

THE NUMBER OF HAMILTONIAN CIRCUITS IN LARGE, HEAVILY EDGED GRAPHS

by J. SHEEHAN and E. M. WRIGHT†

(Received 18 July, 1975)

G is a graph on n nodes with q edges, without loops or multiple edges. We write $\alpha = q/n$ and β for the maximum degree of any node of G . We write

$$B(h, 0) = 1, \quad B(h, k) = h! / \{k!(h-k)!\}, \quad M = (n-1)!/2$$

and H for the number of Hamiltonian circuits (H.c.) in \bar{G} , the complement of G , or, what is the same thing, the number of those H.c. in the complete graph K_n which have no edge in common with G . Our object here is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If $\alpha \rightarrow a < \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\beta = o(n)$, then*

$$H/M \rightarrow e^{-2a} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{1}$$

Wright [4] proved this result for the particular case when G is a Hamiltonian circuit (when $\alpha = a = 1$) and Singmaster [3] when G is a 1-factor (when $\alpha = a = \frac{1}{2}$). Rousseau [2] found Wright's result by an improved method; our own method owes something to Rousseau's. The authors of [1] find an exact, but complicated, formula for H when G takes one of several special forms.

To prove Theorem 1, we write $J(e_1, \dots, e_r)$ for the number of different H.c. in K_n which pass through the edges e_1, \dots, e_r belonging to G . We write

$$L_r = \sum J(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_r}),$$

where the sum is over all sets of r different edges belonging to G , and L_0 for the number of H.c. in K_n , so that $L_0 = M$. Then, by the Exclusion-Inclusion Theorem,

$$H = \sum_{r=0}^{x-1} (-1)^r L_r + (-1)^x \theta L_x, \tag{2}$$

where x is at our choice and $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$. We shall take $x < n$, so that we need only consider $r < n$.

An *arc* (or more precisely, an *s-arc*) is a sequence of edges

$$P_1 P_2, P_2 P_3, P_3 P_4, \dots, P_s P_{s+1},$$

where the nodes P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{s+1} are all different. A set of arcs (or, as a particular case, a set of edges) is *independent* if no two of the arcs have a node in common. If the set of edges e_1, \dots, e_r consists of an independent set of arcs, R in number, we have

$$J(e_1, \dots, e_r) = 2^{R-1} (n-r-1)! \tag{3}$$

† This author's research reported herein was supported by the European Research Office of the United States Army.

by the simple argument of [4] or of [2]. If the set of edges is of any other form, so that it contains a cycle or a star of 3 or more edges, then $J = 0$.

It follows that

$$L_1 = q\{(n-2)!\}. \tag{4}$$

Hence, if $a = 0$, i.e. $q = o(n)$, we have

$$L_1/M = 2q/(n-1) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and, if we choose $x = 1$ in (2), then (1) follows. Henceforth, then, we may take $a > 0$ so that

$$q > C_1 n \quad (n > C_2), \tag{5}$$

where C_1, C_2 are fixed positive numbers.

We can choose $B(q, r)$ sets of r edges from G , of which Ω_r (say) are dependent. From (3) for each of the independent sets

$$J(e_1, \dots, e_r) = 2^{r-1}(n-r-1)!,$$

while, for each of the dependent sets,

$$J(e_1, \dots, e_r) < 2^{r-1}(n-r-1)!.$$

Hence

$$L_r = 2^{r-1}(n-r-1)! \{B(q, r) + O(\Omega_r)\}.$$

Every set of dependent edges must contain at least one 2-arc. But the number of 2-arcs in G is at most $q\beta$ (since one edge can be chosen in q ways and the second in at most $2(\beta-1)$ ways and we have then counted each 2-arc twice). The remaining $r-2$ edges in a dependent set can be chosen in at most $B(q-2, r-2)$ ways. Hence

$$\Omega_r \leq q\beta B(q-2, r-2)$$

and so

$$L_r = 2^{r-1}(n-r-1)! B(q, r) \{1 + O(\beta r^2/q)\}.$$

Now

$$2^{r-1} B(q, r) (n-r-1)! = (2\alpha)^r M Q / r!,$$

where

$$\log Q = \sum_{s=1}^r \left\{ \log \left(1 - \frac{s-1}{q} \right) - \log \left(1 - \frac{s}{n} \right) \right\}.$$

If $r = o(n)$, we have by (5)

$$\log Q = \sum_{s=1}^r O(s/n) = O(r^2/n).$$

Hence, if $r^2 = o(n)$, we have

$$L_r/M = \{(2\alpha)^r / r!\} \{1 + O(\beta r^2/n)\}. \tag{6}$$

Using this in (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H/M &= e^{-2\alpha} + O((2\alpha)^x/x!) + O(\beta e^{2\alpha}/n) \\ &= e^{-2\alpha} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

if we choose x so that $x \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is Theorem 1.

Clearly the condition $\beta = o(n)$ in Theorem 1 cannot be replaced by $\beta = O(n)$, since $\beta = n - 1$ implies that at least one node of \bar{G} is isolated and $H = 0$. Nor can we replace $\beta = o(n)$ by $\beta \leq bn$ for some fixed b such that $0 < b < 1$. For, take G to consist of a star and a number of isolated nodes, with

$$\beta = [bn] = q = \alpha n, \text{ so that } \alpha \rightarrow b \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then

$$L_1 = q\{(n-2)!\}, \quad L_2 = q(q-1)\{(n-3)!\}/2$$

and $L_r = 0$ for $r \geq 3$. Hence

$$H/M = (L_0 - L_1 + L_2)/M \rightarrow (1-b)^2$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $(1-b)^2 < e^{-2b}$ since $b > 0$. Hence (1) is false for this G .

We can however prove the following theorem for larger α and more restricted β , but the proof is so much more complicated that we shall present it elsewhere.

THEOREM 2. *If A_1, A_2, ε are any fixed positive numbers, $A_1 < \alpha < A_2 \log n$ and $\beta = O(n^{1-\varepsilon})$, then $H \sim Me^{-2\alpha}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

REFERENCES

1. T. Kasai, H. Shintani and M. Imai, Number of Hamiltonian circuits in basic series of incomplete graphs, *Bull. Univ. Osaka Prefecture Ser. A* **20** (1971), No. 2, 245–260. *MR* **46**, No. 8908.
2. C. C. Rousseau, An enumeration problem concerning Hamiltonian cycles, *Report* 73–18, *Department of Mathematics, Memphis State University*.
3. D. Singmaster, oral communication.
4. E. M. Wright, For how many edges is a graph almost certainly Hamiltonian?, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **8** (1974), 44–48.

UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN
 ABERDEEN, U.K.