

CORRIGENDUM

**Brugha, T. S., Morgan, Z., Bebbington, P., Jenkins, R., Lewis, G., Farrell, M. & Meltzer, H.** (2003). Social support networks and type of neurotic symptom among adults in British households. *Psychological Medicine* **33**, 307–318.

The authors have informed us that in this paper the 95% confidence interval for each odds ratio was miscalculated in analyses, the published estimates being wider than they should be. The terms Perceived Social Support and Primary Support Group 3 or Less, were reversed in Table 5 and in the Results section text. The main conclusion is substantially unaltered, which is that there is a higher risk of depression, depressive ideas and panic symptoms, than of any other neurotic symptom, in subjects with a Primary Support Group of three or less and in subjects with low perceived social support in all six logistic models. The revised Table 5 is published overleaf.

Table 5. Odds Ratio (95% Confidence Intervals) for multivariate Huber logistic models of each symptom type taking account of correlation between each symptom

	Somatic	Fatigue	Conc/ Forget	Sleep	Irritability	Worry/ Physical health	Depression	Depressive ideas	Worry	Anxiety	Phobias	Panic	Compulsion	Obsessions
Models 1 and 2	1.61	1.34	2.22	1.39	1.38	2.16	2.69	2.42	1.69	2.10	1.99	3.13	1.41	1.54
Perceived social support low (v. adequate)	(1.29, 2.00)*	(1.16, 1.56)*	(1.82, 2.71)*	(1.20, 1.62)*	(1.18, 1.62)*	(1.69, 2.77)*	(2.24, 3.23)*	(2.01, 2.92)*	(1.45, 1.98)*	(1.73, 2.54)*	(1.55, 2.54)*	(2.29, 4.28)*	(1.11, 1.81)*	(1.25, 1.89)*
Primary support group 3 or less	1.65	1.56	2.45	1.32	1.45	1.89	2.82	2.68	1.66	2.27	2.35	3.27	2.05	1.67
	(1.29, 2.12)*	(1.32, 1.85)*	(1.97, 3.06)*	(1.11, 1.57)*	(1.21, 1.74)*	(1.42, 2.53)*	(2.29, 3.47)*	(2.17, 3.31)*	(1.39, 1.99)*	(1.83, 2.82)*	(1.79, 3.09)*	(2.31, 4.64)*	(1.60, 2.64)*	(1.32, 2.10)*
Models 3 and 4	1.41	1.21	1.98	1.25	1.23	1.89	2.43	2.17	1.53	1.87	1.74	2.72	1.23	1.35
Perceived social support low (v. adequate)	(1.12, 1.75)*	(1.04, 1.41)*	(1.62, 2.42)*	(1.07, 1.46)*	(1.04, 1.46)*	(1.47, 2.43)*	(2.01, 2.93)*	(1.80, 2.62)*	(1.30, 1.80)*	(1.54, 2.27)*	(1.35, 2.23)*	(1.99, 3.73)*	(0.95, 1.58)	(1.10, 1.67)*
Primary support group 3 or less	1.30	1.28	1.97	1.06	1.17	1.49	2.30	2.17	1.35	1.83	1.87	2.58	1.63	1.32
	(1.01, 1.68)*	(1.08, 1.53)*	(1.57, 2.48)*	(0.88, 1.28)	(0.97, 1.42)	(1.10, 2.01)*	(1.85, 2.85)*	(1.75, 2.70)*	(1.12, 1.63)*	(1.46, 2.29)*	(1.42, 2.48)*	(1.81, 3.68)*	(1.26, 2.12)*	(1.04, 1.68)*
Models 5 and 6	1.32	1.15	1.88	1.19	1.17	1.78	2.32	2.07	1.46	1.78	1.63	2.55	1.15	1.27
Perceived social support low (v. adequate)	(1.06, 1.65)*	(0.99, 1.34)	(1.53, 2.30)*	(1.01, 1.40)*	(0.99, 1.39)	(1.39, 2.28)*	(1.92, 2.81)*	(1.71, 2.50)*	(1.24, 1.73)*	(1.46, 2.16)*	(1.27, 2.10)*	(1.86, 3.48)*	(0.89, 1.49)	(1.03, 1.58)*
Primary support group 3 or less	1.23	1.24	1.89	1.02	1.12	1.41	2.21	2.09	1.29	1.75	1.78	2.45	1.55	1.26
	(0.95, 1.60)	(1.04, 1.47)*	(1.50, 2.38)*	(0.84, 1.23)	(0.92, 1.37)	(1.04, 1.91)*	(1.78, 2.76)*	(1.67, 2.61)*	(1.07, 1.57)*	(1.39, 2.20)*	(1.34, 2.36)*	(1.72, 3.49)*	(1.19, 2.02)*	(0.99, 1.61)

Models 1 and 2: Unadjusted for any other covariates.

Models 3 and 4: Adjusted for low perceived social support/small primary support group, unemployment, sex, family unit type, no use of car, no longstanding illness and any threatening life events in past year.

Models 5 and 6: Adjusted for low perceived social support/small primary support group, living in an urban area, difficulties in carrying out activities of daily living, unemployment, sex, family unit type, no use of car, no longstanding illness and any threatening life events in past year.

\* Significant at the 5% level.