

## ON MAXIMAL SPACELIKE HYPERSURFACES IN A LORENTZIAN MANIFOLD

SEIKI NISHIKAWA\*

ABSTRACT. We prove a Bernstein-type property for maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in a Lorentzian manifold.

### §1. Introduction

The object of this note is to prove the following

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $N$  be a Lorentzian manifold satisfying the strong energy condition. Let  $M$  be a complete maximal spacelike hypersurface in  $N$ . Suppose that  $N$  is locally symmetric and has nonnegative spacelike sectional curvature. Then  $M$  is totally geodesic.*

For the terminology in the theorem, see Section 2.

It has been proved by Calabi [2] (for  $n \leq 4$ ) and Cheng-Yau [4] (for all  $n$ ) that a complete maximal spacelike hypersurface in the flat Minkowski  $(n+1)$ -space  $L^{n+1}$  is totally geodesic. In particular, the only entire nonparametric maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in  $L^{n+1}$  are spacelike hyperplanes. This is remarkable since the Euclidean counterpart, the Bernstein theorem, holds only for  $n \leq 7$ : the entire nonparametric minimal hypersurfaces in the Euclidean space  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $n \leq 7$ , are hyperplanes (cf. [8]).

Theorem A implies, for instance, that a complete maximal spacelike hypersurface in the Einstein static universe is totally geodesic. In the proof of Theorem A, a refinement of a Bernstein-type theorem of Choquet-Bruhat [5, 6] will be also given.

### §2. Definitions

First we set up our terminology and notation. Let  $N = (N, \bar{g})$  be a

---

\*<sup>)</sup> Partially supported by the Grant-in-aid for Scientific Research No. 57740024.  
Received July 13, 1983.

Lorentzian manifold with Lorentzian metric  $\bar{g}$  of signature  $(-, +, \dots, +)$ .  $N$  has a uniquely defined torsion-free affine connection  $\nabla$  compatible with the metric  $\bar{g}$ .  $N$  is said to satisfy the *strong energy condition* (the timelike convergence condition in Hawking-Ellis [7]) if the Ricci curvature  $\bar{\text{Ric}}$  of  $N$  is positive semidefinite for all timelike vectors, that is, if  $\bar{\text{Ric}}(v, v) \geq 0$  for every timelike vector  $v \in TN$  (cf. [1, 6]).  $N$  is called *locally symmetric* if the curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  of  $N$  is parallel, that is,  $\nabla \bar{R} = 0$ . We say that  $N$  has *nonnegative spacelike sectional curvature* if the sectional curvature  $\bar{K}(u \wedge v)$  of  $N$  is nonnegative for every nondegenerate tangent 2-plane spanned by spacelike vectors  $u, v \in TN$ .

Let  $M$  be a hypersurface immersed in  $N$ .  $M$  is said to be *spacelike* if the Lorentzian metric  $\bar{g}$  of  $N$  induces a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$ . For a spacelike  $M$  there is naturally defined the second fundamental form (the extrinsic curvature)  $S$  of  $M$ .  $M$  is called *maximal* spacelike if the mean (extrinsic) curvature  $H = \text{Tr } S$ , the trace of  $S$ , of  $M$  vanishes identically.  $M$  is maximal spacelike if and only if it is extremal under the variations, with compact support through spacelike hypersurfaces, for the induced volume.  $M$  is said to be *totally geodesic* (a moment of time symmetry) if the second fundamental form  $S$  vanishes identically.

### §3. Local formulas

Let  $M$  be a spacelike hypersurface in a Lorentzian  $(n+1)$ -manifold  $N = (N, \bar{g})$ . We choose a local field of Lorentz orthonormal frames  $e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n$  in  $N$  such that, restricted to  $M$ , the vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  are tangent to  $M$ . Let  $\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$  be its dual frame field so that the Lorentzian metric  $\bar{g}$  can be written as  $\bar{g} = -\omega_0^2 + \sum_i \omega_i^2$ .\*) Then the connection forms  $\omega_{\alpha\beta}$  of  $N$  are characterized by the equations

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} d\omega_i &= -\sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_k + \omega_{i0} \wedge \omega_0, \\ d\omega_0 &= -\sum_k \omega_{0k} \wedge \omega_k, \quad \omega_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_{\beta\alpha} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The curvature forms  $\bar{D}_{\alpha\beta}$  of  $N$  are given by

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{D}_{ij} &= d\omega_{ij} + \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \omega_{i0} \wedge \omega_{0j}, \\ \bar{D}_{0i} &= d\omega_{0i} + \sum_k \omega_{0k} \wedge \omega_{ki}, \end{aligned}$$

\*) We shall use the summation convention with Roman indices in the range  $1 \leq i, j, \dots \leq n$  and Greek in  $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \dots \leq n$ .

and we have

$$(3) \quad \bar{\Omega}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma, \delta} \bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \omega_\gamma \wedge \omega_\delta,$$

where  $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$  are components of the curvature tensor  $\bar{R}$  of  $N$ .

We restrict these forms to  $M$ . Then

$$(4) \quad \omega_0 = 0,$$

and the induced Riemannian metric  $g$  of  $M$  is written as  $g = \sum_i \omega_i^2$ . From formulas (1)–(4), we obtain the structure equations of  $M$

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} d\omega_i &= - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_k, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0, \\ d\omega_{ij} &= - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} + \omega_{i0} \wedge \omega_{0j} + \bar{\Omega}_{ij}, \\ \Omega_{ij} &= d\omega_{ij} + \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,\ell} R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_\ell, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega_{ij}$  and  $R_{ijkl}$  denote the curvature forms and the components of the curvature tensor  $R$  of  $M$ , respectively. We can also write

$$(6) \quad \omega_{i0} = \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j,$$

where  $h_{ij}$  are components of the second fundamental form  $S = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j$  of  $M$ . Using (6) in (5) then gives the Gauss formula

$$(7) \quad R_{ijkl} = \bar{R}_{ijkl} - (h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}).$$

Let  $h_{ijk}$  denote the covariant derivative of  $h_{ij}$  so that

$$(8) \quad \sum_k h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} - \sum_k h_{kj} \omega_{ki} - \sum_k h_{ik} \omega_{kj}.$$

Then, by exterior differentiating (6), we obtain the Coddazi equation

$$(9) \quad h_{ijk} - h_{ikj} = \bar{R}_{0ijk}.$$

Next, exterior differentiate (8) and define the second covariant derivative of  $h_{ij}$  by

$$\sum_\ell h_{ij\ell k} \omega_\ell = dh_{ijk} - \sum_\ell h_{\ell jk} \omega_{\ell i} - \sum_\ell h_{i\ell k} \omega_{\ell j} - \sum_\ell h_{ij\ell} \omega_{\ell k}.$$

Then we obtain the Ricci formula

$$(10) \quad h_{ij\ell k} - h_{i\ell jk} = \sum_m h_{mj} R_{mik\ell} + \sum_m h_{im} R_{mj\ell k}.$$

Let us now denote the covariant derivative of  $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ , as a curvature tensor of  $N$ , by  $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta; \epsilon}$ . Then restricting on  $M$ ,  $\bar{R}_{0ijk; \ell}$  is given by

$$(11) \quad \bar{R}_{0i_jk;\ell} = \bar{R}_{0i_jk\ell} - \bar{R}_{0i0k}h_{j\ell} - \bar{R}_{0ij0}h_{k\ell} - \sum_m \bar{R}_{mijk}h_{m\ell},$$

where  $\bar{R}_{0i_jk\ell}$  denote the covariant derivative of  $\bar{R}_{0ijk}$  as a tensor on  $M$  so that

$$\sum_{\ell} \bar{R}_{0i_jk\ell}\omega_{\ell} = d\bar{R}_{0ijk} - \sum_{\ell} \bar{R}_{0\ell jk}\omega_{\ell i} - \sum_{\ell} \bar{R}_{0i\ell k}\omega_{\ell j} - \sum_{\ell} \bar{R}_{0ij\ell}\omega_{\ell k}.$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta h_{ij}$  of the second fundamental form  $h_{ij}$  is defined by

$$\Delta h_{ij} = \sum_k h_{ijkk}.$$

From (9) we then obtain

$$(12) \quad \Delta h_{ij} = \sum_k h_{kijj} + \sum_k \bar{R}_{0ijk},$$

and from (10)

$$(13) \quad h_{kijj} = h_{kikj} + \sum_m h_{mi}R_{mkjk} + \sum_m h_{km}R_{mijk}.$$

Replace  $h_{kikj}$  in (13) by  $h_{kkij} + \bar{R}_{0kikj}$  (by (9)) and substitute the right hand side of (13) into  $h_{kijj}$  in (12). Then we obtain

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta h_{ij} &= \sum_k (h_{kkij} + \bar{R}_{0kikj} + \bar{R}_{0ijkk}) \\ &+ \sum_k (\sum_m h_{mi}R_{mkjk} + \sum_m h_{km}R_{mijk}). \end{aligned}$$

From (7), (11) and (14) we then obtain

$$(15)^{*}) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta h_{ij} &= \sum_k h_{kikj} + \sum_k \bar{R}_{0kik;j} + \sum_k \bar{R}_{0ijk;k} \\ &+ \sum_k (h_{kk}\bar{R}_{0ij0} + h_{ij}\bar{R}_{0k0k}) \\ &+ \sum_{m,k} (h_{mj}\bar{R}_{mkik} + 2h_{mk}\bar{R}_{mijk} + h_{mi}\bar{R}_{mkjk}) \\ &- \sum_{m,k} (h_{mi}h_{mj}h_{kk} + h_{km}h_{mj}h_{ik} - h_{km}h_{mk}h_{ij} - h_{mi}h_{mk}h_{kj}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we assume that  $N$  is locally symmetric, that is,  $\bar{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta;\epsilon} = 0$  and that  $M$  is maximal in  $N$ , so that  $\sum_k h_{kk} = 0$ . Then, from (15) we obtain

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}\Delta h_{ij} &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij}^2 \bar{R}_{0k0k} + \sum_{i,j,k,m} 2(h_{ij}h_{mj}\bar{R}_{mkik} + h_{ij}h_{mk}\bar{R}_{mijk}) \\ &+ (\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>\*)</sup> This is the Lorentzian version of the well-known formula established, for example, in [8].

§4. Proof of Theorem A

Theorem A is an immediate consequence of the following

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $N = (N, \bar{g})$  be a locally symmetric Lorentzian  $(n + 1)$ -manifold and  $M$  be a complete maximal spacelike hypersurface in  $N$ . Assume that there exist constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that*

- (i)  $\bar{\text{Ric}}(v, v) \geq c_1$  for all timelike vectors  $v \in TN$ ,
- (ii)  $\bar{K}(u \wedge v) \geq c_2$  for all nondegenerate tangent 2-planes spanned by spacelike vectors  $u, v \in TN$ , and
- (iii)  $c_1 + 2nc_2 \geq 0$ .

Then  $M$  is totally geodesic.

To prove Theorem B, we first note

**LEMMA 1.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem B,*

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2) \geq (\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2)^2.$$

*Proof.* For any point  $p \in M$ , we may choose our frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  at  $p$  so that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$ . Then, by assumption (ii) of Theorem B, we have at  $p$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j,k,m} 2(h_{ij}h_{mj}\bar{R}_{mki k} + h_{ij}h_{mk}\bar{R}_{mij k}) \\ &= \sum_{i,k} 2(\lambda_i^2\bar{R}_{i k i k} + \lambda_i\lambda_k\bar{R}_{k i i k}) \\ &= \sum_{i,k} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2\bar{R}_{i k i k} \geq c_2 \sum_{i,k} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \\ &= 2c_2(n \sum_i \lambda_i^2 - (\sum_i \lambda_i)^2) = 2nc_2 \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also we have by assumption (i)

$$\sum_k \bar{R}_{0k0k} \geq c_1.$$

It then follows from (16) and assumption (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2) &= \sum_{i,j,k} h_{ij k}^2 + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}\Delta h_{ij} \\ &\geq (c_1 + 2nc_2)(\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2) + (\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2)^2 \\ &\geq (\sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^2$  be the squared of the length of the second fundamental form of  $M$ . The proof of Theorem B is complete if we show that  $u$  vanishes identically. Recall that from (17),  $u$  satisfies

$$(18) \quad \Delta u \geq 2u^2.$$

Then, by the maximum principle, the result is immediate provided  $M$  is compact.

We now assume that  $M$  is noncompact and complete. We will modify the maximum principle argument as in [4]. Take a point  $p \in M$ , and let  $r$  denote the geodesic distance on  $M$  from  $p$  with respect to the induced Riemannian metric. For  $a > 0$ , let  $B_a(p) = \{x \in M \mid r(x) < a\}$  be the geodesic ball of radius  $a$  and center  $p$ .

LEMMA 2. *For any  $a > 0$ , there exists a constant  $c$  depending only on  $n$  such that*

$$(19) \quad u(x) \leq \frac{ca^2(1 + |c_2|^{1/2}a)}{(a^2 - r(x)^2)^2}$$

for all  $x \in B_a(p)$ .

*Proof.* Assuming that  $u$  is not identically zero on  $B_a(p)$ , we consider the function

$$f(x) = (a^2 - r(x)^2)^2 u(x), \quad x \in B_a(p).$$

Then  $f$  attains a nonzero maximum at some point  $q \in B_a(p)$ , for the closure of  $B_a(p)$  is compact since  $M$  is complete. As in [§ 2, 3], we may assume that  $f$  is  $C^2$  around  $q$ . Then we have

$$\nabla f(q) = 0, \quad \Delta f(q) \leq 0.$$

Hence at  $q^{*)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla u}{u} &= \frac{4r\nabla r}{a^2 - r^2}, \\ \frac{\Delta u}{u} &\leq \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} + \frac{8r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2} + \frac{4(1 + r\Delta r)}{a^2 - r^2}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$(20) \quad \frac{\Delta u}{u}(q) \leq \frac{24r^2}{(a^2 - r^2)^2}(q) + \frac{4(1 + r\Delta r)}{a^2 - r^2}(q).$$

On the other hand, according to [Lemma 1, 9],  $\Delta r(q)$  is bounded from above by

\*) We may concentrate on the case of  $q \neq p$  for the proof become simpler when  $q=p$ .

$$(21) \quad \Delta r(q) \leq \min_{0 \leq k \leq r(q)} \left[ \frac{n-1}{r(q)-k} - \frac{1}{(r(q)-k)^2} \int_k^{r(q)} (t-k)^2 \operatorname{Ric}(\dot{\sigma}(t), \dot{\sigma}(t)) dt \right],$$

where  $\dot{\sigma}(t)$  is the tangent vector of the minimizing geodesic  $\sigma: [0, r(q)] \rightarrow M$  from  $p$  to  $q$  and  $\operatorname{Ric}$  denote the Ricci curvature of  $M$ . Also, from (7) and assumption (ii) of Theorem B,  $\operatorname{Ric}(\dot{\sigma}(t), \dot{\sigma}(t))$  is bounded from below by

$$(22) \quad \operatorname{Ric}(\dot{\sigma}(t), \dot{\sigma}(t)) \geq (n-1)c_2,$$

since  $M$  is maximal spacelike. From (21) and (22) we then obtain

$$(23) \quad r\Delta r(q) \leq (n-1) + 2(n-1)|c_2|^{1/2}r(q).$$

It follows from (20) and (23) that

$$(a^2 - r(q)^2)u^{-1}\Delta u(q) \leq 24a^2 + 8na^2(1 + |c_2|^{1/2}a).$$

From (18) we then obtain

$$f(q) = (a^2 - r(q)^2)u(q) \leq ca^2(1 + |c_2|^{1/2}a),$$

$c$  being a constant depending only on  $n$ . Since  $q$  is the maximum point of  $f$  in  $B_a(p)$ , this implies that

$$(a^2 - r(x)^2)u(x) \leq ca^2(1 + |c_2|^{1/2}a)$$

for all  $x \in B_a(p)$ .

Since  $M$  is complete, we may fix  $x$  in Inequality (19) and let  $a$  tend to infinity. Then we obtain  $u(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in M$ . This completes the proof of Theorem B.

*Remark.* Let  $N = L^{k+1} \times S^{n-k}$  be the product Lorentzian manifold of the flat Minkowski  $(k+1)$ -space  $L^{k+1}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , and  $S^{n-k}$ , a Riemannian  $(n-k)$ -manifold of positive constant curvature. Then  $N$  satisfies the assumptions of Theorem A. The Einstein static space  $N = (R, -dt^2) \times S^n$  also satisfies these assumptions.

The Lorentzian  $(n+1)$ -manifold  $S_1^{n+1}$  of constant curvature  $c > 0$ , called the de Sitter space, satisfies the assumptions of Theorem B (with  $c_1 = -cn, c_2 = c$ ). Theorem B then gives a refinement of a theorem of Choquet-Bruhat [Theorem 4.6, 6].

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] J. K. Beem and P. E. Ehrlich, *Global Lorentzian geometry*, Marcel Dekker, 1981.
- [ 2 ] E. Calabi, Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations, *Proc. Symp. Pure Appl. Math.*, **15** (1970), 223–230.
- [ 3 ] S.-Y. Cheng and S.-T. Yau, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **28** (1975), 333–354.
- [ 4 ] S.-Y. Cheng and S.-T. Yau, Maximal space-like hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **104** (1976), 407–419.
- [ 5 ] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, Maximal submanifolds and submanifolds of constant extrinsic curvature of a Lorentzian manifold, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **3** (1976), 361–376.
- [ 6 ] Y. Choquet-Bruhat, A. E. Fischer and J. E. Marsden, Maximal hypersurfaces and positivity of mass, *Proceedings of the Enrico Fermi Summer School of the Italian Physical Society*, J. Ehlers (ed.), North-Holland, 1979.
- [ 7 ] S. W. Hawking and G. F. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [ 8 ] R. Schoen, L. Simon and S.-T. Yau, Curvature estimates for minimal hypersurfaces, *Acta Math.*, **134** (1975), 275–288.
- [ 9 ] S.-T. Yau, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **28** (1975), 201–228.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Science*  
*Kyushu University*  
*Fukuoka 812*  
*Japan*