## THE CLASS $A_{\infty}^+(g)$ AND THE ONE-SIDED REVERSE HÖLDER INEQUALITY

## DAVID CRUZ-URIBE, SFO

ABSTRACT. We give a direct proof that w is an  $A_{\infty}^+(g)$  weight if and only if w satisfies a one-sided, weighted reverse Hölder inequality.

1. **Introduction.** Given a function f and a non-negative, locally integrable weight g on  $\mathbb{R}$ , define the one-sided, weighted maximal function of f,  $M_{\alpha}^+ f$ , to be

$$M_g^+ f(x) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{g(I_t)} \int_{I_t} |f| g \, dx,$$

where  $I_t = [x, x+t]$ . Similarly, we can define the backwards, one-sided maximal operator  $M_g^-$ . If g = 1, this is the maximal operator as originally defined by Hardy and Littlewood [4]. Weighted norm inequalities for  $M_g^+$  were first studied by Sawyer [8] (in the case g = 1) and by Martín-Reyes, Ortega Salvador and de la Torre [6]. They showed that for  $1 , <math>M_g^+$  is a bounded operator from  $L^p(w)$  into itself if and only if w is in  $A_p^+(g)$ : there exists a constant C such that

$$\left(\int_{I^{-}} w \, dx\right) \left(\int_{I^{+}} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1-p'} g \, dx\right)^{p-1} \leq C \left(\int_{I} g \, dx\right)^{p},$$

where I = [a, b] is any interval,  $I^- = [a, c]$ , and  $I^+ = [c, b]$  for any a < c < b. These classes are analogous to the  $(A_p)$  classes which govern the weighted norm inequalities for the (two-sided) Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator.

More recently Martín-Reyes [5] gave simpler proofs of the weighted norm inequalities for  $M_g^+$ ; and Martín-Reyes, Pick and de la Torre [7] showed that  $A_\infty^+(g)$ , the union of all the  $A_p^+(g)$  classes, has many properties similar to those of  $(A_\infty)$ . In both papers a central step is to show that functions in  $A_\infty^+(g)$  satisfy what they called a weak reverse Hölder inequality: there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any interval I = [a, b],

(1) 
$$\int_{I} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx \le C \int_{I} w \, dx \cdot \left(M_{g}^{-}\left(\frac{w}{g}\chi_{I}\right)(b)\right)^{\delta}.$$

This inequality is less versatile than a reverse Hölder inequality, and the proofs which use it are correspondingly more difficult. In particular, the proof given by Martín-Reyes [5]

Received by the editors December 6, 1995.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 42B25.

 $<sup>\ \, \</sup>text{Key words and phrases: one-sided maximal operator, one-sided } (A_{\infty}), one-sided \ \text{reverse H\"older inequality}. \\$ 

<sup>©</sup> Canadian Mathematical Society 1997.

that  $w \in A_p^+(g)$  implies  $w \in A_{p-\epsilon}^+(g)$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$  uses the weighted norm inequalities for  $M_g^+$ . Martín-Reyes posed the problem of finding a proof of this result which only used the intrinsic properties of the class  $A_p^+(g)$ .

In [3] Cruz-Uribe, Neugebauer and Olesen showed that in the case g=1, inequality (1) is equivalent to a one-sided reverse Hölder inequality:

$$\frac{1}{|I^-|}\int_{I^-} w^{1+\delta} dx \le C \left(\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I w dx\right)^{1+\delta},$$

where I = [a, b] is any interval and  $I^- = [a, c]$ , where  $2|I^-| = |I|$ . Using this they gave a direct proof that  $w \in A_p^+$  implies that  $w \in A_{p-\epsilon}^+$ . The purpose of this paper is to generalize their result to arbitrary g and to give a proof which avoids inequality (1). To be precise, we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. Given a weight g, the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $w \in A^+_{\infty}(g)$ ;
- (2) For some s > 1,  $w \in RH_s^+(g)$ : there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{g(I^{-})}\int_{I^{-}}\left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{s}g\,dx\leq C\left(\frac{1}{g(I)}\int_{I}w\,dx\right)^{s},$$

where I = [a, b] is any interval and  $I^- = [a, c]$  is such that  $2g(I^-) = g(I)$ .

To prove Theorem 1.1 it will suffice to show that if  $w \in A^+_{\infty}(g)$  then  $w \in RH^+_s(g)$  for some s > 1. The converse is straightforward: if  $w \in RH^+_s(g)$  then  $g \in A^-_{\infty}(w)$ , and if  $g \in A^-_{\infty}(w)$  then  $w \in A^+_{\infty}(g)$ . The first implication follows from the definitions if  $I^-$  and  $I^+$  are such that  $g(I^-) = g(I^+)$ . (I want to thank A. de la Torre for this observation.) That this is true for arbitrary  $I^-$  and  $I^+$  follows for g = 1 from Lemma 6.4 in [3], and the proof of this lemma extends with slight modification to arbitrary g. The second implication is from [7].

The proof that w is in  $RH_s^+(g)$  is similar to the proof of inequality (1) in [6] or [5], each of which in turn follows the proof of the reverse Hölder inequality given by Coifman and C. Fefferman [2]. It depends on a sharp covering lemma for intervals on the real line. The proof itself is in Section 3 below; in Section 2 we gather some preliminary results.

Finally, note that the one-sided reverse Hölder inequality and the proof that if  $w \in A_{\infty}^+(g)$  then  $w \in RH_s^+(g)$  simplifies the proof of the main result in [7] (by eliminating the weak reverse Hölder inequality), and the proof that if  $w \in A_p^+(g)$  then  $w \in A_{p-\epsilon}^+(g)$  given in [3] extends to arbitrary g without change.

## 2. Preliminary Results.

Throughout this paper all functions are assumed to be locally integrable and the weight g is assumed to be positive. The letter C denotes a positive constant whose value may change at each appearance, and for p > 1, p' = p/(p-1) is the conjugate exponent of p. Given a Borel set E and a function f, let |E| denote the Lebesgue measure of E and  $f(E) = \int_E f \, dx$ .

We will need the following property of  $A_{\infty}^+(g)$  weights proved by Martín-Reyes, Pick and de la Torre [7].

LEMMA 2.1. If  $w \in A_{\infty}^+(g)$  then for every  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exists a  $\beta > 0$  such that, given t > 0 and an interval I = [a,b] on which  $w(I_x) \ge tg(I_x)$  for all  $I_x = [a,x]$ ,  $x \in I$ , then

$$g(\lbrace x \in I : w(x) > \beta t g(x) \rbrace) > \alpha g(I).$$

We will also need the following covering lemma due to Jesus Aldaz; the proof is in Bliedtner and Loeb [1].

LEMMA 2.2. If  $\mu$  is a finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and if I is an arbitrary collection of non-degenerate intervals, then for each  $\delta > 0$  there exists a finite subcollection,  $I_{\delta}$ , of disjoint intervals in I such that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{I\in I}I\right)\leq (2+\delta)\sum_{I_k\in I_\delta}\mu(I_k).$$

Finally, we will need the following decomposition of finite intervals which can be thought of as a weighted Whitney decomposition. It was first used in a slightly different form in [5]; it appeared in this notation (for g = 1) in [3].

DEFINITION 2.3. Given a weight g and an interval I = [a, b], form the "plus/minus" decomposition of I with respect to g as follows: let  $x_0 = a$ , and for k > 0 let  $x_k$  be the point such that  $g([x_{k-1}, b]) = 2g([x_{k-1}, x_k])$ . Then for  $k \ge 1$  define the intervals  $J_k = [x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}], J_k^- = [x_{k-1}, x_k]$  and  $J_k^+ = [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ .

It is immediate from this definition that for all k,  $g(J_k^-) = 2g(J_k^+)$ , I is the union of the  $J_k$ 's, and the  $J_k$ 's have finite overlap.

3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** We first prove that if  $w \in A_{\infty}^+(g)$  then there exist positive constants  $\beta$  and C such that

(2) 
$$w(\lbrace x \in I^- : w(x) > tg(x)\rbrace) \leq Ctg(\lbrace x \in I : w(x) > \beta tg(x)\rbrace),$$

for all  $t > t_0 = 3w(I)/g(I)$ , where I = [a, b] is any interval and  $I^- = [a, c]$  is such that  $g(I^-) = \frac{2}{3}g(I)$ . To show this, fix I = [a, b] and  $t > t_0$ . Let  $O(t) = \{x \in I^- : w(x) > tg(x)\}$ . By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, for almost every  $x \in O(t)$ , if  $I_h = [x, x + h]$ , h > 0, then

$$\frac{w(x)}{g(x)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{g(I_h)} \int_{I_h} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right) g \, dx.$$

Therefore, there exists  $h_0 > 0$  such that if  $0 < h \le h_0$  then

$$\frac{w(I_h)}{g(I_h)} > t.$$

On the other hand, fix h such that x + h = b. Then

$$\frac{w(I_h)}{g(I_h)} \le 3\frac{w(I)}{g(I)} = t_0 < t.$$

Since this ratio is continuous in h, by the intermediate value theorem there exists  $h_1 > h_0$  such that  $I_{h_1} \subset I$ ,  $w(I_{h_1})/g(I_{h_1}) = t$ , and  $w(I_h)/g(I_h) \ge t$  for all  $0 < h < h_1$ . Let  $I_x = I_{h_1}$ . Then, up to a set of measure zero, O(t) is contained in the union of the  $I_x$ 's. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 there exists a finite, disjoint subcollection  $\{I_j\}$  of the  $I_x$ 's such that

$$w(O(t)) \le w(\bigcup I_x) \le 3 \sum_j w(I_j).$$

By our construction of the  $I_x$ 's and by Lemma 2.1, there exist positive constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$3\sum_{j} w(I_{j}) = 3t\sum_{j} g(I_{j})$$

$$\leq \frac{3t}{\alpha} \sum_{j} g(\left\{x \in I_{j} : w(x) > \beta t g(x)\right\})$$

$$\leq Ctg(\left\{x \in I : w(x) > \beta t g(x)\right\}).$$

Inequality (2) follows at once.

Now fix an interval I and form the plus/minus decomposition of I with respect to g described in Definition 2.3. For each k, since  $g(J_k^-) = \frac{2}{3}g(J_k)$ , inequality (2) holds for the interval  $J_k = J_k^- \cup J_k^+$ :

$$w(\lbrace x \in J_k^- : w(x) > tg(x)\rbrace) \le Ctg(\lbrace x \in J_k : w(x) > \beta tg(x)\rbrace),$$

for  $t > t_k = 3w(J_k)/g(J_k)$ . Multiply this inequality by  $t^{\delta-1}$  ( $\delta > 0$  to be fixed below) and integrate from  $t_k$  to infinity. This gives

$$\int_{t_k}^{\infty} t^{\delta - 1} w \left( \left\{ x \in J_k^- : w(x) > tg(x) \right\} \right) dt \le C \int_0^{\infty} t^{\delta} g \left( \left\{ x \in J_k : w(x) > \beta tg(x) \right\} \right) dt$$
$$\le \frac{D}{1 + \delta} \int_{J_k} \left( \frac{w}{g} \right)^{1 + \delta} g \, dx.$$

The constant D depends only on the constants from Lemma 2.1. By Fubini's theorem, the left-hand side is equal to

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{x \in J_k^-: w(x) > t_k g(x)\}} \int_{t_k}^{w(x)/g(x)} t^{\delta - 1} \, dt \, w(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\{x \in J_k^-: w(x) > t_k g(x)\}} w(x) \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ \left( \frac{w(x)}{g(x)} \right)^{\delta} - t_k^{\delta} \right] dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{J_k^-} \left( \frac{w}{g} \right)^{1 + \delta} g \, dx - \frac{t_k^{\delta}}{\delta} \int_{J_k^-} w \, dx. \end{split}$$

Therefore, for all k we have the inequality

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{J_k^-} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx - \frac{D}{1+\delta} \int_{J_k} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx \le \frac{t_k^{\delta}}{\delta} \int_{J_k^-} w \, dx,$$

which in turn implies that

$$g(J_k)^{\delta} \int_{J_k^-} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx - \frac{\delta Dg(J_k)^{\delta}}{1+\delta} \int_{J_k} \left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx \leq 3^{\delta} \left(\int_{J_k} w \, dx\right)^{1+\delta}.$$

Now take the sum of these inequalities over all k > 0. Since the  $J_k$ 's have finite overlap, the right-hand side becomes

$$3^{\delta} \sum_{k} \left( \int_{J_k} w \, dx \right)^{1+\delta} \leq 3^{\delta} \left( \sum_{k} \int_{J_k} w \, dx \right)^{1+\delta} \leq C \left( \int_{I} w \, dx \right)^{1+\delta}.$$

Since  $J_k = J_k^- \cup J_k^+$ , the left-hand side becomes

$$\sum_{k} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\delta D}{1+\delta} \right) g(J_k)^{\delta} \int_{J_k^-} \left( \frac{w}{g} \right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx - \frac{\delta D}{1+\delta} g(J_k)^{\delta} \int_{J_k^+} \left( \frac{w}{g} \right)^{1+\delta} g \, dx \right].$$

Since  $J_k^+ = J_{k+1}^-$ , this will be a telescoping series in which all terms but the first cancel one another if there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left(1 - \frac{\delta D}{1 + \delta}\right) g(J_{k+1})^{\delta} = \frac{\delta D}{1 + \delta} g(J_k)^{\delta}.$$

Since  $g(J_k) = 2g(J_{k+1})$ , this is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2^{\delta}} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta D}{1 + \delta} \right) = \frac{\delta D}{1 + \delta}.$$

This is clearly true for some  $\delta > 0$ . Therefore, for this value of  $\delta$  the series is equal to

$$\left(1-\frac{\delta D}{1+\delta}\right)g(J_1)^{\delta}\int_{J_1^-}\left(\frac{w}{g}\right)^{1+\delta}g\,dx.$$

Since  $J_1^- = I^-$  and  $g(J_1) = \frac{3}{4}g(I)$ , it follows that  $w \in RH_s^+(g)$  for  $s = 1 + \delta$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. Bliedtner and P. Loeb, A reduction technique for limit theorems in analysis and probability theory, Ark. Mat. 30(1992), 25–43.
- R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, Studia Math. 51(1974), 241–250.
- D. Cruz-Uribe, SFO, C. J. Neugebauer and V. Olesen, The one-sided minimal operator and the one-sided reverse Hölder inequality, Studia Math. 116(1995), 255–270.
- **4.** G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *A maximal theorem with function-theoretic applications*, Acta Math. **54**(1930), 81–116.
- 5. F. J. Martín-Reyes, New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (3)117(1993), 691–698.
- F. J. Martín-Reyes, P. Ortega Salvador, and A. de la Torre, Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (2)319(1990), 517–534.
- 7. F. J. Martín-Reyes, L. Pick and A. de la Torre,  $A_{\infty}^+$  condition, Can. J. Math. 45(1993), 1231–1244.
- E. Sawyer, Weighted inequalities for the one sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 297(1986), 53–61.

Department of Mathematics

Trinity College

Hartford, CT

USA 06106-3100

 $e\hbox{-}mail\hbox{:}\ david.cruzuribe@mail.trincoll.edu$