

## ULTRAPOWERS OF $\ell^1$ -MUNN ALGEBRAS AND THEIR APPLICATION TO SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

MAEDEH SOROUSHMEHR

(Received 3 November 2011)

### Abstract

In this work, we study and investigate the ultrapowers of  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras. Then we show that the class of  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras is stable under ultrapowers. Finally, applying this result to semigroup algebras, we show that for a semigroup  $S$ , ultra-amenability of  $\ell^1(S)$  and amenability of the second dual  $\ell^1(S)''$  are equivalent.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 46B08; secondary 46H05.

*Keywords and phrases*: Banach algebra, ultrapower, amenability,  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebra.

### 1. Introduction

Given a Banach space  $E$  and a nonprincipal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on a nonempty set  $I$ , we can form the ultrapower of  $E$  with respect to  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is denoted by  $(E)_{\mathcal{U}}$  and defined as the quotient space

$$(E)_{\mathcal{U}} = \ell^{\infty}(I, E)/N_{\mathcal{U}},$$

where

$$\ell^{\infty}(I, E) = \left\{ (x_i)_i \subset A : \sup_i \|x_i\| < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$N_{\mathcal{U}} = \left\{ (x_i)_i \in \ell^{\infty}(I, E) : \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|x_i\| = 0 \right\}.$$

It is routine to write  $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$  for the equivalence class it represents. There is a canonical isometry  $i_E : E \rightarrow (E)_{\mathcal{U}}$  which sends  $x \in E$  to the constant family  $(x)_{\mathcal{U}}$ . It is easily seen that if  $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$  represents an equivalence class in  $(A)_{\mathcal{U}}$ , then

$$\|(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|x_i\|.$$

If  $A$  is a Banach algebra,  $(A)_{\mathcal{U}}$  is also a Banach algebra; in other words, the class of Banach algebras is stable under ultrapowers. In [7], stability of some special classes

of Banach algebra such as  $C^*$ -algebras is investigated. In [2], the ultrapowers of Banach algebras are used to study the bidual of  $A$ . Also, in [3], Daws introduces the notion of ultra-amenability for a Banach algebra  $A$ . We say  $A$  is ultra-amenable if every ultrapower of  $A$  is amenable. In [3, 4], the ultra-amenability of  $C^*$ -algebras is characterised and, for a locally compact group  $G$ , the ultra-amenability of  $L^1(G)$  is investigated (see also [8]). In particular, it is shown that if  $G$  is a discrete group,  $\ell^1(G)$  is ultra-amenable if and only if  $G$  is finite.

The aim of this paper is to study the concept of ultrapowers for a Banach algebra, which is the so-called  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras. These algebras were first introduced by Munn [9] to study some semigroup algebras. Then they were generalised by Esslamzadeh [5]. These algebras were then investigated by, for example, Esslamzadeh and Esslamzadeh [6] and Shojaee *et al.* [10], where they study contractibility, weak amenability and Connes amenability of these algebras.

We end this work by classifying the ultra-amenability of the  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras. Finally, as an application, we show that for a semigroup  $S$ ,  $\ell^1(S)$  is ultra-amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)''$  is amenable, which is a generalisation of the case where  $S$  is a group.

## 2. $\ell^1$ -Munn algebra of ultraproduct of Banach algebras

Let  $A$  be a unital Banach algebra, let  $P = (p_{ji}) \in M_{n \times m}(A)$  with  $\max\{\|p_{ji}\| : i \in m, j \in n\} \leq 1$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We regard  $\mathfrak{A} = M_{m \times n}(A)$  as a Banach algebra by taking the norm to be specified by

$$\|(a_{ij})\| = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_m, j \in \mathbb{N}_n} \|a_{ij}\| \quad (a = (a_{ij})_{ij} \in \mathfrak{A}),$$

and with the product

$$a \circ b = aPb,$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathfrak{A}$  in the sense of matrix products. Then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called the  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebra over  $A$  with sandwich matrix  $P$ , and it is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}(A, P, m, n)$ . We are interested in determining  $(\mathcal{M}(A, P, m, n))_{\mathcal{U}}$ , when  $\mathcal{U}$  is an ultrafilter.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter. If  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{M}(A, P, m, n)$  is an  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebra over  $A$  with sandwich matrix  $P = (p_{ji})$ , then there exists  $Q \in M_{n \times m}((A)_{\mathcal{U}})$  such that*

$$(\mathfrak{A})_{\mathcal{U}} \simeq \mathcal{M}((A)_{\mathcal{U}}, Q, m, n).$$

**PROOF.** Define  $Q = i_A(P) \in M_{n \times m}((A)_{\mathcal{U}})$  by  $Q_{ji} = i_A(P_{ji})$  for each  $i, j$ , and consider the map

$$\Psi : ((\mathcal{M}(A, P, m, n))_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}((A)_{\mathcal{U}}, Q, m, n)$$

with  $\Psi((a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) = ((a_{kl}^i)_{\mathcal{U}})_{kl}$ , where  $a_i = (a_{kl}^i)$  for each  $i$ . This definition is easily seen to be independent of the choice of the representation; indeed,  $\Psi$  is an isometry.

For  $(a_i) \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi((a_i)_{\mathcal{U}})\| &= \|((a_{kl}^i)_{\mathcal{U}})_{kl}\| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \|(a_{kl}^i)_{\mathcal{U}}\| \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_{kl}^i\| \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{l=1}^n \|a_{kl}^i\| \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \|a_i\| = \|(a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\Psi$  is one-to-one. Linearity of  $\Psi$  is obvious. Now, for  $(a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (b_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,

$$((a_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \circ (b_i)_{\mathcal{U}})_{kl} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^m a_{kj}^i P_{jt} b_{tl}^i.$$

So, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_m$  and  $l \in \mathbb{N}_n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi((a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) \circ \Psi((b_i)_{\mathcal{U}}))_{kl} &= \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{t=1}^m a_{kj}^i P_{jt} b_{tl}^i \right)_{\mathcal{U}} \right)_{kl} \\ &= (\Psi((a_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) \circ \Psi((b_i)_{\mathcal{U}}))_{kl}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\Psi$  is a homomorphism. One may check that  $\Psi$  is also onto and so is an isomorphism. □

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter, and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then*

$$M_n((A)_{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq (M_n(A))_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter, and let  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{M}(A, P, m, n)$  be the  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebra over  $A$  with sandwich matrix  $P = (p_{ji})$ , where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is ultra-amenable.
- (b)  $A$  is ultra-amenable,  $m = n$ , and  $P$  is invertible.

**PROOF.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is ultra-amenable,  $\mathfrak{A}$  is amenable by [3]. So,  $m = n$ ,  $P$  is invertible and  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq M_n(A)$  by [1, Proposition 2.16]. Furthermore, by Corollary 2.2,  $M_n((A)_{\mathcal{U}}) \simeq (M_n(A))_{\mathcal{U}}$ . By our hypothesis, for each  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $(M_n(A))_{\mathcal{U}}$  is amenable. Hence,  $M_n((A)_{\mathcal{U}})$  and so  $(A)_{\mathcal{U}}$  is amenable for each  $\mathcal{U}$  by [1, Proposition 2.7(i)]. This shows that  $A$  is ultra-amenable.

Conversely, if  $m = n$  and  $P$  is invertible, then  $\mathfrak{A} \simeq M_n(A)$ . Now, by Corollary 2.2,  $(\mathfrak{A})_{\mathcal{U}} \simeq M_n((A)_{\mathcal{U}})$ . Since  $(A)_{\mathcal{U}}$  is amenable,  $M_n((A)_{\mathcal{U}})$  is amenable by [1, Proposition 2.7(i)]. Hence  $(\mathfrak{A})_{\mathcal{U}}$  is amenable for each  $\mathcal{U}$ . □

Before proceeding further, we need to fix some notation. For a semigroup  $S$ ,  $\ell^1_0(S)$  denotes the set of all  $f \in \ell^1(S)$  such that  $\sum_{s \in S} f(s) = 0$ .

Let  $G$  be a group, and  $G^o = G \cup \{o\}$ . Let

$$S = \{(g)_{ij} : g \in G, i \in \mathbb{N}_m, j \in \mathbb{N}_n\} \cup \{o\},$$

where  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(g)_{ij}$  denotes the element of  $M_{m \times n}(G^o)$  with  $g$  in the  $(i, j)$ th position and  $o$  elsewhere, and  $o$  is a matrix with 0 everywhere. Let  $P = (p_{ji})$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix over  $G^o$ . Then the set  $S$  with the composition

$$(a)_{ij} \circ o = o \circ (a)_{ij} = o \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_{ij} \circ (b)_{lk} = (ap_{jl}b)_{ik}, \quad ((a)_{ij}, (b)_{lk} \in S)$$

is a semigroup which is called a Rees matrix semigroup with a zero over  $G$  and will be denoted by  $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, m, n)$ .

Recall that for  $g \in G$ ,  $(g)_{ij}$  is identified with the element of  $M_{m \times n}(\ell^1(G))$  which has  $\delta_g$  in the  $(i, j)$ th position and 0 elsewhere, and  $o$  is identified with  $\delta_o$ . Furthermore, we identify  $P \in M_{n \times m}(G^o)$  with a matrix  $P \in M_{n \times m}(\ell^1(G))$  as follows: if the first matrix  $P$  has  $g \in G$  in the  $(i, j)$ th position, then the new matrix  $P$  has the point mass  $\delta_g$  in the  $(i, j)$ th position; if the first matrix  $P$  has  $o$  in the  $(i, j)$ th position, then the new matrix  $P$  has 0 in the  $(i, j)$ th position. Thus we can write

$$\ell^1(S)/\mathbb{C}\delta_o = \mathcal{M}(\ell^1(G), P, m, n).$$

The product in  $\ell^1(S)$  also satisfies  $f \star \delta_o = \delta_o \star f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s)\delta_o$ , and

$$(f)_{ij} \star (g)_{kl} = \begin{cases} (f \star \delta_{p_{jk}} \star g)_{il} & \text{if } p_{jk} \neq o, \\ \sum_{s, t \in S} f(s)g(t)\delta_o & \text{if } p_{jk} = o \end{cases}$$

for all  $f, g \in \ell^1(S)$ ;  $j, l \in \mathbb{N}_n$  and  $i, k \in \mathbb{N}_m$ . For more details, see [1].

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $S = \mathcal{M}^o(G, P, m, n)$  be a Rees matrix semigroup with a zero over  $G$ , and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an ultrafilter. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\ell^1(S)$  is ultra-amenable.
- (b)  $\ell^1(G)$  is ultra-amenable,  $P$  is invertible and  $m = n$ .
- (c)  $S$  is finite, and  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable.

**PROOF.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). We can identify  $(\ell^1(S))_{\mathcal{U}}/\mathbb{C}\delta_o$  with  $(\mathcal{M}(\ell^1(G), P, m, n))_{\mathcal{U}}$  (see [1, Corollary 2.3.2]). Furthermore, by Theorem 2.1,

$$(\mathcal{M}(\ell^1(G), P, m, n))_{\mathcal{U}} \simeq \mathcal{M}((\ell^1(G))_{\mathcal{U}}, P, m, n).$$

Now, amenability of  $\mathcal{M}((\ell^1(G))_{\mathcal{U}}, P, m, n)$  for each ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  implies that  $(\ell^1(G))_{\mathcal{U}}$  is amenable,  $P$  is invertible and  $m = n$  by [5]. So,  $\ell^1(G)$  is ultra-amenable, and (b) follows.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). By [3], ultra-amenableity of  $\ell^1(G)$  implies that  $G$ , and so  $S$  is finite.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Since  $S$  is finite,  $\ell^1(S)$  is finite-dimensional, and  $\ell^1(S) \simeq (\ell^1(S))_{\mathcal{U}}$  for each ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ . Now, amenability of  $\ell^1(S)$  shows that it is ultra-amenable.  $\square$

Before we present our result on semigroup algebras, we need an easy but useful lemma.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $S$ . Then  $\ell_0^1(I)$  is an ideal of  $\ell^1(S)$  and*

$$\ell^1(S/I) \cong \ell^1(S)/\ell_0^1(I).$$

**PROOF.** We can identify  $\ell^1(I)$  with the set of all functions in  $\ell^1(S)$  such that their support is contained in  $I$ . In this way,  $\ell_0^1(I)$  is an ideal of  $\ell^1(S)$ . Furthermore, the natural map  $\pi : S \rightarrow S/I$ , extends to an algebra homomorphism

$$\pi : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \ell^1(S/I)$$

such that

$$\pi\left(\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s \delta_s\right) = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s \delta_{\pi(s)}.$$

We claim that  $\ker \pi = \ell_0^1(I)$ . Clearly,  $\ell_0^1(I) \subseteq \ker \pi$ . Now, suppose that  $f \in \ker \pi$  and  $\text{supp } f \not\subseteq I$ . So there exists  $s_0 \in S \setminus I$  such that  $f(s_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $f \in \ker \pi$ ,  $\pi(f)(\pi(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ . On the other hand,  $\pi(f)(\pi(s_0)) = f(s_0) \neq 0$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $\text{supp } f \subseteq I$ ; equivalently we may suppose that  $f \in \ell^1(I)$ . The fact that  $f \in \ker \pi$  implies that  $f \in \ell_0^1(I)$ , and therefore,  $\ker \pi \subseteq \ell_0^1(I)$ . Hence,  $\ker \pi = \ell_0^1(I)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a)  $\ell^1(S)$  is ultra-amenable.
- (b)  $S$  is finite and  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable.
- (c)  $\ell^1(S)''$  is amenable.

**PROOF.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). As  $\ell^1(S)$  is ultra-amenable,  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable by [3, Corollary 5.5]. By [1, Theorem 10.12],  $S$  has the principal series

$$K(S) = S_1 \trianglelefteq S_2 \cdots \trianglelefteq S_n = S,$$

where  $K(S)$  is an amenable group, and each quotient  $S_{i+1}/S_i$  has the form  $\mathcal{M}^o(G, P, n)$  such that  $G$  is an amenable group, and  $P$  is invertible in  $\ell^1(G)$ . Also,  $\ell^1(K(S))$  and  $\ell^1(S_2)$  are closed ideals in  $\ell^1(S)$  having bounded approximate identities (in fact identities), and by [3, Proposition 5.2], they are ultra-amenable. Since  $K(S)$  is a group, it is finite by [3, Theorem 5.11].

Now consider the semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S_2/S_1)$ . By Lemma 2.5,  $\ell^1(S_2/S_1) \cong \ell^1(S_2)/\ell_0^1(S_1)$ , and so it is ultra-amenable by [3, Corollary 5.5]. On the other hand,  $S_2/S_1$  is a completely 0-simple semigroup. Hence, ultra-amenableity of  $\ell^1(S_2/S_1)$  implies that  $S_2/S_1$  is finite by Corollary 2.4. Finiteness of  $S_2/S_1$  and  $S_1$  together shows that  $S_2$  is finite. Iterate this argument to deduce that  $S$  is finite.

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Since  $S$  is finite,  $\ell^1(S)$  is finite-dimensional and therefore isomorphic with its second dual. So  $\ell^1(S)''$  is amenable, as required.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Identifying  $\ell^1(S)''$  with  $M(\beta S)$ , and using [1, Theorem 11.8], it follows that  $S$  is finite. So  $\ell^1(S)$  is finite-dimensional, and therefore  $\ell^1(S) \simeq (\ell^1(S))_{\mathcal{U}}$  for each ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ . Furthermore, since  $\ell^1(S)''$  and  $\ell^1(S)$  are isomorphic by finite-dimensionality, amenability of  $\ell^1(S)''$  implies that  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable. So  $\ell^1(S)$  is ultra-amenable.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement

The author thanks the Centers of Excellence for Mathematics at the University of Isfahan.

### References

- [1] H. G. Dales, A. T.-M. Lau and D. Strauss, 'Banach algebras on semigroups and their compactifications', *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **205** (2010), 1–165.
- [2] M. Daws, 'Ultrapowers of Banach algebras and modules', *J. Glasg. Math.* **50** (2008), 539–555.
- [3] M. Daws, 'Amenability of ultrapowers of Banach algebras', *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **52** (2009), 307–338.
- [4] M. Daws, 'Corrigendum: Amenability of ultrapowers of Banach algebras', *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.* **53** (2010), 633–637.
- [5] G. H. Esslamzadeh, 'Banach algebra structure and amenability of a class of matrix algebras with applications', *J. Funct. Anal.* **161**(2) (1999), 364–383.
- [6] G. H. Esslamzadeh and T. Esslamzadeh, 'Contractibility of  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras with applications', *Semigroup Forum* **63**(1) (2001), 1–10.
- [7] S. Heinrich, 'Ultraproducts in Banach space theory', *J. reine angew. Math.* **313** (1980), 72–104.
- [8] A. T.-M. Lau, R. J. Loy and G. A. Willis, 'Amenability of Banach and  $C^*$ -algebras on locally compact groups', *Studia Math.* **119** (1996), 161–178.
- [9] W. D. Munn, 'On semigroup algebras', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **51** (1955), 1–15.
- [10] B. Shojaei, G. H. Esslamzadeh and A. Pourabbas, 'First order cohomology of  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras and certain semigroup algebras', *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **35**(1) (2009), 211–219.

MAEDEH SOROUSHMEHR, Department of Mathematics,  
University of Isfahan, Isfahan 81746-73441, Iran  
e-mail: [soroushmehr@math.ui.ac.ir](mailto:soroushmehr@math.ui.ac.ir)