

EXPLICIT ZERO-COUNTING THEOREM FOR HECKE–LANDAU ZETA-FUNCTIONS

MACIEJ GRZEŚKOWIAK

(Received 6 October 2016; accepted 15 October 2016; first published online 6 February 2017)

Abstract

We give an explicit upper bound for the number of zeros of Hecke–Landau zeta-functions in a rectangle.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 11M06; secondary 11M26, 11R42.

Keywords and phrases: complete lattice, distributive lattice, complete congruence, congruence lattice.

1. Introduction

Let K denote any fixed totally imaginary field with discriminant $\Delta = \Delta(K)$ and degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2r_2$, where $2r_2$ is the number of complex-conjugate fields of K . Denote by \mathfrak{f} a given nonzero integral ideal of the ring of algebraic integers O_K and by $H \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ any ideal class mod \mathfrak{f} in the ‘narrow’ sense. Let $\chi(H)$ be a character of the abelian group of ideal classes $H \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$ and let $\chi(\mathfrak{a})$ be the usual extension of $\chi(H)$. Let $s = \sigma + it$. The Hecke–Landau zeta-functions associated to χ are defined by

$$\zeta(s, \chi) = \sum_{\mathfrak{a} \in O_K} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{(N\mathfrak{a})^s}, \quad \sigma > 1,$$

where \mathfrak{a} runs through integral ideals and $N\mathfrak{a}$ is the norm of \mathfrak{a} . Throughout, χ_0 denotes the principal character modulo \mathfrak{f} . Let $N_\chi(T)$ denote the number of zeros of $\zeta(s, \chi)$ in the rectangle $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, $|t| \leq T$. The aim of this paper is to prove the following explicit estimate for $N_\chi(T)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $T \geq 1$ and $\chi \neq \chi_0$ be a primitive character modulo \mathfrak{f} . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| N_\chi(T) - \frac{T}{\pi} \log \left(\left(\frac{T}{2\pi e} \right)^{2r_2} |\Delta|N\mathfrak{f} \right) \right| &\leq 2r_2(A_1 \log T + A_2 \log \log(T+5)) \\ &\quad + 2r_2(A_3 \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f}) + A_4 \log \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2} + A_5), \end{aligned}$$

The author was partially supported by the National Science Centre (grant no. 2013/11/B/ST1/02799).

© 2017 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2017 \$16.00

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{1}{2\pi \log 2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{2}{\log 2}, \quad A_3 = \frac{1}{4\pi \log 2}, \quad A_4 = \frac{1}{\log 2}, \\ A_5 &= A_5(T, \Delta, \mathfrak{f}) = \frac{1}{\pi \log 2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{\log(T+3)} + \frac{\log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}}{\log(T+3)} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi \log 2} (1 + 2\eta(T)) \log \left(1 + \frac{5}{T} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi \log 2} \eta(T) \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3r_2}{2 \log 2} \log \left(\left(1 + \frac{4}{\log((|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(T+3))} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\log((|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2})}{\log(T+3)} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + 3.347190 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta(T) = (\log(e^3 (|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2} (T+3)))^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

For the Riemann zeta-function $\zeta(s)$, estimates of this kind were obtained by Backlund [1] and Rosser [9] and improved by Trudgian [10, 11]. Estimates for the Dirichlet L-functions $L(s, \chi)$ and for the Dedekind zeta-functions $\zeta_K(s)$ are due to McCurley [7] and Kadiri and Ng [5], respectively. Recently, Trudgian [12] made significant improvements to the results for the Dirichlet L-functions and the Dedekind zeta-functions by a generalisation of the method introduced by Backlund [1] for the Riemann zeta-function. Theorems of this type for the Hecke–Landau zeta-functions exist in the literature, but do not have explicit constants. Our proof is similar in spirit to that of Trudgian [12], although the explicit expressions for the numerical constants do not contain the parameter $0 < \eta \leq \frac{1}{2}$ which appears in [12].

Explicit results of this kind are useful for estimating the computational complexity of an algorithm which generates special primes [4]. Such primes are needed to construct an elliptic curve over a prime field using complex multiplication. In order to calculate exactly the running time of the algorithm, one needs an explicit bound for the number of special primes from the interval $[x, 2x]$ and this involves estimating sums over the zeros of $\zeta(s, \chi)$. Because of this application, we consider only Hecke–Landau zeta-functions of a totally imaginary algebraic number field.

2. Lemmas used in the proof of the main theorem

The function $\zeta(s, \chi_0)$ is regular in the whole complex plane, except for a simple pole at $s = 1$. For $\chi \neq \chi_0$, $\zeta(s, \chi)$ is regular in the whole complex plane. If $\chi \neq \chi_0$ is a primitive character modulo \mathfrak{f} , then $\zeta(s, \chi)$ satisfies the functional equation [6, Satz LXI, page 100]

$$\Phi(s, \chi) = W(\chi) \Phi(1 - s, \bar{\chi}), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\Phi(s, \chi) = A(\mathfrak{f})^s \Gamma(s)^{r_2} \zeta(s, \chi)$, $A(\mathfrak{f}) = (2\pi)^{-r_2} \sqrt{|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f}}$ and $|W(\chi)| = 1$.

The Γ -function, $\Gamma(s)$, can be extended over the whole complex plane as a meromorphic function with simple poles at the negative integers and zero. We need an explicit version of Stirling's formula (for the first part, compare [8, page 294]).

LEMMA 2.1. For $|\arg s| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$,

$$\log \Gamma(s) = \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + R_2(s), \quad |R_2(s)| \leq \frac{C_1}{|s|},$$

$$\log \Gamma(s) = \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log s - s + \frac{1}{12s} - \frac{1}{360s^2} + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + R_4(s), \quad |R_4(s)| \leq \frac{C_2}{|s|^3},$$

where $C_1 = \frac{1}{12}$ and $C_2 = 0.0065$.

PROOF. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows [3]. Let the ‘split plane’ be the set of all complex numbers other than the negative reals and zero. Throughout the split plane,

$$\Gamma(s) = \sqrt{2\pi} s^{(s-1/2)} \exp\left(-s + \frac{B_2}{2s} + \frac{B_4}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot s^3} + \cdots + \frac{B_{2n}}{2n(2n-1)s^{2n-1}} + R_{2n}\right),$$

where

$$R_{2n} = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\overline{B_{2n}}(x) dx}{2n(s+x)^{2n}} = - \int_0^\infty \frac{\overline{B_{2n+1}}(x) dx}{(2n+1)(s+x)^{2n+1}}$$

and

$$\overline{B_n}(x) = B_n(x - [x]), \quad B_n = B_n(0)$$

and $B_n(x)$ are the Bernoulli polynomials. For $|\arg s| \leq \frac{1}{2}\pi$,

$$\log \Gamma(s) = \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right) \log s - s + \frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi + \frac{B_2}{2s} + \cdots + \frac{B_{2n}}{2n(2n-1)s^{2n-1}} + R_{2n}.$$

We use the above formula with $n = 1, 2$. For $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$B_{2n}(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{2 \cdot (2n)!}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2m\pi x)}{m^{2n}}.$$

Hence,

$$|\overline{B}_2(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^2} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \zeta(2) = \frac{1}{6},$$

$$|\overline{B}_4(x)| \leq \frac{2 \cdot 4!}{(2\pi)^4} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^4} \leq \frac{2 \cdot 4!}{(2\pi)^4} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^4} + \int_3^\infty \frac{dy}{y^4}\right) = 0.033104.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{2(s+x)^2} = \frac{1}{2s}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{|s+x|^4} &\leq \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(|s|^2 + x^2)^2} = \frac{1}{|s|^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t^2)^2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{|s|^3} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{1+\tan^2 x} dx = \frac{1}{|s|^3} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 x dx = \frac{\pi}{4|s|^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_2(0) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $B_4(0) = -\frac{1}{30}$, we obtain $|R_2(s)| \leq 1/(12|s|)$ and

$$|R_4(s)| \leq \frac{0.033104}{16} \frac{\pi}{|s|^3} = \frac{0.0065}{|s|^3}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

For $\delta > 0$, $\chi \neq \chi_0$, we define $\Delta_+ \arg \zeta(s, \chi)$ to be the change in argument of $\zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)$ as σ varies from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2} + \delta$, and we define $\Delta_- \arg \zeta(s, \chi)$ to be the change in argument of $\zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)$ as σ varies from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $\frac{1}{2} - \delta$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Fix $\sigma_1 > 1$. Let $s = \sigma + iT$ and $\delta \leq \sigma_1$. For $T \geq 1$,*

$$|\Delta_+ \arg \zeta(s, \chi) + \Delta_- \arg \zeta(s, \chi)| \leq \frac{1}{2} r_2 \left(\frac{8}{5} \sigma_1^2 + \frac{128}{81} (\sigma_1^6 + 3\sigma_1^3) + 3\sigma_1 \pi + \frac{2}{3} \right).$$

PROOF. Since $\Phi(s, \chi) = \overline{\Phi(1 - \bar{s}, \chi)}$, (2.1) shows that

$$\Delta_+ \arg \Phi(s, \chi) = -\Delta_- \arg \Phi(s, \chi).$$

It is easy to check that $\Delta_{\pm} \arg A(\mathfrak{f})^s = 0$. Hence,

$$|\Delta_+ \arg \zeta(s, \chi) + \Delta_- \arg \zeta(s, \chi)| = |\Delta_+ \arg \Gamma(s)^{r_2} + \Delta_- \arg \Gamma(s)^{r_2}|.$$

For $T \geq 1$, Lemma 2.1 shows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_+ \arg \Gamma(s)^{r_2} + \Delta_- \arg \Gamma(s)^{r_2}| &\leq r_2 \operatorname{Arg} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \delta + iT \right) + r_2 \operatorname{Arg} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \delta + iT \right) \\ &\quad + r_2 T \log \frac{\left| \frac{1}{2} + \delta + iT \right|}{\left| \frac{1}{2} + \delta \right|} + r_2 T \log \frac{\left| \frac{1}{2} - \delta + iT \right|}{\left| \frac{1}{2} - \delta \right|} + \frac{r_2}{3T} \\ &\leq \frac{r_2}{2} T \log \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2 + \delta}{\frac{1}{4} + T^2} \right) + \frac{r_2}{2} T \log \left(1 + \frac{\delta^2 - \delta}{\frac{1}{4} + T^2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3\delta\pi r_2}{2} + \frac{r_2}{3T} \\ &\leq \frac{r_2}{2} \left(\frac{8}{5} \delta^2 + \frac{128}{81} (\delta^6 + 3\delta^3) + 3\delta\pi + \frac{2}{3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 2r_2$ and $\eta(t) = (\log(e^3(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(|t| + 3)))^{-1}$. Then*

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it, \chi)| \leq e^{r_2} (|t| + 3)^{r_2(1-\sigma)} (|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{(1-\sigma)/2} (\log(e^4(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(|t| + 3)))^{2r_2}$$

for $-\eta(t) \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \eta(t)$, where $\chi \neq \chi_0$ is a primitive character modulo \mathfrak{f} .

PROOF. Let $s = \sigma + it$ and $\chi \neq \chi_0$. Consider

$$g(s, \chi) = \frac{\zeta(s, \chi)}{\zeta(1 - s, \bar{\chi})}, \tag{2.2}$$

where χ is a primitive character modulo \mathfrak{f} . From the functional equation for $\zeta(s, \chi)$,

$$g(s, \chi) = W(\chi) A(\mathfrak{f})^{1-2s} \left(\frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \right)^{r_2}. \quad (2.3)$$

We estimate $g(s, \chi)$ on the line $s = -\eta + it$, $0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{4}$, using the inequality

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{\Gamma(s)} \right| \leq 1.4 \max(1, |s|^{1+2\eta}) \quad (2.4)$$

(see [2, page 58]). From (2.3) and (2.4),

$$|g(-\eta + it, \chi)| \leq 1.4^{r_2} A(\mathfrak{f})^{1+2\eta} (\max(1, |-\eta + it|^{1+2\eta}))^{r_2} \quad (2.5)$$

for $-\infty < t < \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon, \eta, \delta$, where $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{4}$ and $-\eta < \delta < 1 + \eta$. Define $G(s, \chi) = G(s, \varepsilon, \sigma_0, \chi)$ by

$$G(s, \chi) = e^{\varepsilon s} ((-1 - 3\eta - 2\delta + s)(-1 - 3\eta - s))^{r_2(s-1-\eta)/2} \zeta(s, \chi). \quad (2.6)$$

The function $G(s, \chi)$ is regular in the strip $-\eta \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \eta$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, since

$$t(\operatorname{Arg}(-1 - 4\eta - 2\delta + it) + \operatorname{Arg}(-1 - 2\eta - it)) \geq 0,$$

and so, from (2.2) and (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} |G(-\eta + it, \chi)| &\leq e^{-\varepsilon\eta} (|1 + 4\eta + 2\delta - it| |1 + 2\eta + it|)^{(-r_2/2)(1+2\eta)} |\zeta(s, \chi)| \\ &\leq 1.4^{r_2} e^{-\varepsilon\eta} A(\mathfrak{f})^{1+2\eta} |\zeta(1 + \eta + it, \bar{\chi})| \\ &\leq 1.4^{r_2} e^{-\varepsilon\eta} A(\mathfrak{f})^{1+2\eta} \zeta_K(1 + \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand,

$$|G(1 + \eta + it, \chi)| \leq e^{\varepsilon(1+\eta)} |\zeta(1 + \eta + it, \chi)| \leq e^{\varepsilon(1+\eta)} \zeta_K(1 + \eta). \quad (2.8)$$

We use the estimate $|\zeta(s, \chi)| \leq A_1 e^{A_2|t|}$, valid in the strip $-\eta \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \eta$, where A_1, A_2 depend on K, χ and \mathfrak{f} . This yields

$$G(\delta + it, \chi) = O(e^{A_3|t|}) \quad (2.9)$$

for $-\eta \leq \sigma \leq 1 + \eta$, $-\infty < t < \infty$. From (2.7)–(2.9) and the well-known theorem of Phragmen–Lindelöf,

$$|G(\delta + it, \chi)| \leq \zeta_K(1 + \eta) \max(1.4^{r_2} e^{-\varepsilon\eta} A(\mathfrak{f})^{1+2\eta}, e^{\varepsilon(1+\eta)}).$$

From (2.6),

$$|\zeta(\delta + it, \chi)| \leq |1 + 3\eta + \delta + it|^{r_2(1+\eta-\delta)} e^{\varepsilon(1+\eta-\delta)} \zeta_K(1 + \eta),$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \log A(\mathfrak{f}) + \frac{r_2}{1+2\eta} \log 1.4.$$

Hence,

$$|\zeta(\delta + it, \chi)| \leq (|t| + 3)^{r_2(1+\eta-\delta)} (|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{(1+\eta-\delta)/2} \zeta_K(1 + \eta).$$

Using

$$\zeta_K(1 + \eta) \leq (\zeta(1 + \eta))^{2r_2} \leq \left(1 + \int_2^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{1+\eta}}\right)^{2r_2} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta}\right)^{2r_2}$$

and putting $\eta = (\log(e^3(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(|t| + 3)))^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}$ yields

$$|\zeta(\delta + it, \chi)| \leq e^{r_2} (|t| + 3)^{r_2(1-\delta)} (|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{(1-\delta)/2} (\log(e^4(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(|t| + 3)))^{2r_2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.4. *For $\sigma > 1$,*

$$|\zeta(\sigma + it, \chi)| > \frac{1}{\zeta_K(\sigma)}.$$

PROOF. Let $s = \sigma + it$. Then

$$\frac{1}{|\zeta(s, \chi)|} = \left| \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p})}{(N\mathfrak{p})^s}\right) \right| \leq \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(N\mathfrak{p})^\sigma}\right) < \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(N\mathfrak{p})^\sigma}\right)^{-1} = \zeta_K(\sigma).$$

This completes the proof. \square

3. The proof of the main theorem

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. Let $\sigma_1 > 1$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_1(T)$ and \mathcal{R} be a positively oriented rectangle with vertices at $\sigma_1 \pm iT$, $1 - \sigma_1 \pm iT$, where $\pm T$ does not coincide with the ordinate of a zero of $\zeta(s, \chi)$. Let C be the part of the contour \mathcal{R} with $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2}$. By Cauchy's theorem,

$$2\pi N_\chi(T) = \Delta_{\mathcal{R}} \arg \Phi(s, \chi) = \Im \int_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds. \quad (3.1)$$

From the functional equation,

$$\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) = -\frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(1 - s, \bar{\chi}).$$

Since $\overline{\Phi(s, \bar{\chi})} = \Phi(\bar{s}, \chi)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \int_{1-\sigma_1+iT}^{1-\sigma_1-iT} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds &= \int_T^{-T} \Re \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(1 - \sigma_1 + it, \chi) dt = - \int_T^{-T} \Re \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(\sigma_1 - it, \bar{\chi}) dt \\ &= - \int_T^{-T} \Re \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(\sigma_1 + it, \chi) dt = \Im \int_{\sigma_1-iT}^{\sigma_1+iT} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Im \int_{\sigma_1+iT}^{(1/2)+iT} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds &= \int_{\sigma_1}^{1/2} \Im \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(\sigma + iT, \chi) d\sigma = - \int_{\sigma_1}^{1/2} \Im \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(1 - \sigma - iT, \bar{\chi}) d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\sigma_1}^{1/2} \Im \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(1 - \sigma + iT, \chi) d\sigma = \Im \int_{(1/2)+iT}^{1-\sigma_1+iT} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Similarly,

$$\Im \int_{\sigma_1-iT}^{(1/2)-iT} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds = \Im \int_{(1/2)-iT}^{1-\sigma_1-iT} \frac{\Phi'}{\Phi}(s, \chi) ds. \quad (3.3)$$

Consequently, $\Delta_R \arg \Phi(s, \chi) = 2\Delta_C \arg \Phi(s, \chi)$. By (3.1), it is obvious that

$$N_\chi(T) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_C \arg A(\tilde{f})^s + \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_C \arg \Gamma(s)^{r_2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_C \arg \zeta(s, \chi) \quad (3.4)$$

and a trivial verification shows that

$$\Delta_C \arg A(\tilde{f})^s = 2T \log A(\tilde{f}). \quad (3.5)$$

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_C \arg \Gamma(s)^{r_2} &= r_2 \left(\Im \log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) - \Im \log \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - iT\right) \right) \\ &= 2Tr_2 \log \frac{T}{e} + Tr_2 \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{4T^2} \right) + \frac{2Tr_2}{3(1+4T^2)} + \frac{4Tr_2}{45(1+4T^2)^2} \\ &\quad + r_2 \Im R_4\left(\frac{1}{2} + iT\right) - r_2 \Im R_4\left(\frac{1}{2} - iT\right) \\ &\leq 2Tr_2 \log \frac{T}{e} + \frac{r_2}{4T} - \frac{r_2}{32T^3} + \frac{r_2}{192T^5} + \frac{2r_2}{15} + \frac{4r_2}{1125} + \frac{2C_2}{(\frac{1}{4} + T^2)^{3/2}} \\ &\leq 2Tr_2 \log \frac{T}{e} + 0.370150r_2 \end{aligned}$$

for $T \geq 1$. From this, together with (3.4) and (3.5),

$$\left| N_\chi(T) - \frac{T}{\pi} \log \left(\left(\frac{T}{2\pi e} \right)^{2r_2} |\Delta| N \tilde{f} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_C \arg \zeta(s, \chi) + C_2 r_2, \quad (3.6)$$

where $C_2 = 0.117823$. We now give an estimate for $|\Delta_C \arg \zeta(s, \chi)|$. To do this, we divide C into C_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &: \frac{1}{2} - iT \quad \text{to} \quad \sigma_1 - iT, \\ C_2 &: \sigma_1 - iT \quad \text{to} \quad \sigma_1 + iT, \\ C_3 &: \sigma_1 + iT \quad \text{to} \quad \frac{1}{2} - iT, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma_1 = \sigma_1(T)$. We start by estimating the change of argument of $\zeta(s, \chi)$ along C_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{C_2} \arg \zeta_K(s, \chi)| &= \left| \Im \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s, \chi) ds \right| = \left| \Im \int_{\sigma-iT}^{\sigma+iT} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p}^m) \log N\mathfrak{p}}{(N\mathfrak{p})^{ms}} ds \right| \\ &= \left| -\Im \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p}^m)}{m(N\mathfrak{p})^{m(\sigma+iT)}} + \Im \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p}^m)}{m(N\mathfrak{p})^{m(\sigma-iT)}} \right| \\ &= \left| 2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{p}^m) \sin(mT \log N\mathfrak{p})}{m(N\mathfrak{p})^{m\sigma}} \right| \leq 2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m(N\mathfrak{p})^{m\sigma}} \\ &\leq 4r_2 \sum_{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{mp^{m\sigma}} \\ &= 4r_2 \sum_p \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^\sigma} \right)^{-1} = 4r_2 \log(\zeta(\sigma)) \end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma > 1$. Hence,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_{C_2} \arg \zeta(s, \chi) \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \zeta(\sigma_1)^{2r_2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sigma_1} \right)^{2r_2}. \quad (3.7)$$

We next give an estimate for $\Delta_{C_3} \arg \zeta(s, \chi)$. Let N be a positive integer. For any primitive character $\chi \neq \chi_0$ modulo \mathfrak{f} , define

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{2} (\zeta(s + iT, \chi)^N + \zeta(s - iT, \bar{\chi})^N).$$

Since $\zeta(\bar{s}, \chi) = \overline{\zeta(s, \bar{\chi})}$, for σ real,

$$f(\sigma) = \Re \zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)^N.$$

Let m be the number of zeros of $\Re \zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)^N$, where $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_1$. The interval $[\frac{1}{2}, \sigma_1]$ is divided into $m+1$ parts, throughout each of which $\Re \zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)^N \geq 0$ or $\Re \zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)^N \leq 0$. Hence,

$$|\Delta_{C_3} \arg \zeta(s, \chi)| = \frac{1}{N} |\Delta_{C_3} \arg \zeta(s, \chi)^N| \leq \frac{(m+1)\pi}{N}. \quad (3.8)$$

We now give an estimate for m . Let

$$\eta = \eta(T) = (\log(e^3(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(|T|+3)))^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

We define

$$\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(T) = 1 + \eta(T), \quad \sigma_1(T) = \sigma_0 + \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} + \eta(T)).$$

We apply [12, Lemma 2] with $F(s) = \zeta(s, \chi)$. Lemma 2.2 shows that

$$|\Delta_+ \arg \zeta(s, \chi) + \Delta_- \arg \zeta(s, \chi)| \leq E = E(T, r_2) = 14.200774r_2$$

for $T \geq 1$. Firstly, we assume that

$$|\Delta_{C_3} \arg \zeta(s, \chi)^N| < 3 + \left\lfloor \frac{NE}{\pi} \right\rfloor.$$

By (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\left| N_\chi(T) - \frac{T}{\pi} \log \left(\left(\frac{T}{2\pi e} \right)^{2r_2} (|\Delta|N\tilde{\tau}) \right) \right| \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \log \zeta(\sigma_1) + 0.1178230 \right) r_2 + \frac{2E}{\pi^2} + \frac{6}{N\pi}.$$

Secondly, assume that there exists $m \geq 3 + \lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor$ such that

$$m\pi \leq |\Delta_{C_3} \arg \zeta(s, \chi)^N|.$$

By [12, Lemma 2], there are at least m distinct zeros ϱ_j of $\Re \zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)^N$, where $\varrho_j = x_j + iT$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq x_m < \dots < x_1 \leq \sigma_1$, and there are at least $m - 2 - \lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor$ distinct zeros ϱ'_j of $\Re \zeta(\sigma + iT, \chi)^N$, where $\varrho'_j = x'_j + iT$ for $j = 1, \dots, m - 2 - \lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor$ and $1 - \sigma_1 \leq x'_1 < \dots < x'_{m-2-\lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover,

$$x_j \geq 1 - x'_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m - 2 - \left\lfloor \frac{NE}{\pi} \right\rfloor. \quad (3.9)$$

Fix $R = R(T) = 1 + 2\eta(T)$. (We remark that Trudgian [12] takes $R = r(\frac{1}{2} + \eta)$, where $r > 1$, and optimises R over r .) Let $n(T)$ be the number of zeros of $f(s)$ in the circle

$$|s - \sigma_0| \leq R.$$

To estimate $n(T)$, define k to be the number of zeros $\varrho_j = x_j + iT$ of $f(\sigma)$ such that $\sigma_0 < x_j < \sigma_1$, where $j = 1, \dots, k$. From (3.9), there are zeros ϱ' of $f(\sigma)$ satisfying $1 - \sigma_1 < x'_j < -\eta$, where $j = 1, \dots, k$. Let $x_{m-2-\lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor} < x_j < \sigma_0$. From (3.9), there are zeros ϱ' of $f(\sigma)$ such that $x'_j \geq -\eta$. Since $f(s)$ is regular in the circle $|s - \sigma_0| < R$ and $f(\sigma_0) \neq 0$, Jensen's theorem shows that

$$\log \frac{R^{2m-k-2-\lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor}}{M} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \log |f(\sigma_0 + Re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |f(\sigma_0)| = J_1 - \log |f(\sigma_0)|,$$

where

$$M = \prod_{j=1}^k |\sigma_0 - x_j| \prod_{j=k+1}^{m-2-\lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor} |\sigma_0 - x_j| |\sigma_0 - x'_j| \prod_{j=m-1-\lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor}^m |\sigma_0 - x_j|$$

and

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \log |f(\sigma_0 + Re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

For $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\log \frac{R}{|\sigma_0 - x_j|} \geq \log \frac{R}{|\sigma_0 - \sigma_1|} \geq 2 \log 2$$

and, for $j = k + 1, \dots, m - 2 - \lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor$,

$$\log \frac{R^2}{|\sigma_0 - x_j||\sigma_0 - x'_j|} = \log \frac{4(\frac{1}{2} + \eta)^2}{(1 + \eta - x_j)(\eta + x_j)} \geq 2 \log 2$$

and, for $j = m - 1 - \lfloor NE/\pi \rfloor, \dots, m$,

$$\log \frac{R}{|\sigma_0 - x_j|} \geq \log \frac{R}{|\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{2}|} \geq \log 2,$$

whence

$$J_1 - \log |f(\sigma_0)| \geq 2 \log 2 \left(m - k - 2 - \left\lfloor \frac{NE}{\pi} \right\rfloor \right) + 2k \log 2 + \log 2 \left(2 + \left\lfloor \frac{NE}{\pi} \right\rfloor \right)$$

and, consequently,

$$m \leq \frac{1}{2 \log 2} J_1 - \frac{1}{2 \log 2} \log |f(\sigma_0)| + 1 + \frac{NE}{2\pi}. \quad (3.10)$$

To estimate J_1 , write

$$J_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} + \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \right) \log |f(\sigma_0 + R(T)e^{i\theta})| d\theta = J_2 + J_3. \quad (3.11)$$

From Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &\leq \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \log |\zeta(\sigma_0(T) + R(T) \cos \theta + i(R(T) \sin \theta + T), \chi)| d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{Nr_2}{2\pi} R(T) \log(T+5) \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} (-\cos \theta) d\theta \\ &\quad - \frac{Nr_2}{2\pi} \eta(T) \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} \log(|R(T) \sin \theta + T| + 3) d\theta \\ &\quad + \frac{Nr_2}{4\pi} \log(|\Delta|N\tilde{f}) R(T) \int_{\pi/2}^{3\pi/2} (-\cos \theta) d\theta - \frac{1}{4} \eta(T) Nr_2 \log(|\Delta|N\tilde{f}) \\ &\quad + Nr_2 \log \log(T+5) + Nr_2 \log \log(|\Delta|N\tilde{f})^{1/2r_2} + Nr_2 \log \log e^4 + \frac{Nr_2}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} Nr_2 R(T) \log(T+5) + \frac{1}{2\pi} R(T) Nr_2 \log(|\Delta|N\tilde{f}) + Nr_2 \log \log(T+5) \\ &\quad + Nr_2 \log \log(|\Delta|N\tilde{f})^{1/2r_2} + Nr_2 \log \log e^4 + \frac{Nr_2}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} N \log \zeta(1 + \eta(T))^{2r_2} \leq Nr_2 \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\eta(T)} \right) \\ &\leq Nr_2 \log \log(e^4 (|\Delta|N\tilde{f})^{1/2r_2} (T+3)). \end{aligned}$$

To complete the bound for m , we estimate $-N \log(|f(\sigma_0)|)$. To do this, we write

$$\zeta(1 + \eta + iT, \chi) = re^{i\varphi}.$$

Choose a sequence of integers N tending to infinity such that $N\varphi$ tends to 0 modulo 2π (by Dirichlet's approximation theorem). It follows that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\sigma_0)}{|\zeta(\sigma_0 + iT, \chi)|^N} = 1.$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} -\log |f(\sigma_0)| &\leq N \log \frac{1}{|\zeta(\sigma_0 + iT, \chi)|} \leq N \log |\zeta_K(\sigma_0)| \leq N \log \zeta(1 + \eta(T))^{2r_2} \\ &\leq 2r_2 N \log \log(e^4 (|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2} (|T| + 3)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.8), (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_{C_3} \arg \zeta(s, \chi) \right| &\leq \frac{r_2}{2\pi \log 2} R(T) \log T + \frac{r_2}{2\pi \log 2} R(T) \log \left(1 + \frac{5}{T}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{r_2}{2 \log 2} \log \log(T + 5) + \frac{r_2}{4\pi \log 2} R(T) \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f}) \\ &\quad + \frac{r_2}{2 \log 2} \left(\log \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2} + \log \log e^4 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{3r_2}{2 \log 2} \log \log(e^4 (|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2} (T + 3)) + \frac{E}{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), the same bound holds with C_1 in place of C_3 . From (3.7) and (3.13), with $R(T) = 1 + 2\eta(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \Delta_C \arg \zeta(s, \chi) \right| &\leq \frac{2r_2}{2\pi \log 2} \log T + \frac{4r_2}{\log 2} \log \log(T + 5) + \frac{r_2}{4\pi \log 2} \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f}) \\ &\quad + \frac{r_2}{\log 2} \log \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2} + C_3(T, r_2, \Delta, \mathfrak{f}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(T, r_2, \Delta, \mathfrak{f}) &= \frac{2r_2}{\pi \log 2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{\log(T + 3)} + \frac{\log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}}{\log(T + 3)} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{2r_2}{2\pi \log 2} (1 + 2\eta(T)) \log \left(1 + \frac{5}{T} \right) + \frac{r_2}{2\pi \log 2} \eta(T) \log(|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f}) \\ &\quad + \frac{3r_2}{\log 2} \log \left(\left(1 + \frac{4}{\log((|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2}(T + 3))} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\log((|\Delta|N\mathfrak{f})^{1/2r_2})}{\log(T + 3)} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{E}{\pi} + \frac{4r_2}{\pi} \log \frac{9}{5} + \frac{r_2}{\log 2} \left(\log \log e^4 + \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.6), Theorem 1.1 follows. \square

References

- [1] R. Backlund, ‘Über die Nullstellen der Riemannschen Zetafunction’, *Acta Math.* **41** (1918), 345–375.
- [2] T. Fryska, ‘An estimate of the order of the Hecke–Landau $\zeta(s, \chi)$ -functions’, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **16** (1988), 55–62.
- [3] T. Fryska, ‘Some effective estimates for the roots of the Dirichlet L-series, II’, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **16** (1988), 21–36.
- [4] M. Grześkowiak, ‘An algorithmic construction of finite elliptic curves of order divisible by a large prime’, *Fund. Inform.* **132**(4) (2015), 331–343.
- [5] H. Kadiri and N. Ng, ‘Explicit zero density theorems for Dedekind zeta-functions’, *J. Number Theory* **132**(4) (2012), 748–775.
- [6] E. Landau, ‘Über Ideale und Primideale in Idealklassen’, *Math. Z.* **2** (1918), 135–152.
- [7] K. McCurley, ‘Explicit estimates for the error term in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions’, *Math. Comp.* **42**(165) (1984), 265–285.
- [8] F. W. J. Olver, *Asymptotics and Special Functions*, Computer Science and Applied Mathematics (Academic Press, New York–London, 1974).
- [9] J. Rosser, ‘Explicit bounds for some functions of prime numbers’, *Amer. J. Math.* **63** (1941), 211–232.
- [10] T. Trudgian, ‘An improved upper bound for the argument of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line’, *Math. Comp.* **81**(278) (2012), 1053–1061.
- [11] T. Trudgian, ‘An improved upper bound for the argument of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line II’, *J. Number Theory* (134) (2014), 280–292.
- [12] T. Trudgian, ‘An improved upper bound for the error in the zero-counting formulae for Dirichlet L-functions and Dedekind zeta-functions’, *Math. Comp.* **84**(293) (2015), 1439–1450.

MACIEJ GRZEŚKOWIAK,

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,
Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 87,
61-614 Poznań, Poland
e-mail: maciejg@amu.edu.pl