

gee's fear of persecution be well founded meant that a claimant had to show "a reasonable degree of likelihood" that he would be persecuted for a Convention reason if returned.⁵ The test was objective. Here, the Home Secretary had determined that the admitted threats to Tamils returned to Sri Lanka were the result of the troubled situation in that country and not as a result of persecution directed at the Tamils as a group.

COLIN WARBRICK

ERRATUM

Due to an unfortunate error, the attribution of the contributions to *Current Legal Developments* in the October issue [(1987) 36 ICLQ 924] was wrong. This should have been as follows:

Refugees by Colin Warbrick (p.924)

Investment Promotion and Protection Agreements by Ilona Cheyne (p.929)

The International Tin Council by Ilona Cheyne (p.931)

5. See also Lord Diplock in *R. v Governor of Pentonville Prison, ex parte Fernandes* [1971] 1 W.L.R. 987, 994.