

# ALMOST-BOUNDED HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS WITH PRESCRIBED AMBIGUOUS POINTS

G. T. CARGO

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f$  be a function mapping the open unit disk  $D$  into the extended complex plane. A point  $\zeta$  on the unit circle  $C$  is called an *ambiguous point* of  $f$  if there exist two Jordan arcs  $J_1$  and  $J_2$ , each having an endpoint at  $\zeta$  and lying, except for  $\zeta$ , in  $D$ , such that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \zeta \\ z \in J_1}} f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \zeta \\ z \in J_2}} f(z)$$

both exist and are unequal. Bagemihl (1) proved that *the set of ambiguous points of  $f$  is at most countable*, even if  $f$  is not required to be continuous in  $D$ .

Since bounded holomorphic functions in  $D$  have no ambiguous points (6, p. 303; 9, p. 5), several subsequent investigations have centred about the question of the existence of ambiguous points for functions which are "almost" bounded in some sense. Bagemihl and Seidel (2) proved that *if  $E$  is a denumerable subset of  $C$ , then there exists a function  $f$ , regular and of bounded characteristic in  $D$ , for which every element of  $E$  is an ambiguous point*.

A function  $f$ , regular in  $D$ , is of bounded characteristic if it satisfies the growth condition

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta : 0 \leq r < 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

In this paper, we consider classes of functions which are subject to more stringent (Orlicz-type) growth conditions.

If  $h$  is a non-negative, non-decreasing function defined on the non-negative real axis, then let  $H(h)$  denote the collection of holomorphic functions  $f$  in  $D$  for which

$$\sup \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} h[|f(re^{i\theta})|] d\theta : 0 \leq r < 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

We observe that  $H(x^p)$  is the Hardy class  $H^p$  ( $p > 0$ ) and that

$$H(e^x) \subset \bigcap_{0 < p < \infty} H^p.$$

Theorem 2 of this paper asserts that *if  $E$  is a denumerable subset of  $C$  and if  $h$  is a non-negative, non-decreasing function defined on the non-negative real axis, then there exists a function  $f$  in  $H(h)$  for which every point of  $E$  is an ambiguous point*. (For finite sets  $E$ , this result was anticipated by Gehring (5).)

---

Received February 6, 1963. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the National Science Foundation (NSF Grant GP-1086).

In order to establish Theorem 2, we first prove (Theorem 1) that if  $h$  is a non-negative, non-decreasing function defined on the non-negative real axis and if  $E$  is a subset of  $C$  whose (linear) measure is zero, then there exists a function  $Q$  in  $H(h)$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \zeta \\ z \in D}} Q(z) = \infty$$

for each  $\zeta$  in  $E$ . Some interest may attach to this result inasmuch as no regular function in  $D$  can have infinite angular limits on a set of positive measure (6, p. 378; 10, p. 212). Theorem 1 (without proof) has been used in another connection (4).

Let  $\zeta$  be a point on  $C$ . Then the familiar function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \exp\left\{-\frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z}\right\}$$

is in  $H(\log^+ x)$  since it is the quotient of two bounded functions (6, p. 345; 10, p. 56), and it has  $\zeta$  as an ambiguous point. Indeed, if  $z$  is in  $D$ ,

$$\left| \exp\left\{-\frac{\zeta + z}{\zeta - z}\right\} \right| = \exp\left\{-\frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta - z|^2}\right\},$$

and

$$|f(z)| = \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} e^{-1}$$

if  $z$  is on the circle which has for its diameter the radius of  $D$  terminating at  $\zeta$ . This function serves as a prototype in our proof of Theorem 2. A generalization of the factor  $1/(\zeta - z)$  is embodied in the functions described in Theorem 1; and the remaining factor motivates our study of the tangential limits of functions of the form

$$\exp\left\{-\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}\right\}.$$

**2. Some lemmas.** The primary purpose of this section is to establish Lemma 3.

LEMMA 1. Let  $E = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \dots\}$  be a denumerable subset of  $C$ , and let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n < \infty.$$

Then

$$(1) \quad P(z) = \exp\left\{-\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}\right\}$$

is a holomorphic function mapping  $D$  into  $D$  which has zero as a radial limit at each point of  $E$ . On the radii in question, the inequalities

$$(2) \quad |P(r\zeta_m)| < \exp\left\{-\alpha_m \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right\} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots; 0 \leq r < 1)$$

hold.

*Proof.* The regularity of  $P$  is an immediate consequence of the inequality

$$\left| \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z} \right| \leq \alpha_n \frac{1 + |z|}{1 - |z|}$$

in conjunction with the Weierstrass M-test. The remaining assertions of the lemma follow from the relations

$$|P(z)| = \exp\left\{-\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta_n - z|^2}\right\} < \exp\left\{-\alpha_m \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|\zeta_m - z|^2}\right\} < 1$$

( $z \in D; m = 1, 2, \dots$ )

*Convention.* Throughout this paper, if  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are angles, the shortest distance on  $C$  between  $e^{i\theta_1}$  and  $e^{i\theta_2}$  is denoted by  $|\theta_1 - \theta_2|$ . The expression  $|\theta - 0|$  is abbreviated to  $|\theta|$ .

Let  $f$  be a complex-valued function defined on  $D$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a fixed number ( $\gamma \geq 1$ ). Then  $f$  is said to have a  $T_\gamma$ -limit at a point  $e^{i\theta}$  on  $C$  provided there exists a complex number  $L$  such that, for each positive real number  $m$ ,  $f(z) \rightarrow L$  as  $z \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ ,  $z$  being confined to the set

$$R(m, \theta, \gamma) = \{z : 1 - |z| \geq m |\arg z - \theta|^\gamma; 0 < |z| < 1\}.$$

We note that the  $T_1$ -limit is equivalent to the classical angular limit.  $T_\gamma$ -limits have been studied in connection with Blaschke products (3); for purposes of analogy, it is sometimes convenient to think of  $(1 - \alpha_n)\zeta_n$  as a pseudo-zero of the function  $P$  given by (1). If  $e^{i\theta}$  is an accumulation point of the set  $E$  in Lemma 1, then one can easily verify that  $\theta$  is in the cluster set of  $P$  at  $e^{i\theta}$ . Nevertheless, we now prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let  $E = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \dots\}$  be a denumerable subset of  $C$ , let  $\gamma$  be a fixed number satisfying  $\gamma \geq 1$ , and let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n / |\theta - \arg \zeta_n|^\gamma < \infty$$

for some real number  $\theta$ . Then

$$P(z) = \exp\left\{-\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}\right\}$$

has a  $T_\gamma$ -limit of modulus 1 at  $e^{i\theta}$ .

*Proof.* From the hypothesis, we see that  $\sum \alpha_n < \infty$ ; hence  $P$  is defined. Clearly, it will suffice to consider the case when  $\theta = 0$ .

Using Dini's theorem (8, p. 293), select a null sequence  $\{w_n\}$  of positive numbers such that

$$(3) \quad \sum_1^\infty \alpha_n/w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma < \infty;$$

and then set

$$S_n = \{z : |\zeta_n - z| < w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Given  $m > 0$ , we want to prove that  $P(z)$  approaches a limit of modulus 1 as  $z \rightarrow 1$ ,  $z$  being confined to the set  $R(m, 0, \gamma)$ .

Assume for a moment that  $R(m, 0, \gamma)$  and

$$\bigcup_{n=n_m}^\infty S_n$$

are disjoint for some positive integer  $n_m$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=n_m}^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}$$

converges uniformly on  $R(m, 0, \gamma)$ ; for, if

$$z \in D - \bigcup_{n=n_m}^\infty S_n,$$

then

$$\left| \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z} \right| \leq \frac{2\alpha_n}{w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma} \quad (n \geq n_m),$$

and the conclusion follows from (3) and the Weierstrass M-test.

In virtue of the uniform convergence,

$$\sum_{n=n_m}^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z} \rightarrow \sum_{n=n_m}^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + 1}{\zeta_n - 1}$$

as  $z \rightarrow 1$ ,  $z$  being confined to  $R(m, 0, \gamma)$ . Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_m-1} \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}$$

is continuous at the point 1, the conclusion of the lemma follows at once.

We still have to prove that  $n_m$  exists. To this end, take  $n_m$  to be an integer such that

$$(4) \quad w_n < m(1 - \frac{1}{2}\pi w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^{\gamma-1})^\gamma$$

and

$$w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma < 1$$

both hold for all  $n \geq n_m$ . Then let  $n$  be any integer such that  $n \geq n_m$ , and suppose that  $z_0 \in S_n \cap D$ . We want to prove that  $z_0 \notin R(m, 0, \gamma)$ , that is, that

$$(5) \quad 1 - |z_0| < m |\arg z_0|^\gamma.$$

Clearly,

$$(6) \quad 1 - |z_0| \leq |\zeta_n - z_0| < w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma.$$

An obvious geometric argument yields

$$|\arg z_0 - \arg \zeta_n| < \arcsin\{w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma\} < \frac{1}{2}\pi w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma,$$

and a simple analogue of the triangle inequality for real numbers gives

$$|\arg \zeta_n| - |\arg z_0 - \arg \zeta_n| \leq |\arg z_0|.$$

From these last two inequalities, we conclude that

$$m(|\arg \zeta_n| - \frac{1}{2}\pi w_n |\arg \zeta_n|^\gamma) < m |\arg z_0|^\gamma.$$

This, combined with (4) and (6), yields (5), as desired.

LEMMA 3. Let  $E = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \dots\}$  be a denumerable subset of  $C$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  of positive numbers such that, for each  $m$ ,

$$P(z) = \exp\left\{-\sum_1^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}\right\}$$

is bounded away from zero on the intersection of  $D$  and the circle whose diameter is the radius of  $D$  terminating at  $\zeta_m$ . (The bound depends on  $m$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_1 = 2^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 2^{-2} |\arg \zeta_1 - \arg \zeta_2|^2$ , and, for  $n > 2$ , let

$$\alpha_n = 2^{-n} \min\{|\arg \zeta_k - \arg \zeta_n|^2 : 1 \leq k < n\}.$$

We note that  $\sum \alpha_n < \infty$ . For a fixed point  $\zeta_m$ , it is clear that

$$\alpha_{m+k}/|\arg \zeta_m - \arg \zeta_{m+k}|^2 \leq 2^{-(m+k)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, according to Lemma 2,

$$P_m(z) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \neq m}}^\infty \alpha_n \frac{\zeta_n + z}{\zeta_n - z}\right\}$$

has a  $T_2$ -limit of modulus 1 at  $\zeta_m$ . Let  $z$  ( $z \neq 0, z \neq \zeta_m$ ) be a point on the circle whose diameter is the radius of  $D$  terminating at  $\zeta_m$ . Since, obviously,  $|z| = \cos(|\arg z - \arg \zeta_m|)$ , it follows that

$$1 - |z| = 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}|\arg z - \arg \zeta_m|\right) > 2\pi^{-2}|\arg z - \arg \zeta_m|^2.$$

Accordingly,  $z$  is in  $R(2\pi^{-2}, \arg \zeta_m, 2)$ , and  $|P_m(z)| \rightarrow 1$  as  $z \rightarrow \zeta_m$  along the circle in question. Thus,  $|P(z)| \rightarrow \exp\{-\alpha_m\}$  as  $z \rightarrow \zeta_m$  along the circle; and, since  $P(z)$  never vanishes in  $D$ , the conclusion of the lemma follows at once.

**3. Infinite limits.** We now turn our attention to the problem of constructing almost-bounded functions having infinite limits on prescribed subsets of  $C$ . To effect the construction, we use a familiar technique (10).

Throughout this paper, we let

$$P(r, \theta) = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos \theta + r^2}.$$

Given a measurable subset  $M$  of the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ , we denote its characteristic function by  $\chi_M$ ; and we denote the Poisson integral of  $\chi_M$  by  $u_M$ , that is,

$$u_M(re^{i\theta}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, t - \theta) \chi_M(t) dt.$$

Clearly,  $0 \leq u_M(re^{i\theta}) \leq 1$  if  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . If  $A$  is a measurable subset of the real line, we denote its measure by  $mA$  or  $m(A)$ .

LEMMA 4. *Let  $N$  be a subset of  $(0, 2\pi)$  whose measure is zero. Then, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist an open set  $G$  and a measurable set  $B$  such that  $N \subset G \subset (0, 2\pi)$ ,  $B \subset [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $mG < \epsilon$ ,  $mB < \epsilon$ , and  $u_G(re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon$  if  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] - B$ .*

*Proof.* Select an open set  $S$  such that  $mS < \epsilon/2$  and  $N \subset S \subset (0, 2\pi)$ . For almost all  $\theta$  in  $[0, 2\pi]$ ,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} u_S(re^{i\theta})$$

exists and is equal to  $\chi_S(\theta)$ , according to Fatou's theorem (6, p. 337). Using an extension of Egoroff's theorem (7, p. 124), we conclude that the convergence is uniform off some set  $T$  where  $mT < \epsilon/2$ . Hence, there exists a number  $r_\epsilon$  ( $0 < r_\epsilon < 1$ ) such that  $u_S(re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon$  if  $r_\epsilon < r < 1$  and

$$\theta \in [0, 2\pi] - B,$$

where  $B = S \cup T$ . Finally select an open set  $G$  such that  $N \subset G \subset S$  and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1 + r_\epsilon}{1 - r_\epsilon} \cdot mG < \epsilon.$$

Then, clearly,  $u_G(re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon$  if  $0 \leq r \leq r_\epsilon$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ ; and, since  $u_G(re^{i\theta}) \leq u_S(re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon$  if  $r_\epsilon < r < 1$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] - B$ , the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $h$  be a positive, increasing, continuous function defined on the non-negative real axis; and let  $N$  be a subset of  $(0, 2\pi)$  whose measure is zero. Then there exist open sets  $G_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that*

$$N \subset G_k \subset (0, 2\pi), \quad \sum_1^\infty mG_k < \infty,$$

and

$$(7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} h \left[ \exp \left\{ \sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) \right\} \right] d\theta < 2\pi h(e) + 1$$

if  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

*Proof.* For  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , let  $\epsilon_k = 2^{-k} \min\{1, 1/h(e^{k+1})\}$ . Using Lemma 4,

select an open set  $G_k$  and a set  $B_k$  such that  $N \subset G_k \subset (0, 2\pi)$ ,  $B_k \subset [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $mG_k < \epsilon_k$ ,  $mB_k < \epsilon_k$ , and

$$u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon_k$$

if  $0 \leq r < 1$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] - B_k$ .

Letting  $B_k^* = [0, 2\pi] - B_k$ , we see that, for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $[0, 2\pi]$  is the union of the disjoint sets

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & B_1^* \cap B_2^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*, \\ & B_1 \cap B_2^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*, \\ & B_2 \cap B_3^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*, \\ & \vdots \\ & B_{n-1} \cap B_n^*, \\ & B_n. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\theta \in B_1^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*$ , we have

$$0 \leq u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) < \epsilon_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; 0 \leq r < 1);$$

consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) &< \sum_1^n \epsilon_k < 1, \\ h\left[\exp\left\{\sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta})\right\}\right] &< h(e), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \int_{B_1^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*} h\left[\exp\left\{\sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta})\right\}\right] d\theta < 2\pi h(e).$$

Likewise, if  $\theta \in B_j \cap B_{j+1}^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ ), then

$$\sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) < j + \epsilon_{j+1} + \dots + \epsilon_n < j + 1,$$

and

$$(10) \quad \int_{B_j \cap B_{j+1}^* \cap \dots \cap B_n^*} h\left[\exp\left\{\sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta})\right\}\right] d\theta \leq m(B_j)h(e^{j+1}) < 2^{-j}.$$

Finally,

$$(11) \quad \int_{B_n} h\left[\exp\left\{\sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta})\right\}\right] d\theta \leq m(B_n)h(e^{n+1}) < 2^{-n}.$$

The integral appearing in (7) can be decomposed into a sum of integrals over the disjoint sets in (8). The desired inequality then follows from (9), (10), and (11).

LEMMA 6. Let  $g$  be an extended real-valued function which is defined and summable in  $[0, 2\pi]$ . If

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} g(t) = +\infty$$

for some  $t_0$  in  $(0, 2\pi)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(r, t - \theta)g(t)dt \rightarrow +\infty$$

as

$$re^{i\theta} \rightarrow e^{it_0}$$

from within  $D$ .

*Proof.* A proof of this classical result may be obtained by (correcting and) slightly modifying the proof of a somewhat weaker result given in (10, pp. 20–21).

THEOREM 1. Let  $h$  be a non-negative, non-decreasing function defined on the non-negative real axis, and let  $E$  be a subset of  $C$  whose (linear) measure is zero. Then there exists a holomorphic function  $Q$  in  $D$  such that

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \zeta \\ z \in D}} Q(z) = \infty$$

for each  $\zeta$  in  $E$  and

$$\sup \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} h[|Q(re^{i\theta})|]d\theta : 0 \leq r < 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Since we can always find a positive, increasing, continuous function  $h^*$  such that  $h(x) \leq h^*(x)$  for all  $x$  in  $[0, \infty)$ , there is no loss in generality in assuming that  $h$  itself has these properties. Moreover, we may assume that  $1 \notin E$  and work with the set  $N = \{t : e^{it} \in E, 0 < t < 2\pi\}$ .

Let  $G_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) be the sets constructed in Lemma 5. Since  $\sum mG_k < \infty$ , the function

$$\sum \chi_{G_k}(t)$$

is summable in  $[0, 2\pi]$  by Beppo Levi's theorem. A straightforward argument shows that

$$Q(z) = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \sum_1^\infty \chi_{G_k}(t)dt \right\}$$

is holomorphic in  $D$  and that

$$|Q(re^{i\theta})| = \exp \left\{ \sum_1^\infty u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) \right\}.$$

If  $t_0 \in N$ , then, clearly,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \sum_1^\infty \chi_{G_k}(t) = +\infty.$$

We conclude from Lemma 6 that, for  $\zeta_0 = e^{it_0}$ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \zeta_0 \\ z \in D}} Q(z) = \infty.$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} h \left[ \exp \left\{ \sum_1^n u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) \right\} \right] d\theta &= \int_0^{2\pi} h \left[ \exp \left\{ \sum_1^\infty u_{G_k}(re^{i\theta}) \right\} \right] d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} h[|Q(re^{i\theta})|] d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

which, in conjunction with (7), completes the proof of the theorem.

**4. Functions with prescribed ambiguous points.** We are now ready to prove the main theorem of the paper.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $E = \{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n, \dots\}$  be a denumerable subset of  $C$ , and let  $h$  be a non-negative, non-decreasing function defined on the non-negative real axis. Then there exists a holomorphic function  $f$  in  $D$  which has each point of  $E$  as an ambiguous point, and which satisfies the condition*

$$(12) \quad \sup \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} h[|f(re^{i\theta})|] d\theta : 0 \leq r < 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $h(x) \geq x$  for all  $x$  in  $[0, \infty)$ ; for, otherwise, we could prove the theorem for the function  $h^*(x) = h(x) + x$ .

Let  $f(z) = P(z)Q(z)$ , where  $P$  is the function described in Lemma 3 and  $Q$  is the function described in Theorem 1. Then, by Lemma 1,  $|f(z)| \leq |Q(z)|$  if  $z$  is in  $D$ , and (12) obviously holds.

We see at once that, for each  $m$ ,  $f(z) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow \zeta_m$ ,  $z$  being confined to the circle whose diameter is the radius of  $D$  terminating at  $\zeta_m$ .

Finally, we note that

$$\|Q\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |Q(re^{i\theta})| d\theta : 0 \leq r < 1 \right\}$$

is finite since  $x \leq h(x)$ . This, in turn, implies that

$$(13) \quad |Q(z)| \leq (1 - |z|)^{-1} \|Q\|$$

for all  $z$  in  $D$ . Indeed, if  $Q(z) = \sum \xi_n z^n$ , then

$$\xi_n = \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} Q(re^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta \quad (0 < r < 1),$$

and

$$|\xi_n| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^n} \int_0^{2\pi} |Q(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Thus,  $|\xi_n| \leq \|Q\|$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ); and, since  $|Q(z)| \leq \sum |\xi_n| |z|^n$ , the result follows at once (see **11**, p. 103; **10**, p. 58).

Inequality (13) and inequality (2) of Lemma 1 yield

$$|f(r\zeta_m)| < \frac{\|Q\|}{1-r} \exp\left\{-\alpha_m \frac{1+r}{1-r}\right\} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots; 0 < r < 1),$$

so that  $f(z) \rightarrow 0$  as  $z \rightarrow \zeta_m$  radially. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**5. Conclusion.** Theorem 1 of this paper was called to the author's attention by Professor Piranian, who has devised an elegant proof of Lemma 4 which is entirely elementary, the elaborate machinery of Fatou's theorem being avoided altogether. We take the liberty of sketching his proof.

Let  $B$  be an open set for which  $mB < \epsilon$  and  $N \subset B \subset (0, 2\pi)$ . Divide each component of  $B$  into a set of intervals whose end-points lie in the complement of  $N$  and whose ordering by position is isomorphic to the usual ordering of the integers. Order into a single sequence  $\{I_k\}$  the set of all intervals thus constructed in  $B$ , and let  $d_k$  denote the distance between  $I_k$  and the complement of  $B$ . For each  $k$ , cover the set  $N \cap I_k$  with an open covering that lies in  $I_k$  and has measure less than  $2^{-k}d_k\epsilon$ . Let  $G$  denote the union of these coverings. If  $t \in I_k$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] - B$ , then

$$\left| \frac{e^{it} + re^{i\theta}}{e^{it} - re^{i\theta}} \right| < \frac{2\pi}{d_k};$$

this, in turn, implies that

$$\int_{I_k} P(r, t - \theta) \chi_G(t) dt < 2\pi 2^{-k}\epsilon,$$

from which the desired result follows at once.

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Bagemihl, *Curvilinear cluster sets of arbitrary functions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S., 41 (1955), 379-382.
2. F. Bagemihl and W. Seidel, *Functions of bounded characteristic with prescribed ambiguous points*, Mich. Math. J., 3 (1955-56), 77-81.
3. G. T. Cargo, *Angular and tangential limits of Blaschke products and their successive derivatives*, Can. J. Math., 14 (1962), 334-348.
4. ———, *Radial and angular limits of meromorphic functions*, Can. J. Math., 15 (1963), 471-474.
5. F. W. Gehring, *The asymptotic values for analytic functions with bounded characteristic*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 9 (1958), 282-289.
6. G. M. Golusin, *Geometrische Funktionentheorie* (Berlin, 1957).
7. H. Hahn and A. Rosenthal, *Set functions* (Albuquerque, 1948).
8. K. Knopp, *Theory and application of infinite series* (2nd English ed.; New York, 1947).
9. K. Noshiro, *Cluster sets* (Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1960).
10. I. I. Priwalow, *Randeigenschaften analytischer Funktionen* (Berlin, 1956).
11. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis* (New York, 1958).

Syracuse University