

GENERALIZED AFFINE KAC-MOODY LIE ALGEBRAS OVER LOCALIZATIONS OF THE POLYNOMIAL RING IN ONE VARIABLE

MURRAY BREMNER

ABSTRACT. We consider simple complex Lie algebras extended over the commutative ring $\mathbf{C}[z, (z - a_1)^{-1}, \dots, (z - a_n)^{-1}]$ where $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbf{C}$. We compute the universal central extensions of these Lie algebras and present explicit commutation relations for these extensions. These algebras generalize the untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras, which correspond to the case $n = 1, a_1 = 0$.

1. Introduction. An untwisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra may be defined in two ways: either by generators and relations in terms of the data in a generalized Cartan matrix, or as the universal central extension of a loop algebra. By a loop algebra we mean a Lie algebra of the form $L = \mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}$, where \mathfrak{g} is a finite-dimensional simple complex Lie algebra; the commutation relations are $[f \otimes x, g \otimes y] = fg \otimes [xy]$. It is well-known that the homology group $H_2(L, \mathbf{C})$ is one-dimensional, and hence the center of the universal central extension \hat{L} of L is also one-dimensional. All of this material, together with the theory of general Kac-Moody algebras and their representations, is explained in detail in [Kac, 1990].

The loop algebra construction suggests a natural generalization. We let A be any commutative associative \mathbf{C} -algebra; we then form the Lie algebra $L = A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}$, with Lie brackets defined by the formula given above. The theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras leads us to expect that the most interesting representations of L will be projective; that is, they will be ordinary representations of the universal central extension \hat{L} of L . The homology group $H_2(L, \mathbf{C})$, and the commutation relations for \hat{L} , can be computed in terms of Kähler differentials of A , following [Kassel, 1984].

The ring of Laurent polynomials $\mathbf{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ may be regarded as the ring of rational functions on the projective line $\mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ which have poles only at $z \in \{\infty, 0\}$. One of the simplest generalizations of this picture is to allow poles at an arbitrary finite set of points $\{\infty, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$. This gives the ring

$$A = \mathbf{C}[z, (z - a_1)^{-1}, \dots, (z - a_n)^{-1}];$$

for the rest of this paper this will be the definition of A . The universal central extensions \hat{L} of the Lie algebras $L = A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}$ are the Lie algebras to which the title of this paper

The author thanks the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support. Received by the editors August 4, 1992; revised November 12, 1992.

AMS subject classification: 17B65, 17B67.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

refers. (Perhaps it is more informative and convenient to call these Lie algebras *N-point affine algebras*, where $N = n + 1$.)

Since the automorphism group $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbf{C})$ of the projective line is (simply) 3-transitive, we lose no generality by assuming that ∞ is one of the points where a pole is allowed; indeed we could even assume that $a_1 = 0$ and $a_2 = 1$. For $n \in \{1, 2\}$ there is thus a unique isomorphism class of rings A . For $n \geq 3$ there will be parametrized families of non-isomorphic rings.

In principle, there is nothing to prevent us from letting A be the localization of a finite algebraic extension B of $\mathbf{C}[z]$. That is, we let K be a finite extension of the function field $\mathbf{C}(z)$, and let B be the subring of K consisting of the elements which are integral over $\mathbf{C}[z]$. This corresponds to replacing the projective line with an algebraic curve of positive genus. (Some results for the case of genus 1 appear in [Sheinman, 1990].) However in what follows we will consider only the case of genus zero.

Another generalization of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra has been studied in [Moody *et al.*, 1990]. That paper considers the ring $B = \mathbf{C}[t_1, t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1}]$ and the universal central extension of $B \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}$, called a *toroidal Lie algebra*. The toroidal algebras have a \mathbf{Z}^n -grading (with finite dimensional subspaces), whereas the N -point affine algebras appear to have no grading by any finite Abelian group except when $N = 2$. The centre of a toroidal algebra is infinite dimensional, whereas the centre of an N -point affine algebra is finite dimensional (see Theorem 2 below). We have a surjective ring homomorphism $B \rightarrow A$ given by $t_i \mapsto z - a_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This induces a surjective linear map $H_2(B \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow H_2(A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}, \mathbf{C})$, which shows that the N -point affine algebra is a homomorphic image of the toroidal algebra.

I thank S. Berman, R. V. Moody, D. Melville and the referee for helpful comments on a previous version of this paper.

2. Calculating $H_2(L, \mathbf{C})$. We start with an elementary fact.

LEMMA 1. *The subset $\{1\} \cup \{z^k, (z - a_j)^{-k} \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a basis of A over \mathbf{C} .*

PROOF. This subset spans A over \mathbf{C} by the theory of partial fractions. To show that this subset is linearly independent, suppose that the linear combination

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m_0} b_k^0 z^k + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} b_k^j (z - a_j)^{-k}$$

equals 0 in A . If $m_j = 0$ for all j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, then this expression is a polynomial, and so all its coefficients (*i.e.* the b_k^0 for $0 \leq k \leq m_0$) must be 0. In the other case, $m_j \geq 1$ for some j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $b_{m_j}^j \neq 0$. Multiplying the expression by $(z - a_j)^{m_j}$ then gives an element of A in which $z - a_j$ never occurs with negative exponent, and in which every term, except that with coefficient $b_{m_j}^j$, has $z - a_j$ as a factor. This element of A is clearly still 0 in A , and so its value at $z = a_j$ is also 0. But this gives $b_{m_j}^j = 0$, a contradiction. Thus all the coefficients of the expression must be 0. ■

Using the results of [Kassel, 1984] (see also the special case worked out in [Moody *et al.*, 1990]), it is not hard to determine the dimension of the center of the universal central extension \hat{L} of $A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}$. The center of \hat{L} is linearly isomorphic to the homology group $H_2(L, \mathbf{C})$.

THEOREM 2. *We have $H_2(L, \mathbf{C}) \cong \mathbf{C}^n$. Hence the center of \hat{L} has dimension n .*

PROOF. Let $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$, for some index set I , denote the basis for A described in the lemma. Let F be the free left A -module on the generators $\{\tilde{d}f_i\}$, where the $\tilde{d}f_i$ are formal symbols in bijective correspondence with the f_i . By setting $(\tilde{d}f_i)g = g(\tilde{d}f_i)$ for any $g \in A$ we may regard F as a two-sided A -module. We define a \mathbf{C} -linear map $\tilde{d}: A \rightarrow F$ by $\tilde{d}(\sum_i c_i f_i) = \sum_i c_i (\tilde{d}f_i)$. We let K denote the submodule of F spanned by the elements $\tilde{d}(gh) - \{(\tilde{d}g)h + g(\tilde{d}h)\}$ for any $g, h \in A$. In F/K the following elements are zero:

$$\tilde{d}1, \quad \tilde{d}(z^k) - kz^{k-1}\tilde{d}z, \quad \tilde{d}((z - a_i)^{-k}) + k(z - a_i)^{-k-1}\tilde{d}(z - a_i),$$

for $k \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Note also that $\tilde{d}(z - a_i) = \tilde{d}z$. Write $\Omega_A = F/K$. The differential map $d: A \rightarrow \Omega_A$ is defined by $dg = \tilde{d}g + K$. A basis of Ω_A consists of the elements

$$z^k dz \quad (k \geq 0), \quad (z - a_i)^{-k} dz \quad (k \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Write $C = \Omega_A/dA$. Then since

$$d(z^k) = kz^{k-1} dz, \quad d((z - a_i)^{-k}) = -k(z - a_i)^{-k-1} dz,$$

we see that a basis of C consists of the cosets of the n elements

$$c_i = (z - a_i)^{-1} dz, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The result of [Kassel, 1984] states that the center of \hat{L} is linearly isomorphic to C . ■

Write $g(dh) \mapsto \overline{g(dh)}$ for the canonical quotient map $\Omega_A \rightarrow C$. Kassel's paper shows, in addition, that \hat{L} is linearly isomorphic to $(A \otimes_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{g}) \oplus C$, with Lie brackets

$$[g \otimes x, h \otimes y] = gh \otimes [xy] + (x, y)\overline{(dg)h}, \quad [\hat{L}, C] = 0,$$

where (x, y) denotes the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} .

3. Commutation relations for the universal central extension. To give a more explicit form to the commutation relations for \hat{L} , we need a formula to express the product of two basis elements of A as a linear combination of basis elements. We start with a combinatorial result.

LEMMA 3. *For any non-negative integer a , and any real numbers b, c , we have the identity of binomial coefficients (where the sum is always finite):*

$$\binom{b - c}{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{b}{a - i} \binom{c + i - 1}{i}.$$

PROOF. From the formal power series expansion of the equation

$$(1 + x)^b = (1 + x)^c(1 + x)^{b-c},$$

we derive the Vandermonde convolution formula

$$\binom{b}{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{b-c}{a-i} \binom{c}{i}.$$

(See [Riordan, 1968], p. 8.) Writing $b' = b - c$, replacing c by $-c$, and then using b instead of b' , we obtain

$$\binom{b-c}{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{b}{a-i} \binom{-c}{i}.$$

Now using the relation

$$\binom{-c}{i} = (-1)^i \binom{c+i-1}{i},$$

we obtain the result. ■

There are two non-trivial cases for the product of basis elements of A .

PROPOSITION 4. (a) We have

$$z^k(z - a_j)^{-l} = \sum_{i=1}^l \binom{k}{l-i} a_j^{k-l+i} (z - a_j)^{-i} + \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \binom{k-h-1}{l-1} a_j^{k-l-h} z^h.$$

(b) For $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (z - a_i)^{-k}(z - a_j)^{-l} &= \sum_{h=1}^k (-1)^l \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-1} (a_j - a_i)^{h-k-l} (z - a_i)^{-h} \\ &\quad + \sum_{h=1}^l (-1)^{l+h} \binom{k+l-h-1}{k-1} (a_j - a_i)^{h-k-l} (z - a_j)^{-h}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. (a) We first consider the special case $a_j = 1$. We set $w = z - 1$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^k(z - 1)^{-l} &= (w + 1)^k w^{-l} = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} w^{i-l} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{k}{i} (z - 1)^{i-l} + \sum_{i=l}^k \binom{k}{i} (z - 1)^{i-l}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second summation must be rewritten, since the powers of $z - 1$ are nonnegative. We have

$$\sum_{i=l}^k \binom{k}{i} \sum_{h=0}^{i-l} (-1)^{i-l-h} \binom{i-l}{h} z^h = \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \left(\sum_{i=l+h}^k (-1)^{i-l-h} \binom{k}{i} \binom{i-l}{h} \right) z^h.$$

Replacing i by $i + l + h$ in the inner summation, and using the lemma with $a = k - l - h$, $b = k$, $c = h + 1$, gives

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-l-h} (-1)^i \binom{k}{i+l+h} \binom{i+h}{h} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-l-h} (-1)^i \binom{k}{k-l-h-i} \binom{i+h}{i} = \binom{k-h-1}{k-l-h}.$$

We now conclude that

$$z^k(z-1)^{-l} = \sum_{i=1}^l \binom{k}{l-i} (z-1)^{-i} + \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \binom{k-h-1}{l-1} z^h,$$

where we have replaced i by $l - i$ in the first summation.

For the case of arbitrary nonzero a_j , we set $z = a_j w$ and obtain $z^k(z - a_j)^{-l} = a_j^{k-l} w^k (w - 1)^{-l}$. We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} z^k(z - a_j)^{-l} &= a_j^{k-l} \sum_{i=1}^l \binom{k}{l-i} (w - 1)^{-i} + a_j^{k-l} \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \binom{k-h-1}{l-1} w^h \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \binom{k}{l-i} a_j^{k-l+i} (z - a_j)^{-i} + \sum_{h=0}^{k-l} \binom{k-h-1}{l-1} a_j^{k-l-h} z^h. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We first consider the special case $a_i = 0, a_j = 1$. We set $w = 1/z$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z^{-k}(z-1)^{-l} &= (-1)^l w^{k+l} (w-1)^{-l} \\ &= (-1)^l \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{k+l}{l-i} (w-1)^{-i} - (-1)^l \binom{k+l}{l} \\ &\quad + (-1)^l \sum_{h=0}^k \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-1} w^h, \end{aligned}$$

where the first summation must be rewritten. Using $1/(w - 1) = -(1 + 1/(z - 1))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{k+l}{l-i} (-1)^{-i} \left(1 + \frac{1}{z-1}\right)^i &= \sum_{i=0}^l \binom{k+l}{l-i} (-1)^{-i} \sum_{h=0}^i \binom{i}{h} (z-1)^{-h} \\ &= \sum_{h=0}^l \left(\sum_{i=h}^l (-1)^{-i} \binom{k+l}{l-i} \binom{i}{h} \right) (z-1)^{-h}. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing i by $i + h$ in the inner sum, and using the lemma with $a = l - h, b = k + l, c = h + 1$, gives

$$(-1)^h \sum_{i=0}^{l-h} (-1)^i \binom{k+l}{l-h-i} \binom{h+i}{h} = \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-h} = \binom{k+l-h-1}{k-1}.$$

We conclude that

$$z^{-k}(z-1)^{-l} = \sum_{h=1}^l (-1)^{l+h} \binom{k+l-h-1}{k-1} (z-1)^{-h} + \sum_{h=1}^k (-1)^l \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-1} z^{-h},$$

where the three constant terms have cancelled.

For the case of general a_i, a_j , we set $z = (a_j - a_i)w + a_i$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (z - a_i)^{-k}(z - a_j)^{-l} &= (a_j - a_i)^{-k-l}w^{-k}(w - 1)^{-l} \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^l (-1)^{l+h} \binom{k+l-h-1}{k-1} (a_j - a_i)^{-k-l}(w - 1)^{-h} \\ &\quad + \sum_{h=1}^k (-1)^l \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-1} (a_j - a_i)^{-k-l}w^{-h}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $w = (z - a_i)/(a_j - a_i)$ and $w - 1 = (z - a_j)/(a_j - a_i)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (z - a_i)^{-k}(z - a_j)^{-l} &= \sum_{h=1}^l (-1)^{l+h} \binom{k+l-h-1}{k-1} (a_j - a_i)^{h-k-l}(z - a_j)^{-h} \\ &\quad + \sum_{h=1}^k (-1)^l \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-1} (a_j - a_i)^{h-k-l}(z - a_i)^{-h}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

In order to write down the explicit commutation relations for \hat{L} we also need to work out $\overline{(dg)h}$ for any two elements g, h of the basis of A given in the first lemma. In each case the result will lie in the n -dimensional vector space C spanned by the central basis elements $c_i = (z - a_i)^{-1} dz$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

PROPOSITION 5. (a) For $g = z^k, h = (z - a_j)^{-l}$, we have

$$\overline{(dg)h} = k \binom{k-1}{l-1} a_j^{k-l} c_j.$$

(b) For $g = (z - a_i)^{-k}, h = (z - a_j)^{-l}$, we have

$$\overline{(dg)h} = (-1)^l k \binom{k+l-1}{k} (a_j - a_i)^{-k-l} (c_j - c_i).$$

PROOF. For (a), it is easy to see that the coefficient of c_m in $\overline{(dg)h}$ is just the coefficient of $(z - a_m)^{-1}$ in the expansion of $kz^{k-1}(z - a_j)^{-l}$ as a linear combination of basis elements of A . This coefficient can be easily read off from the formula in the previous proposition. For (b) we find the coefficient of $(z - a_m)^{-1}$ in the expansion of $-k(z - a_i)^{-k-1}(z - a_j)^{-l}$. Note that the correctness of the last proposition can be checked by verifying that in each case $\overline{(dg)h}$ and $\overline{g(dh)}$ give the same answer but with opposite sign. \blacksquare

We can now write down the commutation relations for \hat{L} . We first introduce some shorthand notation for non-central elements of \hat{L} . For any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} x(0, 0) &= 1 \otimes x, \quad x(k, 0) = z^k \otimes x, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ x(k, i) &= (z - a_i)^{-k} \otimes x, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 6. *The commutation relations for \hat{L} are:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x(k, i), y(l, i)] &= [xy](k + l, i), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n, \\
 [x(k, 0), y(l, j)] &= \sum_{i=1}^l \binom{k}{l-i} a_j^{k-l+i} [xy](-i, j) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{h=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-h-1}{l-1} a_j^{k-l-h} [xy](h, 0) \\
 &\quad + k \binom{k-1}{l-1} a_j^{k-l}(x, y) c_j, \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 [x(k, i), y(l, j)] &= \sum_{h=1}^k (-1)^h \binom{k+l-h-1}{l-1} (a_j - a_i)^{h-k-l} [xy](-h, i) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{h=1}^l (-1)^{l+h} \binom{k+l-h-1}{k-1} (a_j - a_i)^{h-k-l} [xy](-h, j) \\
 &\quad + (-1)^l k \binom{k+l-1}{k} (a_j - a_i)^{-k-l}(x, y)(c_j - c_i), \quad k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n. \blacksquare
 \end{aligned}$$

We conclude with some brief remarks on representation theory. To define Verma modules over the Lie algebras \hat{L} , we consider the subalgebra $\hat{L}_0 \oplus \hat{L}_+$ where $\hat{L}_0 = (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_0) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ (here \mathfrak{g}_0 is the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}) and $\hat{L}_+ = z\mathbb{C}[z] \otimes \mathfrak{g}$. We let v be a symbol and consider the vector space $\mathbb{C}v$. We make this into a module over the Lie algebra $\hat{L}_0 \oplus \hat{L}_+$ by defining $\hat{L}_+ \cdot v = \{0\}$ and $a \cdot v = \lambda(a)v$ for all $a \in \hat{L}_0$, where $\lambda \in \hat{L}_0^*$ (the dual vector space). We then form the induced module

$$V(\lambda) = U(\hat{L}) \otimes_{U(\hat{L}_0 \oplus \hat{L}_+)} \mathbb{C}v,$$

and call this the Verma module with highest weight λ . The standard argument from Kac-Moody theory then shows that each $V(\lambda)$ has a unique maximal proper submodule, and hence a unique irreducible quotient $M(\lambda)$.

REFERENCES

V. G. Kac (1990), *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press.
 C. Kassel (1984), *Kähler differentials and coverings of complex simple Lie algebras extended over a commutative algebra*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **34**, 265–275.
 R. Moody, S. E. Rao and T. Yokonuma (1990), *Toroidal Lie algebras and vertex representations*, Geom. Dedicata **35**, 283–307.

J. Riordan (1968), *Combinatorial Identities*, John Wiley & Sons.

O. K. Sheinman (1990), *Elliptic affine Lie algebras*, *Functional Anal. Appl.* **24**, 210–219.

Department of Mathematics
University of Toronto
100 St. George Street
Toronto, Ontario
M5S 1A1

Current address:

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Saskatchewan
Saskatoon, Saskatchewan
S7N 0W0