GENERATORS OF MONOTHETIC GROUPS

D. L. ARMACOST

A topological group G is called *monothetic* if it contains a dense cyclic subgroup. An element x of G is called a generator of G if x generates a dense cyclic subgroup of G. We denote by E(G) the set of generators of G; the complement of E(G) in G, consisting of the "non-generators" of G, we write as N(G). Throughout this paper we consider only locally compact abelian (LCA) groups satisfying the T_2 separation axiom (note that a monothetic group is automatically abelian). In [1] certain problems of measurability concerning the set E(G) are discussed. In this paper we shall consider some algebraic and topological properties of the sets E(G) and N(G).

The LCA groups which we shall mention often are the integers \mathbb{Z} , the cyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}(n)$, the quasicyclic groups $\mathbb{Z}(p^{\infty})$, the additive group of the rational numbers \mathbb{Q} taken discrete, the circle group \mathbb{T} , and the group \mathbb{J}_p of p-adic integers with its usual compact topology. Information on all these groups can be found in [2]. If G is an LCA group, we denote by \hat{G} the character group of G. If $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ we write ker γ for the kernel of γ ; the trivial character is written 1. If two groups G and H are topologically isomorphic, we write $G \cong H$. We shall have occasion to write the operation of G both multiplicatively and additively; in the former case, the identity element is written as e, and in the latter case as 0. We shall make constant use of the fact that a locally compact monothetic group is either topologically isomorphic with \mathbb{Z} or is compact (see [2, 9.2]). Our last preliminary will be the statement of a well-known result:

LEMMA 1. If G is LCA, $N(G) = \{x \in G : x \in \ker \gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \neq 1 \text{ in } \hat{G}\}.$

Proof. See, for example, [2, 25.11].

Our first result will be the determination of necessary and sufficient conditions for E(G) to form a dense subset of G. Since $E(\mathbf{Z})$ is certainly not dense in \mathbf{Z} , we may restrict our attention to compact groups. We first observe that, if G is not connected, it has a proper open subgroup U. Since E(G) is contained in the complement of U, it is clear that E(G) cannot be dense in G. It is not difficult to show that the converse is true:

THEOREM 1. Let G be a monothetic LCA group. Then E(G) is dense in G if and only if G is connected.

Proof. One direction has already been indicated. For the converse, assume that G is connected and note that if $x \in E(G)$, then $x^n \in E(G)$ for all integers

Received January 29, 1971 and in revised form, June 11, 1971.

 $n \neq 0$. To see this, assume that $x^n \in N(G)$ for some $n \neq 0$. Then by Lemma 1, there exists $\gamma \neq 1$ in \hat{G} such that $\gamma(x^n) = 1$. Hence $\gamma^n(x) = 1$, and so, again by Lemma 1, $\gamma^n = 1$, contradicting the fact that \hat{G} is torsion-free. If (x) denotes the cyclic subgroup of G generated by x, we have now shown that $(x) - \{e\} \subseteq E(G)$. But (x) is dense in G, and since G is not discrete, $(x) - \{e\}$ is dense in G also. Hence E(G) is dense in G, completing the proof.

Later on, in the proof of Theorem 3, we shall have a use for Theorem 1. For the present, we ask the analogous question concerning N(G). We first show that, if G is not totally disconnected, then N(G) is dense in G. To see this, suppose that $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ has infinite order. Then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(\gamma^n) \subseteq N(G)$, by Lemma 1. On the other hand, a simple duality argument shows that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(\gamma^n)$ is a dense subgroup of G, since the annihilator in \hat{G} of this subgroup is just $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (\gamma^n) = \{1\}$, where (γ^n) denotes the cyclic subgroup of \hat{G} generated by γ^n . Hence, if G is not totally disconnected, it has a character of infinite order and so N(G) is dense in G, by the above argument. Thus it remains only to examine the totally disconnected monothetic groups G for which N(G) is dense. Since $N(\mathbb{Z})$ is not dense in \mathbb{Z} , we may restrict our attention to the compact totally disconnected monothetic groups, for which we have a simple structure theorem:

LEMMA 2. A compact totally disconnected monothetic group has the form $\prod_{p \in P} A_p$ (i.e., the (full) di ect product of the groups A_p under the product topology, where P is the set of primes) where A_p is either trivial, $\mathbf{Z}(p^{\tau_p})$ (where r_p is a positive integer), or \mathbf{J}_p .

Proof. See [2, 25.16].

We shall show that if N(G) is not dense in G, then it is already a closed subset of G, and that this occurs in relatively few monothetic groups.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a compact monothetic group. If G is not totally disconnected, then N(G) is dense in G. If N(G) is not dense in G, then N(G) is closed in G and this occurs if and only if G is the direct product of a finite number of the groups A_p described in Lemma 2.

Proof. The first assertion has already been shown above. If N(G) is not dense in G, then G is totally disconnected and has the form given in Lemma 2. Now it is shown in $[\mathbf{2}, 25.27]$ that for such groups G, $E(G) = \prod_{p \in P} E(A_p)$. It is clear from the definition of the product topology that N(G) will be dense in G unless all but a finite number of the factors A_p are trivial, so G is the direct product of a finite number of the groups A_p . Finally, we must show that in this case, N(G) is indeed closed. To see this, we observe that $E(A_p)$ is open in A_p for each p. This is obvious when A_p has the form $\mathbf{Z}(p^{r_p})$ and for $A_p = \mathbf{J}_p$ it is shown, again in $[\mathbf{2}, 25.27]$, that $N(J_p)$ is the open and closed subgroup of sequences with zero in the first coordinate, so $E(\mathbf{J}_p)$ is open in \mathbf{J}_p . Hence E(G) is open in G, so N(G) is closed. This completes the proof.

MONOTHETIC GROUPS

We can also deduce from Lemma 2 and from the remarks in the proof of Theorem 2 that N(G) is open in G whenever G is a compact totally disconnected monothetic group (alternatively, we could see this by observing that ker γ is open in G for each γ in \hat{G} ; then apply Lemma 1). Our next result shows that N(G) is open in G only when G is totally disconnected.

THEOREM 3. Let G be monothetic. Then N(G) is open in G if and only if G is totally disconnected.

Proof. We remarked above that if G is totally disconnected, then N(G) is open in G. The converse appears more difficult to establish. We show that if the identity component C of G is not trivial, then N(G) is not open in G. We do this by finding an element x_0 in N(G) and a net $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ where $x_i \in E(G)$ for each i in the index set I, such that $\lim x_i = x_0$. We may of course assume that G is compact.

We first observe that an element x in G is a generator of G if and only if x, considered as a character of the discrete group \hat{G} , is one-one (this follows from Lemma 1). We may assume that G is not connected, since otherwise E(G) is dense in G, by Theorem 1, so N(G) cannot be open. Thus we are assuming that $\{e\} \subset C \subset G(\{e\} \neq C \neq G)$. Let $B(\hat{G})$ and $B(\mathbf{T})$ denote the torsion subgroups of \hat{G} and the circle group \mathbf{T} , respectively. Since $B(\hat{G})$ may be considered as a subgroup of $B(\mathbf{T})$ [2, 24.32] and since $B(\mathbf{T})$ is divisible, we may extend the identity mapping from $B(\hat{G})$ into $B(\mathbf{T})$ to a homomorphism $f: \hat{G} \to B(\mathbf{T})$ (see [2, A.7]). Since \hat{G} is not a torsion group, it is clear that f is not a one-one character of \hat{G} . Now f, being a character of \hat{G} , may be identified as an element x_0 of G. It is clear that x_0 is a non-generator of G with the property that $\gamma(x_0) \neq 1$ for every non-trivial $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ of finite order.

We next observe that *C* is a monothetic group [2, 25.14]. Hence, by Theorem 1, E(C) is dense in *C*, so we may find a net $\{y_i\}_{i\in I}$ with $y_i \in E(C)$ for each $i \in I$, such that $\lim y_i = e$. If we set $x_i = x_0y_i$, then clearly $\lim x_i = x_0$ and it only remains to show that x_i is in E(G) for each $i \in I$. We do this by appealing to Lemma 1; that is, we show that if y is any element in E(C), then $\gamma(x_0y) \neq 1$ for each $\gamma \neq 1$ in \hat{G} .

There are two cases to consider. If $\gamma \neq 1$ in \hat{G} has finite order, then certainly $\gamma(C) = \{1\}$. Hence $\gamma(x_0y) = \gamma(x_0)\gamma(y) = \gamma(x_0) \neq 1$, by the way in which x_0 was constructed. If, on the other hand, γ in \hat{G} has infinite order, we first observe that $\gamma(y)$ has infinite order for any $y \in E(C)$ (for if $(\gamma(y))^n = 1$ for some positive integer n, then $\gamma^n(y) = 1$ and since γ^n , restricted to C, is a non-trivial character of C, it would follow from Lemma 1 that $y \notin E(C)$). On the other hand, $\gamma(x_0) \in B(\mathbf{T})$ for each $\gamma \in \hat{G}$, again by the construction of x_0 . Hence if $\gamma(x_0y) = 1$, we would conclude that $\gamma(y) \in B(\mathbf{T})$, contradicting the fact that $\gamma(y)$ has infinite order.

In summary, $x_0y \in E(G)$ for each $y \in E(C)$ and so x_0 is the limit of the net of generators $x_i = x_0y_i$, so N(G) is not open in G. This completes the proof.

Remark. In Theorem 2 we found that if N(G) is not dense in G then it is closed. From Theorem 3, however, we see that if E(G) is not dense (i.e., if G is not connected) it does not follow that E(G) is closed (i.e., that G is totally disconnected). It would be of interest to find an explicit description of the closure of the set E(G) for an arbitrary monothetic group G.

We now consider some algebraic properties of the generators and nongenerators of a monothetic group. We first observe that the set of nongenerators of the circle coincides with the torsion subgroup of the circle; in particular, then, $N(\mathbf{T})$ is a subgroup of \mathbf{T} . We are led to two questions:

- (1) Which monothetic groups G have the property that N(G) is a subgroup of G?
- (2) For which monothetic groups G is every element of infinite order a generator of G?

The answers to these two questions will be the substance of our last two theorems.

THEOREM 4. Let G be a monothetic LCA group. Then N(G) is a subgroup of G if and only if G is one of the following:

- (1) $\mathbf{Z}(p^n)$ where p is a prime and n a non-negative integer,
- (2) \mathbf{J}_p for some prime p,
- (3) a compact connected group of dimension one.

Proof. It is obvious that $N(\mathbf{Z}(p^n))$ is a subgroup of $\mathbf{Z}(p^n)$. Moreover, it is shown in [2, 25.27] that $N(\mathbf{J}_p)$ is an open and closed subgroup of \mathbf{J}_p . If G is of type (3), then the rank of \hat{G} is one, by [2, 24.28], so \hat{G} is a subgroup of \mathbf{Q} (see [2, A.15 and A.16]). If x and y are in N(G), there are non-trivial characters γ_1 and γ_2 in \hat{G} such that $\gamma_1(x) = \gamma_2(y) = 1$. Since $\hat{G} \subseteq \mathbf{Q}$, there exist nonzero integers m and n such that $\gamma_1^m = \gamma_2^n$. Then we have $\gamma_1^m(xy) = 1$, so xy is also in N(G). Since the inverse of a non-generator is always a non-generator, we conclude that N(G) is a subgroup of G if G is of type (3).

For the converse, we note first that if N(G) is a subgroup of G, then G must be compact, since $N(\mathbf{Z})$ is not a subgroup of \mathbf{Z} . It is, moreover, easy to see that G must be indecomposable (i.e., G cannot be written as the direct sum of two of its proper closed subgroups). Hence the discrete group \hat{G} is algebraically indecomposable, so either $\hat{G} \cong \mathbf{Z}(p^n)$, $\hat{G} \cong \mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$, or else \hat{G} is torsion-free [3, Theorem 10]. Thus, either $G \cong \mathbf{Z}(p^n)$, $G \cong \mathbf{J}_p$, or else G is compact and connected. It remains only to show that, in the last case, the rank of \hat{G} is one [2, 24.28]. We shall show that, if the rank of \hat{G} exceeds one, we can find two characters on \hat{G} , neither of which is one-one, but whose product is one-one; this will mean that we have found two non-generators of G whose product is a generator of G, so N(G) is not a subgroup of G.

Throughout this part of the proof we use the more convenient additive notation. If the rank of \hat{G} exceeds one, let us partition a maximal independent subset M of \hat{G} into two disjoint non-empty subsets M_1 and M_2 . Note that since \hat{G} is isomorphic to a subgroup of the circle, the cardinality of M does not exceed the power of the continuum. Let (M_i) denote the subgroup of Ggenerated by M_i for i = 1, 2. Let $D(M_i)$ be the minimal divisible extension of (M_i) [2, A.15] and note that M_i is a maximal independent subset of $D(M_i)$ for i = 1, 2 (see the proof of [2, A.16]). Define $f_1: \hat{G} \to D(M_1)$ by setting f_1 equal to the identity mapping on (M_1) and zero on (M_2) and then extending this mapping to all of \hat{G} by the divisibility of $D(M_1)$ [2, A.7]. Similarly, define $f_2: \hat{G} \to D(M_2)$ with f_2 the identity on (M_2) and zero on (M_1) . We then consider both f_1 and f_2 to be homomorphisms from \hat{G} into $H = D(M_1) \oplus D(M_2)$, the external direct sum of $D(M_1)$ and $D(M_2)$. Now since the rank of H does not exceed the power of the continuum, we may consider H to be a subgroup of the circle [2, 15.13] and so the functions f_1 and f_2 may be identified with characters of \hat{G} . They are obviously not one-one.

Our proof will be completed by showing that the pointwise sum $f_1 + f_2$ is one-one. Let c be a member of \hat{G} and suppose that $(f_1 + f_2)(c)$ is the zero (0, 0) of H. Since $f_1(c)$ has the form $(m_1, 0)$ and $f_2(c)$ has the form $(0, m_2)$, we conclude that $f_1(c) = f_2(c) = (0, 0)$. Since M is a maximal independent set in G we may write $nc = m_1 + m_2$, where n is a non-zero integer, and $m_i \in (M_i)$ for i = 1, 2. A direct computation shows that $(0, 0) = (f_1 + f_2)(nc) =$ (m_1, m_2) , so $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ and hence nc = 0. Since \hat{G} is torsion-free, it follows that c = 0, so $f_1 + f_2$ is one-one. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Let G be an infinite monothetic LCA group. The following are equivalent:

- (1) N(G) is a closed subgroup of G,
- (2) N(G) is an open subgroup of G,
- (3) $G \cong \mathbf{J}_p$ for some prime p.

Proof. If (1) holds, Theorem 2 and the previous theorem imply that (3) must hold. We have already remarked that $(3) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (1)$, which completes the proof.

We conclude our findings by answering our second question given above.

THEOREM 5. Let G be an infinite monothetic LCA group. If every element of infinite order in G is a generator of G, then $G \cong T$.

Proof. Since $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is impossible, we assume that G is compact. Now G cannot be a torsion group, since then G would be finite. Let $x \in G$ have infinite order. Then x^n has infinite order for every positive integer n. This implies that \hat{G} is torsion-free, since if $\gamma \neq 1$ in \hat{G} had finite order n, then $\gamma(x^n) = 1$, whence x^n is not a generator of G, a violation of hypothesis. Since G is compact, G must be connected. Now a compact connected monothetic group is solenoidal [2, 25.14 and 25.18]. Hence there is a continuous homomorphism $f: \mathbb{R} \to G$ having dense image, where \mathbb{R} denotes the additive group of real numbers with the usual topology.

D. L. ARMACOST

We next show that f cannot be one-one. If f were one-one, then $f(\mathbf{R})$ would be a torsion-free connected subgroup of G. Hence if $\gamma \neq 1$ is in \hat{G} , we know that γ is one-one on $f(\mathbf{R})$, since every element of $f(\mathbf{R})$ except f(0) must, by hypothesis, be a generator of G. On the other hand, $\gamma(f(\mathbf{R})) = \mathbf{T}$, since \mathbf{T} has no proper connected subgroups. Thus we obtain an algebraic isomorphism between \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{T} , which is absurd. Hence f is not one-one.

Finally, the transpose map $f^*: \hat{G} \to \mathbf{R}$ is one-one, but does not have dense image, by the preceding paragraph and [2, 24.41(b)]. Since all non-dense subgroups of \mathbf{R} are isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} , we conclude that $\hat{G} \cong \mathbf{Z}$, so $G \cong \mathbf{T}$. This completes the proof.

References

- 1. P. Halmos and H. Samelson, On monothetic groups, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 28 (1942), 254/258.
- 2. E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*, Vol. I (Academic Press, New York, 1963).
- 3. I. Kaplansky, Infinite abelian groups (University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 1954).

Amherst College, Amherst, Massachusetts

796