

## PERIODIC POINTS AND CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS

BY  
TSU-TEH HSIEH<sup>(1)</sup> AND KOK-KEONG TAN<sup>(2)</sup>

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $f: X \rightarrow X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is (i) a fixed point of  $f$  iff  $f(x) = x$ , and (ii) a periodic point of  $f$  iff there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $f^N(x) = x$ . Also a periodic orbit of  $f$  is the (finite) set  $\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\}$  where  $x$  is a periodic point of  $f$ . It has been of interest in investigating those mappings  $f$  satisfying the following property

(P) every periodic point of  $f$  is a fixed point.

In a metric space, it is known [2] that every contractive mapping (even every iteratively contractive mapping [3]) satisfies (P). It is also proved in [1] that every subcontractive mapping also satisfies (P). In a Hausdorff space  $(X, \tau)$  whose topology  $\tau$  is generated by a family  $\mathcal{D}$  of pseudometrics on  $X$ , the second author [4] found that every nonexpansive and iteratively contractive mapping w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  also has the property (P).

In this paper, we generalize all the above results and at the same time weaken the condition of  $f$ .

**2. Definitions.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff space whose topology  $\tau$  is generated by a family  $\mathcal{D}$  of pseudometrics on  $X$ . The family  $\mathcal{D}$  is said to be saturated iff given any finite subfamily, say  $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ , of  $\mathcal{D}$ , the pseudometric  $d$  defined by  $d(x, y) = \max\{d_i(x, y) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ , in symbol  $d = d_1 \vee \dots \vee d_n$ , is also in  $\mathcal{D}$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , then  $f$  is called

(i) contractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  iff for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(x, y) > 0$  implies  $d(x, y) > d(f(x), f(y))$ ;

(ii) iteratively contractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  iff for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(x, y) > 0$  implies the existence of a positive integer  $N$  such that  $d(x, y) > d(f^N(x), f^N(y))$ ;

(iii) subcontractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  iff for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , for each  $x \in X$ ,  $d(x, f(x)) > 0$  implies  $d(x, f(x)) > d(f(x), f^2(x))$ ;

(iv) iteratively subcontractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  iff for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , for each  $x \in X$ ,  $d(x, f(x)) > 0$  implies the existence of a positive integer  $N$  such that  $d(x, f(x)) > d(f^N(x), f^{N+1}(x))$ ;

---

<sup>(1)</sup> The author is partially supported by National Research Council of Canada under grant number A-7750.

<sup>(2)</sup> The author is partially supported by National Research Council of Canada under grant number A-8096.

(v) nonexpansive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  iff for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $d(x, y) \geq d(f(x), f(y))$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;

(vi) subnonexpansive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  iff for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , for each  $x \in X$ ,  $d(x, f(x)) \geq d(f(x), f^2(x))$ .

It is clear from the definitions that (a) each contractive mapping w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  is subcontractive and iteratively contractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  and (b) each subcontractive mapping w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  as well as each iteratively contractive mapping w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  is iteratively subcontractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$ .

### 3. Main results.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a pseudometric space and  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be iteratively subcontractive w.r.t.  $\{d\}$ . Then (I) every periodic orbit of  $f$  contains some point  $x$  with  $d(x, f(x))=0$ ; (II) if  $f$  is either subnonexpansive or contractive w.r.t.  $\{d\}$ , every periodic orbit of  $f$  is of diameter zero (or equivalently  $d(x, f(x))=0$  for every periodic point  $x$  of  $f$ ).*

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X$  is a periodic orbit of  $f$  with  $N$  points.

(I) If  $d(x, f(x)) > 0$  for each  $x \in X$ , then the same proof of Theorem 1 in [3] applies to  $f$  and  $d$  leads to a contradiction. Hence  $d(x, f(x))=0$  for some  $x \in X$ .

(II) Suppose  $f$  is subnonexpansive w.r.t.  $\{d\}$ . By (I), there exists an  $x \in X$  with  $d(x, f(x))=0$ . But then  $d(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x))=0$ , for each  $n=0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , so that by triangle inequality, the diameter of  $X$  is zero.

Next suppose  $f$  is contractive w.r.t.  $\{d\}$ . We shall make use of the substitution principle: If  $d(y, z)=0$ , then  $d(x, y)=d(x, z)$  for all  $x$ . Suppose there is an  $x_0 \in X$  with  $d(x_0, f(x_0)) > 0$ , then  $\varepsilon = \inf\{d(x, f(x)): x \in X \text{ and } d(x, f(x)) > 0\} > 0$ . Choose  $x \in X$  with  $d(x, f(x)) = \varepsilon$ . We shall show that

$$(*) \quad d(f(x), f^k(x)) = 0, \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Indeed, (\*) is trivial for  $k=1$ . Suppose  $d(f(x), f^k(x))=0$ , the substitution principle gives  $d(x, f^k(x))=d(x, f(x))=\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is contractive w.r.t.  $\{d\}$ ,  $d(f(x), f^{k+1}(x)) < d(x, f^k(x)) = \varepsilon$ . By the definition of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $d(f(x), f^{k+1}(x))=0$ . Hence (\*) holds by induction. Thus  $0 < \varepsilon = d(f(x), x) = d(f(x), f^N(x))=0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore  $d(x, f(x))=0$  for all  $x \in X$  and the triangle inequality shows that  $X$  is of diameter zero.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a Hausdorff space whose topology  $\tau$  is generated by a family  $\mathcal{D}$  of pseudometrics on  $X$  and  $f: X \rightarrow X$ . If either (i)  $f$  is iteratively subcontractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is saturated, or (ii)  $f$  is iteratively subcontractive and subnonexpansive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$ , or (iii)  $f$  is contractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $f$  satisfies (P).*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in X$  be a periodic point of  $f$  and  $N = \inf\{n: f^n(x) = x\}$ . Suppose  $f$  is iteratively subcontractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is saturated. If  $N > 1$ , then  $f^i(x) \neq f^{i+1}(x)$

for each  $i=0, 1, \dots, N-1$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff, for each  $i=0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , there exists  $d_i \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $d_i(f^i(x), f^{i+1}(x)) > 0$ . Define  $d = d_1 \vee \dots \vee d_n$ , then  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  since  $\mathcal{D}$  is saturated. But then  $d(f^i(x), f^{i+1}(x)) > 0$  for each  $i=0, 1, \dots, N-1$ , which contradicts Theorem (I). Thus we must have  $N=1$  and  $f(x)=x$ .

Next suppose  $f$  is either both iteratively subcontractive and subnonexpansive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  or is contractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then by Theorem (II),  $d(x, f(x))=0$  for each  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . But then  $f(x)=x$  since  $(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff. Therefore  $f$  satisfies (P).

Corollary (i) and (ii) generalize Propositions 2.2. and 2.1. respectively due to the second author in [4] and also Theorem 1 due to Bryant and Guseman in [1].

REMARK. Even if  $f$  is (continuous and) iteratively contractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$  or subcontractive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$ , it is not hard to construct counterexamples when the conditions “ $\mathcal{D}$  is saturated” and “ $f$  is subnonexpansive w.r.t.  $\mathcal{D}$ ” are removed from Corollary (i) and (ii) respectively.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors are grateful to the referee for improvement of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Bryant and L. F. Guseman, Jr., *Fixed points of subcontractive mappings*. To appear.
2. M. Edelstein, *On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings*, J. London Math. Soc. **37** (1962), pp. 74–79.
3. K. W. Ng, *A remark on contractive mappings*, Canadian Math. Bull. **13** (1970), pp. 111–113.
4. K. K. Tan, *Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **14** (1972), pp. 829–842.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA  
DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NOVA SCOTIA, CANADA