## ERRATA.

In  $\mathcal{J.R.S.}$  vol. viii (1918), p. 207, it is stated in the account of the Proceedings of the Society for the year 1918 that at the Annual General Meeting held on 9th July Sir John Sandys read a paper on 'Roman Leicester' with lantern illustrations, under the presidency of (the late) Professor F. Haverfield. This statement is an error, as the paper in question was read by the late Professor Haverfield, the chair being taken by Sir John Sandys.

In  $\mathcal{J.R.S.}$  vol. viii (1918), part 2, p. 199 and following, there was published a notice by Mr. D. Atkinson of 'The Pottery found at Silchester,' by Thomas May (Reading : 1916). It has been pointed out that the review contains inaccuracies in the three following passages :—

- (I) p. 199 f. 'Type 154 (p. 159) for instance is said to have the same shape and ornamentation as the vessel figured on pl. lxxix, which is placed in the Belgic Class." Here Type 154 should be Type 194 and pl. lxxix should be lxix.
- (2) On p. 202 Placque is a misprint for Plicque.
- (3) In a criticism of the Tables of Standard Proportions (p. 200) a complaint is made that the heading of Table I 'Beakers decorated *en barbotine* including Castor Ware, Forms 52 and 55' is not sufficiently clear, since these forms are not those so numbered in Dragendorff's series of types. A reference to p. 107 of Mr. May's book shows that this complaint is without foundation. Dragendorff's forms are meant.

The reviewer deeply regrets that these errors were made and allowed to remain. He wishes to apologise to Mr. May for making a charge of obscurity which he has since discovered to be unfounded. He can only plead that the review was written in 1917 under conditions of some difficulty.