

INVARIANT MEASURES OF ULTIMATELY BOUNDED STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

YOSHIO MIYAHARA

The author discussed in [4] the ultimate boundedness of a system which is governed by a stochastic differential equation

$$dX(t) = f(t, X(t))dt + G(t, X(t))dW(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

In this paper we investigate the invariant measure of an ultimately bounded process assuming stationarity: namely we are interested in a process governed by

$$dX(t) = f(X(t))dt + G(X(t))dW(t), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

where $X(t)$ and $f(x)$ are n -vectors, $G(x)$ is an $n \times m$ -matrix, and $W(t)$ is an m -dimensional Wiener process. We assume that $f(x)$ and $G(x)$ satisfy Lipschitz continuity.

Let $X(t)$ be a conservative Feller process defined on the state space \mathbf{R}^n . The corresponding semi-group $\{T_t\}$ of $X(t)$ is the set of operators T_t on the space $C = \{f(x); \text{bounded continuous function on } \mathbf{R}^n\}$ and is defined by

$$T_t f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y)P(t, x, dy) \quad \text{for } f \in C, \quad (3)$$

where $P(t, x, B)$ is the transition function of $X(t)$.

A process $X(t)$ is said to be p -th ultimately bounded ($p > 0$) if there exists a constant K such that $\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_x |X(t)|^p \leq K$ for any x , where M_x means the conditional expectation under the condition $X(0) = x$. An invariant measure μ of a process $X(t)$ means that μ is a positive regular measure and satisfies $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} P(t, x, B)d\mu(x) = \mu(B)$ for any $t > 0$ and Borel set B .

Received May 29, 1972.
Revised September 7, 1972.

THEOREM 1. *If a conservative Feller process $X(t)$ is $p(> 0)$ -th ultimately bounded, then there exists a finite invariant measure of $X(t)$.*

Proof. Fix a point $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and put

$$\Phi_N(f) = \frac{1}{N} \int_0^N T_t f(x) dt, \quad N = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

Then $\Phi_N(f)$ is a linear functional on C which satisfies

- i) $\Phi_N(f) \geq 0$, if $f \geq 0$,
- ii) $\Phi_N(1) = 1$.

Therefore Φ_N defines a probability measure on \mathbf{R}^n , which we will denote by the same notation $\Phi(\cdot)$.

We will prove that the family $\{\Phi_N\}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, is tight, that is

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf_N \Phi_N(S_k) = 1, \quad (5)$$

where $S_k = \{x; |x| \leq k\}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$. From the assumption of p -th ultimate boundedness of $X(t)$, there exist two constants K and t_0 such that $M_x |X(t)|^p \leq K$ for $t \geq t_0$. Using Tchebychev inequality to this inequality, we have

$$P(t, x, S_k^c) \leq \frac{K}{k^p} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, \quad (6)$$

where S_k^c is the complement of S_k . This inequality is equivalent to

$$P(t, x, S_k) \geq 1 - \frac{K}{k^p} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \quad (7)$$

Therefore we get the following inequality;

$$\Phi_N(S_k) \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{t_0}^N P(t, x, S_k) dt \geq \frac{1}{N} (N - t_0) \left(1 - \frac{K}{k^p}\right) \quad (8)$$

for $N > t_0$.

From this inequality we know that when any positive number ε is given, there are two constant $k_0(\varepsilon)$ and $N_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\Phi_N(S_k) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0 \text{ and } N \geq N_0. \quad (9)$$

It is valid that there is a constant $k_1(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\Phi_N(S_k) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k \geq k_1 \text{ and } N = 1, 2, \dots, N_0, \quad (10)$$

because $\Phi_N(\cdot)$ is a probability measure. Two inequalities (9) and (10) prove (5), that is, the family $\{\Phi_N\}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, is tight.

From the tightness of $\{\Phi_N\}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, we can conclude that there are a probability measure $\Phi(\cdot)$ and a subsequence $\{\Phi_{N_m}\}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{N_m}(f) = \Phi(f) \quad \text{for } f \in C. \quad (11)$$

Using this equality and the boundedness of $f \in C$ and $T_t f \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T_t f) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_m} \int_0^{N_m} T_{t+s} f(x) ds \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_m} \int_0^{N_m} T_s f(x) ds + \frac{1}{N_m} \left(\int_{N_m}^{N_m+t} T_s f(x) ds - \int_0^t T_s f(x) ds \right) \\ &= \Phi(f) \quad \text{for } f \in C \text{ and } t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

This equality stands for that $\Phi(\cdot)$ is an invariant measure of $X(t)$.

(Q.E.D.)

Remark 1. We know from the proof of Theorem 1 that the invariant measure of $X(t)$ is not unique. Every starting point x determines an invariant measure.

COROLLARY 1. *If the system (2) is $p(> 0)$ -th ultimately bounded, then it has a finite invariant measure.*

Proof. It is well-known that the solution of (2) is a conservative Feller process. (cf. [2]). (Q.E.D.)

COROLLARY 2. *If the system (2) is non-degenerate and $p(> 0)$ -th ultimately bounded, then it is positive recurrent.*

Proof. It is proved by W. M. Wonham [6, Appendix] that the system (2) is a diffusion process in the sense of R. Z. Khas'minskii [3] if it is non-degenerate. And R. Z. Khas'minskii proved that a diffusion process is positive recurrent if and only if it is recurrent and has a finite invariant measure ([3], Theorem 3.3 and Lemma 5.3). We already know that an ultimately bounded process is recurrent ([4], § 5) and Corollary 1 assures the existence of a finite invariant measure. (Q.E.D.)

Remark 2. We know that a $p(> 0)$ -th ultimately bounded process is weakly recurrent and that an exponentially $p(> 1)$ -th ultimately bounded process is weakly positive recurrent ([4], § 5). But it is not known whether a $p(> 0)$ -th ultimately bounded process is weakly positive recur-

rent or not. Corollary 2 gives us a partial answer of this problem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $X(t)$ be a p -th ultimately bounded Markov process with a finite invariant measure ν . Then it satisfies*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |x|^p \nu(dx) < \infty .$$

Proof. Put $f(x) = |x|^p$ and $f_n(x) = \chi_{[0, n]}(f(x))$, where χ is a characteristic function. We note that $f_n(x) \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n, \nu)$. From the assumption of p -th ultimate boundedness, there is a constant K' such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} M_x f(X_t) \leq K' \quad \text{for any } x .$$

By the use of Ergodic theorem for Markov process with invariant measure (cf. [5] pp. 388), there exists the limit

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N T_k f_n(x) = f_n^*(x) \quad (\nu\text{-a.e.}) \quad (13)$$

and

$$E_\nu f_n^*(x) = E_\nu f_n(x) , \quad (14)$$

where $T_k f_n(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(y) P(k, x, dy)$ and $E_\nu f_n(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_n(x) d\nu(x)$. From the inequality $f_n(x) \leq f(x)$ and the assumption of p -th ultimate boundedness, we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N T_k f_n(x) \leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N T_k f(x) \leq K' \quad (15)$$

for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

From (13) and (15) we obtain $f_n^*(x) \leq K'$ (ν -a.e.), and from this inequality we have

$$E_\nu f_n^*(x) \leq K' . \quad (16)$$

The formulas (14), (16) and the fact $f_n(x) \uparrow f(x)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) imply that

$$E_\nu f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_\nu f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_\nu f_n^*(x) \leq K' . \quad (\text{Q.E.D.})$$

COROLLARY. *If $X(t)$ is ∞ -th ultimately bounded, then any finite invariant measure ν of $X(t)$ satisfies $E_\nu |x|^p < \infty$ for any $p > 0$.*

The author of this present paper expressed his thanks to Professor H. Kunita for his useful discussions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] V. E. Benes: Finite Regular Invariant Measures for Feller Process, *J. Appl. Prob.* **5** (1968), 203–209.
- [2] E. B. Dynkin: *Markov Process*, Springer-Verlag (1965).
- [3] R. Z. Khas'minskii: Ergodic Properties of Recurrent Diffusion Processes and Stabilization of the Solution to the Cauchy Problem for Parabolic Equations, *Theory Prob. Applications*, Vol. **5**, No. 2 (1960), 179–196.
- [4] Y. Miyahara: Ultimate Boundedness of the Systems Governed by Stochastic Differential Equations, to appear in *Nagoya Math. J.* Vol. **47** (1972).
- [5] K. Yosida: *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag (1965).
- [6] W. M. Wonham: Liapunov Criteria for Weak Stochastic Stability, *J. Diff. Eq.*, Vol. **2** (1966), 195–207.
- [7] M. Zakai: A Liapunov Criterion for the Existence of Stationary Probability Distributions for Systems Perturbed by Noise, *SIAM J. Control*, Vol. **7**, No. 3 (1969), 390–397.

Nagoya University