

# LOCALIZATION IN NON-NOETHERIAN GROUP RINGS

by P. F. SMITH

(Received 26 April, 1979)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $k$  be a field and  $G$  an Abelian group of finite torsion-free rank. Brewer, Costa and Lady [1, Theorem A] showed that if  $k$  has characteristic 0 then each localization of the group algebra  $kG$  at a prime ideal is a regular local ring. They also showed (in the same theorem) that if  $k$  has characteristic  $p > 0$ , then  $kG$  is locally Noetherian (i.e. each localization of  $kG$  at a prime ideal is a Noetherian ring) if and only if  $G$  is an extension of a finitely generated group by a torsion  $p'$ -group. The purpose of this note is to examine this theorem in a more general setting.

Let  $R$  be a ring (with identity) and  $P$  a semiprime ideal of  $R$ . An element  $c$  of  $R$  is *regular* if  $cr \neq 0$  and  $rc \neq 0$  for every non-zero element  $r$  of  $R$ . Let

$$\mathcal{C}_R(P) = \{c \in R : c + P \text{ is a regular element of the ring } R/P\}.$$

We shall write  $\mathcal{C}(P)$  for  $\mathcal{C}_R(P)$  when there is no ambiguity about the ring  $R$ . We shall say that  $P$  is *localizable* if  $R$  satisfies the right and left Ore conditions with respect to  $\mathcal{C}(P)$ ; i.e. given  $r$  in  $R$  and  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}(P)$  there exist elements  $r_1, r_2$  in  $R$  and  $c_1, c_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}(P)$  with

$$rc_1 = cr_1 \quad \text{and} \quad c_2r = r_2c.$$

If  $P$  is a localizable semiprime ideal of  $R$  let

$$T(P) = \{r \in R : crd = 0 \text{ for some elements } c, d \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(P)\}.$$

Then  $T = T(P)$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $c + T$  is a regular element of the ring  $R/T$  for each element  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}(P)$ . Moreover, we can form the partial (right and left) quotient ring of  $R/T$  with respect to  $\{c + T : c \in \mathcal{C}(P)\}$  and we denote it by  $R_P$ .

Let  $k$  be a field and  $G$  a group. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the augmentation ideal of the group algebra  $kG$ . We first consider when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is localizable. This is certainly the case if  $G$  is locally nilpotent. For, given any elements  $r$  in  $kG$  and  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  there exists a finitely generated subgroup  $H$  such that  $r \in kH$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the augmentation ideal of  $kH$ . But  $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h}) \leq \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  and it is well known that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is localizable. Hence  $\mathfrak{g}$  is localizable.

Our first main result is the following one.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0,  $G$  a poly-(finitely generated Abelian or locally finite) group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the augmentation ideal of the group algebra  $kG$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is localizable.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the AR property.
- (iii)  $G$  is an extension of a locally finite group by a nilpotent group having each upper central factor of finite torsion-free rank.

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  has the *AR property* if for any right ideal  $E$  and left

ideal  $L$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$E \cap I^n \leq EI \quad \text{and} \quad L \cap I^n \leq IL.$$

For any prime  $p$  let  $\mathfrak{S}_p$  denote the class of groups  $G$  having a finite chain

$$1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = G$$

of normal subgroups  $H_i$  of  $G$  such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is finitely generated Abelian or locally finite- $p'$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A result for fields of non-zero characteristic corresponding to Theorem A is the following.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $G$  an  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ -group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the augmentation ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is localizable.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the AR property.
- (iii)  $G$  centralizes all  $p$ -chief factors.

By a  $p$ -chief factor of  $G$  we mean a chief factor each of whose non-trivial elements has order a power of  $p$ .

We call a ring  $S$  with Jacobson radical  $J$  *quasi-local* provided  $S/J$  is a simple Artinian ring. Let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of a ring  $R$  and  $T = T(P)$ . Then the ideal  $PR_P = \{(x+T)(c+T)^{-1} : x \in P, c \in \mathcal{C}(P)\}$  of  $R_P$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $R_P$  and the ring  $R_P/PR_P$  is isomorphic to the (classical) quotient ring of  $R/P$ . Thus, by [2, Theorems 4.1 and 4.4],  $R_P$  is a quasi-local ring provided the ring  $R/P$  is a (right and left) Goldie ring; on the other hand if  $R_P$  is a (right and left) Noetherian ring then so is  $R_P/PR_P$  and hence  $R/P$  is a Goldie ring. (Note that all chain conditions will be assumed to hold on both sides unless specified otherwise.) We shall call a semiprime ideal  $Q$  of  $R$  an *annihilator semiprime ideal* if  $R/Q$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on right annihilators and on left annihilators. Of course, if  $R$  is a commutative ring then all prime ideals of  $R$  are localizable annihilator prime ideals.

A ring  $R$  is called a *regular local ring* if  $R$  is Noetherian quasi-local with Jacobson radical  $M$  such that there exists a finite chain

$$M = M_0 > M_1 > \dots > M_t = 0$$

of ideals  $M_i$  of  $R$  such that  $M_{i-1}/M_i$  is generated by a central regular element of  $R/M_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . In this case, Walker [12, Theorem 2.7] proved that  $R$  is prime and  $t$  is the global dimension of  $R$ , the Krull dimension of  $R$ , the homological dimension of the  $R$ -module  $R/M$  and the supremum of the lengths of chains of prime ideals of  $R$ , and we call  $t$  the *dimension* of  $R$ .

If  $G$  is a group and  $p$  a prime or zero then by  $O_p(G)$  we mean the intersection of all the normal subgroups  $N$  of  $G$  for which  $G/N$  has no non-trivial finite- $p'$  normal subgroup. By a finite- $O'$  group we shall mean an arbitrary finite group. Let  $\mathfrak{N}_p$  denote the class of groups  $G$  such that  $G/O_p(G)$  is a nilpotent group each of whose upper central factors is an extension of a finitely generated group by a torsion  $p'$ -group. For such a group  $G$  let

$h(G)$  denote the sum of the torsion-free ranks of the upper central factors of  $G/O_p(G)$ . It is not hard to prove that  $h(G)$  is an invariant for  $G$ .

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0,  $G$  an  $\mathfrak{N}_0$ -group and  $P$  an annihilator prime ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then  $P$  is localizable and  $R_P$  is a regular local ring of dimension at most  $h(G)$ .*

The situation for fields of non-zero characteristic is rather different. Firstly we have:

**THEOREM D1.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $G$  an  $\mathfrak{N}_p$ -group and  $P$  an annihilator prime ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then  $P$  is localizable and  $R_P$  is a Noetherian ring.*

If  $p$  is a prime let  $\mathfrak{N}_p^*$  denote the class of  $\mathfrak{N}_p$ -groups  $G$  such that each upper central factor of  $G/O_p(G)$  is an extension of a free Abelian group of finite rank by a torsion  $p'$ -group. For  $\mathfrak{N}_p^*$ -groups we have the following result.

**THEOREM D2.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $G$  an  $\mathfrak{N}_p^*$ -group and  $P$  an annihilator prime ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then  $R_P$  is a regular local ring of dimension at most  $h(G)$ .*

Note that Theorems C and D2 generalize not only [1, Theorem A] but also [9, Theorem B].

**2. Proofs of Theorems A and B.** Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  an ideal of  $R$ . Define a chain of ideals

$$I = I^1 \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I^\alpha \supseteq I^{\alpha+1} \supseteq \dots,$$

where, for all ordinals  $\alpha$ ,

$$I^{\alpha+1} = II^\alpha + I^\alpha I,$$

and

$$I^\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} I^\beta$$

if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. There exists an ordinal  $\rho$  such that  $I^\rho = I^{\rho+1}$ , and for the least such ordinal  $\rho$  write

$$\kappa(I) = I^\rho.$$

Now let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $J$  be the Jacobson radical of  $R$ . Then

$$\kappa(J) = \kappa(J)J + J\kappa(J)$$

and since  $\kappa(J)$  is finitely generated both as a right ideal and as a left ideal it follows, by Nakayama's Lemma, that

$$\kappa(J) = 0.$$

This fact has a simple consequence for localizations of prime ideals. Let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of  $R$  such that  $R_P$  is a Noetherian ring. If  $T = T(P)$  then  $PR_P = \{(p+T)(c+T)^{-1} : p \in P, c \in \mathcal{C}(P)\}$  is the Jacobson radical of  $R_P$  and so

$$\kappa(PR_P) = 0.$$

This gives immediately

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of a ring  $R$  such that  $R_P$  is a Noetherian ring. Then  $\kappa(P) \leq T(P)$ .*

We wish to push this lemma somewhat further. If  $P$  is a localizable prime ideal of  $R$  we define

$$T_r(P) = \{r \in R : rc = 0 \text{ for some } c \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(P)\},$$

and

$$T_l(P) = \{r \in R : cr = 0 \text{ for some } c \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(P)\}.$$

Recall the following well-known result.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $R$  be a ring which satisfies the ascending chain condition on right annihilators and let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $T(P) = T_r(P)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $r \in R$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}(P)$  with  $cr = 0$ . If  $r(x)$  denotes the right annihilator of the element  $x$  of  $R$  then

$$r(c) \leq r(c^2) \leq \dots$$

and there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$r(c^n) = r(c^{n+1}).$$

There exist elements  $s$  in  $R$  and  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}(P)$  such that  $c^n s = rd$ . Then  $cr = 0$  implies  $rd = 0$ . It follows that  $T(P) = T_r(P)$ .

A non-empty subset  $S$  of a ring  $R$  will be called an *Ore set* if

- (i)  $S$  is multiplicatively closed,
- (ii) for all elements  $r$  of  $R$  and  $t$  of  $S$  there exist elements  $r_1, r_2$  of  $R$  and  $t_1, t_2$  of  $S$  such that  $rt_1 = tr_1$  and  $t_2 r = r_2 t$ , and
- (iii)  $\{r \in R : rt = 0 \text{ for some } t \text{ in } S\} = \{r \in R : tr = 0 \text{ for some } t \text{ in } S\}$ .

In this case let  $T(S) = \{r \in R : rt = 0 \text{ for some } t \text{ in } S\}$ . The partial quotient ring of  $R$  with respect to  $S$  will be denoted by  $R_S$ .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of a ring  $R$  such that there exists an Ore set  $S$  with  $S \leq \mathcal{C}(P)$  and  $R_S$  Noetherian. Then  $\kappa(P) \leq T_r(P)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2,

$$\kappa(PR_S) \leq T_r(PR_S),$$

where  $PR_S = \{(p + T(S))(t + T(S))^{-1} : p \in P, t \in S\}$ . If  $r \in \kappa(P)$  then there exist  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}(P)$  and  $t$  in  $S$  such that  $rct = 0$ . Since  $t \in \mathcal{C}(P)$  it follows that  $r \in T_r(P)$ . Hence  $\kappa(P) \leq T_r(P)$ .

Let  $k$  be a field and  $G$  a group. Then the augmentation ideal of the group algebra  $kG$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  or simply  $\mathfrak{g}$  when there is no ambiguity about  $k$ .

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $k$  be a field and  $G$  a group such that  $\mathfrak{g}_k$  is localizable. If  $H$  is any subgroup of  $G$  then  $\mathfrak{h}_k$  is localizable.*

*Proof.* Let  $r \in kH$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h})$ . Then  $c \in \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  and there exist elements  $s, d$  in  $kG$  with  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $rd = cs$ . Let  $T$  be a transversal to the right cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Then  $kG = \bigoplus_{t \in T} (kH)t$ . It follows that there exist elements  $s', d'$  in  $kH$  with  $d'$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{h})$  such that  $rd' = cs'$ . It follows that  $\mathfrak{h}$  is localizable.

*Proof of Theorem A.* The equivalence of (ii) and (iii) is proved in [11, Theorem D]. Also (ii) implies (i) by [10, Lemma 2.2]. Thus it is sufficient to prove that (i) implies (iii). Let

$$1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = G \tag{1}$$

be a finite chain of subgroups  $H_i$  of  $G$  such that  $H_{i-1}$  is normal in  $H_i$  and  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is finitely generated Abelian or locally finite for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We prove the result by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 1$  then  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the AR property by [10, Theorem C]. So suppose  $n > 1$  and let  $H = H_{n-1}$ . By Lemma 2.4 we can suppose that  $\mathfrak{h}$  has the AR property and  $G/H$  is either finitely generated Abelian or locally finite.

Suppose that  $G/H$  is finitely generated Abelian. Since  $\mathfrak{h}$  has the AR property it follows that  $S = \{1 - a : a \in \mathfrak{h}\}$  is an Ore set in  $kH$  and the ring  $(kH)_S$  is Noetherian (see [10, Lemma 2.2 and Corollary C1]). Because  $S$  is  $G$ -invariant,  $S$  is an Ore set in  $R$ , where  $R = kG$  (see the proof of [6, Lemma 13.3.5 (ii)]), and by [6, Theorem 10.2.6]  $R_S$  is a Noetherian ring. Since  $S \leq \mathcal{C}(\mathfrak{g})$  we can apply Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \leq T_r(\mathfrak{g}).$$

On the other hand, suppose that  $G/H$  is locally finite. By [11, proof of Theorem E], for every finitely generated right ideal  $E$  of  $R$  there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$E \cap \mathfrak{g}^m \leq E\mathfrak{g},$$

and by [10, Lemma 2.1] we conclude

$$\mathfrak{g}^\omega = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \mathfrak{g}^m = T_r(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Thus, in any case,

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \leq T_r(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Returning to the chain (1) we note that  $G$  has a finite series

$$1 = K_0 \leq K_1 \leq \dots \leq K_q = G$$

of subgroups  $K_i$  such that  $K_{i-1}$  is normal in  $K_i$  and  $K_i/K_{i-1}$  is infinite cyclic or locally finite for  $1 \leq i \leq q$ . If  $G = G_1 \geq G_2 \geq \dots$  is the lower central series of  $G$  then, arguing as in the proof of [11, Theorem D],  $G_{q+1}/G_{q+2}$  is a torsion group. It follows that if  $U = G_{q+1}$  then

$$u \leq \mathfrak{g}^\omega.$$

Suppose that  $u \leq \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$  for some ordinal  $\alpha$ . If  $u \in U$  and  $x \in G$  then

$$1 - [u, x] = u^{-1}x^{-1}\{(1-x)(1-u) - (1-u)(1-x)\} \in \mathfrak{g}^{\alpha+1}.$$

Since  $G_{q+1}/G_{q+2}$  is a torsion group it follows that  $u \leq g^{\alpha+1}$ . Thus  $u \leq \kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \leq T_r(\mathfrak{g})$ . Now it is easy to prove that  $U$  is a locally finite group (see the proof of [11, Theorem D]). This proves (iii).

Theorem A has the following consequence.

**COROLLARY A.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 0 and  $G$  a hyper-(Abelian or locally finite) group and let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the augmentation ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is localizable,  $T_1(\mathfrak{g}) = T_r(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $R_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a Noetherian ring.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the AR property.
- (iii)  $G$  is an extension of a locally finite group by a nilpotent group with each upper central factor of finite torsion-free rank.

To prove Corollary A, by the theorem we need show only that (i) and (ii) are equivalent. By [10, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and Corollary C1], (ii) implies (i). In order to prove that (i) implies (ii) we require some notation.

Let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of a ring  $R$ . If  $E$  is a right ideal of  $R$  then the  $P$ -closure of  $E$  is

$$\text{cl}_P E = \{r \in R : rc \in E \text{ for some } c \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(P)\}.$$

Then  $\text{cl}_P E$  is a right ideal containing  $E$ . We call  $E$   $P$ -closed provided  $E = \text{cl}_P E$ . There are similar definitions for left ideals. The next lemma is elementary.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $P$  be a localizable prime ideal of a ring  $R$  such that  $T(P) = T_r(P)$ . Then the ring  $R_P$  is right Noetherian if and only if  $R$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on  $P$ -closed right ideals.*

To complete the proof of Corollary A, suppose that (i) holds. By Lemma 2.5,  $R = kG$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on  $\mathfrak{g}$ -closed right ideals. By [11, Lemma B and the proof of Lemma A],  $G$  is poly-(locally finite or finitely generated Abelian) and so (iii) follows by Theorem A. This completes the proof of Corollary A.

We now turn our attention to Theorem B.

*Proof of Theorem B.* (ii) and (iii) are equivalent by [11, Theorem E]. Moreover, (ii) implies (i) by [10, Lemma 2.2]. It remains to prove that (i) implies (iii).

Suppose that (i) holds. In order to prove (iii) it is sufficient to prove that if  $A$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and a  $p$ -group then  $A$  is central. There exists a chain

$$A = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_n = G$$

of normal subgroups  $H_i$  of  $G$  such that  $H_i/H_{i-1}$  is finitely generated Abelian or locally finite- $p'$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The result is proved by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 0$  is clear since  $A$  is finite. So suppose  $n > 0$  and let  $H = H_{n-1}$ . By induction we can suppose that  $\mathfrak{h}$  has the AR property in  $kH$ . Then following the argument used in the proof of Theorem A we obtain

$$\kappa(\mathfrak{g}) \leq T_r(\mathfrak{g}).$$

If  $A$  is not central then  $A = [A, G]$  and it follows that

$$0 \leq \kappa(\mathfrak{g})$$

so that  $A$  is a  $p'$ -group, a contradiction. (The argument is very like that in the proof of Theorem A and so the details are left to the reader.)

In the same way that Theorem A gives Corollary A, Theorem B gives the following result. The proof is virtually identical to that of Corollary A and so is omitted.

**COROLLARY B.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ ,  $G$  a hyper-(finitely generated Abelian or locally finite- $p'$ ) group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the augmentation ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is localizable,  $T_1(\mathfrak{g}) = T_r(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $R_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a Noetherian ring.
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{g}$  has the AR property.
- (iii)  $G$  is an  $\mathfrak{S}_p$ -group and  $G$  centralizes all  $p$ -chief factors.

Corollaries A and B should be compared with [11, Theorem C], where it is proved that if  $k$  is any field,  $G$  a locally nilpotent group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the augmentation ideal of  $R = kG$  then statements (i) and (ii) of Corollary B are equivalent. In fact, for any group  $G$ , (ii) implies (i) (see [10, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 and Corollary C1]). This leaves the question of whether (i) always implies (ii).

**3. Proofs of Theorems C, D1 and D2.** The key result required is an old result of D. G. Higman (see [6, Lemma 7.2.2]). We call a ring  $R$  a *Higman extension* of a ring  $S$  if  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  with the same identity and there exists a finite collection of units  $u_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) in  $R$  such that

- (i)  $n$  is a unit in  $R$ ,
- (ii)  $u_i S = S u_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $u_i S \neq u_j S$  ( $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ ),
- (iii)  $\{S u_i u_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\} = \{S u_j : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ), and
- (iv)  $R = u_1 S + \dots + u_n S$ .

Higman's Lemma can be expressed in the following form.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Any Higman extension of a semiprime Artinian ring is semiprime Artinian.*

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a Higman extension of a semiprime Goldie ring  $S$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_S(0) \leq \mathcal{C}_R(I)$ . Then  $Ic = 0$  for some element  $c$  of  $\mathcal{C}_R(I)$ .*

*Proof.* By [2, Theorems 4.1 and 4.4],  $S$  has a semiprime Artinian quotient ring  $Q$ . By [6, Lemma 13.3.5],  $\mathcal{C}_S(0)$  is an Ore set in the ring  $R$  and we denote the partial quotient ring of  $R$  with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_S(0)$  by  $Q_1$ . Clearly  $Q_1$  is a Higman extension of  $Q$  and so, by the lemma,  $Q_1$  is semiprime Artinian. Because  $\mathcal{C}_S(0) \leq \mathcal{C}_R(I)$ , it follows that  $I Q_1 = \{ac^{-1} : a \in I, c \in \mathcal{C}_S(0)\}$  is an ideal of  $Q_1$  and so is generated by a central idempotent element  $bd^{-1}$  (say) with  $b$  in  $I$  and  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}_S(0)$ . Then  $I(d-b) = 0$  and  $d-b \in \mathcal{C}_R(I)$ .

An ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  has a *weak centralizing set of generators* if there exists a finite chain of ideals

$$0 = I_0 \leq I_1 \leq \dots \leq I_n = I$$

such that, for each  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $I_j/I_{j-1}$  is generated by a finite collection of central elements of  $R/I_{j-1}$  or is  $\mathcal{C}(I)$ -torsion (i.e. for all  $a$  in  $I_j$  there exist  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}(I)$  such that  $ac_1 \in I_{j-1}$  and  $c_2a \in I_{j-1}$ ). If each of the factors  $I_j/I_{j-1}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) is generated by a finite collection of central elements of  $R/I_{j-1}$  then we say that  $I$  has a *centralizing set of generators*.

We extend these definitions in the following way. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $G$  a group of automorphisms of  $R$ . If  $r \in R$  and  $g \in G$  then

$$r^g$$

will denote the action of  $g$  on  $r$ . An element  $c$  of  $R$  will be called *G-central* if  $c$  is central in  $R$  and

$$c^g = c$$

for all  $g$  in  $G$ . Then  $G$ -invariant ideals having a *weak G-centralizing set of generators* or a *G-centralizing set of generators* will have the obvious meaning.

We say that an ideal  $I$  of a ring  $R$  has the *right fAR property* if for every finitely generated right ideal  $E$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $E \cap I^n \leq EI$ . The ideal  $I$  will be said to have the *right fAR property locally* if for every finitely generated right ideal  $E$  there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that

$$E \cap I^n \leq \text{cl}_I(EI),$$

i.e. for each element  $r$  in  $E \cap I^n$  there exists  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}(I)$  such that  $rc \in EI$ .

Suppose that  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  such that  $I$  has the right fAR property locally. Let  $E$  be a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  and suppose

$$x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}_I(E + I^n).$$

If  $F = E + xR$  then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$F \cap I^m \leq \text{cl}_I(FI).$$

There exist  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}(I)$  and  $e$  in  $E$  such that  $xc - e \in F \cap I^m$  and so  $(xc - e)d \in FI \leq E + xI$  for some element  $d$  of  $\mathcal{C}(I)$ . It follows that  $x \in \text{cl}_I E$ . Hence

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}_I(E + I^n) = \text{cl}_I E \tag{2}$$

for all finitely generated right ideals  $E$  of  $R$ . We require this fact in the proof of the next result.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $Q$  be a localizable annihilator semiprime ideal of a ring  $R$  such that  $Q$  has a weak centralizing set of generators and  $Q$  has the right fAR property locally. Then  $R_Q$  is a right Noetherian ring.*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a right ideal of  $R_Q$  and  $Y_1 = \{r \in R : r + T \in Y\}$ , where  $T = T(Q)$ . Then  $Y_1$  is a  $Q$ -closed right ideal of  $R$ . Moreover,  $Y$  is a finitely generated right ideal of  $R_Q$  if and only if there exists a finitely generated right ideal  $Y_2$  of  $R$  such that  $Y_1 = \text{cl}_Q Y_2$ .

Suppose there exists a  $Q$ -closed right ideal of  $R$  which is not the  $Q$ -closure of a finitely generated right ideal. By Zorn's Lemma there exists a  $Q$ -closed right ideal  $E$  maximal with respect to not being the  $Q$ -closure of a finitely generated right ideal. Suppose that  $Q \leq E$ . Since  $Q$  has a weak centralizing set of generators it follows that  $QR_Q$  is a finitely generated right ideal of the ring  $R_Q$ . But the ring  $R_Q/QR_Q$  is isomorphic to the classical right quotient ring  $B$  of the ring  $R/Q$  and, by [4, Theorem],  $B$  is semiprime Artinian. It follows that  $(E/T)R_Q$  is a finitely generated right ideal of  $R_Q$ . This implies that  $E$  is the  $Q$ -closure of a finitely generated right ideal of  $R$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $Q \not\leq E$ . Because  $Q$  has a weak centralizing set of generators there exists a finitely generated right ideal  $X_1$ , an ideal  $X$  and an element  $c$  of  $Q$  such that  $\text{cl}_Q X_1 = X \leq E$ ,  $c$  is central modulo  $X$  and  $c \notin E$ . Let  $F = \{r \in R : cr \in E\}$ . Then  $F$  is a  $Q$ -closed right ideal of  $R$  and  $E \leq F$ . Let  $G = E + cR$ . The choice of  $E$  entails that there exist a positive integer  $n$  and elements  $g_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) of  $G$  such that

$$G \leq \text{cl}_Q(g_1R + \dots + g_nR).$$

For each  $1 \leq i \leq n$  let

$$g_i = e_i + cr_i$$

with  $e_i$  in  $E$  and  $r_i$  in  $R$ . Let  $H = e_1R + \dots + e_nR$ .

Suppose  $E \neq F$ . Then by the choice of  $E$  there exists a finitely generated right ideal  $M$  such that  $F = \text{cl}_Q M$ . Let  $e \in E$ . Then  $e \in G$  and hence there exists an element  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}(Q)$  such that

$$ed = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i s_i + cu$$

for some elements  $s_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $u$  in  $R$ . It follows that  $u \in F$  and hence  $e \in \text{cl}_Q(H + cM)$ . But this implies that  $E = \text{cl}_Q(H + cM)$  and, because  $H + cM$  is a finitely generated right ideal, we have a contradiction. Thus  $E = F$ . In this case  $E \leq \text{cl}_Q(H + cE)$ . Using the fact that  $c$  is central modulo  $X = \text{cl}_Q X_1$ , it follows that

$$E \leq \bigcap_{s=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}_Q(H + X_1 + c^s E) \leq \bigcap_{s=1}^{\infty} \text{cl}_Q(H + X_1 + Q^s) = \text{cl}_Q(H + X_1),$$

by (2). Hence  $E = \text{cl}_Q(H + X_1)$ , another contradiction. The result follows.

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $G$  an  $\mathfrak{A}_p$ -group. Let  $P$  be an annihilator prime ideal of the group algebra  $R = kG$ . Then  $P$  is localizable,  $P$  has a weak centralizing set of generators and  $R_P$  is a Noetherian ring.*

*Proof.* There exists an infinite chain

$$1 = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \dots \leq H_\alpha \leq H_{\alpha+1} \leq \dots \leq H_\rho = G$$

of normal subgroups  $H_\alpha$  of  $G$  such that for all ordinals  $\alpha$ ,

- (i)  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha$  is an infinite cyclic group or a finite- $p$  group and  $[H_{\alpha+1}, G] \leq H_\alpha$ , or
- (ii)  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha$  is a finite- $p'$  group,

and

$$H_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta$$

if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. Moreover, all but a finite number of the factors  $H_{\alpha+1}/H_\alpha$  are finite- $p'$  groups. For each ordinal  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \rho$  let  $R^{(\alpha)} = kH_\alpha$  and  $P^{(\alpha)} = P \cap kH_\alpha$ . Then  $P^{(\alpha)}$  is a  $G$ -invariant annihilator semiprime ideal of  $R^{(\alpha)}$  for each ordinal  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \rho$ . To see that  $R^{(\alpha)}/P^{(\alpha)}$  satisfies the ascending chain condition on right annihilators one need merely note that for any non-empty subset  $X$  of  $R^{(\alpha)}$ ,

$$R^{(\alpha)} \cap \{r \in R : Xr \leq P\} = \{r \in R^{(\alpha)} : Xr \leq P^{(\alpha)}\}.$$

If  $N$  is the ideal of  $R^{(\alpha)}$  containing  $P^{(\alpha)}$  such that  $N/P^{(\alpha)}$  is the sum of all nilpotent ideals of  $R^{(\alpha)}/P^{(\alpha)}$  then  $N$  is  $G$ -invariant and, by [3, Theorem 1],  $N/P^{(\alpha)}$  is nilpotent. It follows that  $NR$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $(NR)^s \leq P$  for some positive integer  $s$ . Hence  $NR \leq P$  and it follows that  $P^{(\alpha)}$  is semiprime.

Next we claim that, for each ordinal  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \rho$ ,

$$P^{(\alpha)} \text{ is a localizable ideal of } R^{(\alpha)} \text{ such that } P^{(\alpha)} \text{ has a weak } G\text{-centralizing set of generators}^* \text{ and } R_{P^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)} \text{ is a Noetherian ring.} \tag{3}$$

The action of  $G$  on the ring  $R^{(\alpha)}$  is by conjugation.

Suppose that (3) is false and let  $\alpha$  be the least ordinal for which it fails to be true. Clearly  $\alpha > 0$ . Suppose first that  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal. Let  $A = H_{\alpha-1}$ ,  $B = H_\alpha$ ,  $P_1 = P^{(\alpha-1)}$ ,  $P_2 = P^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $S = R^{(\alpha-1)}$  and  $T = R^{(\alpha)}$ . Then  $P_1 = P_2 \cap S$ . By hypothesis,  $P_1$  is localizable in  $S$ . Hence  $T$  satisfies the right and left Ore conditions with respect to  $\mathcal{C}_S(P_1)$  (see [6, Lemma 13.3.5]). Let  $U = \mathcal{C}_S(P_1)$  and

$$K = \{t \in T : tu \in P_2 \text{ for some } u \text{ in } U\}.$$

Then  $K$  is a  $G$ -invariant ideal of  $T$  and  $P_2 \leq K$ . By [7, Lemma 7],  $P_2 < K$  implies the existence of an element  $t$  of  $K$  which is central in  $kG$  modulo  $P$ . But  $tu \in P_2$  for some  $u$  in  $U$  and hence  $u \in P_2 \cap S = P_1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $K = P_2$  and it follows that

$$U \leq C_T(P_2).$$

A similar argument shows that  $T_l(P_1) = T_r(P_1)$ .

Now suppose that  $B/A$  is a finite- $p'$  group. By [4, Theorem],  $S/P_1$  has a semiprime Artinian quotient ring and, by [2, Theorem 4.4],  $S/P_1$  is a Goldie ring. Thus we can apply Corollary 3.2 to obtain that  $P_2/P_1T$  is  $\mathcal{C}_T(P_2)$ -torsion. It follows that  $P_2$  has a weak  $G$ -centralizing set of generators. By hypothesis  $S_U$  is a Noetherian ring and hence  $T_U$ , being a finitely generated  $S_U$ -module, is a Noetherian ring. Hence, by [8, Theorem 2.2 Corollary 1],  $P_2T_U$  is localizable and it follows that  $P_2$  is localizable and  $T_{P_2}$  is Noetherian.

Next suppose that  $B/A$  is infinite cyclic. By [9, Lemma 2.1], either  $P_2 = P_1T$  or there exists an element  $c$  of  $P_2$  which is  $G$ -central and regular modulo  $P_1T$  (and hence regular modulo  $P_1R$ ) such that  $P_2/(P_1T + cT)$  is  $\mathcal{C}_T(P_2)$ -torsion. As before,  $P_2$  has a weak  $G$ -centralizing set of generators,  $P_2$  is localizable and  $T_{P_2}$  is a Noetherian ring.

The other possibility is that  $B/A$  is a finite- $p$  group. Then  $P_2/P_1T$  has a  $G$ -centralizing set of generators (see [7, Lemma 7]) and again  $P_2$  has the desired properties. Thus  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal.

Let  $\beta < \alpha$ . Since  $P^{(\beta)}$  is localizable it follows that  $R^{(\alpha)}$  satisfies the right and left Ore conditions with respect to

$$\mathcal{C}_{R^{(\beta)}}(P^{(\beta)})$$

(see [6, Lemma 13.3.5]) and as above

$$\mathcal{C}_{R^{(\beta)}}(P^{(\beta)}) \leq \mathcal{C}_{R^{(\alpha)}}(P^{(\alpha)}).$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{C}_{R^{(\alpha)}}(P^{(\alpha)}) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta < \alpha} \mathcal{C}_{R^{(\beta)}}(P^{(\beta)}).$$

Consequently,  $P^{(\alpha)}$  is localizable.

Since only a finite number of the factors  $H_{\beta+1}/H_\beta$  are not finite- $p'$  groups, there exists an ordinal  $\gamma$  with  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$  such that  $H_{\beta+1}/H_\beta$  is a finite- $p'$  group for each ordinal  $\beta$  with  $\gamma \leq \beta < \alpha$ . Thus  $H_\alpha/H_\gamma$  is a locally finite- $p'$  group and by the argument used earlier in the proof,  $P^{(\alpha)}/P^{(\gamma)}R^{(\alpha)}$  is  $\mathcal{C}(P^{(\alpha)})$ -torsion. It follows that  $P^{(\alpha)}$  has a weak  $G$ -centralizing set of generators.

Let  $X = R^{(\alpha)}$ ,  $Y = R^{(\gamma)}$  and  $V = \mathcal{C}(P^{(\gamma)})$ . Since  $P^{(\gamma)}Y_V$  has a centralizing set of generators it follows that  $P^{(\gamma)}Y_V$  has the AR property in  $Y_V$  (see [5, 2.7]). By adapting the proof of [11, Theorem E], we conclude that for each finitely generated right ideal  $E$  of  $X$  there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that for each element  $r$  of  $E \cap X(P^{(\gamma)})^m$  there exists an element  $c$  of  $V$  such that  $rc \in EP^{(\gamma)}$ . Since  $P^{(\alpha)}/XP^{(\gamma)}$  is a right  $\mathcal{C}(P^{(\alpha)})$ -torsion module it follows that  $P^{(\alpha)}$  has the right fAR property locally in  $X$ . Hence by Lemma 3.3,  $X_{P^{(\alpha)}}$  is a right Noetherian ring. Similarly it is a left Noetherian ring as well. This contradicts the choice of  $\alpha$  and completes the proof of Lemma 3.5.

Theorem D1 follows at once from Lemma 3.4. Now let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $G$  an  $\mathfrak{N}_0$ -group (if  $p = 0$ ) or an  $\mathfrak{N}_p^*$ -group (if  $p \neq 0$ ). If  $P$  is an annihilator prime ideal of the ring  $R = kG$  then by the proof of Lemma 3.5 we see that there exists a finite chain

$$0 = P_0 \leq P_1 \leq \dots \leq P_n = P$$

of ideals  $P_i$  of  $R$  such that  $P_i/P_{i-1}$  is generated by a central regular element of  $R/P_{i-1}$  or  $P_i/P_{i-1}$  is  $\mathcal{C}(P)$ -torsion for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover,  $P$  is localizable and it follows that  $R_P$  is a regular local ring. By examining the proof of Lemma 3.5 we see that the dimension of  $R_P$  is at most  $h(G)$ . This completes the proof of Theorems C and D2.

Finally we mention an analogous result for integral group rings. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  denote the class of Abelian groups  $G$  which contain a free Abelian subgroup  $F$  of finite rank such that  $G/F$  is a torsion group with finite  $p$ -primary component for each prime  $p$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a nilpotent group each of whose upper central factors is an  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group and let  $R$  be the integral group ring  $ZG$ . If  $P$  is an annihilator prime ideal of  $R$  then  $P$  is localizable and  $R_P$  is a Noetherian ring.*

*Proof.* If  $P \cap Z = 0$  then the non-zero elements of  $Z$  belong to  $\mathcal{C}_R(P)$ . If  $Q$  is the rational field then  $P' = PQ$  is an annihilator prime ideal of  $S = QG$  and, by Theorem C,  $R_p \cong S_{p'}$  is a regular local ring. If  $P \cap Z \neq 0$  then there exists a prime  $p$  such that  $p \in P$ . By Theorem D1,  $\bar{P} = P/pR$  is localizable and, if  $\bar{R} = R/pR$ ,  $\bar{R}_{\bar{p}}$  is Noetherian. It can easily be checked that  $pR$  has the right fAR property and  $P/p^n R$  is localizable for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $r \in R$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ . There exists a positive integer  $m$  such that

$$(rR + cR) \cap p^m R \leq (rR + cR)p.$$

There exist elements  $s$  in  $R$  and  $d$  in  $\mathcal{C}(P)$  such that  $rd - cs \in p^m R$  and, hence,

$$rd - cs = (ra + cb)p$$

for some  $a, b$  in  $R$ . Thus

$$r(d - ap) = c(s + bp)$$

and  $d - ap \in \mathcal{C}(P)$ . It follows that  $P$  is localizable. Also  $R_p/pR_p$  is a right Noetherian ring. By adapting the proof of Lemma 3.3,  $R_p$  is a right Noetherian ring. Similarly  $R_p$  is a left Noetherian ring.

Finally we can combine Corollaries A and B and Theorems C and D1 to characterize, for hypercentral groups  $G$ , those group algebras  $R = kG$  such that every annihilator prime ideal  $P$  is localizable with  $R_p$  a Noetherian ring. Note that for such a prime ideal  $P$ , we have, by [7, Theorem A],

$$T_1(P) = T_r(P).$$

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \geq 0$  and  $G$  a hypercentral group. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for every annihilator prime ideal  $P$  of the group algebra  $R = kG$  to be localizable with  $R_p$  a Noetherian ring is that  $G$  be an  $\mathfrak{N}_p$ -group.*

#### REFERENCES

1. J. W. Brewer, D. L. Costa and E. L. Lady, Prime ideals and localization in commutative group rings, *J. Algebra* **34** (1975), 300–308.
2. A. W. Goldie, Semiprime rings with maximum condition, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **10** (1960), 201–220.
3. I. N. Herstein and L. W. Small, Nil rings satisfying certain chain conditions, *Canad. J. Math.* **16** (1964), 771–776.
4. R. E. Johnson and L. S. Levy, Regular elements in semiprime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **19** (1968), 961–963.
5. Y. Nouazé and P. Gabriel, Idéaux premiers de l'algèbre enveloppante d'une algèbre de Lie nilpotente, *J. Algebra* **6** (1967), 77–99.
6. D. S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings* (Wiley-Interscience, 1977).
7. J. E. Roseblade and P. F. Smith, A note on hypercentral group rings, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **13** (1976), 183–190.
8. P. F. Smith, Localization and the AR property, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **22** (1971), 39–68.

9. P. F. Smith, On non-commutative regular local rings, *Glasgow Math. J.* **17** (1976), 98–102.
10. P. F. Smith, The AR property and chain conditions in group rings, *Israel J. Math.* **32** (1979), 131–144.
11. P. F. Smith, More on the AR property and chain conditions in group rings, *Israel J. Math.*, to appear.
12. R. Walker, Local rings and normalizing sets of elements, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **24** (1972), 27–45.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY GARDENS  
GLASGOW G12 8QW