\alpha|r \leq |1-\alpha e^{it/z}| \leq 1 + |\alpha|r \quad \text{for } z = re^{i\varphi},$$

that

$$\min_{\xi \in \partial U_4} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \ln 1/|1-\alpha e^{it\xi}| d\sigma(t) - \max_{z \in \partial U_2} \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \ln 1/|1-\alpha e^{it}z| d\sigma(t) \\ \geq \ln 1/(1+4|\alpha|) - \ln 1/(1-2|\alpha|) \geq \ln \frac{1}{2} = \ln \delta$$

from which the assertion follows.

(b) Let F be defined as in the proof of (a). Then F is analytic on U_8 and $\operatorname{Re} F(z) > \frac{1}{2}$ for $z \in U_8$. Thus $F(z) = 1/(1+z\Phi(z))$, where Φ is analytic on U_8 and satisfies $|\Phi(z)| \leq 1/8$ for $z \in U_8$.

EXAMPLE. From Theorem 2 we obtain immediately that the weight function

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1+\alpha_i x}{1+\alpha_i^2+2\alpha_i x}, \quad x \in (-1, +1),$$

which was considered in Corollary 2, admits T -quadrature on $[-1, +1]$, if $|\alpha_i| \leq 1/8$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$.

References

- [1] Walter Gautschi, "Advances in Chebyshev quadrature", *Numerical analysis*, 100-121 (Proc. Dundee Conf. Numerical Analysis, 1975. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 506. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1976).
- [2] Я.Л. Геронимус [Ja.L. Geronimus], "О квадратурной формуле Чебышева", *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 33 (1969), 1182-1207. English Transl: "Čebyšev's quadrature formula", *Math. USSR - Izv.* 3 (1969), 1115-1138.
- [3] Morris Marden, *Geometry of polynomials* (Mathematical Surveys, 3. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1966).
- [4] Franz Peherstorfer, "Characterization of positive quadrature formulas", *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 12 (1981), 935-942.

- [5] J.L. Ullman, "A class of weight functions that admit Tchebycheff quadrature", *Michigan Math. J.* 13 (1966), 417-423.

Johannes Kepler Universität Linz,
Institut für Mathematik,
Altenberger Strasse 69,
A-4045 Linz,
Austria.