

ONE-SIDED L^1 -APPROXIMATION

BY

A. PINKUS AND V. TOTIK

ABSTRACT. Let U_n be an n -dimensional subspace of $C[0, 1]$. We prove that if $n \geq 2$, and U_n contains a function which is strictly positive on $(0, 1)$, then there exists an $f \in C[0, 1]$ which has more than one best one-sided L^1 -approximation from U_n . We also characterize those U_n with the property that each $f \in C[0, 1]$ has a unique best one-sided $L^1(w)$ -approximation from U_n with respect to every strictly positive continuous weight function w .

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the problem of uniqueness of best one-sided L^1 -approximations to continuous functions from a finite dimensional subspace. We prove two main results. To explain these results, some notation is needed.

U_n will denote a fixed n -dimensional subspace of $C[0, 1]$. A_n will be the set of $f \in C[0, 1]$ for which there exists a $u \in U_n$ satisfying $u(x) \leq f(x)$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Thus

$$A_n = \{f: f \in C[0, 1], \exists u \in U_n, u \leq f\}$$

If U_n contains a strictly positive function then $A_n = C[0, 1]$.

It is easily seen that for each $f \in A_n$ there exists a $u^* \in U_n$, $u^* \leq f$, for which

$$(1.1) \quad \|f - u^*\|_1 = \min \{\|f - u\|_1 : u \in U_n, u \leq f\}$$

where $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$. Such a u^* we call a *best one-sided L^1 -approximation to f from U_n* . Since we consider $u \leq f$, the minimum problem in (1.1) is seen to be equivalent to

$$(1.2) \quad \max \left\{ \int_0^1 u(x) dx : u \in U_n, u \leq f \right\}.$$

We say that U_n is a *unicity space* for L^1 if for every $f \in A_n$ there exists a unique best one-sided L^1 -approximation to f from U_n .

DeVore [1] proved that if U_n , $n \geq 2$, is a Tchebycheff space then U_n is not a unicity space for L^1 . In Pinkus [3], this negative result was also shown to be valid if U_n , $n \geq 2$, is a subspace of splines with fixed knots. (Neither of these two results represented the main contents of these papers). Strauss [5] gave a series of necessary and

Received by the editors September 4, 1984.
AMS Subject Classification (1980): 41A52.
© Canadian Mathematical Society 1985.

sufficient conditions for U_n to be a unicity space for L^1 . One of these equivalent conditions is the following:

THEOREM A (Strauss [5]). U_n is a unicity space for L^1 if and only if for each $u \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a $v \in U_n$ for which

$$(1) \quad v(x) \leq |u(x)|, \text{ all } x,$$

$$(2) \quad \int_0^1 v(x) dx > 0.$$

On the basis of the above theorem, Strauss was able to prove that if U_n , $n \geq 2$, is a weak Tchebycheff space containing a strictly positive function, then U_n is not a unicity space for L^1 . Our first result shows that Tchebycheff and weak Tchebycheff spaces are irrelevant in the above result. We prove

THEOREM 1. *If there exists a $u \in U_n$, $n \geq 2$, such that $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$, then U_n is not a unicity space for L^1 .*

Note that for $n = 1$ it easily follows from (1.2) (or from Theorem A) that U_1 is a unicity space if and only if $\int_0^1 u(x) dx \neq 0$ for $u \in U_1 \setminus \{0\}$.

As may be seen from Theorem A, the necessary and sufficient conditions given therein are generally very difficult to check for $n \geq 2$.

Let W denote the set of all continuous strictly positive functions on $[0, 1]$. For each $w \in W$, set

$$\|f\|_w = \int_0^1 |f(x)|w(x) dx.$$

Paraphrasing the previous definitions we say that u^* is a best one-sided $L^1(w)$ approximation to $f \in A_n$ from U_n if $u^* \in U_n$, $u^* \leq f$, and

$$(1.3) \quad \|f - u^*\|_w = \min\{\|f - u\|_w : u \in U_n, u \leq f\}.$$

This problem is equivalent to

$$(1.4) \quad \max \left\{ \int_0^1 u(x)w(x) dx : u \in U_n, u \leq f \right\}.$$

We say that U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ if for every $f \in A_n$ (A_n does not depend on w) there exists a unique best one-sided $L^1(w)$ approximation to f from U_n . In general a best one-sided $L^1(w)$ approximation to f from U_n is w dependent.

It is easily seen that Theorems A and 1, and the examples previously mentioned, are valid in the case of best one-sided $L^1(w)$ approximation for any $w \in W$, fixed. The only change is that in Theorem A we must replace (2) by

$$(2') \quad \int_0^1 v(x)w(x) dx > 0.$$

Thus the conditions as given in Theorem A are w dependent. This is also the case in the problem of uniqueness for the two-sided $L^1(w)$ approximation problem (see e.g. Kroo [2], Pinkus [4]), while this is not the case for the corresponding one and two-sided approximation problem in the $L^\infty(w)$ norm, $\|f\|_{L^\infty(w)} = \max\{|f(x)|w(x) : 0 \leq x \leq 1\}$. (The Haar condition is w independent). Thus aside from the negative result of Theorem 1, it is rather difficult to ascertain whether a given U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ for a given $w \in W$. For one particular class of U_n , however, this question is readily answered. For $u \in U_n$, set $\text{supp}(u) = \{x : u(x) \neq 0\}$. If U_n has a basis of functions u_1, \dots, u_n for which $\text{supp}(u_i) \cap \text{supp}(u_j) = \emptyset$, all $i \neq j$, then U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ if and only if $\int_0^1 u_i(x)w(x) dx \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. This readily follows from (1.4). Here our n -dimensional problem has reduced to n 1-dimensional problems.

Since the conditions of Theorem A are difficult to check we might ask for conditions on U_n implying that U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ for all $w \in W$. Our second result deals with this problem.

THEOREM 2. U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ for every $w \in W$ if and only if U_n has a basis of functions u_1, \dots, u_n for which

- (1) $u_i(x) \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, all x
- (2) $\text{supp}(u_i) \cap \text{supp}(u_j) = \emptyset$, all $i \neq j$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $u_1 \in U_n$, $n \geq 2$, be such that $u_1(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$. Assume without loss of generality that $\int_0^1 u_1(x) dx = 1$. We may construct a basis for U_n , $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ such that $\int_0^1 u_i(x) dx = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$. Let $V = \text{span}\{u_2, \dots, u_n\}$.

For each $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, set

$$J(v) = \{x : v(x) \leq 0\}$$

and let $|J(v)|$ denote the Lebesgue measure of $J(v)$. Note that $J(v) = J(cv)$ for all $c > 0$. Since $\bar{V} = \{v : v \in V, \|v\|_\infty = 1\}$ is compact and equicontinuous, there exists a $v^* \in \bar{V}$ for which $|J(v^*)| \geq |J(v)|$ for all $v \in \bar{V} \subseteq U_n$.

Let $v_+^*(x) = \max\{v^*(x), 0\}$. Note that $v_+^* \neq 0$ since $\int_0^1 v^*(x) dx = 0$. We claim that if $u \in U_n$, $u \leq v_+^*$, then $\int_0^1 u(x) dx \leq 0$. This will prove the theorem (from (1.2)) since $v_+^* \in C[0, 1]$, $0, v^* \leq v_+^*$, and $0, v^* \in V$.

Every $u \in U_n$ is of the form $u = bu_1 + v$, where $b \in R$, $v \in V$. Furthermore, $\int_0^1 u(x) dx = b$. Assume that there exists a $u = bu_1 + v$, as above, with $b > 0$ and $u \leq v_+^*$. For $x \in J(v^*)$, $v_+^*(x) = 0$ and $v(x) \leq -bu_1(x)$. Since $u_1(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$, it follows that $v \neq 0$ and $J(v) \supseteq J(v^*)$. Because $\int_0^1 v^*(x) dx = 0$, there exists an $x^* \in (0, 1)$ for which $v^*(x^*) = 0$, and v^* takes strictly positive values in every neighborhood of x^* . However $v(x^*) \leq -bu_1(x^*) < 0$ and thus $J(v)$ contains a neighborhood of x^* , implying that $|J(v)| > |J(v^*)|$. This contradiction proves the theorem. \square

REMARK. Both this proof and the theorem fail if we allow u_1 to vanish in $(0, 1)$. It is readily checked that $U_2 = \text{span}\{(x - 1/2)^2, (x - 1/2)_+\}$ is a unicity space.

REMARK. The proofs of the negative results considered in the introduction, due to DeVore, Pinkus, and Strauss, all used quadrature formulae. If there exist m distinct points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $[0, 1]$, and strictly positive numbers $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^m$ with $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, for which

$$\int_0^1 u(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u(x_i)$$

for all $u \in U_n$, then non-uniqueness may be proven as follows. Let $u^* \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $u^*(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, m$. Such a u^* exists since $m \leq n - 1$. Furthermore from the quadrature formula

$$\int_0^1 u^*(x) dx = 0.$$

As above, assume $u \leq u_+^*, u \in U_n$. Then

$$\int_0^1 u(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_+^*(x_i) = 0.$$

This implies the non-uniqueness. As was pointed out to us by G. Jameson, if we assume that there exists a $u \in U_n$ for which $u(x) > 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$, then by convexity-type arguments there exists a quadrature formula of the above form with m points, $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. We may therefore apply the above quadrature formula argument if $U_n, n \geq 2$, contains a strictly positive function on $[0, 1]$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Our proof of Theorem 2 very much depends upon the following proposition which was proved in Pinkus [4]. The proof given therein is a functional analytic proof. We here reprove the result by an ‘‘elementary’’ and more constructive method.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let V_m be an m -dimensional ($m < \infty$) subspace of $C[0, 1]$ with the property that there does not exist a $v \in V_m \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $v(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$. Then there exists a $w \in W$ for which*

$$\int_0^1 v(x)w(x) dx = 0$$

for all $v \in V_m$.

We prove the proposition via a series of lemmas.

LEMMA 3.2. *For V_m as above, there exist k points ($k < \infty$), $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$ such that if $v \in V_m$ and $v(x_i) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, k$, then $v \equiv 0$.*

PROOF. Follows from a compactness argument. \square

Let $V_m = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$, and set $v_i = (v_i(x_1), \dots, v_i(x_k)) \in R^k, i = 1, \dots, m$. (\cdot, \cdot) will denote the usual vector inner product.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let V_m be as in the statement of the proposition, and let $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then there exists a $w^J \in R^k$, $(w^J)_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, such that $(v_i, w^J) > 0$ for $i \in J$, and $(v_i, w^J) < 0$, for $i \notin J$.*

PROOF. Let V denote the $m \times k$ matrix $V = (v_i(x_j))_{i=1, j=1}^{m, k}$. From Lemma 3.2 there does not exist a vector $a \in R^m \setminus \{0\}$ for which $aV \geq 0$. This also implies that $\text{rank } V = m \leq k$.

Let e^i denote the i th unit vector in R^k . Set $A = \{aV : a \in R^m\}$ and $B = \{\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i e^i : \lambda_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = 1\}$. The sets A and B are closed convex subsets of R^k . Furthermore, by assumption, $A \cap B = \emptyset$. Thus there exists a strictly separating hyperplane, i.e., a $c \in R^k$, $c_0 \in R$ for which

$$(3.1) \quad (aV, c) < c_0, \quad \text{all } a \in R^m$$

$$(3.2) \quad (x, c) > c_0, \quad \text{all } x \in B.$$

From (3.1) it follows that $Vc = 0$, and $c_0 > 0$. Thus from (3.2) we also obtain $(c)_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$.

For given $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ there exists, since $\text{rank } V = m \leq k$, a vector $b^J \in R^k$ for which $(v_i, b^J) > 0$ for $i \in J$ and $(v_i, b^J) < 0$ for $i \notin J$. Set $w^J = c + \epsilon b^J$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small so that $(c + \epsilon b^J)_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, k$. This proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA 3.4. *Let V_m be as in the statement of the proposition. Let $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then there exists a $w^J \in W$ for which*

$$\int_0^1 v_i(x)w^J(x) dx = \begin{cases} > 0, & i \in J \\ < 0, & i \notin J. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Simply smooth the atomic measures corresponding to the vectors w^J of Lemma 3.3. \square

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3.1. For each $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ let $w^J \in W$ be as given in Lemma 3.4. Set $c_i^J = \int_0^1 v_i(x)w^J(x) dx$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, and $c^J = (c_1^J, \dots, c_m^J)$. Let C denote the convex hull of the $\{c^J : J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}\}$. Each quadrant of R^m contains a vector of C in its interior. Therefore $0 \in C$. Thus there exists a convex combination w of the w^J for which $\int_0^1 v_i(x)w(x) dx = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. By construction $w \in W$. \square

REMARK. This proof actually shows that given any dense linear subset of $C[0, 1]$ there exists a $w \in W$, which is also in this dense linear subset, and which satisfies the conditions of the proposition. Thus, for example, w may be taken to be a polynomial.

The following proposition is used in the proof of Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Assume that U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ for every $w \in W$. Given any $n - 1$ distinct points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, there exists a non-negative $u \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ for which $u(x_i) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$.*

PROOF. The proof is by induction on the number of points. We prove that given any k distinct points $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k, 0 \leq k \leq n - 1$, there exists a non-negative $u \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ for which $u(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k$. This statement for $k = 0$ simply says that U_n contains a non-negative, non-trivial function. If this is not the case, then by Proposition 3.1 there exists a $w \in W$ for which $\int_0^1 u(x)w(x)dx = 0$ for all $u \in U_n$. From the form (1.4), this immediately implies that U_n is not a unicity space, contradicting our hypothesis. Thus U_n contains a non-negative non-trivial function.

We now use induction. Assume the result is valid for $k - 1, 0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^k$ by any k distinct points and assume that there does not exist a non-negative $u \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ for which $u(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k$. By the induction hypothesis there exist non-negative $u_1, \dots, u_k \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ which satisfy $u_i(x_j) = 0, i \neq j; i, j = 1, \dots, k$. By assumption $u_i(x_i) \neq 0$. Thus we can assume that $u_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, k$.

Set

$$M = \{u : u \in U_n, u(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

M is a subspace of U_n , and since $k \leq n - 1, \dim M \geq n - k > 0$. Furthermore the u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly independent and not in M . Thus $\dim M = n - k$. By assumption M does not contain a non-negative non-trivial function. From Proposition 3.1 there exists a $w \in W$ for which $\int_0^1 u(x)w(x)dx = 0$ for all $u \in M$. Let $u^* \in M \setminus \{0\}$, and set $u_+^*(x) = \max\{u^*(x), 0\}$. Then $u_+^* \in C[0, 1]$ and $u_+^* \neq 0$. We claim that if $u \in U_n$ satisfies $u \leq u_+^*$, then $\int_0^1 u(x)w(x)dx \leq 0$. If this is true, then 0 and u_+^* are two one-sided best $L^1(w)$ approximations to u_+^* , contradicting the unicity assumption of the proposition.

Let $u \in U_n, u \leq u_+^*$. Then $u = \bar{u} + \sum_{i=1}^k u(x_i)u_i$, where $\bar{u} \in M$. Since $u_+^*(x_i) = 0$, it follows that $u(x_i) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, k$. Thus

$$\int_0^1 u(x)w(x)dx = \sum_{i=1}^k u(x_i) \int_0^1 u_i(x)w(x)dx \leq 0. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. If U_n has a basis of functions which satisfy conditions (1) and (2), then it easily follows that U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ for every $w \in W$. We therefore assume that U_n is a unicity space for $L^1(w)$ for every $w \in W$ and construct a basis of functions which satisfy (1) and (2).

Let y_1, \dots, y_n be any n distinct points for which $u(y_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n, u \in U_n$, implies $u \equiv 0$. By Proposition 3.5 there exist non-negative $u_1, \dots, u_n \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $u_i(y_j) = 0, i \neq j; i, j = 1, \dots, n$. If $u_i(y_i) = 0$, then $u_i \equiv 0$. We may therefore assume that $u_i(y_i) = \delta_{ij}, i, j = 1, \dots, n$. The $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ form a basis of functions for U_n which satisfy (1). We claim that they also satisfy (2).

Assume that there exists a $y \in [0, 1]$ and $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}, j \neq k$, such that $u_j(y), u_k(y) > 0$. Obviously $y \notin \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$. From Proposition 3.5 there exists a non-negative $u^* \in U_n \setminus \{0\}$ for which $u^*(y) = 0$ and $u^*(y_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n; i \neq j, k$. Now $u^* = \sum_{i=1}^n u^*(y_i)u_i$. Since $u^*(y_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n; i \neq j, k$, we have $u^* = u^*(y_j)u_j + u^*(y_k)u_k$. However $u^* \geq 0, u_j(y), u_k(y) > 0$, and

$0 = u^*(y) = u^*(y_j)u_j(y) + u^*(y_k)u_k(y)$. Thus $u^*(y_j) = u^*(y_k) = 0$. Therefore $u^*(y_i) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ which implies that $u^* = 0$. This contradiction proves the theorem. \square

REMARK. Theorem 2 is also valid if U_n is an n -dimensional subspace of $C(B)$, where B is any compact Hausdorff space.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This work was done when both authors visited the University of Alberta. We wish to thank Z. Ditzian for his generous hospitality which made this collaboration possible.

REFERENCES

1. R. DeVore, *One-sided approximation of functions*, J. Approx. Theory **1** (1968), pp. 11–25.
2. A. Kroo, *On an L_1 -approximation problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **94** (1985), pp. 406–410.
3. A. Pinkus, *One-sided L^1 -approximation by splines with fixed knots*, J. Approx. Theory **18** (1976), pp. 130–135.
4. A. Pinkus, *Unicity subspaces in L^1 -approximation*, to appear in J. Approx. Theory.
5. H. Strauss, *Unicity of best one-sided L_1 -approximation*, Numer. Math. **40** (1982), pp. 229–243.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNION
HAIFA, ISRAEL

BOLYAI INSTITUTE
SZEGED
ARADI V. TERE 1
6720 HUNGARY