

AN ESTIMATE FOR THE ORDER OF RATIONAL MATRICES

Randee Putz

In this note we prove what we believe to be a new result concerning matrices, namely, that if an $n \times n$ matrix with rational entries has a finite order then this order is bounded. We also give an estimate for this bound and an application.

First we prove a number theoretic lemma which we shall use for our estimate.

LEMMA. Let $\pi(n)$ denote the number of primes less than n . Also let $C(n) = \prod (p/p-1)$ where the product is taken over the first $\pi(n)$ primes. Let m_1, \dots, m_n be integers for which $\phi(m_j) \leq n$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) (where ϕ denotes Euler's function) and $m = [m_1, \dots, m_n]$ their least common multiple. Then

$$m \leq C(n+1) n^{\pi(n+1)}.$$

Proof. Let $m = p_1^{r_1} \dots p_s^{r_s}$ be the prime decomposition of m . The fact that m is the least common multiple of m_1, \dots, m_n implies that $p_i^{r_i}$ must appear as a factor of some m_j . Furthermore, since by hypothesis $\phi(m_j) \leq n$, we have $\phi(p_i^{r_i}) \leq n$, that is, $p_i^{r_i} \leq n(p_i/p_i - 1)$. Moreover, as a factor of $\phi(p_i^{r_i})$, also $p_i - 1 \leq n$ and hence $p_i \leq n+1$. Therefore, as $s \leq \pi(n+1)$,

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{r_i} \leq C(n+1) n^{\pi(n+1)}.$$

THEOREM. Let A be an $n \times n$ rational matrix. If A

has order m then

$$m \leq e^C (\log(n+1))(1+1/\log^2(n+1)) n^{\pi(n+1)},$$

where C is Euler's constant.

Proof. Let c_1, \dots, c_n denote the eigenvalues of A . The fact that $A^m = I$ implies that A is diagonalizable (3, p.343) and therefore, there exists a basis in complex n space of corresponding characteristic vectors x_1, \dots, x_n . From the fact that $A x_i = c_i x_i$ we have $A^k x_i = c_i^k x_i$, and therefore that the eigenvalues of A are roots of unity. (This shows that a necessary condition for A to have finite order is that the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial must be dominated in absolute value by the coefficients of the polynomial $(z+1)^n$.) Suppose that c_i is a primitive m_i -th root of unity, and let r denote the least common multiple of m_1, \dots, m_n . Because x_1, \dots, x_n form a basis and $c_i^r = 1$, we have $A^r = I$. But r is less than or equal to the order m , so $r = m$. Thus m is the least common multiple of m_1, \dots, m_n .

Now the minimal polynomial of c_i over the rationals has degree $\phi(m_i)$ (4, p.160). Therefore, since the characteristic polynomial of A has rational coefficients and is of degree n , we have $\phi(m_i) \leq n$. Thus by the lemma, $m \leq C(n+1) n^{\pi(n+1)}$. From (2) we have the following estimate for $C(n+1)$, $C(n+1) \leq e^C (\log(n+1))(1+1/\log^2(n+1))$ (which yields the theorem), and an approximate value for e^C , $e^C = 1.78107\ 24179\ 90198$.

REMARK. For a particular n one can compute for each i the greatest exponent r_i occurring in the prime decomposition of m , and denote it by s_i . The estimate for m then becomes $m \leq p_1^{s_1} \dots p_{\pi(n+1)}^{s_{\pi(n+1)}} = N$, whence we see that at most $(s_1+1) \dots (s_{\pi(n+1)}+1)$ of the numbers less than or equal to N are possibilities for the order of a given $n \times n$ rational

matrix. For example if $n = 5$ the proof of the theorem shows that $m \leq 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 = N$, (whereas using $C(6) \cdot 5^3$ as an estimate only yields $m \leq 468$) and that only sixteen numbers less than or equal to 120 are possibilities for the order of a rational 5×5 matrix, namely 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 20, 24, 30, 40, 60, 120.

As a corollary to the proof of the theorem we have the following.

COROLLARY. Let G be a group of order $p^m s$ (p and s relatively prime), and let f be a representation of G by non-singular $n \times n$ rational matrices, where $n < p - 1$. Then the order of the kernel H of the representation is divisible by p^m .

Proof. The representation f induces a faithful representation \bar{f} of G/H . If the order of H is not divisible by p^m then the prime p divides the order of G/H . Let a be an element of order p in G/H (1, p. 43), then $\bar{f}(a)$ has order p which is a contradiction.

REFERENCES

1. Marshall Hall, Jr., *The Theory of Groups*. Macmillan, 1959.
2. J. Barkley Rosser and Lowell Schoenfeld, *Approximate Formulas for some Functions of Prime Numbers*. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 6, No. 1, March, 1962, 64-94.
3. O. Schreier and E. Sperner, *Modern Algebra and Matrix Theory*. Chelsea, 1955.
4. B. L. van der Waerden, *Modern Algebra*, Vol. 1, Ungar, 1953.

Temple University