

A SHARPENING OF THE BERKSON–GLICKFELD THEOREM

by ZOLTAN MAGYAR

(Received 8th January 1982)

Introduction

It is known that if in a Banach*-algebra with unit the following holds:

$$\|\exp(ih)\| = 1 \quad \text{if } h = h^*$$

then it is a C*-algebra (see [3]).

We shall show that the above theorem can be sharpened in the following way: we replace the submultiplicativity of the norm by the weaker assumption

$$\|a^*a\| \leq \|a^*\| \cdot \|a\| \quad \text{for all } a.$$

Observe that under this assumption even the existence of $\exp(ih)$ is not at all obvious, but it will be proved to be true below. Our main result is Theorem 2 which depends on Theorem 1. Our last remark is the equivalent-norm-version of the statement.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a *-algebra with unit. Let p be a complete norm on it such that the following hold for a suitable positive constant D :*

- (i) $p(a^*a) \leq D \cdot p(a^*) \cdot p(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii) $p(\exp(ih)) \leq D$ if $h = h^* \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\exp(ih)$ exists.

Then there is a norm $\|\cdot\|_c$ on \mathcal{A} , equivalent to p and such that $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_c)$ is a C-algebra.*

Proof. The following identity holds in each *-algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} 4xy &= (y + x^*)^*(y + x^*) - (y - x^*)^*(y - x^*) \\ &\quad + i(y + ix^*)^*(y + ix^*) - i(y - ix^*)^*(y - ix^*). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Applying (i) we get from this

$$4p(xy) \leq 4D \cdot (p(y^*) + p(x)) \cdot (p(y) + p(x^*)). \tag{2}$$

Writing $x = p(v^*)^{1/2} \cdot p(v)^{1/2} \cdot u$, $y = p(u^*)^{1/2} \cdot p(u)^{1/2} \cdot v$ in (2), we infer

$$p(uv) \leq D \cdot (p(u^*)^{1/2} \cdot p(v^*)^{1/2} + p(u)^{1/2} \cdot p(v)^{1/2})^2. \tag{3}$$

Define a new norm by setting

$$\|a\| = 4D \cdot \max(p(a^*), p(a)). \tag{4}$$

Then we have, by (3),

$$\|ab\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|; \|a^*\| = \|a\|; p(a) \leq \frac{1}{4D} \cdot \|a\| \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{5}$$

Let \mathcal{B} be the completion of $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$. Then by (5) the algebra operations and p have unique continuous extensions to \mathcal{B} . Thus $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a star-normed algebra, p is a continuous seminorm on it, and (i), (4) and (5) are valid in \mathcal{B} , too.

Since $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach-algebra with unit, for any $a \in \mathcal{B}$ we can define $\exp_B a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n/n!$, with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n/n!$ is convergent in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ and thus, by (5), in (\mathcal{A}, p) , too. But p is a complete norm on \mathcal{A} , and therefore there is a unique $\exp_A a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n/n!$ in \mathcal{A} , with respect to p . We note that $p(\exp_A a - \exp_B a) = 0$ for all a because p is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Thus we see from (ii) that

$$p(\exp_B(ih)) \leq D \quad \text{if } h = h^* \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{6}$$

Since the $*$ is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|$ thus $(\exp_B a)^* = \exp_B(a^*)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{B}$; in particular $(\exp_B(ih))^* = \exp_B(-ih)$, if $h = h^*$. Therefore by (6) and (4) we infer

$$\|\exp_B(ih)\| \leq 4D^2 \quad \text{if } h = h^* \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{7}$$

Since the self-adjoint part of \mathcal{A} is dense in that of \mathcal{B} , thus (7) is true even if $h = h^* \in \mathcal{B}$. But this implies that $\|a\|_C = r(a^*a)^{1/2}$ defines a C^* -norm on \mathcal{B} , equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ (see [2]). Thus there are positive constants E, F such that

$$E \cdot \|a\|_C \leq \|a\| \leq F \cdot \|a\|_C \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Writing $K = E(4D)^{-1}$, $L = F(4D)^{-1}$ we have by (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} p(a) &\leq L \cdot \|a\|_C \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{B} \quad \text{and} \\ p(h) &\geq K \cdot \|h\|_C \quad \text{if } h = h^* \in \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Thus by (i) we have

$$K \cdot \|a\|_C^2 = K \cdot \|a^*a\|_C \leq p(a^*a) \leq D \cdot p(a^*) \cdot p(a).$$

This and (8) imply

$$p(a) \geq K \cdot (DL)^{-1} \cdot \|a\|_C \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Thus we have seen that p and $\|\cdot\|_C$ are equivalent.

Note. The condition (i) in Theorem 1 implies that $\exp a$ exists in \mathcal{A} for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We have seen it in the first part of the above proof.

Theorem 2. *If the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold with $D=1$ then $p = \|\cdot\|_C$, that is (\mathcal{A}, p) is a C^* -algebra.*

Proof. Since $r(a) = \lim \|a^n\|_C^{1/n}$, we infer from Theorem 1 that

$$r(a) = \lim p(a^n)^{1/n} \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{1}$$

Applying (i) to $a = h^{2^n}$, where h is self-adjoint, we infer by induction that $p(h^{2^n}) \leq p(h)^{2^n}$ and thus by (1) we get

$$r(h) \leq p(h) \quad \text{if } h = h^* \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{2}$$

But $r(a^*a) = \|a\|_C^2$ for all a and thus

$$\|a\|_C^2 \leq p(a^*a) \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{3}$$

It is known that the unit ball of a C^* -algebra with unit is the closed convex hull of elements of the form $\exp(ih)$ where h is self-adjoint (see [1], p. 210).

On the other hand, from (ii) we see that $p(a) \leq 1$ if a is convex combination of elements of the form $\exp(ih)$; further p is continuous with respect to $\|\cdot\|_C$ and thus we get

$$p(a) \leq \|a\|_C \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}. \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3), (4) and (i) we see that

$$\|a\|_C^2 \leq p(a^*a) \leq p(a^*) \cdot p(a) \leq \|a^*\|_C \cdot \|a\|_C = \|a\|_C^2$$

that is $\|a\|_C^2 = p(a^*) \cdot p(a)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. This and (4) imply $p = \|\cdot\|_C$. Thus Theorem 2 is proved.

Remark. The completeness of the norm is not essential. Drop it and replace (ii) by this:

$$(iii) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p\left(\sum_{n=0}^k \frac{(ih)^n}{n!}\right) \leq D \text{ if } h = h^* \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and the limit exists.}$$

Then the conclusion has to be modified so that the completion of (\mathcal{A}, p) is an equivalent C^* -algebra (resp. a C^* -algebra if $D = 1$). The proof is the same.

REFERENCES

1. F. F. BONSALL and J. DUNCAN, *Complete Normed Algebras* (Erg. Math. Bd. 80, Springer, 1973).

2. V. PTÁK, Banach algebras with involution, *Manuscripta Math.* **6** (1972), 245–290.

3. B. W. GLICKFELD, A metric characterization of $C(X)$ and its generalization to C^* -algebras, *Illinois J. Math.* **10** (1966), 547–566.

BUDAPEST, XXI
KISS J.A.U. 57. VIII/25
1211
HUNGARY