



Comment

Cite this article: Tavşanoğlu Ç and Bernardi R (2024) Old-growth grasslands of Central Anatolia (Türkiye) require better conservation and management. *Environmental Conservation* 51: 242–244. doi: [10.1017/S0376892924000262](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892924000262)

Received: 22 September 2024
Revised: 29 October 2024
Accepted: 30 October 2024
First published online: 4 December 2024

Keywords:

Afforestation; biodiversity hotspot; central Anatolia; conservation; forest-steppe; grasslands; steppe

Corresponding author:

Çağatay Tavşanoğlu;
Email: ctavsan@hacettepe.edu.tr

Old-growth grasslands of Central Anatolia (Türkiye) require better conservation and management

Çağatay Tavşanoğlu¹ and Rafael Bernardi²

¹Division of Ecology, Department of Biology, Hacettepe University, Beytepe, Ankara, Türkiye and ²Department of Ecology and Environmental Management, CURE, Universidad de la República, Montevideo, Uruguay

Summary

The grasslands of Central Anatolia in Türkiye, including the steppes and forest-steppes, are often mischaracterized as degraded ecosystems due to long-standing human activities, particularly agriculture and domestic grazing. However, palaeoecological evidence and recent research suggest that these grasslands are ancient, biodiversity-rich systems that have persisted through various climatic changes and disturbance regimes. This manuscript challenges the conventional view that Central Anatolian grasslands are secondary and degraded, arguing instead that they represent old-growth ecosystems that coexisted with forests as alternative biome states throughout history. We emphasize the need to re-evaluate current land management practices, particularly afforestation efforts, which may undermine the resilience of these ecosystems to climate change. By recognizing the ecological value of these old-growth grasslands and adopting a comprehensive conservation strategy, the conservation and restoration of these vital ecosystems can be improved, ensuring their resilience and biodiversity in the face of future environmental challenges.

Grassland biomes comprise various types of ecosystems characterized by open vegetation dominated by grasses and low shrubs, typically with low or no tree cover. Recent research has emphasized the importance of old-growth grasslands in both tropical and temperate regions (Parr et al. 2014, Veldman et al. 2015, Bond 2016, 2019), significantly enhancing understanding of these ecosystems. These grasslands or mixed tree–grass systems may extend over large regions determined by climate conditions, where low rainfall or cold temperatures limit tree growth (Whittaker 1970). In the tropical and subtropical zones, feedback mechanisms between disturbances such as fire and herbivory and grasses can also maintain grasslands as alternative states in regions with sufficient precipitation to support closed-canopy forests (Sankaran et al. 2005, Hirota et al. 2011, Staver et al. 2011, Bernardi et al. 2016, Dantas et al. 2016, Bond 2019). In temperate regions, similar feedbacks can also sustain open states, suggesting that alternative biome states (Pausas & Bond 2020) can coexist within the same environmental conditions (Ratajczak et al. 2014, Stritih et al. 2023). These insights are crucial for understanding tree-cover patterns in understudied regions such as Türkiye’s Central Anatolian steppes.

Central Anatolia is renowned for its biodiversity-rich grasslands (Kurt et al. 2006), but human activities over millennia have caused the loss of much of its original habitats (Şekercioğlu et al. 2011, Ambarlı et al. 2016), leading to the region becoming part of the Irano-Anatolian biodiversity hotspot (Mittermeier et al. 2005). Today, human land use continues to pose a significant threat to the ecosystems of Central Anatolia. The ongoing expansion of croplands has reduced the extent of steppe habitats by nearly 50% over the last century. The remaining grasslands are intensively used for grazing, which has led to overgrazing and degradation of the natural steppe vegetation, and they have more recently been targeted by afforestation initiatives (Şekercioğlu et al. 2011, Ambarlı et al. 2016, Ayan et al. 2021, Yıldız et al. 2022). Many biodiversity-rich areas in Central Anatolia lack effective protection (Şekercioğlu et al. 2011, Ambarlı et al. 2016, Eken et al. 2016), making grassland habitats increasingly vulnerable to human-related threats. Additionally, the history of human modification in the region spanning thousands of years complicates understanding of the original distribution of forests and steppe vegetation (Asouti & Kabukcu 2014), which in turn hinders their effective management. For instance, the misconception that these steppes and forest-steppes were originally forest areas degraded by human activity has led to the widespread afforestation efforts across Central Anatolia (Çalışkan & Boydak 2017, Ayan et al. 2021, Olowu et al. 2024).

Palaeoecological data indicate that much of Central Anatolia has retained its steppe and forest-steppe vegetation throughout the Holocene period (Turner et al. 2010, Şenkul et al. 2018), despite changes in climate, fire patterns and human activity since the last glacial maximum. Today, although several patches of black pine (*Pinus nigra*), juniper (*Juniperus* spp.) and oak (*Quercus* spp.) forest exist in the highlands of the Central Anatolian plateau (Ambarlı et al. 2016,

Kahveci 2022), the region is predominantly covered by grass- or shrub-dominated steppe vegetation, or by forest-steppes with varying degrees of tree and shrub cover, ranging from isolated trees to open woodlands, alongside the croplands that occupy much of the area (Çetik 1985, Kürschner & Parolly 2012). Unlike many tropical grassland systems that are dominated by C4 plants, C3 plants have been prevalent in the Central Anatolian region since the Miocene period (Edwards et al. 2010). Annual precipitation, sometimes as little as 300 mm, combined with harsh temperature extremes, including freezing conditions and water stress in many parts of Central Anatolia, as well as poor and acidic soils restrict tree growth and can account for the prevalence of steppic grasslands (Kenar & Kikvidze 2019, Kahveci 2022, R Bernardi et al., unpublished data 2024). In the forest-steppes of Central Anatolia, the growth dynamics of trees, particularly junipers, depend heavily on precipitation (Kahveci et al. 2018). In addition, the presence of forest-steppes in certain areas of Central Anatolia, where local conditions are conducive to tree growth, may also be influenced by past and ongoing disturbance regimes such as fire and livestock grazing (Tavşanoğlu 2017). Nevertheless, Anatolian forest-steppe vegetation is often perceived in Türkiye as a degraded ecosystem due to human activity, a common misconception in many Eurasian countries where this vegetation type is found (Erdős et al. 2019). This belief extends to much of the Central Anatolian steppe vegetation, which is frequently mischaracterized as secondary vegetation resulting from the loss of primary forests, despite palaeoecological evidence showing the dominance of steppe and forest-steppe vegetation throughout the region (Turner et al. 2010, Şenkul et al. 2018), which has transitioned over time with climatic fluctuations (Oybak-Dönmez et al. 2021).

Another conventional approach to the Anatolian steppes is to label some areas as secondary steppes that have lost their primary steppe vegetation community due to centuries of grazing (Kurt et al. 2006, Kürschner & Parolly 2012). This perspective overlooks the natural role of herbivory in shaping vegetation patterns and fails to consider that current grazing by domestic herbivores has replaced the herbivory of large-mammal communities during the Pleistocene. These so-called secondary steppes possess high biodiversity value and support numerous endemic and narrowly distributed plant taxa (Çetik 1985). In fact, in regions where the historical cover of woody plants is uncertain, the current biodiversity can serve as an indicator of old-growth vegetation. Many characteristics observed in these steppes and forest-steppes align with the markers of old-growth grasslands (Veldman et al. 2015, Bond 2019). Specifically, old-growth Central Anatolian grasslands feature unique species assemblages not found in young secondary grasslands (such as old-fields), high species diversity in the herbaceous layer, abundant small-scale species richness, the presence of persistent bud banks, strong resprouting ability and widespread clonal growth, while low-intensity domestic livestock grazing helps preserve species diversity (Çetik 1985, Kurt et al. 2006, Firincioğlu et al. 2008, Kenar 2017, Özüdoğru et al. 2021, Bahar & Tavşanoğlu 2024, Ülgen & Tavşanoğlu 2024, Ç Tavşanoğlu, unpublished data 2021). For example, local-scale plant diversity is notably high in many Central Anatolian grasslands, with 116 species recorded in just 32 plots of 1 × 1 m in size (Özüdoğru et al. 2021), 41 species in eight plots of 8 × 8 m in size (Kenar & Ketenöglü 2016) and 78 species in 37 point transects of 50 m in size (Firincioğlu et al. 2008), exceeding or comparable to many species-rich grasslands in the Palearctic (Sankaran 2009, Biurrun et al. 2021). At least a third (514 taxa) of polycarpic

hemicryptophytes in Anatolian steppes possess belowground organs with clonality or perennation functions (Ülgen & Tavşanoğlu 2024), and resprouting perennial species constitute 35% of the vegetation in a specific Central Anatolian habitat (Özüdoğru et al. 2021). As a defining characteristic of natural grasslands, the widespread occurrence of clonal growth and resprouting ability enhances the resilience of Central Anatolian vegetation to various disturbances and harsh climatic conditions. Modelling suggests that moderate grazing supports the diversity and abundance of different plant functional groups in Central Anatolian steppes (Bahar & Tavşanoğlu 2024). These indicators of the old-growth nature of treeless steppe vegetation in Central Anatolia can also be applied to the forest-steppes in the region, which exhibit a high proportion of herbaceous species relative to tree species (Çetik 1985, Kenar 2017, Balpınar et al. 2018, Ç Tavşanoğlu and R Bernardi, personal observations 2022) and host unique plant assemblages that are clearly distinct from other steppe vegetation types (Kenar & Ketenöglü 2016, Balpınar et al. 2018). These observations on the forest-steppes of Central Anatolia align with findings from other Eurasian grasslands that have steppe and forest-steppe characteristics (Dulamsuren et al. 2005, Erdős et al. 2015, Tölgyesi et al. 2018).

In conclusion, we argue that the grasslands of Central Anatolia, including both steppes and forest-steppes, are old-growth systems that deserve conservation. They should not be viewed as degraded vegetation but as diverse ecosystems that may have coexisted as alternative biome states with forests at different times in history. Therefore, it is crucial to prioritize and value these grassland ecosystems to improve their management, preservation and resilience to climate change. This effort should include re-evaluating current afforestation initiatives, as the region's limiting climate conditions for tree growth could be exacerbated by climate change. The rich and highly diverse grasslands of the region may be more resilient than woodlands created by afforestation, which could face die-off or widespread fires in the future. A comprehensive conservation approach should address the loss of old-growth grasslands in Central Anatolia and explore opportunities to restore and rewild both steppes and, where local conditions are favourable, steppe-forests, informed by a deeper understanding of the historical distribution and current dynamics of these vegetation types.

Acknowledgements. We thank two reviewers for their constructive comments.

Author contributions. ÇT: Conceptualization, investigation, funding acquisition, writing; RB: Investigation, funding acquisition, writing.

Financial support. RB was financially supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Türkiye (TÜBİTAK) through the 2221 visiting scientist fellowship and by the MIA fund of the Universidad de la República, Uruguay.

Competing interests. The authors declare none.

Ethical standards. Not applicable.

References

- Ambarlı D, Zeydanlı US, Balkız Ö, Aslan S, Karaçetin E, Sözen M, et al. (2016) An overview of biodiversity and conservation status of steppes of the Anatolian Biogeographical Region. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 25: 2491–2519.

- Asouti E, Kabukcu C (2014) Holocene semi-arid oak woodlands in the Irano-Anatolian region of Southwest Asia: natural or anthropogenic?. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 90: 158–182.
- Ayan S, Yücedağ C, Simovski B (2021) A major tool for afforestation of semi-arid and anthropogenic steppe areas in Turkey: *Pinus nigra* JF Arnold subsp. *pallasiana* (Lamb.) Holmboe. *Journal of Forest Science* 67: 449–463.
- Bahar A., Tavşanoğlu Ç (2024) The effect of grazing on central Anatolian steppe vegetation: a modeling approach using functional traits. *Ecology and Evolution* 14: e70499.
- Balpinar N, Kavgacı A, Bingöl MÜ, Ketenoglu O (2018) Diversity and gradients of vegetation of Sivrihisar Mountains (Eskişehir – Turkey). *Acta Botanica Croatica* 77: 18–27.
- Bernardi RE, Holmgren M, Arim M, Scheffer M (2016) Why are forests so scarce in subtropical South America? The shaping roles of climate, fire and livestock. *Forest Ecology and Management* 363: 212–217.
- Biurrun I, Pielech R, Dembicz I, Gillet F, Kozub Ł, Marcenò C, et al. (2021) Benchmarking plant diversity of Palaearctic grasslands and other open habitats. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 32: e13050.
- Bond WJ (2016) Ancient grasslands at risk. *Science* 351: 120–122.
- Bond WJ (2019) *Open Ecosystems: Ecology and Evolution Beyond the Forest Edge*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Çalışkan S, Boydak M (2017) Afforestation of arid and semiarid ecosystems in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 41: 317–330.
- Çetik AR (1985) *Türkiye Vegetasyonu: I. İç Anadolu'nun Vegetasyonu ve Ekolojisi [The Vegetation of Turkey: I. The Vegetation and Ecology of Central Anatolia]*. Konya, Türkiye: Selçuk Üniversitesi Yayınları No: 7. (In Turkish)
- Dantas VL, Hirota M, Oliveira RS, Pausas JG (2016) Disturbance maintains alternative biome states. *Ecology Letters* 19: 12–19.
- Dulamsuren C, Hauck M, Mühlberg M (2005) Ground vegetation in the Mongolian taiga forest-steppe ecotone does not offer evidence for the human origin of grasslands. *Applied Vegetation Science* 8: 149–154.
- Edwards EJ, Osborne CP, Strömberg CA, Smith SA, C4 Grasses Consortium, Bond WJ, et al. (2010) The origins of C4 grasslands: integrating evolutionary and ecosystem science. *Science* 328: 587–591.
- Eken G, İsfendiyaroğlu S, Yeniurt C, Erkol IL, Karataş A, Ataoğlu M (2016) Identifying key biodiversity areas in Turkey: a multi-taxon approach. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management* 12: 181–190.
- Erdős L, Ambarlı D, Anenkhonov O, Batori Z, Cserhalmi D, Kiss M, et al. (2019) Where forests meet grasslands: forest-steppes in Eurasia. *Palaearctic Grasslands* 40: 22–26.
- Erdős L, Tölgyesi C, Körmöczy L, Batori Z (2015) The importance of forest patches in supporting steppe-species: a case study from the Carpathian Basin. *Polish Journal of Ecology* 63: 213–222.
- Fıncıoğlu HK, Şahin B, Seefeldt S, Mert F, Hakyemez BH, Vural M (2008) Pilot study for an assessment of vegetation structure for steppe rangelands of Central Anatolia. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 32: 401–414.
- Hirota M, Holmgren M, Van Nes EH, Scheffer M (2011) Global resilience of tropical forest and savanna to critical transitions. *Science* 334: 232–235.
- Kahveci G (2022) General characteristics and distribution of forest relicts in Central Anatolia. *Forester* 72: 192–198.
- Kahveci G, Alan M, Köse N (2018) Distribution of juniper stands and the impact of environmental parameters on growth in the drought-stressed forest-steppe zone of Central Anatolia. *Dendrobiology* 80: 61–69.
- Kenar N (2017) Phytosociological investigations of steppe and steppe forest vegetation in the south-east part of Central Anatolia of Turkey. *Journal of Faculty of Forestry Istanbul University* 67: 210–226.
- Kenar N, Ketenoglu O (2016) The phytosociology of Melendiz Mountain in the Cappadocian part of Central Anatolia (Nigde, Turkey). *Phytocoenologia* 46: 141–183.
- Kenar N, Kikvidze Z (2019) Climatic drivers of woody species distribution in the Central Anatolian forest-steppe. *Journal of Arid Environments* 169: 34–41.
- Kürschner H, Parolly G (2012) The Central Anatolian steppe. In: MJA Werger & MA van Staalduinen (eds), *Eurasian Steppes: Ecological Problems and Livelihoods in a Changing World* (pp. 149–171). Plant and Vegetation 6. Berlin, Germany: Springer.
- Kurt L, Tug GN, Ketenoglu O (2006) Synoptic view of the steppe vegetation of central Anatolia (Turkey). *Asian Journal of Plant Sciences* 5: 733–739.
- Mittermeier RA, Gil PR, Hoffman M, Pilgrim J, Brooks T, Mittermeier JC, et al. (2005) *Hotspots Revisited: Earth's Biologically Richest and Most Endangered Terrestrial Ecoregions*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Amsterdam University Press.
- Olowu E, Riley I, Avcı M (2024) Trees in semiarid zones – perception of ecosystem services and community values in Niğde Province, Central Anatolia, Türkiye. *Applied Ecology & Environmental Research* 22: 721–738.
- Oybak-Dönmez E, Ocakoğlu F, Akbulut A, Tunoğlu C, Gümüş BA, Tuncer A, et al. (2021) Vegetation record of the last three millennia in central Anatolia: archaeological and palaeoclimatic insights from Mogan Lake (Ankara, Turkey). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 262: 106973.
- Özudoğru Ö, Özudoğru B, Tavşanoğlu Ç (2021) Recovery of a plant community in the central Anatolian steppe after small-scale disturbances. *Folia Geobotanica* 56: 241–254.
- Parr CL, Lehmann CER, Bond WJ, Hoffmann WA, Andersen AN (2014) Tropical grassy biomes: misunderstood, neglected, and under threat. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 29: 205–213.
- Pausas JG, Bond WJ (2020) Alternative biome states in terrestrial ecosystems. *Trends in Plant Science* 25: 250–263.
- Ratajczak Z, Nippert JB, Briggs JM, Blair JM (2014) Fire dynamics distinguish grasslands, shrublands and woodlands as alternative attractors in the Central Great Plains of North America. *Journal of Ecology* 102: 1374–1385.
- Sankaran M (2009) Diversity patterns in savanna grassland communities: implications for conservation strategies in a biodiversity hotspot. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 18: 1099–1115.
- Sankaran M, Hanan NP, Scholes RJ, Ratnam J, Augustine DJ, Cade BS, et al. (2005) Determinants of woody cover in African savannas. *Nature* 438: 846–849.
- Şekercioğlu ÇH, Anderson S, Akçay E, Bilgin R, Can ÖE, Semiz G, et al. (2011) Turkey's globally important biodiversity in crisis. *Biological Conservation* 144: 2752–2769.
- Şenkul Ç, Memiş T, Eastwood WJ, Doğan U (2018) Mid-to late-Holocene paleovegetation change in vicinity of Lake Tuzla (Kayseri), Central Anatolia, Turkey. *Quaternary International* 486: 98–106.
- Staver AC, Archibald S, Levin SA (2011) The global extent and determinants of savanna and forest as alternative biome states. *Science* 334: 230–232.
- Strith A, Seidl R, Senf C (2023) Alternative states in the structure of mountain forests across the Alps and the role of disturbance and recovery. *Landscape Ecology* 38: 933–947.
- Tavşanoğlu Ç (2017) Disturbance regimes proceeding in Anatolian steppe ecosystems. *Kebikeç* 43: 259–288. (In Turkish with English abstract)
- Tölgyesi C, Valkó O, Deák B, Kelemen A, Bragina TM, Gallé R, et al. (2018) Tree–herb co-existence and community assembly in natural forest-steppe transitions. *Plant Ecology & Diversity* 11: 465–477.
- Turner R, Roberts N, Eastwood WJ, Jenkins E, Rosen A (2010) Fire, climate and the origins of agriculture: micro-charcoal records of biomass burning during the last glacial–interglacial transition in Southwest Asia. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 25: 371–386.
- Ülgen C, Tavşanoğlu Ç (2024) A taxonomic snapshot of belowground organs in plants of Anatolian steppes. *Folia Geobotanica* 58: 231–243.
- Veldman JW, Buisson E, Durigan G, Fernandes GW, Le Stradic S, Mahy G, et al. (2015) Toward an old-growth concept for grasslands, savannas, and woodlands. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 13: 154–162.
- Whittaker RH (1970) *Communities and Ecosystems*, 2nd edition. London, UK: Macmillan Publishing Co.
- Yıldız O, Eşen D, Sargıncı M, Çetin B, Toprak B, Dönmez AH (2022) Restoration success in afforestation sites established at different times in arid lands of Central Anatolia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 503: 119808.