

## ON SOME QUASILINEAR WAVE EQUATIONS WITH DISSIPATIVE TERMS

YOSHIO YAMADA

### §1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the initial value problems for the following quasilinear wave equations with dissipative terms

$$(1.1) \quad u_{tt} - a\left(\int_{R^n} |\text{grad } u(x, t)|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + \lambda u_t = f, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

with initial conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in R^n,$$

$$(1.3) \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in R^n,$$

where

$$u_t = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad u_{tt} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}, \quad |\text{grad } u|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta u = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}.$$

Here  $\lambda$  is a positive constant and  $a(r)$  is a  $C^1[0, \infty)$ -function satisfying

$$a(r) \geq a_0 > 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 0.$$

For  $n = 1$ , Dickey [3] has treated (1.1) with  $\lambda = 0$  as the equation describing the small amplitude vibration of a string in which the dependence of the tension on the deformation cannot be neglected. He has shown the existence and uniqueness of local solutions to (1.1)–(1.3) by using a Galerkin procedure. For general  $n$ , Menzala [6], [7] has recently extended Dickey's result. He has obtained the local existence and uniqueness of classical solutions to (1.1)–(1.3) (with  $\lambda = 0$ ) by using the theory of Fourier transform. (See also the papers of Dickey [1], [2], Lions [4] and Pohozaev [10], where the mixed problems in a bounded domain are treated.)

---

Received August 11, 1979.

The main interest of the present paper is to examine whether there exists a global solution  $u$  of (1.1)–(1.3) under the presence of the dissipative term  $\lambda u$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ). Moreover, if such  $u$  does exist, we intend to investigate its asymptotic behavior as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof of the local solvability of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) is carried out by an iteration procedure (which is different from Menzala's proof). The key point which enables us to extend a local solution  $u$  to the interval  $[0, \infty)$  lies in deriving some a priori estimates of  $u$ . Roughly speaking, if the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$  are 'small', then there exists a (unique) global solution  $u$  of (1.1)–(1.3). Furthermore, by employing the weighted energy method we can obtain the rate of the decay to zero of  $u$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

The content of this paper is as follows. In §2, we give our main results: Theorem I (local existence), Theorem II (global existence), Theorem III (regularity of solution) and Theorem IV (asymptotic behavior). §3 is devoted to the proofs of Theorems I, II and III. In §4 we study the asymptotic behavior of global solutions of (1.1)–(1.3). Finally, in §5 some results on the mixed problem are stated without proofs.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Professor H. Tanabe, K. Maruo and A. Yagi for stimulating conversations. Also, the hospitality of Osaka University during the preparation of the manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

## §2. Assumptions and results

We first introduce some notation which will be used throughout this paper. In the usual way, let  $L^2(R_x^n)$  be the Hilbert space of (complex valued) square integrable functions on  $R_x^n$ . The inner product and norm in  $L^2(R_x^n)$  are defined by

$$(f, g)_{L^2(R_x^n)} = \int_{R^n} f(x)\overline{g(x)} dx \quad \text{for } f, g \in L^2(R_x^n),$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^2(R_x^n)} = (f, f)_{L^2(R_x^n)}^{1/2} \quad \text{for } f \in L^2(R_x^n),$$

respectively. If there is no confusion, we sometimes write  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  (resp.  $\|\cdot\|$ ) in stead of  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^2(R_x^n)}$  (resp.  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(R_x^n)}$ ).

For  $f \in L^2(R_x^n)$ , define the Fourier transform  $\hat{f} \in L^2(R_\xi^n)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}(\xi) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad i = \sqrt{-1}, \quad x \cdot \xi = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \xi_i, \\ &= \text{l.i.m.}_{A \rightarrow \infty} (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{|x| \leq A} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where l.i.m means ‘limit in mean’.

For any non-negative integer  $s$ ,  $H^s(R_x^n)$  denotes the usual Sobolev space of order  $s$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_s = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^s \| |\xi|^i \hat{f}(\cdot) \|_{L^2(R_x^n)}^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

where  $|\xi| = (\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i^2)^{1/2}$ . It is very convenient to introduce the following semi-norms;

$$|f|_i = \| |\xi|^i \hat{f}(\cdot) \|_{L^2(R_x^n)}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq s,$$

for  $f \in H^s(R_x^n)$ . In particular, if  $f \in L^2(R_x^n) = H^0(R_x^n)$ , then

$$|f|_0 = \|f\|_0 = \|f\|_{L^2(R_x^n)} \quad (\text{Parseval's equality}).$$

Let  $I$  be any subinterval of  $[0, \infty)$ . For any Hilbert space  $X$ , let  $C(I; X)$  be the space of all functions  $u : I \rightarrow X$  such that  $u$  is strongly continuous on  $I$ . By  $C^j(I; X)$  we denote the space of all functions  $u \in C(I; X)$  such that  $u$  is  $j$  times strongly continuously differentiable on  $I$ .

In what follows, we make the following assumptions on the functions  $a$ ,  $u_0$ ,  $u_1$  and  $f$  appearing in (1.1)–(1.3).

(A.1) The function  $a(r)$  for  $r \geq 0$  belongs to the class  $C^1[0, \infty)$  and satisfies

$$a(r) \geq a_0 > 0 \quad \text{for } r \geq 0.$$

(A.2)  $u_0 \in H^2(R_x^n)$  and  $u_1 \in H^1(R_x^n)$ .

(A.3)  $f \in C([0, \infty); H^1(R_x^n))$ .

Now we shall give our main results. We begin with the local existence theorem.

**THEOREM I (local existence).** *There exists a positive constant  $T_0$  such that the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique solution  $u \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) on  $[0, T_0]$ .*

*Remark 2.1.* In general, the constant  $T_0$  in Theorem I depends on  $\|u_0\|_2$ ,  $\|u_1\|_1$  and  $\int_0^T \|f(s)\|_1 ds$ , where  $T$  is any fixed positive number (see (3.2) and (3.3) in §3).

Before stating the global existence theorem, we shall introduce the following set of the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$ :

$$(2.1) \quad D(\delta) = \left\{ (u_0, u_1, f) \in H^2(R_x^n) \times H^1(R_x^n) \times C([0, \infty)); H^1(R_x^n) \right\};$$

$$\|u_0\|_2 \leq \delta, \|u_1\|_1 \leq \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \|f(s)\|_1 ds \leq \delta \Big\}.$$

We have

**THEOREM II** (global existence). *There exists a positive number  $\delta_0$  (which depends on  $a, a'$  and  $\lambda$ ) with the following property: if  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in D(\delta_0)$ , then the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique solution  $u \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) on  $[0, \infty)$ . Furthermore,*

$$(2.2) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u_t(t)\|_1 < \infty.$$

When the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$  are regular with respect to  $x$ , we have

**THEOREM III** (regularity of solutions). *Let  $\delta_0$  be the positive number in Theorem II. Assume that the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$  belong to  $D(\delta_0)$  and satisfy*

$$u_0 \in H^{k+2}(R_x^n), u_1 \in H^{k+1}(R_x^n) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C([0, \infty); H^{k+1}(R_x^n))$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . Then the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique solution  $u \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ). Furthermore, if  $f \in L^1(0, \infty; H^{k+1}(R_x^n))$ , then

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{k+2} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} < \infty.$$

*In particular, if  $a \in C^k[0, \infty)$  and  $f \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+1-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ ), then  $u \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k+2$ ).*

**COROLLARY 2.1** (existence of classical solutions). *Let  $\delta_0$  be the positive number in Theorem II. If the data  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in D(\delta_0)$  satisfy*

$$u_0 \in H^{s+2}(R_x^n), u_1 \in H^{s+1}(R_x^n) \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C([0, \infty); H^{s+1}(R_x^n))$$

with  $s = [n/2] + 1$ , then the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique classical solution  $u \in C^2(R_x^n \times [0, \infty))$ .

*In particular, if  $a \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ ,  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in C_0^\infty(R_x^n) \times C_0^\infty(R_x^n) \times C^\infty(R_x^n \times [0, \infty))$  and  $\text{supp } f(\cdot, t)$  is compact for each  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , then the solution  $u$  is  $C^\infty$  with respect to  $(x, t) \in R_x^n \times [0, \infty)$ .*

**Remark 2.2.** In order to obtain the global existence of solutions in

the class  $C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) for  $k \geq 1$  as well as in the class  $C^i([0, \infty); H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), we have only to put the same 'smallness' condition (2.1) (with  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ ) on the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$ . This will be expected from the form of the equation (1.1), in which the nonlinearity is caused by the function  $a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)$ .

However, there are different situations in the usual quasilinear wave equations where the nonlinearity is caused by functions of the form  $a(u, u_i, u_i)$  ( $u_i = \partial u / \partial x_i$ ). For details, see the paper of Matsumura [5].

Finally we shall investigate the asymptotic behavior of global solutions. For simplicity, we assume that the data  $(u_0, u_1)$  belong to  $C_0^\infty(R_x^n)$  and that  $f \equiv 0$ ; we consider

$$(1.1)' \quad u_{tt} - a\left(\int_{R^n} |\text{grad } u(x, t)|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + \lambda u_t = 0, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \geq 0,$$

with initial conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Let  $(u_0, u_1, 0)$  be in  $D(\delta_0)$  ( $\delta_0$  is the positive number in Theorem II). As for the asymptotic behavior of a solution  $u$  of (1.1)', (1.2) and (1.3) (which exists globally on  $[0, \infty)$  by Theorem III), we have the following result.

**THEOREM IV** (asymptotic behavior). *Let  $u$  be a global solution of (1.1)' with initial conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Then*

$$(2.4) \quad \|u(t)\|^2 = O(1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.5) \quad |u(t)|_{j+1}^2 + |u_t(t)|_j^2 = O(t^{-j-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.6) \quad |u_{tt}(t)|_j^2 = O(t^{-j-1}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

for every  $j \geq 0$ .

If  $a \in C^2[0, \infty)$ , then

$$(2.7) \quad |u_{tt}(t)|_j^2 = O(t^{-j-2}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

for every  $j \geq 0$ .

As a consequence of Theorem IV, we can estimate the rate of the decay to zero of global solutions in the supremum norm. Put

$$\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in R^n} |u(x)| \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{B}(R_x^n),$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(R_x^n)$  denotes the space of all bounded continuous functions on  $R_x^n$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Let  $u$  be a global solution of (1.1)' with initial con-*

ditions (1.2) and (1.3). Then

$$(2.8) \quad \|u(t)\|_\infty = O(t^{-n/4}),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|u_i(t)\|_\infty, \|u_{it}(t)\|_\infty, \|u_{iit}(t)\|_\infty = O(t^{-(n+2)/4}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \|u_{iit}(t)\|_\infty, \|u_{ij}(t)\|_\infty = O(t^{-(n+4)/4}), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $u_i = \partial u / \partial x_i$ ,  $u_{ii} = \partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial t$  and  $u_{ij} = \partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_j$ .

*Remark 2.3.* Let  $u$  be a global solution of (1.1)' with initial conditions (1.2) and (1.3). If the data  $(u_0, u_1)$  are  $C_0^\infty(R_x^n)$ -functions, then it is easily seen from Theorem IV that the support of  $u(\cdot, t)$  is contained in the ball

$$\{x \in R^n; |x| \leq \alpha t + C_\alpha\}$$

for some  $C_\alpha$ . Here  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary number such that  $\alpha > a(0)^{1/2}$ .

### §3. Proofs of existence theorems

In this section we shall prove Theorems I, II and III. We first prepare the following elementary lemma without proof.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $F, G$  and  $H$  be non-negative continuous functions on  $[0, T]$  ( $T > 0$ ). If*

$$F(t)^2 \leq \int_0^t F(s)G(s)ds + H(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

then

$$F(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t G(s)ds + \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} H(s)^{1/2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

#### 3.1. Proof of Theorem I

Let an arbitrary  $T(> 0)$  be fixed. We denote by  $K$  the set of all functions  $v \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) such that

$$v(0) = u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_t(0) = u_1,$$

and

$$\|\text{grad } v(t)\|_1 \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_t(t)\|_1 \leq N \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_0,$$

where  $N$  is a positive constant satisfying

$$(3.1) \quad N \geq \frac{2}{\min\{1, a_0\}} \left\{ \|u_1\|_1^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u_0\|^2) \|\text{grad } u_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_0^T \|f(s)\|_1^2 ds \right\},$$

and  $T_0(\leq T)$  is a positive constant satisfying

$$(3.2) \quad \exp\left(\frac{2mN^2T_0}{a_0}\right) \leq 2 \quad (m = \max_{0 \leq r \leq N^2} |a'(r)|),$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad 4mN^2T_0 < 1.$$

For each  $v \in K$ , we consider the initial value problem for

$$(3.4) \quad u_{tt} - a(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2)\Delta u + \lambda u_t = f, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

with initial conditions (1.2) and (1.3). By (A.1) and the definition of  $K$ , the function  $t \rightarrow a(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2)$  is continuously differentiable on  $[0, T_0]$ . Therefore, it is easily seen that there exists a unique solution  $u \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) of (3.4) satisfying (1.2) and (1.3) (see e.g. Mizohata [8]). We define a mapping  $S$  by  $u = Sv$ .

We shall show that  $S$  maps  $K$  into itself. To see this, we put

$$(3.5) \quad u_\varepsilon(x, t) = (\rho_\varepsilon * u)(x, t) \equiv \int_{R^n} \rho_\varepsilon(x - y)u(y, t)dy,$$

where  $\rho_\varepsilon$  is Friedrichs' mollifier. (For the mollifier, see e.g. Mizohata [8].) Note that  $u_\varepsilon \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^s(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) for any  $s \geq 0$ . The application of  $\rho_\varepsilon$  to (3.4) gives

$$(3.6) \quad u_{\varepsilon,tt} - a(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2)\Delta u_\varepsilon + \lambda u_{\varepsilon,t} = f_\varepsilon, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

where  $f_\varepsilon(x, t) = (\rho_\varepsilon * f)(x, t)$ . Multiplying (3.6) by  $(1 - \Delta)\overline{u_{\varepsilon,t}}$  and integrating over  $R_x^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|_1^2 + a(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2) \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2 \} + \lambda \|u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|_1^2 \\ &= \text{Re}\{(f_\varepsilon(t), u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)) + (\text{grad } f_\varepsilon(t), \text{grad } u_{\varepsilon,t}(t))\} \\ & \quad + a'(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } v(t), \text{grad } v_t(t)) \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2 \\ & \leq \lambda \|u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{4\lambda} \|f_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2 + mN^2 \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Parseval's equality. Hence it follows that

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|_1^2 + a(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2) \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2 \\ & \leq \|u_{\varepsilon,t}(0)\|_1^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u_0\|^2) \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(0)\|_1^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_0^t \|f_\varepsilon(s)\|_1^2 ds + 2mN^2 \int_0^t \|\text{grad } u_\varepsilon(s)\|_1^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T_0$ . Letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  in (3.7) and using (A.1) we easily obtain

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } u(t)\|_1^2 \leq \|u_1\|_1^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u_0\|^2) \|\text{grad } u_0\|_1^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_1^2 ds + 2mN^2 \int_0^t \|\text{grad } u(s)\|_1^2 ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0; \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.8) implies, by virtue of Gronwall's inequality, that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_1^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } u(t)\|_1^2 \\ & \leq \left\{ \|u_1\|_1^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u_0\|^2) \|\text{grad } u_0\|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \int_0^t \|f(s)\|_1^2 ds \right\} \exp\left(\frac{2mN^2 t}{a_0}\right), \\ & \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, noting (3.1) and (3.2) we see  $u \in K$ :  $S$  maps  $K$  into itself.

Now we shall construct a local solution of the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3). Let  $u^0$  be any element in  $K$ . Define  $\{u^\mu\}_{\mu=0}^\infty$  by

$$u^{\mu+1} = Su^\mu, \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In other words,  $u^\mu$  is defined by

$$(3.9) \quad u_{tt}^\mu - a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta u^\mu + \lambda u_t^\mu = f, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

with initial conditions

$$u^\mu(x, 0) = u_0(x) \quad \text{and} \quad u_t^\mu(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in R^n.$$

Since we already know that  $S$  maps  $K$  into itself,

$$(3.10) \quad \|\text{grad } u^\mu(t)\|_1 \leq N \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_t^\mu(t)\|_1 \leq N$$

for all  $\mu \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T_0$ . If  $w^\mu$  is defined by  $w^\mu = u^\mu - u^{\mu-1}$ , it is easy to verify that  $w^\mu$  satisfies

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & w_{tt}^\mu - a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) \Delta w^\mu + \lambda w_t^\mu \\ & = \{a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) - a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-2}(t)\|^2)\} \Delta u^{\mu-1}, \end{aligned}$$

( $x \in R^n, t \in [0, T_0]$ ) with initial conditions

$$w^\mu(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w_t^\mu(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in R^n.$$

Multiplying (3.11) by  $\overline{w_t^\mu}$  and integrating over  $R_x^n$ , we get

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|w_t^\mu(t)\|^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) \|\text{grad } w^\mu(t)\|^2 \} + \lambda \|w_t^\mu(t)\|^2 \\ & = a'(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t), \text{grad } u_t^{\mu-1}(t)) \|\text{grad } w^\mu(t)\|^2 \\ & \quad + \{a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) - a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-2}(t)\|^2)\} (\Delta u^{\mu-1}(t), w_t^\mu(t)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T_0$ . Since, by (3.10) and Schwarz's inequality,

$$|a'(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2)\text{Re}(\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t), \text{grad } u_i^{\mu-1}(t))| \leq mN$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\{a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-1}(t)\|^2) - a(\|\text{grad } u^{\mu-2}(t)\|^2)\}(\Delta u^{\mu-1}(t), w_i^\mu(t))| \\ & \leq 2mN^2 \|\text{grad } w^{\mu-1}(t)\| \|w_i^\mu(t)\|, \end{aligned}$$

(3.12) leads us to the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_i^\mu(t)\|^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } w^\mu(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|w_i^\mu(s)\|^2 ds \\ (3.13) \quad & \leq 2mN^2 \left\{ \int_0^t \|\text{grad } w^\mu(s)\|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \|\text{grad } w^{\mu-1}(s)\| \|w_i^\mu(s)\| ds \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{2mN^2}{a_0} \int_0^t (\|w_i^\mu(s)\|^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } w^\mu(s)\|^2) ds \\ & \quad + 2mN^2 a_0 \int_0^t \|\text{grad } w^{\mu-1}(s)\|^2 ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, applying Gronwall's inequality to (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_i^\mu(t)\|^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } w^\mu(t)\|^2 & \leq 2mN^2 T_0 \cdot \max_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} \{a_0 \|\text{grad } w^{\mu-1}(s)\|^2\} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{2mN^2 t}{a_0}\right), \\ & 0 \leq t \leq T_0, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that  $\{u^\mu\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^i([0, T_0]; H^{1-i}(R_x^n))$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  (see (3.2) and (3.3)). Let  $u$  denote the limit of  $u^\mu$  in  $C^i([0, T_0]; H^{1-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). We can also see from (3.10) that  $u^\mu(t) \rightharpoonup u(t)$  (weak convergence) in  $H^2(R_x^n)$  uniformly in  $t \in [0, T_0]$  and  $u_i^\mu(t) \rightharpoonup u_i(t)$  in  $H^1(R_x^n)$  uniformly in  $t \in [0, T_0]$ ; so that, in view of (3.9),  $u_{ii}^\mu(t) \rightharpoonup u_{ii}(t)$  in  $L^2(R_x^n)$  uniformly in  $t \in [0, T_0]$ . Thus letting  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.9) we find that  $u$  satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad (u_{ii}(t), \phi) - a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)(\Delta u(t), \phi) + \lambda(u_i(t), \phi) = (f(t), \phi)$$

for every  $\phi \in L^2(R_x^n)$  and  $t \in [0, T_0]$ . Note that the mappings  $t \rightarrow u(t)$ ,  $t \rightarrow u_i(t)$  and  $t \rightarrow u_{ii}(t)$  are weakly continuous in  $H^2(R_x^n)$ ,  $H^1(R_x^n)$  and  $L^2(R_x^n)$ , respectively.

In order to prove  $u \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), we consider the initial value problem for

$$(3.15) \quad u_{ii}^* - a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)\Delta u^* + \lambda u_i^* = f, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \in [0, T_0],$$

with initial conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Since the function  $t \rightarrow a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)$

is continuously differentiable on  $[0, T_0]$ , the initial value problem (3.15), (1.2) and (1.3) has a unique solution  $u^* \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . Put  $w = u - u^*$ ; then, by (3.14) and (3.15),  $w$  satisfies the equation

$$(3.16) \quad (w_{,i}(t), \phi) - a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)(\Delta w(t), \phi) + \lambda(w_i(t), \phi) = 0,$$

for every  $\phi \in L^2(R_x^n)$  and  $t \in [0, T_0]$  with zero initial data. Hence, setting  $\phi = w_i$  in (3.16) and integrating over  $[0, t]$  ( $0 \leq t \leq T_0$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w_i(t)\|^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)\|\text{grad } w(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|w_i(s)\|^2 ds \\ &= 2 \int_0^t a'(\|\text{grad } u(s)\|^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } u(s), \text{grad } u_{,i}(s)) \|\text{grad } w(s)\|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

which assures  $w \equiv 0$  (i.e.  $u = u^*$ ) with the aid of Gronwall's inequality. Thus we have shown the existence of a function  $u \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) satisfying (1.1)–(1.3).

Finally we shall prove the uniqueness of local solutions. Let  $u, v \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) be two solutions of the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3). Put  $w^* = u - v$ ; then

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|w_i^*(t)\|^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)\|\text{grad } w^*(t)\|^2 \} + \lambda \|w_i^*(t)\|^2 \\ &= a'(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } u(t), \text{grad } u_{,i}(t)) \|\text{grad } w^*(t)\|^2 \\ & \quad + \{ a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) - a(\|\text{grad } v(t)\|^2) \} (\Delta v(t), w_i^*(t)), \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (3.12)). Since  $u, v \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), by integrating (3.17) over  $[0, t]$  and applying Gronwall's inequality we may conclude  $w^* \equiv 0$  on  $[0, T_0]$ , which completes the proof.

*Remark 3.1.* Our method of the proof of the local existence theorem is different from that of Menzala [7]. His proof is based on the use of Fourier transforms; the original problem (1.1)–(1.3) is equivalent to the following problem

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{u}_{,i}(\xi, t) + a(\|\xi\| \hat{u}(\cdot, t)\|^2) |\xi|^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t) + \lambda \hat{u}_i(\xi, t) = \hat{f}(\xi, t), & \xi \in R^n, t \geq 0, \\ \hat{u}(\xi, 0) = \hat{u}_0(\xi), & \xi \in R^n, \\ \hat{u}_{,i}(\xi, 0) = \hat{u}_{,i}(\xi), & \xi \in R^n, \end{cases}$$

where  $\hat{u}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $u$  (with respect to  $x$ ). To approach (3.18), Menzala defined approximate functions  $\{v_r(\xi, t)\}$  ( $v_r(\xi, t) \equiv 0$  for  $|\xi| \geq r$ ) as a solution of the truncated problem

$$\begin{cases} v_{r,t}(\xi, t) + a\left(\int_{|\xi| \leq r} |\xi|^2 |v_r(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi\right) |\xi|^2 v_r(\xi, t) + \lambda v_{r,t}(\xi, t) = \hat{f}(\xi, t), \\ v_r(\xi, 0) = \hat{u}_0(\xi), \\ v_{r,t}(\xi, 0) = \hat{u}_1(\xi), \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} |\xi| \leq r, \quad t \geq 0, \\ |\xi| \leq r, \\ |\xi| \leq r. \end{cases}$$

Then letting  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , he has constructed a local solution of (3.18).

See also the paper of Dickey [3].

**3.2. Proof of Theorem II**

Since the local existence result (Theorem I) is obtained, it suffices to get a priori bounds for any solution of (1.1)–(1.3) in order to show the global existence.

Let  $T$  be any fixed positive number and let  $u \in C^i([0, T]; H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) be a solution of (1.1)–(1.3) on  $[0, T]$ . Assume that the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$  belong to  $D(\delta)$  (see (2.1)). We shall show that, if  $\delta > 0$  is sufficiently small, then both  $\|u(t)\|_2$  and  $\|u_i(t)\|_1$  are bounded by a positive number which is independent of  $T$ , so that  $u$  may be extended to the interval  $[0, \infty)$ .

First multiplying (1.1) by  $\bar{u}_t$  and integrating over  $R_x^n$ , we get

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{\|u_t(t)\|^2 + A(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2)\} + \lambda \|u_t(t)\|^2 = \text{Re}(f(t), u_t(t)) \leq \|f(t)\| \|u_t(t)\|,$$

where  $A(r) = \int_0^r a(s) ds (\geq a_0 r$  for  $r \geq 0)$ . Integration of (3.19) with respect to  $t$  leads to

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|u_t(t)\|^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq \|u_1\|^2 + A(\|\text{grad } u_0\|^2) + 2 \int_0^t \|f(s)\| \|u_t(s)\| ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, applying Lemma 3.1 to (3.20) we have

$$(3.21) \quad \|u_t(t)\|^2 + a_0 \|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds \leq C_1(\delta)^2,$$

where  $C_1(\delta) = \{\delta^2 + A(\delta^2)\}^{1/2} + \delta$ . Next we multiply (1.1) by  $\bar{u}$  and integrate over  $R_x^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Re} \frac{d}{dt} (u_t(t), u(t)) + a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) \|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|^2 \\ & = \|u_t(t)\|^2 + \text{Re}(f(t), u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.22) \quad & \lambda \|u(t)\|^2 + 2a_0 \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u(s)\|^2 ds \leq \lambda \|u_0\|^2 + 2\|u_1\| \|u_0\| + 2\|u_t(t)\| \|u(t)\| \\
 & + 2 \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \|f(s)\| \|u(s)\| ds \\
 & \leq (\lambda + 2)\delta^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u(t)\|^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda} \|u_t(t)\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t \|u_t(s)\|^2 ds \\
 & + 2 \int_0^t \|f(s)\| \|u(s)\| ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining (3.21) and (3.22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u(t)\|^2 + 2a_0 \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u(s)\|^2 ds \leq (\lambda + 2)\delta^2 + \frac{2}{\lambda} C_1(\delta)^2 \\
 & + 2 \int_0^t \|f(s)\| \|u(s)\| ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies, with the use of Lemma 3.1, the existence of a positive constant  $C_0(\delta)$  (independent of  $T$ ) such that

$$(3.23) \quad \lambda \|u(t)\|^2 + 4a_0 \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u(s)\|^2 ds \leq C_0(\delta)^2$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . (Note that the estimates (3.21) and (3.23) hold for any  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^1(0, \infty; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ .)

Now in order to estimate  $\|u(t)\|_2$  and  $\|u_t(t)\|_1$ , it is convenient to employ Friedrichs' mollifier. If  $u_\varepsilon$  is defined by (3.5), then it satisfies

$$(3.24) \quad u_{\varepsilon,tt} - a(\|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2) \Delta u_\varepsilon + \lambda u_{\varepsilon,t} = f_\varepsilon, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $f_\varepsilon = (\rho_\varepsilon * f)$ . Multiplying (3.24) by  $-\Delta \overline{u_{\varepsilon,t}}$  and integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.25) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ \|\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|^2 + a(\|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2) \|\Delta u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \} + \lambda \|\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|^2 \\
 & = a'(\|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2) \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u(t), \operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t)) \|\Delta u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \\
 & \quad + \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} f_\varepsilon(t), \operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Integration of (3.25) with respect to  $t$  gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|^2 + a(\|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2) \|\Delta u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(s)\|^2 ds \\
 & = \|\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(0)\|^2 + a(\|\operatorname{grad} u_0\|^2) \|\Delta u_\varepsilon(0)\|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^t (\operatorname{grad} f_\varepsilon(s), \operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(s)) ds \\
 &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^t a'(\|\operatorname{grad} u(s)\|^2) (\operatorname{grad} u(s), \operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)) \|\Delta u_\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  it easily follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + a(\|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2) \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\
 (3.26) \quad &= \|\operatorname{grad} u_1\|^2 + a(\|\operatorname{grad} u_0\|^2) \|\Delta u_0\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^t (\operatorname{grad} f(s), \operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)) ds \\
 &+ 2\operatorname{Re} \int_0^t a'(\|\operatorname{grad} u(s)\|^2) (\operatorname{grad} u(s), \operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)) \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Using (A. 1) and (3.21) we rearrange (3.26); then

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 + a_0 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\
 (3.27) \quad &\leq \delta^2(1 + m_0(\delta^2)) + 2 \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} f(s)\| \|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)\| ds \\
 &+ \frac{2m_1(C_1(\delta)^2/a_0)C_1(\delta)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)\| \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

( $0 \leq t \leq T$ ), where  $m_0(r) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq r} a(s)$  and  $m_1(r) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq r} |a'(s)|$ .

If we multiply (3.24) by  $-\overline{\Delta u_\varepsilon}$  and integrate over  $R_x^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.28) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t), \operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \right\} \\
 &+ a(\|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2) \|\Delta u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2 \\
 &= \|\operatorname{grad} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)\|^2 + \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} f_\varepsilon(t), \operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.28) over  $[0, t]$  and letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  in the resulting expression we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(t), \operatorname{grad} u(t)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{grad} u(t)\|^2 + \int_0^t a(\|\operatorname{grad} u(s)\|^2) \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds \\
 (3.29) \quad &= \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_1, \operatorname{grad} u_0) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\operatorname{grad} u_0\|^2 + \int_0^t \|\operatorname{grad} u_\varepsilon(s)\|^2 ds \\
 &+ \operatorname{Re} \int_0^t (\operatorname{grad} f(s), \operatorname{grad} u(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using (2.1) and (3.21) we rearrange (3.29); then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \|\text{grad } u_t(t)\| \|\text{grad } u(t)\| + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2 + a_0 \int_0^t \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds \\
 (3.30) \quad & \leq \left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \delta^2 + \frac{\delta C_1(\delta)}{\sqrt{a_0}} + \int_0^t \|\text{grad } u_t(s)\|^2 ds
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Addition of (3.27) and (3.30)  $\times \lambda$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2} \|\text{grad } u_t(t)\|^2 + a_0 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|\text{grad } u_t(t)\| - \lambda \|\text{grad } u(t)\|)^2 \\
 & + \lambda \int_0^t \|\text{grad } u_t(s)\|^2 ds \\
 (3.31) \quad & + \int_0^t \left\{ \lambda a_0 - \frac{2m_1(C_1(\delta)^2/a_0)C_1(\delta)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \|\text{grad } u_t(s)\| \right\} \|\Delta u(s)\|^2 ds \\
 & \leq \delta^2 \left(1 + m_0(\delta^2) + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda \delta C_1(\delta)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \\
 & + 2 \int_0^t \|\text{grad } f(s)\| \|\text{grad } u_t(s)\| ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that the inequality

$$(3.32) \quad \lambda a_0^{3/2} - 2m_1(C_1(\delta)^2/a_0)C_1(\delta) \|\text{grad } u_t(t)\| \geq 0$$

holds on  $[0, \tau]$  ( $0 \leq \tau \leq T$ ). Then applying Lemma 3.1 to (3.31) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\text{grad } u_t(t)\|^2 + 2a_0 \|\Delta u(t)\|^2 \\
 (3.33) \quad & \leq \left[ \left\{ \delta^2(2 + 2m_0(\delta^2) + 2\lambda + \lambda^2) + \frac{2\lambda \delta C_1(\delta)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \right\}^{1/2} + 2 \int_0^t \|\text{grad } f(s)\| ds \right]^2 \\
 & \leq C_2(\delta)^2, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \tau,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_2(\delta) = \{\delta^2(2 + 2m_0(\delta^2) + 2\lambda + \lambda^2) + 2\lambda \delta C_1(\delta)/\sqrt{a_0}\}^{1/2} + 2\delta$ . Notice that  $C_i(\delta)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are increasing functions of  $\delta$  satisfying  $C_i(0) = 0$ . Hence it is possible to choose  $\delta_0$  as a (unique) solution of

$$(3.34) \quad \lambda a_0^{3/2} = 4m_1(C_1(\delta_0)^2/a_0)C_1(\delta_0)C_2(\delta_0).$$

Consequently, it is easily verified that, for  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in D(\delta)$  with  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ ,  $u$  satisfies (3.32) and, therefore, (3.33) on  $[0, T]$ . Thus we have obtained a priori bounds (3.21), (3.23) and (3.33). So we can conclude in the standard way that the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a solution  $u \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{2-i}(R_x^n))$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$ , satisfying (2.2).

The uniqueness part is evident from Theorem I.

### 3.3. Proof of Theorem III

First we note the following result whose proof is essentially the same

as that of Theorem I: for each  $u_0 \in H^{k+2}(R_x^n)$ ,  $u_1 \in H^{k+1}(R_x^n)$  and  $f \in C([0, \infty); H^{k+1}(R_x^n))$  with  $k \geq 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $T_0$  such that the initial value problem (1.1)–(1.3) has a unique solution  $u \in C^i([0, T_0]; H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) on  $[0, T_0]$ . Hence, in order to prove the existence of a global solution in the class  $C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ), it suffices to get a priori bounds for  $\|u(t)\|_{k+2}$  and  $\|u_t(t)\|_{k+1}$ .

Let  $T$  be any fixed positive number and let  $u \in C^i([0, T]; H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) be a solution of (1.1)–(1.3) on  $[0, T]$ . Take  $\delta_0$  as the positive number in Theorem II and assume  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in D(\delta_0)$ . (Recall that the estimates (3.21), (3.23) and (3.33) hold true with  $\delta = \delta_0$ .)

As in 3.2, we multiply (3.24) by  $(-\Delta)^j \bar{u}_{\varepsilon,t}$  ( $2 \leq j \leq k + 1$ ) and integrate over  $R_x^n$ . Then, by Parseval’s equality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \{ |u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)|_j^2 + a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) |u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)|_{j+1}^2 \} + \lambda |u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)|_j^2 \\ & = a'(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } u(t), \text{grad } u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)) |u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)|_{j+1}^2 \\ & \quad + \text{Re}((-\Delta)^{j/2} f_{\varepsilon}(t), (-\Delta)^{j/2} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (3.25)). Integrating the above equality over  $[0, t]$  and letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & |u_t(t)|_j^2 + a_0 |u(t)|_{j+1}^2 + 2\lambda \int_0^t |u_{\varepsilon}(s)|_j^2 ds \\ (3.35) \quad & \leq |u_1|_j^2 + a(|u_0|_1^2) |u_0|_{j+1}^2 + 2 \int_0^t |f(s)|_j \cdot |u_{\varepsilon}(s)|_j ds \\ & \quad + \frac{2m_1(C_1(\delta_0)^2/a_0) C_1(\delta_0) C_2(\delta_0)}{\sqrt{a_0}} \int_0^t |u(s)|_{j+1}^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.21) and (3.33).

If we multiply (3.24) by  $(-\Delta)^j \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}$  ( $2 \leq j \leq k + 1$ ) and integrate over  $R_x^n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \text{Re}((-\Delta)^{j/2} u_{\varepsilon,t}(t), (-\Delta)^{j/2} u_{\varepsilon}(t)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} |u_{\varepsilon}(t)|_j^2 \right\} + a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) |u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)|_{j+1}^2 \\ & = |u_{\varepsilon,t}(t)|_j^2 + \text{Re}((-\Delta)^{j/2} f_{\varepsilon}(t), (-\Delta)^{j/2} u_{\varepsilon}(t)) \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (3.28)). Hence, it follows by integrating with respect to  $t$  and letting  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & - |u_t(t)|_j \cdot |u(t)|_j + \frac{\lambda}{2} |u(t)|_j^2 + a_0 \int_0^t |u(s)|_{j+1}^2 ds \\ (3.36) \quad & \leq |u_1|_j \cdot |u_0|_j + \frac{\lambda}{2} |u_0|_j^2 + \int_0^t |u_{\varepsilon}(s)|_j^2 ds + \int_0^t |f(s)|_j \cdot |u(s)|_j ds \end{aligned}$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Addition of (3.35) and (3.36)  $\times \lambda$  yields

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} |u_t(t)|_j^2 + a_0 |u(t)|_{j+1}^2 &\leq |u_1|_j^2 + a(|u_0|_i^2) |u_0|_{j+1}^2 + \lambda |u_1|_j \cdot |u_0|_j + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} |u_0|_j^2 \\ &+ \int_0^t |f(s)|_j (2|u_t(s)|_j + \lambda |u(s)|_j) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

(cf. (3.31)), where we have used (3.34). Therefore, with the aid of Lemma 3.1, (3.37) gives inductively

$$(3.38) \quad |u_t(t)|_j^2 + 2a_0 |u(t)|_{j+1}^2 \leq C_{j+1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

for some  $C_{j+1}$ . In particular, if  $f \in L^1(0, \infty; H^{k+1}(R_x^n))$ , then  $C_{j+1}$  can be taken independent of  $T$ ; so that (2.3) holds. Thus the first half of Theorem III is proved.

Finally we shall show the latter half. Assume  $a \in C^k[0, \infty)$  and  $f \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+1-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Then, differentiating both sides of (1.1) with respect to  $t$ , we may conclude that  $u$  belongs to the class  $C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k + 2$ ).

### 3.4. Proof of Corollary 2.1.

By Sobolev's lemma,  $H^m(R_x^n)$  is imbedded in  $\mathcal{B}(R_x^n)$  if  $m \geq [n/2] + 1$  (see e.g. Sobolev [11] or Mizohata [8]). Therefore, all the conclusions of this corollary are evident from Theorem III.

## §4. Asymptotic behavior

In this section we shall consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the equations

$$(1.1)' \quad u_{tt} - a(\|\text{grad } u(t)\|^2) \Delta u + \lambda u_t = 0, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

with initial conditions (1.2) and (1.3). For simplicity, we assume that the data  $(u_0, u_1)$  are  $C_0^\infty(R_x^n)$ -functions. Moreover, assume that they satisfy

$$\|u_0\|_2 \leq \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_1\|_1 \leq \delta_0,$$

where  $\delta_0$  is the positive constant in Theorem II.

We already know by Theorems II and III that there exists a unique solution  $u \in C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+2-i}(R_x^n))$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ) satisfying

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_{k+2} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u_t(t)\|_{k+1} < \infty,$$

for any  $k \geq 0$ .

However, we shall show that, because of the presence of the dissipative term  $\lambda u_t$ , both  $|u(t)|_{j+1}$  and  $|u_t(t)|_j$  decay to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $j \geq 0$  (Theorem IV). ( $\|u(t)\|$  may not decay to zero as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .) Hence, by making use of Nirenberg's inequality (see (4.12)), we can prove that the solution  $u$  itself also decays to zero in the supremum norm as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  (Corollary 2.2).

4.1. Proof of Theorem IV

By (3.23), it is easy to see (2.4). Moreover, by (3.21) and (3.23), there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \|u(t)\|_2 \leq C \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_t(t)\|_1 \leq C$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

Now we shall prove the following stronger result than (2.5):

$$(4.2) \quad t^{j+1}(|u_t(t)|_j^2 + |u(t)|_{j+1}^2) + \int_0^t s^{j+1}|u_t(s)|_j^2 ds + \int_0^t s^j|u(s)|_{j+1}^2 ds \leq M_j^2, \\ t \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

with some  $M_j > 0$ .

In order to show (4.2) we employ the weighted energy method. Multiplying (3.19) (with  $f \equiv 0$ ) by  $t$  and integrating over  $[0, t]$  we have

$$(4.3) \quad t|u_t(t)|^2 + tA(|u(t)|_1^2) + 2\lambda \int_0^t s|u_t(s)|^2 ds = \int_0^t (|u_t(s)|^2 + A(|u(s)|_1^2)) ds, \\ t \geq 0.$$

Note the following inequality

$$a_0|u(t)|_1^2 \leq A(|u(t)|_1^2) \leq m_0|u(t)|_1^2, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $m_0 = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq C^2} a(r)$ . Hence, by virtue of (3.21) and (3.23), the right-hand side of (4.3) is bounded by a positive constant; which shows (4.2) for  $j = 0$ .

In order to prove (4.2) for  $j = 1$ , we introduce a pair of two non-negative functions  $\{\phi_1(t), \psi_1(t)\}$ ;  $\phi_1(t) \in C^3[0, \infty)$  is a monotone increasing function and  $\psi_1(t) \in C^3[0, \infty)$  is an auxiliary function of  $\phi_1(t)$ .

Multiplying (1.1)' by  $-\phi_1(t)\Delta \bar{u}_t$  and integrating over  $R_x^n \times [t_1, t]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1(t)(|u_t(t)|_1^2 + a(|u(t)|_1^2)|u(t)|_2^2) + 2\lambda \int_{t_1}^t \phi_1(s)|u_t(s)|_1^2 ds \\
&= \phi_1(t_1)(|u_t(t_1)|_1^2 + a(|u(t_1)|_1^2)|u(t_1)|_2^2) \\
(4.4) \quad & + 2 \int_{t_1}^t \phi_1(s)a'(|u(s)|_1^2) \operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u(s), \operatorname{grad} u_t(s))|u(s)|_2^2 ds \\
& + \int_{t_1}^t \phi_1'(s)(|u_t(s)|_1^2 + a(|u(s)|_1^2)|u(s)|_2^2) ds
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq t_1 \geq 0$ . Next multiplying (1.1)' by  $-\psi_1(t)\Delta \bar{u}$  and integrating over  $R_x^n \times [t_1, t]$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2\psi_1(t)\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_t(t), \operatorname{grad} u(t)) + (\lambda\psi_1(t) - \psi_1'(t))|u(t)|_1^2 \\
& + 2 \int_{t_1}^t \psi_1(s)a(|u(s)|_1^2)|u(s)|_2^2 ds + \int_{t_1}^t (\psi_1''(s) - \lambda\psi_1'(s))|u(s)|_1^2 ds \\
(4.5) \quad & = 2\psi_1(t_1)\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_t(t_1), \operatorname{grad} u(t_1)) \\
& + (\lambda\psi_1(t_1) - \psi_1'(t_1))|u(t_1)|_1^2 + 2 \int_{t_1}^t \psi_1(s)|u_t(s)|_1^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq t_1 \geq 0$ .

Addition of (4.4) and (4.5) leads to the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi_1(t)a(|u(t)|_1^2)|u(t)|_2^2 + \phi_1(t)|u_t(t)|_1^2 + 2\psi_1(t)\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_t(t), \operatorname{grad} u(t)) \\
& + (\lambda\psi_1(t) - \psi_1'(t))|u(t)|_1^2 + \int_{t_1}^t (\psi_1''(s) - \lambda\psi_1'(s))|u(s)|_1^2 ds \\
& + \int_{t_1}^t (2\lambda\phi_1(s) - \phi_1'(s) - 2\psi_1(s))|u_t(s)|_1^2 ds \\
(4.6) \quad & + \int_{t_1}^t \{(2\psi_1(s) - \phi_1'(s))a(|u(s)|_1^2) \\
& - 2\phi_1(s)a'(|u(s)|_1^2)\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u(s), \operatorname{grad} u_t(s))\}|u(s)|_2^2 ds \\
& = \phi_1(t_1)a(|u(t_1)|_1^2)|u(t_1)|_2^2 + \phi_1(t_1)|u_t(t_1)|_1^2 \\
& + 2\psi_1(t_1)\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{grad} u_t(t_1), \operatorname{grad} u(t_1)) + (\lambda\psi_1(t_1) - \psi_1'(t_1))|u(t_1)|_1^2
\end{aligned}$$

for  $t \geq t_1 \geq 0$ . Setting  $\phi_1(t) = t$  and  $\psi_1(t) = \lambda t/2$  in (4.6) and making  $t_1$  large enough ( $\lambda t_1 > 1$ ), we have, in view of (4.1) and (4.2) ( $j = 0$ ),

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad & a_0 t |u(t)|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} t |u_t(t)|_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} t (|u_t(t)|_1 - \lambda |u(t)|_1)^2 + \int_{t_1}^t (\lambda s - 1) |u_t(s)|_1^2 ds \\
& + \int_{t_1}^t \{(\lambda s - 1)a_0 - 2m_1 C M_0 s^{1/2}\} |u(s)|_2^2 ds \leq N_1, \quad t \geq t_1 \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

with some  $N_1$ , where  $m_1 = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq C^2} |a'(r)|$ . Consequently, (4.7), in particular, implies

$$(4.8) \quad |u_t(t)|_1^2 \leq N_2^2 t^{-1}, \quad t > 0,$$

with some  $N_2 > 0$ .

We shall return to the identity (4.6) in order to derive a better estimate than (4.7) by making use of (4.8). Reset  $\phi_1(t) = t^2$  and  $\psi_1(t) = \alpha_1 t$  in (4.6), where  $\alpha_1 > 1$  is a parameter. Then, (4.1), (4.2) ( $j = 0$ ) and (4.8) assure the existence of an  $N_3$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & t^2(\alpha_0 |u(t)|_2^2 + |u_t(t)|_1^2) - 2\alpha_1 t |u_t(t)|_1 \cdot |u(t)|_1 + \alpha_1 \lambda t |u(t)|_1^2 \\ & + 2 \int_{t_1}^t \{\lambda s - (\alpha_1 + 1)\} s |u(s)|_1^2 ds \\ & + 2 \int_{t_1}^t \{(\alpha_1 - 1)\alpha_0 - m_1 M_0 N_2\} s |u(s)|_2^2 ds \\ & \leq N_3 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1, \end{aligned}$$

so that, by choosing  $\alpha_1 (> 1)$  such that  $(\alpha_1 - 1)\alpha_0 > m_1 M_0 N_2$  and taking a sufficiently large  $t_1$ , we may conclude that (4.2) holds for  $j = 1$ .

In order to show (4.2) for  $j \geq 2$ , we employ the following identity: for any monotone increasing function  $\phi_j \in C^3[0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha_j > 1/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_j(t) (|u_t(t)|_j^2 + a(|u(t)|_1^2) |u(t)|_{j+1}^2) + 2\alpha_j \phi_j'(t) \text{Re}((-\Delta)^{j/2} u_t(t), (-\Delta)^{j/2} u(t)) \\ & + \alpha_j (\lambda \phi_j'(t) - \phi_j''(t)) |u(t)|_j^2 + \alpha_j \int_{t_j}^t (\phi_j'''(s) - \lambda \phi_j''(s)) |u(s)|_j^2 ds \\ & + \int_{t_j}^t \{2\lambda \phi_j(s) - (2\alpha_j + 1)\phi_j'(s)\} |u(s)|_j^2 ds \\ (4.9) \quad & + \int_{t_j}^t \{(2\alpha_j - 1)\phi_j'(s) a(|u(s)|_1^2) \\ & - 2\phi_j(s) a'(|u(s)|_1^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } u(s), \text{grad } u_t(s))\} |u(s)|_{j+1}^2 ds \\ & = \phi_j(t_j) (|u_t(t_j)|_j^2 + a(|u(t_j)|_1^2) |u(t_j)|_{j+1}^2) \\ & + 2\alpha_j \phi_j'(t_j) \text{Re}((-\Delta)^{j/2} u_t(t_j), (-\Delta)^{j/2} u(t_j)) \\ & + \alpha_j (\lambda \phi_j'(t_j) - \phi_j''(t_j)) |u(t_j)|_j^2, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_j \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by multiplying (1.1)' by  $(-\Delta)^j (\phi_j \bar{u}_t + \alpha_j \phi_j' \bar{u})$  and integrating over  $R_x^n \times [t_j, t]$ . Notice that

$$|\alpha'(|u(t)|_1^2) \text{Re}(\text{grad } u(t), \text{grad } u_t(t))| \leq m_1 M_0 M_1 t^{-3/2}$$

holds for  $t > 0$  (by (4.2) ( $j = 0, 1$ )). Hence, setting  $\phi_j(t) = t^{j+1}$  in (4.9) and taking a sufficiently large  $t_j$ , we can inductively prove (4.2) for  $j \geq 2$ . Thus (2.5) is verified.

To see (2.6), it suffices to apply (2.5) to (1.1)'.

Now we shall prove (2.7) when  $a \in C^2[0, \infty)$ . Set

$$b(t) = a(|u(t)|_0^2).$$

Then it follows from (4.1), (4.2) and (2.6) that

$$(4.10) \quad |b'(t)| \leq Kt^{-3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |b''(t)| \leq Kt^{-3/2}, \quad t > 0,$$

with some  $K > 0$ . Differentiation of (1.1)' with respect to  $t$  leads to the equation

$$(4.11) \quad u_{ttt} - b(t)\Delta u_t + \lambda u_{tt} - b'(t)\Delta u_t = 0, \quad x \in R^n, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Multiply (4.11) by  $(-\Delta)^j \{t^{j+2}\bar{u}_{tt} + \beta_j(j+2)t^{j+1}\bar{u}_t\}$  with  $\beta_j > 1/2$  and integrate the resulting expression over  $R_x^n \times [0, t]$ . Then as in the proof of (4.2), we can show (with the use of (4.10)) that there exist positive constants  $L_j$  such that

$$t^{j+2}(|u_{tt}(t)|_j^2 + |u_t(t)|_{j+1}^2) \leq L_j, \quad t \geq 0, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which asserts (2.7). Thus the proof is complete.

*Remark 4.1.* Suppose that the data  $(u_0, u_1)$  belong to  $H^{k+2}(R_x^n) \times H^{k+1}(R_x^n)$  for  $k \geq 0$ . As is easily seen from the proof of Theorem IV, it is possible to show (2.4), (2.5) for  $0 \leq j \leq k+1$  and (2.6) for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ . Moreover, if  $k \geq 1$  and  $a \in C^2[0, \infty)$ , then (2.7) also holds true for  $0 \leq j \leq k$ .

#### 4.2. Proof of Corollary 2.2.

Note the following well-known inequality due to Nirenberg [9];

$$(4.12) \quad \|u\|_\infty \leq c_0 |u|_m^\theta \|u\|^{1-\theta} \quad \text{for } u \in H^m(R_x^n),$$

where  $m \geq [n/2] + 1$  and  $0 < \theta = n/2m < 1$ . Then it follows from (2.4), (2.5) and (4.12) that

$$\|u(t)\|_\infty \leq c_0 |u(t)|_m^{n/2m} \|u(t)\|^{1-(n/2m)} \leq c_0' t^{-n/4},$$

which implies (2.8). Other decay estimates (2.9) and (2.10) are derived from (2.5), (2.6) and (4.12) in the same way.

*Remark 4.2.* In Corollary 2.2, decay estimates (2.8)–(2.10) still remain true for the initial data  $(u_0, u_1) \in H^{s+2}(R_x^n) \times H^{s+1}(R_x^n)$  with  $s = [n/2] + 1$  (see Remark 4.1).

§5. Some results on the mixed problem

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $R_x^n$  with  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\Gamma$ . We shall consider the following mixed problem

$$(5.1) \quad u_{tt} - a\left(\int_{\Omega} |\text{grad } u(x, t)|^2 dx\right) \Delta u + \lambda u_t = f, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

$$(5.2) \quad u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \Gamma, \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

$$(5.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$(5.4) \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $a$  is a function satisfying (A.1) and  $\lambda$  is a positive constant (see Dickey [1], [2] and Pohozaev [10]).

Let  $H^s(\Omega)$  be the usual Sobolev space of order  $s$ ; the space of functions  $u$  such that  $u$  and all its derivatives of order  $\leq s$  belong to  $L^2(\Omega)$ . The closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H^s(\Omega)$  is written by  $H_0^s(\Omega)$ . As in the preceding sections, we denote by  $\|\cdot\|_s$  (resp.  $\|\cdot\|$ )  $H^s(\Omega)$ -norm (resp.  $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm).

We define a positive self-adjoint operator  $A$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  by  $Au = -\Delta u$  with domain  $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . It is well known that  $D(A^{1/2}) = H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\|A^{1/2}u\| = \|\text{grad } u\|$  ( $u \in D(A^{1/2})$ ). So the mixed problem (5.1)–(5.4) can be written in an abstract form

$$\begin{cases} u_{tt}(t) + a(\|A^{1/2}u(t)\|^2)Au(t) + \lambda u_t(t) = f(t), & t \geq 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \\ u_t(0) = u_1. \end{cases}$$

Repeating the arguments in §3 with a slight modification, we can obtain the similar existence results on the mixed problem (5.1)–(5.4). We shall state them without proofs.

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $u_0 \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $f \in C([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega))$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $T_0$  such that the mixed problem (5.1)–(5.4) has a unique solution  $u$  on  $[0, T_0]$  satisfying*

$$u \in C([0, T_0]; H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, T_0]; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0, T_0]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

**THEOREM 5.2.** *There exists a positive number  $\delta_0$  (which depends on  $a$ ,  $a'$  and  $\lambda$ ) such that, if the data  $(u_0, u_1, f) \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega) \times C([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega))$  satisfy*

$$(5.5) \quad \|u_0\|_2 \leq \delta_0, \quad \|u_1\|_1 \leq \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \|f(s)\|_1 ds \leq \delta_0,$$

then the mixed problem (5.1)–(5.4) has a unique solution  $u$  on  $[0, \infty)$  such that

$$u \in C([0, \infty); H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap C^2([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)).$$

Furthermore,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t)\|_2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \geq 0} \|u_t(t)\|_1 < \infty.$$

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $a$  belong to the class  $C^{k+1}[0, \infty)$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) and let  $\delta_0$  be the positive number in Theorem 5.2. If the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$  satisfy*

$$u_0 \in D(A^{(k-2)/2}), \quad u_1 \in D(A^{(k+1)/2}), \quad A^{(k+1-i)/2} f \in C^i([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)),$$

$$(i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

and (5.5), then the mixed problem (5.1)–(5.4) has a unique solution  $u$  on  $[0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$A^{(k+2-i)/2} u \in C^i([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k + 2.$$

*Remark 5.1.* From  $A^{(k+2-i)/2} u \in C^i([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k + 1$ , it is easily seen that  $u$  belongs to the class  $C^i([0, \infty); H^{k+2-i}(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega))$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k + 1$ .

*Remark 5.2.* Pohozaev [10] has approached the mixed problem (5.1)–(5.4) with  $\lambda = 0$  via the Galerkin’s method. He has shown that there exists a global solution  $u$  of (5.1)–(5.4) if the data  $(u_0, u_1, f)$  are contained in some special classes of functions. See also Lions [4].

Finally we shall study the asymptotic behavior of global solutions. For simplicity, we assume that  $a \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$ ,  $f \equiv 0$  and that the initial data  $(u_0, u_1) \in C_0^\infty(\Omega) \times C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  satisfy (5.5). (Note that both  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  are in  $D(A^{k/2})$  for any  $k \geq 0$ .) Then making use of the weighted energy method developed in § 4, we can obtain the following exponential decay of solutions. (The key point of deriving the exponential decay lies in the use of Poincaré’s inequality

$$\|A^{1/2} u\| \geq c_0 \|u\| \quad \text{for } u \in D(A^{1/2}) = H_0^1(\Omega)$$

with some  $c_0 > 0$ .) See also Yamada [12].

**THEOREM 5.4.** *Let  $u$  be a solution of (5.1)–(5.4) with  $f \equiv 0$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that*

$$\|A^{(j+1)/2} u(t)\|^2 + \|A^{j/2} u_t(t)\|^2 = O(e^{-\alpha t}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

for every  $j \geq 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] R. W. Dickey, Infinite systems of nonlinear oscillation equations related to the string, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1969), 459–468.
- [ 2 ] ———, Infinite systems of nonlinear oscillation equations with linear damping, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **19** (1970), 208–214.
- [ 3 ] ———, The initial value problem for a nonlinear semi-infinite string, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, **82** (1978), 19–26.
- [ 4 ] J. L. Lions, On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics, *Contemporary Developments in Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations*, (ed. by G. M. de La Penha and L. A. Medeiros), North-Holland, 1978.
- [ 5 ] A. Matsumura, Global existence and asymptotics of the solutions of the second-order quasilinear hyperbolic equations with the first order dissipation, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **13** (1977), 349–379.
- [ 6 ] G. P. Menzala, Une solution d'une équation non linéaire d'évolution, *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **286** (1978), 273–275.
- [ 7 ] ———, On classical solutions of a quasilinear hyperbolic equation, *Memórias de Matemática da Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro*, 1978.
- [ 8 ] S. Mizohata, *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [ 9 ] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations, *Ann. Scuola. Norm. Sup. Pisa*, **13** (1959), 115–162.
- [10] S. I. Pohozaev, On a class of quasilinear hyperbolic equations, *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **25** (1975), 145–158.
- [11] S. L. Sobolev, *Applications of Functional Analysis in Mathematical physics*, Transl. Math. Monographs Vol. 7, A.M.S., 1963.
- [12] Y. Yamada, On the decay of solutions for some nonlinear evolution equations of second order, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **73** (1979), 69–98.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464, Japan*