

HYPERKÄHLER STRUCTURES WITH TORSION ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS

BIRTE FEIX

Trinity Hall, Cambridge CB2 1TJ, England
e-mail: B.Feix@dpmms.cam.ac.uk

and HENRIK PEDERSEN*

Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Southern Denmark,
Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark
e-mail: henrik@imada.sdu.dk

(Received 16 May, 2002; accepted 20 July, 2002)

Abstract. Using the classification by Dotti and Fino [3] we show the existence of an HKT metric on a neighbourhood of the centre of any 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie group G with invariant hypercomplex structure. This metric exists globally if the hypercomplex structure is abelian, and in these cases we construct an HKT structure on a neighbourhood of the zero section of the cotangent bundle T^*G extending the HKT metric on G .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E25.

1. Introduction. The two-dimensional sigma models studied by physicists force the Riemannian structure of the target space to be compatible with different kinds of quaternionic structures. In the presence of Wess Zumino terms and certain supersymmetries, the target space carries an HKT structure (see, for example, [9]). We begin by recalling some of the facts about these geometries.

A manifold M is *hypercomplex* if there exists three complex structures I , J and K satisfying the relations of the quaternions $I^2 = J^2 = K^2 = IJK = -1$. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called *hyperhermitian* if it admits a hypercomplex structure such that g is hermitian with respect to I , J and K .

An affine connection ∇ on a hyperhermitian manifold M is called *hyperkähler with torsion* if it satisfies $\nabla g = 0$, $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$ and the torsion tensor $c(X, Y, Z) = g(T(X, Y), Z)$ is totally skew. (Here T is the torsion of ∇ .) A manifold is called *hyperkähler with torsion* (or short HKT) if it is hyperhermitian and possesses a hyperkähler with torsion connection. It is well known (see [7]) that any hypercomplex manifold locally admits a compatible HKT metric.

If there exists a hyperkähler with torsion connection on a hyperhermitian manifold, it is unique; see [7]. Hyperkähler manifolds with torsion are in general *not* hyperkähler as the Kähler forms corresponding to I , J and K need not be closed. The hyperkähler case corresponds to the case of vanishing torsion and the connection is then the Levi-Civita connection.

*Both authors are partially supported by EDGE, Research Training Network HPRN-CT-2000-00101.

In this paper we shall construct hyperkähler structures with torsion on some nilpotent Lie groups. The first result deals with those 8-dimensional cases where the Lie group admits an invariant hypercomplex structure; in particular, we use the classification by Dotti and Fino [3] of 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie groups with invariant hypercomplex structure. Dotti and Fino [4] have constructed *invariant* HKT structures on 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie groups; in particular, they have shown that all invariant HKT structures must have *abelian* hypercomplex structures. Allowing the metric to be non-invariant, we shall prove the following result.

THEOREM A. *Let G be any 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie group with invariant hypercomplex structure. Then G admits a compatible HKT structure on a neighbourhood of its centre.*

Boyom [2] has described a Lie group structure on T^*G using the group structure on G and a flat torsion-free affine connection on G . Using this construction when G has an abelian hypercomplex structure we may try to extend the then globally defined HKT metric on G to (a neighbourhood of the zero section of) T^*G . More precisely, we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM B. *For any 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie group G with invariant abelian hypercomplex structure there exists an HKT metric on a neighbourhood of the zero section of the cotangent bundle T^*G extending the invariant HKT metric on G .*

This result can be compared to the following theorems on hypercomplex and hyperkähler extensions on (co)tangent bundles.

(i) Let X be a complex manifold equipped with an affine torsion-free connection whose curvature is of type $(1, 1)$. Then there exists a hypercomplex structure in a neighbourhood of the zero section of the tangent bundle TX such that the Obata connection restricts to the given connection on the zero section. See [6].

(ii) Let X be any real-analytic Kähler manifold. There exists a hyperkähler metric on a neighbourhood of the zero section of the cotangent bundle T^*X that restricts to the given metric on the zero section. See [5].

The result of Theorem B may be regarded as part of the more general extension problem: describe which hermitian manifolds X possess an HKT structure in a neighbourhood of the zero section in T^*X .

2. The HKT equation. We shall use the following result which allows us to decide whether a hyperhermitian manifold is HKT.

PROPOSITION 1. (See Proposition 2 in [7].) *Let M be a hyperhermitian manifold and F_1, F_2, F_3 the Kähler forms with respect to I, J and K , respectively. Then the metric is HKT if and only if $\partial_I(F_2 + iF_3) = 0$, where $\partial_I + \bar{\partial}_I = d$ is the decomposition of the exterior derivative into types with respect to the complex structure I .*

Proof. See [7] □

The 2-form $F_2 + iF_3$ is of type $(2, 0)$. Hence the condition $\partial_I(F_2 + iF_3) = 0$ is equivalent to the vanishing of the $(3, 0)$ -part of $d(F_2 + iF_3)$. In the hyperkähler case, since F_2 and F_3 are then closed, this condition is satisfied. However, the twistor theory for hyperkähler manifolds uses the fact that $\bar{\partial}_I(F_2 + iF_3) = 0$ as the starting point to encode the hyperkähler metric in holomorphic form. Hence the condition of Proposition 1 indicates that the twistor theory for HKT manifolds will have non-holomorphic

features and will thus be less promising a tool in the construction of HKT structures. For more on the twistor theory see [8]. Since the hypercomplex and hyperkähler extension theorems in [6] and [5] have been proved using twistor theory, their proofs cannot be easily adapted to the case of HKT extensions of hermitian manifolds.

3. Hypercomplex structures on $8n$ -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras. Let G be an $8n$ -dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie group with $\pi_1 G = 0$ and centre C of dimension at least $4n$. Then we can find invariant 1-forms e^1, \dots, e^{8n} on G such that $de^k = 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq 4n$ and $de^{4n+j} \in \Lambda^2 \langle e^1, \dots, e^{4n} \rangle$ for $1 \leq j \leq 4n$. See [10]. Alternatively, $[e_i, e_j] \in \langle e_{4n+1}, \dots, e_{8n} \rangle$, for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 4n\}$, and $[e_{4n+i}, e_k] = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4n$ and $k = 1, \dots, 8n$.

We define an invariant almost hypercomplex structure on G by setting $Ie^{2i-1} = e^{2i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4n$ and $Je^j = (-1)^{j+1} e^{2n+j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ and $4n+1 \leq j \leq 6n$ and $K = IJ$. In general, the almost complex structures I, J and K may not be integrable.

We now restrict our attention to dimension 8. According to Theorem 2.2 in [3], any 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie group with invariant hypercomplex structure is 2-step nilpotent. It is also clear from the classification in [3] that we can find invariant forms e^1, \dots, e^8 satisfying the conditions mentioned above such that the complex structures I, J and K are defined as above. Furthermore, in all but one case the centre will be spanned by e_5, \dots, e_8 (where e_1, \dots, e_8 are the invariant vector fields dual to e^1, \dots, e^8). In the exceptional case, the centre is spanned by e_4, e_5, \dots, e_8 .

EXAMPLE 1. The cotangent bundle $T^*(H_3 \times \mathbb{R})$ has the algebra $de^i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$ and $de^6 = 2e^1 \wedge e^2$, $de^7 = 2e^1 \wedge e^3$ and $de^8 = 2e^1 \wedge e^4$.

The fact that this algebra does indeed describe the cotangent bundle, i. e. is defined on $\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ where $G = H_3 \times \mathbb{R}$, can be seen as follows.

Let X_1, X_2 and X_3 be left-invariant vector fields generating the Lie algebra of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group H_3 and let X_4 generate the Lie algebra of \mathbb{R} . Then all Lie brackets $[X_i, X_j]$ vanish except $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$. We define a flat torsion-free affine connection ∇ on $G = H_3 \times \mathbb{R}$ by $\nabla_{X_1} X_2 = X_3$, $\nabla_{X_1} X_1 = -X_4$ and all other $\nabla_{X_i} X_j = 0$. Setting $IX_1 = X_2$ and $IX_3 = X_4$ defines a left-invariant complex structure on G ; the connection ∇ satisfies $\nabla I = 0$. Using the process described in Section 5 below, we can use this connection to define a Lie group structure on T^*G . If we define a basis X_1, \dots, X_8 of $\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ by $X_i = (0, X_i)$, $X_{4+i} = (p_i, 0)$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, (where p_1, \dots, p_4 is the dual basis to X_1, \dots, X_4), the Lie algebra can be described by $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$, $[X_1, X_7] = -X_6$ and $[X_1, X_8] = X_5$. If p_1, \dots, p_8 is the dual basis to X_1, \dots, X_8 , then letting $e^1 = 2p_1$, $e^2 = 2p_2$, $e^3 = 2p_3$, $e^4 = 2e_7$, $e^5 = 2p_5$, $e^6 = -2p_3$, $e^7 = -2p_5$ and $e^8 = 2p_6$ defines the algebra above.

4. Solving the HKT equation in the 8-dimensional case. In this section we shall prove Theorem A. We use the invariant hypercomplex structure on G discussed in Section 3. Our strategy to find an HKT metric on G is to perturb the standard metric g^0 given in terms of the invariant forms as $g^0 = \sum_{j=1}^n (e^j)^2$.

Let $w_j = e^{2j-1} + ie^{2j}$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$, be the $(1, 0)$ forms with respect to I and define holomorphic functions $z_j = x_{2j-1} + ix_{2j}$, $j = 1, 2$, where $dx_j = e^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, 4$. We can always find x_1, \dots, x_4 since $de^1 = de^2 = de^3 = de^4 = 0$ and $\pi_1 G = 0$.

If $F_2^0 = g^0(J \cdot, \cdot)$ and $F_3^0 = g^0(K \cdot, \cdot)$, then $F_2^0 + iF_3^0 = w_1 \wedge w_2 + w_3 \wedge w_4$, so that

$$d(F_2^0 + iF_3^0)^{3,0} = (dw_3)^{2,0} \wedge w_4 - w_3 \wedge (dw_4)^{2,0},$$

where $\alpha^{p,0}$ denotes the $(p, 0)$ -part with respect to I of the p -form α . Since $de^5, \dots, de^8 \in \Lambda^2\langle e_1, \dots, e^4 \rangle$, we have $dw_3, dw_4 \in \Lambda^2\langle w_1, \bar{w}_1, w_2, \bar{w}_2 \rangle$ and thus

$$(dw_3)^{2,0} = A_1 w_1 \wedge w_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (dw_4)^{2,0} = A_2 w_1 \wedge w_2,$$

where the coefficients A_1 and A_2 are constant, since all forms are G -invariant.

We introduce mixed terms into the metric such that $g = g^0 + \sum_{j=1}^4 \lambda_j g_j$ (with real coefficient functions $\lambda_j, j = 1, \dots, 4$) remains hyperhermitian. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= e^1 e^5 + e^2 e^6 + e^3 e^7 + e^4 e^8, \\ g_2 &= e^1 e^6 - e^2 e^5 - e^3 e^8 + e^4 e^7, \\ g_3 &= e^1 e^7 + e^2 e^8 - e^3 e^5 - e^4 e^6, \\ g_4 &= e^1 e^8 - e^2 e^7 + e^3 e^6 - e^4 e^5. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha_j = g_j(J \cdot, \cdot)$ and $\beta_j = g_j(K \cdot, \cdot), j = 1, \dots, 4$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 + i\beta_1 &= w_1 \wedge w_4 - w_2 \wedge w_3, \\ \alpha_2 + i\beta_2 &= iw_1 \wedge w_4 + iw_2 \wedge w_3, \\ \alpha_3 + i\beta_3 &= -w_1 \wedge w_3 - w_2 \wedge w_4, \\ \alpha_4 + i\beta_4 &= -iw_1 \wedge w_3 + iw_2 \wedge w_4. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\lambda_1 - i\lambda_2 = -A_2 z_1$ and $\lambda_3 - i\lambda_4 = A_1 z_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(F_2 + iF_3)^{3,0} &= d(F_2^0 + iF_3^0)^{3,0} + \sum_{j=1}^4 d(\lambda_j (\alpha_j + i\beta_j))^{3,0} \\ &= A_1 w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge w_4 - A_2 w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge w_3 - d(-A_2 z_1)^{1,0} \wedge w_2 \wedge w_3 \\ &\quad - d(A_1 z_1)^{1,0} \wedge w_2 \wedge w_4 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $d(\alpha_j + i\beta_j)^{3,0} = 0$ and $d(\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2)^{1,0} = d(\lambda_3 + i\lambda_4)^{1,0} = 0$. Hence g satisfies the HKT equation.

We recall from the previous section that the centre C corresponds to $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0$ or $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$ in the exceptional case respectively. Hence $g|_C = g^0|_C$, but g^0 is clearly positive definite and thus g is positive definite in a neighbourhood of C in G concluding the proof of Theorem A.

REMARK 1. If the hypercomplex structure is abelian, i. e. for any $(1, 0)$ -form α the exterior derivative $d\alpha$ is of type $(1, 1)$, the constants A_1 and A_2 vanish. Hence the standard metric g^0 is HKT and thus there exists a global HKT metric on G . This is also true in dimension $8n$ for arbitrary n .

EXAMPLE 2. We continue Example 1. For the cotangent bundle $T^*(H_3 \times \mathbb{R})$ we have $A_1 = 0$ and $A_2 = 1$, because $dw_3 = d(e^5 + ie^6) = \frac{i}{2}(w_1 + \bar{w}_1) \wedge (\bar{w}_1 - w_1) = iw_1 \wedge \bar{w}_1$ and $dw_4 = d(e^7 + ie^8) = (w_1 + \wedge \bar{w}_1) \wedge w_2$.

The case of $T^*(H_3 \times \mathbb{R})$ can also be regarded as an example of an HKT extension to the cotangent bundle of the hermitian metric $g = p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2 + p_4^2$ on $H_3 \times \mathbb{R}$. To

adopt this point of view we have to choose the solution $\lambda_1 + i\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\lambda_3 + i\lambda_4 = z_2$ of the HKT equation. The metric g will then be positive definite in a neighbourhood of the zero section of $T^*(H_3 \times \mathbb{R})$.

5. Lifting the algebra to the cotangent bundle. If G is a Lie group possessing a flat torsion-free affine connection ∇ we can define a Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ (and thus a Lie group structure on T^*G) as follows; (cf. [2]). If $(\alpha, X), (\beta, Y) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, then we define

$$[(\alpha, X), (\beta, Y)] = (\alpha \circ \nabla_Y - \beta \circ \nabla_X, [X, Y]).$$

LEMMA 1. (Barberis [1].) *If G is 2-step nilpotent and carries an invariant hypercomplex structure which preserves the centre, then the Obata connection ∇ on G is flat.*

Proof. The Obata connection can be expressed in terms of Lie brackets and the complex structures I, J and K (see, for example, [1]) as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}(I([JX, KY] + [JY, KX]) + J([KX, IY] + [KY, IX]) \\ &\quad + K([IX, JY] + [IY, JX])) + \frac{1}{6}(I([IX, Y] + [IY, X]) \\ &\quad + J([JX, Y] + [JY, X]) + K([KX, Y] + [KY, X])), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

but then $\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z = 0$ and $\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z = 0$. Hence the Obata connection is flat. □

We can therefore use the Obata connection (which is always torsion-free) to define a Lie group structure on T^*G , where G is any nilpotent 8-dimensional Lie group with invariant hypercomplex structure preserving the centre. From the classification [3] we know that we only have to exclude one exceptional group and in all other cases the centre will be 4-dimensional. The invariant hypercomplex structure on G lifts to an integrable hypercomplex structure on T^*G .

We now describe the resulting algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ in more detail. Let e_1, \dots, e_8 be a basis of invariant vector fields on G such that the centre is $\langle e_5, \dots, e_8 \rangle$. If e^1, \dots, e^8 are the dual forms then $de^1 = de^2 = de^3 = de^4 = 0$ and $de^5, de^6, de^7, de^8 \in \Lambda^2 \langle e^1, \dots, e^4 \rangle$. For $j = 1, \dots, 4$ define

$$f_j = (e^{4+j}, 0), \quad f_{4+j} = (0, e_j), \quad f_{8+j} = (e^j, 0), \quad f_{12+j} = (0, e_{4+j}).$$

Then f_1, \dots, f_{16} define a basis of invariant vector fields on T^*G .

For any $(\alpha, X) \in \mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$ and $1 \leq j \leq 4$

$$[(\alpha, X), (e^j, 0)] = (-e^j \circ \nabla_X, 0) = 0,$$

since $\nabla_X Y \in \langle e_5, \dots, e_8 \rangle$ for any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ using the formula (1) for the Obata connection in terms of Lie brackets and the fact that any Lie bracket lies in the centre of \mathfrak{g} which is preserved by the hypercomplex structure. Hence f_9, \dots, f_{12} are in the centre of $\mathfrak{g}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}$. Also

$$[(\alpha, X), (0, e_{4+j})] = (\alpha \circ \nabla_{e_{4+j}}, 0) = 0,$$

since $[X, e_{4+j}] = 0$ as e_{4+j} is in the centre of \mathfrak{g} and thus also $\nabla_{e_{4+j}} = 0$ using formula (1) for the Obata connection in terms of Lie brackets; hence f_{13}, \dots, f_{16} are also in the centre.

The Lie group T^*G is 2-step nilpotent because any Lie bracket $[f_p, f_q]$ lies in the centre. This is obvious if $p \geq 9$ or $q \geq 9$ (as the bracket then vanishes) or if $5 \leq p, q \leq 8$ (since $[e_{p-4}, e_{q-4}]$ is in the centre of \mathfrak{g}). If $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$, then $[f_p, f_q] = [(e^{4+p}, 0), (e^{4+q}, 0)] = 0$. If $1 \leq p \leq 4$ and $5 \leq q \leq 8$, then

$$[f_p, f_q] = (e^{4+p} \circ \nabla_{e_{q-4}}, 0) \in \langle (e^1, 0), \dots, (e^4, 0) \rangle = \langle f_9, \dots, f_{12} \rangle,$$

since $\nabla_{e_p} e_l = 0$ for $l = 5, \dots, 8$. Thus, for any $1 \leq l \leq 4$,

$$df^{8+l} \in \langle f^j \wedge f^{4+k}; 1 \leq j, k \leq 4 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad df^{12+l} \in \langle f^{4+j} \wedge f^{4+k}; 1 \leq j, k \leq 4 \rangle$$

and also $df^j = 0$, for $j = 1, \dots, 8$.

Note that the basis of invariant 1-forms f^1, \dots, f^{16} is actually of the type described in Section 3.

6. An HKT metric on the cotangent bundle. If the invariant hypercomplex structure on an 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie group G is abelian, the standard metric g^0 is a global HKT metric on G and we will extend it to a neighbourhood of the zero section of the cotangent bundle and thus prove Theorem B.

Examining the classification of such Lie groups by Dotti–Fino [3], we notice that there are only three examples with abelian hypercomplex structure, namely the following trivial extensions of Heisenberg groups: $H_5^{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^3, H_3^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}$ and $H_1^{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{R}$.

All three cases will be solved using the following ansatz for a hyperhermitian metric on T^*G :

$$g = g^0 + \sum_{l=0}^8 \mu_l h_l, \tag{2}$$

where $g^0 = \sum_{j=1}^{16} (f^j)^2, h_0 = \sum_{j=1}^4 (f^j)^2$ and, for $j = 1, \dots, 4$,

$$\begin{aligned} h_j &= f^1 f^{4+j} + f^2 Jf^{4+j} + f^3 Jf^{4+j} + f^4 Kf^{4+j}, \\ h_{4+j} &= f^5 f^{8+j} + f^6 Jf^{8+j} + f^7 Jf^{8+j} + f^8 Kf^{8+j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let the corresponding Kähler forms be $\alpha_l = h_l(J \cdot, \cdot)$ and $\beta_l = h_l(K \cdot, \cdot), l = 0, \dots, 8$, and let $v_p = f^{2p-1} + jf^{2p}, p = 1, \dots, 8$, be a basis of $(1, 0)$ -forms with respect to I on T^*G . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 + i\beta_0 &= v_1 \wedge v_2 + v_3 \wedge v_4, \\ \alpha_1 + i\beta_1 &= v_1 \wedge v_4 - v_2 \wedge v_3, \\ \alpha_2 + i\beta_2 &= iv_1 \wedge v_4 + iv_2 \wedge v_3, \\ \alpha_3 + i\beta_3 &= -v_1 \wedge v_3 - v_2 \wedge v_4, \\ \alpha_4 + i\beta_4 &= -iv_1 \wedge v_3 + iv_2 \wedge v_4, \\ \alpha_5 + i\beta_5 &= v_3 \wedge v_6 - v_4 \wedge v_5, \\ \alpha_6 + i\beta_6 &= iv_3 \wedge v_6 + iv_4 \wedge v_5, \\ \alpha_7 + i\beta_7 &= -v_3 \wedge v_5 - v_4 \wedge v_6, \\ \alpha_8 + i\beta_8 &= -iv_3 \wedge v_5 + iv_4 \wedge v_6. \end{aligned}$$

In order to produce an HKT metric we need to choose μ_0, \dots, μ_8 such that

$$d(F_2 + iF_3)^{3,0} = d(F_2^0 + iF_3^0)^{3,0} + \sum_{j=0}^8 d(\mu_j(\alpha_j + i\beta_j))^{3,0} = 0,$$

where $F_2^0 + iF_3^0 = g^0(J \cdot, \cdot) + ig^0(K \cdot, \cdot)$.

We introduce holomorphic functions q_1, \dots, q_4 by requiring $dq_j = v_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, 4$. This choice is possible since $dv_1 = \dots = dv_4 = 0$ and $\pi_1(T^*G) = \pi_1G = 0$.

Case 1. $H_5^{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^3$. The algebra on $H_5^{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} de^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 7, \\ de^8 &= e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3. \end{aligned}$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_8 be the dual basis. Using formula 1 we compute the Obata connection as

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -\nabla_{e_1} e_2 = -\nabla_{e_3} e_3 = -\frac{1}{2}e_5.$$

All other $\nabla_{e_i} e_j$ can be obtained from these using $\nabla I = \nabla J = \nabla K = 0$. Using the notation from Section 5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} df^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 8, 13, 14, 15, \\ df^9 &= \frac{1}{2}(f^1 \wedge f^5 + f^2 \wedge f^6 + f^3 \wedge f^7 - f^4 \wedge f^8), \\ df^{10} &= \frac{1}{2}(-f^1 \wedge f^6 + f^2 \wedge f^5 + f^3 \wedge f^8 + f^4 \wedge f^7), \\ df^{11} &= \frac{1}{2}(-f^1 \wedge f^7 - f^2 \wedge f^8 + f^3 \wedge f^5 - f^4 \wedge f^6), \\ df^{12} &= \frac{1}{2}(f^1 \wedge f^8 - f^2 \wedge f^7 + f^3 \wedge f^6 + f^4 \wedge f^5), \\ df^{16} &= f^5 \wedge f^8 - f^6 \wedge f^7, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} dv_i &= 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, 4 \quad \text{and} \quad (dv_7)^{2,0} = (dv_8)^{2,0} = 0, \\ (dv_5)^{2,0} &= \frac{1}{2}v_2 \wedge v_4 \quad \text{and} \quad (dv_6)^{2,0} = \frac{1}{2}v_2 \wedge v_3. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\mu_0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + i\mu_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(-q_2\bar{q}_4 + \bar{q}_2\bar{q}_4 + \bar{q}_1q_3), \\ \mu_3 + i\mu_4 &= \frac{1}{4}(q_2\bar{q}_3 - \bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3 - \bar{q}_1q_4), \\ \mu_5 + i\mu_6 &= 0, \\ \mu_7 + i\mu_8 &= \frac{1}{2}(-q_2 + \bar{q}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then the ansatz (2) satisfies the HKT equation for $T^*(H_5^{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Case 2. $H_3^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}$. The algebra on $H_3^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} de^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 6, \\ de^7 &= e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^8 &= e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we use the abelian hypercomplex structure here. There is also a non-abelian complex structure on $H_3^{\mathbb{C}}$ by regarding this group as the complexification of $H_3^{\mathbb{R}}$. The Obata connection is given by

$$\nabla_{e_1}e_1 = -\nabla_{e_2}e_2 = -e_5 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_3 = \nabla_{e_4}e_4 = 0.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} df^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 8, 13, 14, \\ df^9 &= f^1 \wedge f^5 + f^2 \wedge f^6, \\ df^{10} &= -f^1 \wedge f^6 + f^2 \wedge f^5, \\ df^{11} &= f^3 \wedge f^5 - f^4 \wedge f^6, \\ df^{12} &= f^3 \wedge f^6 + f^4 \wedge f^5, \\ df^{15} &= f^5 \wedge f^7 + f^6 \wedge f^8, \\ df^{16} &= f^5 \wedge f^8 - f^6 \wedge f^7. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $dv_i = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, and $(dv_7)^{2,0} = (dv_8)^{2,0} = 0$. Also

$$(dv_5)^{2,0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (dv_6)^{2,0} = v_2 \wedge v_3.$$

Choose $\mu_0 = -\bar{q}_3q_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 + i\mu_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}(q_2\bar{q}_4 + \bar{q}_1q_3), \\ \mu_3 + i\mu_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(q_2\bar{q}_3 + \bar{q}_1q_4), \\ \mu_5 + i\mu_6 &= 0, \\ \mu_7 + i\mu_8 &= -q_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the ansatz (2) satisfies the HKT equation for $T^*(H_3^{\mathbb{C}} \times \mathbb{C})$.

Case 3. $H_1^{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{R}$. The algebra on $H_1^{\mathbb{H}} \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} de^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 5, \\ de^6 &= e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^4, \\ de^7 &= e^1 \wedge e^3 + e^2 \wedge e^4, \\ de^8 &= e^1 \wedge e^4 - e^2 \wedge e^3. \end{aligned}$$

The Obata connection is given by $\nabla_{e_1}e_1 = -3e_5/2$ and $\nabla_{e_2}e_2 = \nabla_{e_3}e_3 = e_5/2$.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 df^i &= 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 8, 13, \\
 df^9 &= \frac{1}{2}(3f^1 \wedge f^5 + f^2 \wedge f^6 + f^3 \wedge f^7 + f^4 \wedge f^8), \\
 df^{10} &= \frac{1}{2}(-f^1 \wedge f^6 + 3f^2 \wedge f^5 - f^3 \wedge f^8 + f^4 \wedge f^7), \\
 df^{11} &= \frac{1}{2}(-f^1 \wedge f^7 + f^2 \wedge f^8 + 3f^3 \wedge f^5 - f^4 \wedge f^6), \\
 df^{12} &= \frac{1}{2}(-f^1 \wedge f^8 - f^2 \wedge f^7 + f^3 \wedge f^6 + 3f^4 \wedge f^5), \\
 df^{14} &= f^5 \wedge f^6 - f^7 \wedge f^8, \\
 df^{15} &= f^5 \wedge f^7 + f^6 \wedge f^8, \\
 df^{16} &= f^5 \wedge f^8 - f^6 \wedge f^7,
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $dv_i = 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, and $(dv_7)^{2,0} = (dv_8)^{2,0} = 0$. Also $(dv_5)^{2,0} = \frac{1}{2}v_1 \wedge v_3$ and $(dv_6)^{2,0} = -\frac{1}{2}v_1 \wedge v_4 + v_2 \wedge v_3$.

Choose $\mu_0 = -\frac{3}{2}\bar{q}_3q_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_1 + i\mu_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(q_1q_3 - q_2\bar{q}_4) - \bar{q}_1q_3, \\
 \mu_3 + i\mu_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(q_2\bar{q}_3 + \bar{q}_1q_4), \\
 \mu_5 + i\mu_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(\bar{q}_1 - q_1), \\
 \mu_7 + i\mu_8 &= -q_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the ansatz (2) satisfies the HKT equation for $T^*(H_1^{\text{H}} \times \mathbb{R})$.

In all three cases g restricts to g^0 on the zero section G in T^*G . Hence we actually obtain a positive definite metric in a neighbourhood of the zero section.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. Birte Feix acknowledges the support of a Marie-Curie Individual Fellowship held at the University of Southern Denmark and of the Gott Research Fellowship at Trinity Hall, Cambridge. She also thanks the Department of Mathematics and Computer Science at the University of Southern Denmark for hospitality during the final stages of this paper. Lise and Henrik Pedersen are grateful to Gonville & Caius College and Trinity Hall for a wonderful stay at an important stage of the work. Both authors would like to thank Anna Fino for many helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. M. L. Barberis, Affine connections on homogeneous hypercomplex manifolds, *J. Geom. Phys.* **32** (1999), 1–13.
2. N. B. Boyom, Models for solvable symplectic Lie groups, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **42** 149–168 (1993).
3. I. G. Dotti and A. Fino, Hypercomplex 8-dimensional nilpotent Lie groups, preprint (2000).

4. I. G. Dotti and A. Fino, Hyperkähler torsion structures invariant by nilpotent Lie groups, math. DG 0112166 (2001).
5. B. Feix, Hyperkähler metrics on cotangent bundles, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **532** (2001), 33–46.
6. B. Feix, Hypercomplex manifolds and hyperholomorphic bundles, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **133** (2002), 443–457.
7. G. Grantcharov and Y. S. Poon, Geometry of hyper-Kähler connections with torsion, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **213** (2000), 19–37.
8. P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, Twistor spaces for hyper-Kähler manifolds with torsion, *Phys. Lett. B* **379** (1996), 80–86.
9. J. Michelson and A. Strominger, Superconformal multi-black hole quantum mechanics, *JHEP* **09**, 005 (1999).
10. S. Salamon, Complex structures on nilpotent Lie algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **157** (2001), 311–333.