

## PSEUDOCOMPLEMENTED ALGEBRAS WITH BOOLEAN CONGRUENCE LATTICES

R. BEAZER

(Received 4 April 1977; revised 10 October 1977)

Communicated by H. Lausch

### Abstract

Complemented congruences in the classes of pseudocomplemented semilattices,  $p$ -algebras and double  $p$ -algebras are described. The descriptions are applied to give intrinsic characterizations of those algebras in the aforementioned classes whose congruence lattice is a Boolean algebra.

*Subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc. (MOS) 1970):* primary 06 A 20, 06 A 25; secondary 06 A 40.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we use a technique of Janowitz (1977) to describe complemented congruences on pseudocomplemented semilattices,  $p$ -algebras and double  $p$ -algebras. The main theorem shows that a congruence relation  $\theta$  on a pseudocomplemented semilattice  $L$  is complemented if and only if it can be described by  $a \equiv b(\theta)$  if and only if  $a \wedge c = b \wedge c$  for some semicentral element  $c$ ; that is an element  $c \in L$  such that the join  $(x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge c^*)$  exists and is  $x$ , for all  $x \in L$ . Consequently, we show that if  $L$  is a pseudocomplemented semilattice then the congruence lattice of  $L$  is a Boolean algebra if and only if  $L$  is a finite Boolean algebra. The proof of the main theorem can be adapted to show that complemented congruences in  $p$ -algebras and double  $p$ -algebras can also be described in the aforementioned manner provided that “semicentral” element is replaced by the usual lattice theoretic notion of central element. As an application, we give a new proof of the characterization of those double  $p$ -algebras whose congruence lattice is Boolean; a result first obtained by Beazer (1976).

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $L$  be a lattice. An element  $a \in L$  is called *distributive* if and only if  $a \vee (x \wedge y) = (a \vee x) \wedge (a \vee y)$ , for all  $x, y \in L$ ; *dually distributive* if and only if  $a$  is a distributive element in the dual of  $L$ . An element  $a \in L$  is called *standard* if and only if  $x \wedge (a \vee y) = (x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge y)$ , for all  $x, y \in L$ . An element  $a \in L$  is called *neutral* if and only if the sublattice of  $L$  generated by  $x, y$  and  $a$  is distributive for all

$x, y \in L$ . The *centre*,  $\text{cen}(L)$ , of a bounded lattice  $L$  is the set of all complemented, neutral elements of  $L$  and is, of course, a Boolean sublattice of  $L$ . For the various relationships and properties of these special elements we refer the reader to Grätzer (1976).

An algebra  $\langle L; \wedge, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is called a *pseudocomplemented semilattice* if and only if  $\langle L; \wedge, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded semilattice such that for every  $a \in L$  the element  $a^* \in L$  is the pseudocomplement of  $a$ ; that is  $x \leq a^*$  if and only if  $a \wedge x = 0$ . An element  $c$  in a pseudocomplemented semilattice  $L$  is called *semicentral* if and only if the join  $(x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge c^*)$  exists and is  $x$ , for all  $x \in L$ . The set of all semicentral elements of  $L$  will be denoted by  $C(L)$ . If, in any pseudocomplemented semilattice  $L$ , we write  $B(L) = \{x \in L; x = x^{**}\}$  then  $\langle B(L); \psi, \wedge, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a Boolean algebra when  $a \cup b$  is defined by  $a \cup b = (a^* \wedge b^*)^*$  for any  $a, b \in B(L)$ . The set  $D(L) = \{x \in L; x^* = 0\}$  is a filter in  $L$  called the *dense filter*. By a congruence relation on a pseudocomplemented semilattice  $L$  we mean a semilattice congruence on  $L$  preserving the operation  $*$ . The relation  $\varphi$  on  $L$  defined by  $a \equiv b(\varphi)$  if and only if  $a^* = b^*$  is a congruence on  $L$  and called the *Glivenko congruence*. If  $\theta$  is a congruence relation on  $L$  we write  $\text{cok } \theta$  for  $\{x \in L; x \equiv 1(\theta)\}$ .

An algebra  $\langle L; \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is called a *p-algebra* if and only if  $\langle L; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a bounded lattice and  $*$  is the pseudocomplementation operation on  $L$ . A congruence relation on a *p-algebra* is a lattice congruence preserving  $*$ . The Glivenko congruence on any *p-algebra* is a *p-algebra congruence*.

An algebra  $\langle L; \wedge, \vee, *, +, 0, 1 \rangle$  is called a *double p-algebra* if and only if  $\langle L; \wedge, \vee, *, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a *p-algebra* and  $\langle L; \wedge, \vee, +, 0, 1 \rangle$  is a dual *p-algebra*; that is  $x \geq a^+$  if and only if  $a \vee x = 1$ . If  $L$  is a double *p-algebra*,  $a \in L$  and  $n < \omega$  then we define an element  $a^{n(+*)} \in L$  inductively as follows:

$$a^{0(+*)} = a, \quad a^{(k+1)(+*)} = a^{k(+*)} * \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.$$

In the event that  $L$  is distributive,  $a^{+*} \leq a$  and  $\text{cen}(L) = \{a \in L; a = a^{+*}\}$ . A lattice filter of  $L$  is said to be *normal* if it is closed under the operation  $+*$ . A congruence on a double *p-algebra* is a *p-algebra congruence* preserving  $+$ . The relation  $\Phi$  on  $L$  defined by  $a \equiv b(\Phi)$  if and only if  $a^* = b^*$  and  $a^+ = b^+$  is a congruence on  $L$  called the *determination congruence*.

The standard results and rules of computation in pseudocomplemented semilattices and *p-algebras* may be found in Grätzer (1976), while those for (distributive) double *p-algebras* may be found in Beazer (1976) and Katriňák (1973).

Let  $L$  be a pseudocomplemented semilattice, or a *p-algebra* or a double *p-algebra*. We write  $K(L)$  for the (algebra) congruences on  $L$  and, as usual, denote the least and greatest elements of  $K(L)$  by  $\omega$  and  $\iota$ , respectively. If  $S$  is any non-empty subset of  $L$  then we write  $\Theta(S)$  for the smallest congruence on  $L$  collapsing  $S$ . In the event that  $S = \{a, b\}$  we write  $\theta(a, b)$  for  $\Theta(S)$ . Throughout, we denote by  $\theta_a$  the relation on  $L$  defined by  $x \equiv y(\theta_a)$  if and only if  $x \wedge a = y \wedge a$ .

### 3. Complemented congruences

**THEOREM.** *If  $L$  is a pseudocomplemented semilattice then  $\theta \in K(L)$  is complemented if and only if  $\theta = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in C(L)$ .*

**PROOF.** First, observe that if  $a \in L$  then  $\theta_a$  is a semilattice congruence. That  $\theta_a$  preserves  $*$  is easily seen. Indeed, if  $x \wedge a = y \wedge a$  then  $y^* \wedge x \wedge a = 0$  and  $x^* \wedge y \wedge a = 0$ . From the first,  $y^* \wedge a \leq x^*$  so that  $y^* \wedge a \leq x^* \wedge a$ . From the second, we get  $x^* \wedge a \leq y^* \wedge a$  and it follows that  $\theta_a$  is a congruence on  $L$ . If  $c \in C(L)$  then  $\theta_c$  is complemented with complement  $\theta_{c^*}$  in  $K(L)$ . Indeed, since  $c^* \equiv 1(\theta_{c^*})$ ,  $c \equiv 0(\theta_{c^*})$  so that the sequence  $0\theta_{c^*}c\theta_c1$  ensures that  $0 \equiv 1(\theta_c \vee \theta_{c^*})$  and therefore  $\theta_c \vee \theta_{c^*} = \iota$ . Moreover, if  $x \equiv y(\theta_c \wedge \theta_{c^*})$  then  $x \wedge c = y \wedge c$  and  $x \wedge c^* = y \wedge c^*$  so that

$$x = (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge c^*) = (y \wedge c) \vee (y \wedge c^*) = y,$$

since  $c \in C(L)$ . Therefore,  $\theta_c \wedge \theta_{c^*} = \omega$ .

Now suppose that  $\theta$  is complemented with complement  $\theta'$  in  $K(L)$ . Then there exists a chain  $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_{n-1} < c_n = 1$  such that  $c_{i-1} \equiv c_i(\theta \vee \theta')$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Obviously we can assume that  $n$  is the length of a shortest chain guaranteeing that  $\theta \vee \theta' = \iota$ . In addition, we can assume that each  $c_i \in B(L)$ , since  $\theta$  and  $\theta'$  both preserve  $**$ . We claim that  $n \leq 2$ . Assuming that  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $0 = c_0 \Theta c_1 \Theta' c_2 \Theta c_3$  where  $\Theta \in \{\theta, \theta'\}$ . Let  $[0, c_2]_{B(L)}$  denote the interval  $\{x \in B(L); 0 \leq x \leq c_2\}$  in the Boolean algebra  $\langle B(L); \cup, \wedge, *, 0, 1 \rangle$ . Then  $[0, c_2]_{B(L)}$  is a Boolean lattice under  $\cup$  and  $\wedge$ . Let  $\bar{c}_1 \in B(L)$  denote the complement of  $c_1$  in  $[0, c_2]_{B(L)}$  so that  $c_1 \cup \bar{c}_1 = c_2$  and  $c_1 \wedge \bar{c}_1 = 0$ . Then  $c_2 = (c_1^* \wedge \bar{c}_1^*)^*$  and so, since  $c_1 \equiv 0(\Theta)$ , it follows that  $\bar{c}_1^{**} \equiv c_2(\Theta)$ ; that is  $\bar{c}_1 \equiv c_2(\Theta)$ . We also have  $\bar{c}_1 \equiv 0(\Theta')$ , since  $c_1 \equiv c_2(\Theta')$  and  $\bar{c}_1 < c_2$ . Thus,  $\bar{c}_1 \in B(L)$ ,  $0 < \bar{c}_1 < c_2$  and  $0\Theta'\bar{c}_1\Theta c_2$ . But now the chain

$$0 = c_0 < \bar{c}_1 < c_3 \dots \leq c_n = 1$$

with  $0\Theta'\bar{c}_1\Theta c_3$  also guarantees that  $\theta \vee \theta' = \iota$  but has length  $n-1$  contrary to the minimality of  $n$ . Thus,  $n \leq 2$ . If  $n = 1$  then either  $\theta = \theta_0$  or  $\theta = \theta_1$  and we are done. If  $n = 2$  then we have  $0 = c_0 < c_1 < c_2 = 1$  with  $0\Theta c_1 \Theta' c_2 = 1$ . Without loss of generality we can, by the above, take  $\Theta = \theta'$ . Thus, there exists  $c \in B(L)$  such that  $0 < c < 1$  and  $0\theta'c\theta1$ . It follows that  $\theta = \theta_c$ . Indeed,  $\theta_c \leq \theta$ , since  $c \equiv 1(\theta)$ , and if  $x \equiv y(\theta)$  then  $x \wedge c \equiv y \wedge c(\theta)$  and  $x \wedge c \equiv y \wedge c(\theta')$ , since  $c \equiv 0(\theta')$ . Therefore,  $x \wedge c \equiv y \wedge c(\theta \wedge \theta')$  and so  $x \wedge c = y \wedge c$ ; that is  $x \equiv y(\theta_c)$ . Similarly, since  $0 < c^* < 1$  and  $0\theta c^*\theta'1$ , we have  $\theta' = \theta_{c^*}$ . Finally, we show that  $c \in C(L)$ . Let  $u$  be any upper bound for  $\{x \wedge c, x \wedge c^*\}$ . Then  $(u \wedge x) \wedge c = x \wedge c$  and  $(u \wedge x) \wedge c^* = x \wedge c^*$  so that  $u \wedge x \equiv x(\theta \wedge \theta')$  and therefore  $u \wedge x = x$ ; that is  $x \leq u$ . Hence the least upper bound for  $\{x \wedge c, x \wedge c^*\}$  exists and is  $x$ , for all  $x \in L$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $L$  is a pseudocomplemented semilattice then  $K(L)$  is a Boolean algebra if and only if  $L$  is a finite Boolean algebra.*

PROOF. If  $K(L)$  is Boolean then the Glivenko congruence  $\varphi = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in C(L)$ . Thus,  $D(L) = \text{Cok } \varphi = \text{Cok } \theta_c = [c]$  so that  $c^* = 0$  and, since  $c \in C(L)$  implies  $c \vee c^* = 1$ , it follows that  $c = 1$ . Consequently,  $\varphi = \theta_1 = \omega$  and therefore, since  $x^* = x^{***}$  for any  $x \in L$ ,  $x = x^{**}$ ; that is  $L = B(L)$  and  $K(B(L))$  is Boolean. It follows, by a well-known result, that  $L = B(L)$  is a finite Boolean algebra. The converse is obvious.

COROLLARY 2. *If  $L$  is a  $p$ -algebra then  $\theta \in K(L)$  is complemented if and only if  $\theta = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$ .*

PROOF. If  $\theta \in K(L)$  is complemented then, as in the proof of the theorem, we can assert the existence of an element  $c \in C(L)$  such that  $0 < c < 1$ ,  $0\theta'c\theta 1$  and  $\theta = \theta_c$ . It follows, since  $c \in C(L)$ , that  $c$  has complement  $c^*$  in  $L$ . Moreover, since  $\theta = \theta_c$ , it follows that  $\theta_c$  is a lattice congruence and therefore (see Grätzer, 1976)  $c$  is dually distributive. To show that  $c$  is central, it remains only to show that  $c$  is a standard element (see Grätzer, 1976). To effect this, observe that if  $x, y \in L$  then  $x \wedge (c \vee y) \equiv (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge y) (\theta)$ , since  $c \equiv 1(\theta)$ , and  $x \wedge (c \vee y) \equiv (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge y) (\theta')$ , since  $c \equiv 0(\theta')$ . Therefore,  $x \wedge (c \vee y) \equiv (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge y) (\theta \wedge \theta')$ ; that is,

$$x \wedge (c \vee y) = (x \wedge c) \vee (x \wedge y)$$

and so  $c$  is standard.

For the sufficiency, we show that if  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$  has complement  $c'$  in  $\text{Cen}(L)$  and  $\theta \in K(L)$  is of the form  $\theta_c$  then  $\theta$  has complement  $\theta_{c'}$  in  $K(L)$ . Indeed,  $\theta_{c'}$  is a  $p$ -algebra congruence of  $L$  because it is a pseudocomplemented semilattice congruence which preserves joins, since  $c'$  is dually distributive. Moreover,  $\theta_c \vee \theta_{c'} = \iota$  is guaranteed by the sequence  $0\theta_c c \theta_{c'} 1$  and  $\theta_c \wedge \theta_{c'} = \omega$  is guaranteed by the neutrality of  $c$ .

COROLLARY 3. *If  $L$  is a  $p$ -algebra then  $K(L)$  is a Boolean algebra if and only if  $L$  is a finite Boolean algebra.*

PROOF. As in the proof of Corollary 1, the Glivenko congruence  $\varphi = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$  satisfying  $c^* = 0$ . However, if  $c'$  is the complement of  $c$  in  $\text{Cen}(L)$  then  $c' \leq c^*$ , since  $x = c^*$  is the largest solution of the equation  $c \wedge x = 0$ . It follows that  $c' = 0$  and therefore  $\varphi = \theta_1 = \omega$ . Hence  $L$  is a finite Boolean algebra.

REMARK. Corollary 3 is a special case of a theorem of Janowitz (1975) concerning annihilator preserving congruences on bounded 0-distributive lattices.

COROLLARY 4. *If  $L$  is a double  $p$ -algebra then  $\theta \in K(L)$  is complemented if and only if  $\theta = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$ .*

PROOF. The necessity follows exactly as in the proof of Corollary 2. For the sufficiency, we show that if  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$  has complement  $c'$  in  $\text{Cen}(L)$  and  $\theta \in K(L)$  is of the form  $\theta_c$  then  $\theta$  has complement  $\theta_{c'}$  in  $K(L)$ . Clearly we need only show that  $\theta_{c'}$  is a double  $p$ -algebra congruence on  $L$ . Indeed, since  $x \wedge c = y \wedge c$  if and only if  $x \vee c' = y \vee c'$ , it follows by Corollary 2 and its dual that  $\theta_{c'}$  is a double  $p$ -algebra congruence on  $L$ .

Beazer (1976) gave an intrinsic characterization of those distributive double  $p$ -algebras whose congruence lattice is Boolean. Close scrutiny of the proof of that theorem together with the fact that  $\Phi = \omega$  implies distributivity (see Katriňák, 1973) shows the assumption of distributivity may be dropped. We give an alternative proof of this result using Corollary 4.

COROLLARY 5. *If  $L$  is a double  $p$ -algebra then  $K(L)$  is a Boolean algebra if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $\Phi = \omega$ .
- (2) For all  $a \in L$ , there exists  $n < \omega$  such that  $a^{(n+1)(+*)} = a^{n(+*)}$ .
- (3)  $\text{Cen}(L)$  is finite.

PROOF. If  $K(L)$  is Boolean then, by Corollary 4, the determination congruence  $\Phi = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$ . Therefore,  $\{1\} = \text{Cok } \Phi = \text{Cok } \theta_c = [c]$  and so  $c = 1$  which implies that  $\Phi = \omega$ . Consequently,  $L$  is distributive. Next, if  $a \in L$  then the normal filter  $F_a$  generated by  $a$  in  $L$  is given by  $F_a = \{x \in L; x \geq a^{n(+*)} \text{ for some } n < \omega\}$ . Moreover,  $F_a = \text{Cok } \Theta(F_a)$  by Beazer (1976). It follows, since  $K(L)$  is Boolean, that  $\Theta(F_a) = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$  and, therefore,  $F_a = \text{Cok } \theta_c = [c]$ . Hence,  $a \geq c \geq a^{n(+*)}$ , for some  $n < \omega$ , which implies that  $a^{n(+*)} \geq c^{n(+*)} = c \geq a^{n(+*)}$ ; that is  $c = a^{n(+*)}$  and, therefore,  $a^{(n+1)(+*)} = a^{n(+*)}$ . For the necessity of condition (3), suppose that  $\text{Cen}(L)$  is not finite. Then there exists a non-principal filter  $F$  of  $\text{Cen}(L)$ . Let

$$\theta_F = \bigcup \{\theta_a; a \in F\}.$$

It follows, since  $\{\theta_a; a \in F\}$  is a directed subset of  $K(L)$ , that  $\theta_F \in K(L)$  and so  $\theta_F = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$ . Hence,  $\text{Cok } \theta_F = \text{Cok } \theta_c$  and so  $x \geq c$  if and only if  $x \geq a$ , for some  $a \in F$ , which implies that  $F$  is the principal filter of  $\text{Cen}(L)$  generated by  $c$ ; contrary to hypothesis.

Now suppose that conditions (1), (2) and (3) all hold. It follows from (1) and Beazer (1976) that every congruence of  $L$  is of the form  $\Theta(F)$  for some normal filter  $F$  of  $L$ . Clearly  $\Theta(F) = \bigvee \{\theta(a, 1); a \in F\}$ . However, condition (2) implies that for any  $a \in L$  there exists a least integer  $n_a$  such that  $a^{(n_a+1)(+*)} = a^{n_a(+*)}$ . It follows, since  $a^{n_a(+*)} \leq a$ , that  $\theta(a, 1) = \theta(a^{n_a(+*)}, 1)$ , for any  $a \in L$ . Therefore,  $\theta(a, 1) = \theta_{c_a}$  for some  $c_a \in \text{Cen}(L)$ ; namely  $c_a = a^{n_a(+*)}$ . Now condition (3) implies that  $\Theta(F)$  is a finite join of congruences of the form  $\theta_{c_a}$ , where  $c_a \in \text{Cen}(L)$ .

Therefore, since the formula  $\theta_{c_1} \vee \theta_{c_2} = \theta_{c_1 \wedge c_2}$  holds for any  $c_1, c_2 \in \text{Cen}(L)$  and  $\text{Cen}(L)$  is closed under finite meets,  $\Theta(F) = \theta_c$  for some  $c \in \text{Cen}(L)$ . It follows, from Corollary 4, that  $K(L)$  is a Boolean algebra.

**REMARK.** Beazer (1976) obtained as a corollary to Theorem 4 of that paper a characterization of the simple algebras in the class of distributive double  $p$ -algebras. Specifically, it was shown that a distributive double  $p$ -algebra  $L$  is simple if and only if  $\Phi = \omega$  and for all  $a \in L \setminus \{1\}$ , there exists an integer  $n$  such that  $a^{n(+*)} = 0$ . We finish with the remark that the very same characterization holds if distributivity is dropped.

### References

- R. Beazer (1976), "The determination congruence on double  $p$ -algebras", *Algebra Universalis* 6, 121–129.
- G. Grätzer (1976), *General Lattice Theory* (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel).
- M. F. Janowitz (1975), "Annihilator preserving congruence relations of lattices", *Algebra Universalis* 5, 391–394.
- M. F. Janowitz (1978), "Complemented congruences on complemented lattices" (to appear).
- T. Katriňák (1973), "The structure of distributive double  $p$ -algebras. Regularity and congruences", *Algebra Universalis* 3, 238–246.

The University of Glasgow    and    The University of Manitoba  
Glasgow G12 8QW                      Canada R3T 2N2