

Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups

H. P. Yap

Maximal sum-free sets in elementary abelian 3-groups and groups $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ where p is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 3 are completely characterized.

Let G be an additive group. If S and T are non-empty subsets of G , we write $S \pm T$ for $\{s \pm t; s \in S, t \in T\}$ respectively, $|S|$ for the cardinality of S and \bar{S} for the complement of S in G . We say that S is sum-free in G if S and $S + S$ have no common element and that S is maximal sum-free in G if S is sum-free in G and $|S| \geq |T|$ for every T sum-free in G . We denote by $\lambda(G)$ the cardinality of a maximal sum-free set in G .

The numbers $\lambda(G)$ for abelian groups G were determined except when every prime divisor of $|G|$ is congruent to 1 modulo 3. In this exceptional case,

$$|G|(m-1)/3m \leq \lambda(G) \leq (|G|-1)/3$$

where m is the exponent of G [1]. If G is an elementary abelian p -group of order p^n , where $p = 3k + 1$, then $\lambda(G) = kp^{n-1}$ [3].

The structure of maximal sum-free sets in the following groups were completely characterized:

- (i) G is an abelian group such that $|G|$ has a prime divisor congruent to 2 modulo 3 [1, 5];

Received 4 November 1970.

- (ii) $G = \mathbb{Z}_p$ where p is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 3 [6, 3];
- (iii) G (abelian and non-abelian) is of order $3p$, where p is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 3 [7];
- (iv) G is an elementary abelian p -group where $p = 3k + 1$ [4];
- (v) in a recent letter to A.H. Rhemtulla, Anne Penfold Street mentioned that she is able to characterize maximal sum-free sets completely in $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ where p is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 3.

In this note, we shall completely characterize maximal sum-free sets in the following groups:

- (i) G is an elementary abelian 3-group;
- (ii) $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ where p is a prime congruent to 1 modulo 3.

We shall apply Theorem 4 of [1] and Theorem 1 of [7], which are restated respectively as Theorems 1 and 2 here, to prove Theorems 3 and 4.

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a finite abelian group. Suppose $|G|$ has no prime factor congruent to 2 modulo 3 but has 3 as a factor. If S is a maximal sum-free set in G , then S is a union of cosets of a subgroup H , of order $|G|/3m$ ($3m \mid |G|$), of G , such that one of the following holds:*

- (i) $|S+S| = 2|S| - |H|$,
- (ii) $|S+S| = 2|S|$ and $S \cup (S+S) = G$.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be a maximal sum-free set in $G = \mathbb{Z}_{3p}$ such that S is not a coset of H , $H = \{0, 3, 6, \dots, 3(p-1)\}$; then there exists an automorphism θ of G for which $S = S'\theta$ where $S' = \{p, p+1, \dots, 2p-1\}$.*

THEOREM 3. *Let G be an elementary abelian 3-group. If S is a maximal sum-free set in G , then S is a coset of a subgroup H , of order $|G|/3$, of G .*

THEOREM 4. *Let S be a maximal sum-free set in $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then either S is a union of cosets of \mathbb{Z}_p and S/\mathbb{Z}_p is a maximal sum-free set in G/\mathbb{Z}_p or there exists an automorphism ϕ of G such that $S = S'\phi$ where S' is a union of cosets of a subgroup K , of order 3, of G for which S'/K is a maximal sum-free set in G/K .*

Theorem 4 together with Theorems 2 and 3 completely characterize maximal sum-free sets in $G = \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $|G| = 3^n$, $n \geq 2$.

If $x \in S$, then $-x = 2x \notin S$. Thus $-S \cap S = \emptyset$. Also $S \cap (S-S) = \emptyset$ and $-S \cap (S-S) = \emptyset$ imply that

$$|S-S| \leq 3^n - 2 \cdot 3^{n-1} = 3^{n-1}. \text{ But } |S-S| \geq |S| = 3^{n-1}. \text{ Hence } |S-S| = 3^{n-1}.$$

By Kneser's Theorem [2, Theorem 1.5], there exists a subgroup H of G such that

$$S - S + H = S - S \text{ and } |S-S| \geq |S+H| + |-S+H| - |H|.$$

It is clear that H is a proper subgroup of G .

Suppose that $|H| = 3^m$, $n-2 \geq m \geq 0$. Then

$$|S-S| \geq 2|S| - |H| > 3^{n-1} \text{ which is impossible. Consequently, } S \text{ is a coset of a subgroup } H, \text{ of order } 3^{n-1}, \text{ of } G.$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $H = H_0$ be a subgroup, of order $3p$, of G . Let $x_1, x_2 \in G$ be such that $x_1 + x_2 = 0$, $2x_1 = x_2$ and $G = H_0 \cup H_1 \cup H_2$, where $H_i = x_i + H$.

Let $x_0 = 0$, $x_i + S_i = S \cap H_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. (This method is due to Rheimtulla and Street [3].)

If S is a coset of H , then there is nothing to prove. We assume that $S \neq H_1$ and $S_1 \neq \emptyset$. We know that $|S_0| \leq p$ and we assume that $|S_1| \geq |S_2|$.

Case 1. Suppose that $0 \leq |S_0| < p$.

We first consider the case that $|S_0| > 0$, $|S_2| < p$. From $(S_1 - S_1) \cap S_0 = \emptyset$ and $(S_1 - S_1) \cup S_0 \subseteq H$, we have $3p \geq |S_0| + |S_1 - S_1|$.

By Kneser's Theorem, there exists a subgroup K of G such that $S_1 - S_1 + K = S_1 - S_1$ and $|S_1 - S_1| \geq |S_1 + K| + |-S_1 + K| - |K|$.

It is clear that K is a proper subgroup of H .

If $|K| = p^j$, $j = 0$ or 1 , then

$$\begin{aligned} 3p &\geq |S_0| + |S_1| + |S_1 + K| - |K| \\ &\geq |S_0| + |S_1| + \left\lceil \frac{p+v}{p^j} \right\rceil p^j - p^j \quad (|S_1| = p+v > p) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest positive integer $\geq x$. Thus

$$3p \geq |S_0| + |S_1| + p > |S_0| + |S_1| + |S_2| = 3p$$

which is impossible.

If $|K| = 3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 3p &\geq |S_0| + 2 \left\lceil \frac{p+v}{3} \right\rceil 3 - 3 \quad (v = 2p - |S_0| - |S_2|) \\ &= |S_0| + 2(k+(t+1))3 - 3 \quad (p = 3k+1, 3t < v+1 \leq 3(t+1)) \\ &\geq 6p - |S_0| - 2|S_2| - 3 \\ &\geq 6p - 3(p-1) - 3 = 3p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence equality holds good for each of all the above steps. We then have

$$|S_0| = p - 1 = |S_2|, \quad |S_1| = p + 2,$$

and

$$S_1, \quad S_0 = \overline{S_1 - S_1}, \quad S_2 = \overline{S_1 + S_1}$$

are unions of cosets of K in H . Applying Vosper's Theorem [2, Theorem 1.3] to $S_1/K + S_1/K$ in H/K , we can prove that $S_2 = \overline{S_1 + S_1}$.

Suppose that $S_0 = U(\alpha_i + K)$, $S_1 = U(\beta_i + K)$, $S_2 = U(\gamma_i + K)$, $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i \in H$. Then

$$S = \left(U\alpha_i \cup (x_1 + U\beta_i) \cup (x_2 + U\gamma_i) \right) + K$$

which is what we intend to prove.

For either the case $|S_0| = 0$ and $0 < |S_2| < p$ or the case $|S_2| \geq p$, using $(S_1+S_1) \cap S_2 = \emptyset$, $(S_1+S_1) \cup S_2 \subseteq H$ and applying arguments similar to that given above, we will get a contradiction.

Case 2. When $|S_0| = p$, S_0 is a maximal sum-free set in H . We now write $H = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 3p-1\}$.

If S_0 is a coset of $H' = \{0, 3, 6, \dots, 3(p-1)\}$, then since $|S_1| \geq |S_2|$, we have $|S_1| \geq p$. If $|S_1| > p$, then $|S_0+S_1| \geq 2p$ which contradicts $(S_0+S_1) \cap S_1 = \emptyset$. Hence $|S_1| = p = |S_2|$.

By simple arguments, using $(S_0+S_1) \cap S_1 = \emptyset$, we can show that S_1 is a coset of H' . Similarly, S_2 is also a coset of H' .

Let $S_i = \alpha_i + H'$, $\alpha_i \in H$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} S &= (\alpha_0+H') \cup (x_1+\alpha_1+H') \cup (x_2+\alpha_2+H') \\ &= (\alpha_0 \cup (x_1+\alpha_1) \cup (x_2+\alpha_2)) + H' , \end{aligned}$$

which shows that S is a union of cosets of Z_p and S/Z_p is sum-free in G/Z_p .

If S_0 is not a coset of H' , then by Theorem 2, S_0 is isomorphic to $\{p, p+1, \dots, 2p-1\}$ under the automorphism θ of H given in [7]. We now extend θ to an automorphism ϕ of G by means of the following mapping:

For each $x \in H$, we define

$$(x_i+x)\phi = x_i + x\theta, \quad i = 0, 1, 2.$$

Thus, up to isomorphism, we can write

$$S_0 = \{p, p+1, \dots, 2p-1\}.$$

Now, if $|S_1| > p$, then applying Kneser's Theorem to $S_1 - S_1$ in H , we will get a contradiction. Hence $|S_1| = |S_2| = p$.

Let $S_1 = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p\}$, $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_p \leq 3p-1$.

We first consider the case that $s_p - s_1 > p - 1$.

Suppose that $s_{i+1} - s_i \leq p$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$; then from $S_1 \subseteq \overline{S_0 + S_1}$, we will get a contradiction. Otherwise, for at most one $i = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$, $s_{i+1} - s_i > p$, and again from $S_1 \subseteq \overline{S_0 + S_1}$, we get another contradiction.

We now consider the case that $s_p - s_1 = p - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{\alpha, \alpha+1, \dots, \alpha+p-1\} \\ S_2 &= \{\beta, \beta+1, \dots, \beta+p-1\} \end{aligned}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta.$$

We can prove that $\alpha + \beta = 2p$ or $\alpha + \beta = 2p + 1$.

The case that $\alpha + \beta = 2p + 1$ cannot occur.

The case that $\alpha + \beta = 2p$ will yield $\alpha = 0$ or $\alpha = p$.

The final results are

- (i) $S_2 = S_1 = S_0$ and
- (ii) $S_1 = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, $S_2 = \{2p, 2p+1, \dots, 3p-1\}$.

The first case shows that S/Z_3 is a maximal sum-free set in G/Z_3 .

In the second case, if we write $H = \{0, 1, \dots, 3p-1\}$ as $\{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), \dots, (0, 2, p-1)\}$ and take $x_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $x_2 = (2, 0, 0)$, then $S = \{(0, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0), (2, 2, 0)\} + K$, $K = \{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), \dots, (0, 0, p-1)\}$.

The proof of Theorem 4 is now complete.

References

- [1] Palahenedi Hewage Diananda and Hian Poh Yap, "Maximal sum-free sets of elements of finite groups", *Proc. Japan Acad.* 45 (1969), 1-5.

- [2] Henry B. Mann, "Addition theorems: The addition theorems of group theory and number theory", *Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, no. 18 (John Wiley, New York, London, Sydney, 1965).
- [3] A.H. Rhemtulla and Anne Penfold Street, "Maximal sum-free sets in finite abelian groups", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 2 (1970), 289-297.
- [4] A.H. Rhemtulla and Anne Penfold Street, "Maximal sumfree sets in elementary abelian p -groups", *Canad. Math. Bull.* (to appear).
- [5] H.P. Yap, "The number of maximal sum-free sets in C_p ", *Nanta Math.* 2 (1968), 68-71.
- [6] H.P. Yap, "Structure of maximal sum-free sets in C_p ", *Acta Arith.* 17 (1970), 29-35.
- [7] Hian Poh Yap, "Structure of maximal sum-free sets in groups of order $3p$ ", *Proc. Japan Acad.* (to appear).

University of Alberta,
Edmonton,
Canada.