

## A NOTE ON CERTAIN SPACES WITH BASES (mod $K$ )

HAROLD R. BENNETT AND HAROLD W. MARTIN

In this note all spaces are assumed to be regular  $T_1$  spaces and all undefined terms and notations may be found in [8]. In particular let  $\text{cl}(A)$  denote the closure of the set  $A$  and let  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  denote the set of natural numbers.

*Definition 1.* Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $\mathcal{K}$  a covering of  $X$  by compact sets. An open covering  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$  is said to be a *basis (mod  $K$ )* if whenever  $x \in K_x \in \mathcal{K}$  and an open set  $V$  contains  $K_x$ , then there exists  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $x \in G \subset V$ . In such a case  $X$  is written as the ordered triple  $(X, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G})$ .

A topological space  $X = (X, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G})$  is *first-countable (mod  $K$ )* provided that  $X$  has an open covering  $\mathcal{G}$  which satisfies the following condition: if  $x \in K_x \in \mathcal{K}$ , then there is a sequence  $\{G_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  such that if  $K_x \subset V$ , where  $V$  is an open set in  $X$ , then there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $x \in G_n \subset V$ .

The notions of various types of bases (mod  $K$ ) was motivated by a result of Arhangel'skii [1, Theorem 22] and a result of Michael and Lutzer [12].

If  $X$  is a compact space, then letting  $\mathcal{K} = \{X\}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \{X\}$  it is apparent that  $(X, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G})$  is first-countable (mod  $K$ ). It is also apparent that each first-countable space is a first-countable (mod  $K$ ) space.

Using the Tychonoff Product Theorem, it may be shown that the topological product of a countable family of first-countable (mod  $K$ ) spaces is first-countable (mod  $K$ ). The property of being first-countable (mod  $K$ ) is preserved by open compact maps (i.e. an open continuous map with compact fibers) and is weakly hereditary, but not hereditary. If there is a perfect map (i.e. closed, continuous map with compact fibers) from a space  $X$  onto a first-countable (mod  $K$ ) space, then  $X$  is first-countable (mod  $K$ ). However first-countability (mod  $K$ ) is not preserved by perfect maps. To see this, the following two definitions are needed.

*Definition 1.* A set  $A$  in a topological space  $X$  has *countable character* if there exists a sequence of open sets  $\{U_n\}$  such that if  $A \subset V$  where  $V$  is an open set, then there exists a natural number  $n$  such that  $A \subset U_n \subset V$ .

*Definition 2.* A topological space  $X$  is a *semi-stratifiable space* if, to each open set  $U \subset X$  one can assign a sequence  $\{U_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  such that

- (a)  $\cup\{U_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = U$ , and
- (b)  $U_n \subset V_n$  whenever  $U \subset V$ , where  $\{V_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is the sequence assigned to  $V$ .

---

Received October 30, 1973 and in revised form, July 2, 1974.

Now let  $R$  denote any regular semi-metric space which has a compact subset  $A$  which is not of countable character. It was pointed out by C. Borges [3] that Example 9.2 of [6] has such a subset. Let  $\varphi$  be the identity map from  $R$  into  $R/A$ , the space obtained from  $R$  by identifying the points of  $A$  to a single point. The map  $\varphi$  is perfect and therefore,  $R/A$  is semi-stratifiable [7]. Since  $A$  is not of countable character, the space  $R/A$  is not first-countable. In [7] it is shown that compact semi-stratifiable spaces are metrizable. Thus, if  $R/A$  was first-countable (mod  $K$ ), then  $R/A$  would be first-countable by Lemma 1 below. It follows that  $R/A$  is not first-countable (mod  $K$ ).

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $X$  be a first-countable (mod  $K$ ) space by virtue of a compact covering  $\mathcal{K}$ . If each member of  $\mathcal{K}$  is a first-countable subspace of  $X$ , then  $X$  is first-countable.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  and  $K_x \in \mathcal{K}$  such that  $x \in K_x$ . Since each element of  $\mathcal{K}$  is a first-countable subspace and since  $X$  is a regular  $T_1$ -space, a countable collection  $\{H_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  may be found such that  $\text{cl}(H_{n+1}) \subset H_n$  for each natural number  $n$  and, if  $V$  is any open set containing  $x$ , then there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $x \in H_n \cap K_x \subset V \cap K_x$ . Now, let  $V$  be any open set containing  $x$ . Choose a natural number  $n$  such that  $x \in H_n \cap K_x \subset V \cap K_x$ . Then it follows that

$$\text{cl}(H_{n+1} \cap K_x) \subset \text{cl}(H_{n+1}) \cap K_x \subset H_n \cap K_x \subset V \cap K_x.$$

Note that  $K_x \cap (H_n - V) = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $K_x \cap (\text{cl}(H_{n+1}) - V) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\{G_m: m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  be a first-countable (mod  $K$ ) base for  $X$ . There is a natural number  $m$  such that  $x \in G_m \subset X - (\text{cl}(H_{n+1}) - V)$ . It follows that  $x \in H_{n+1} \cap G_m \subset V$  and that  $\{H_n \cap G_m: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a local base at  $x$ .

Topological spaces that are closed, continuous images of a metrizable space are called Lasnev spaces. In [13; 15] Morita, Hanai, and Stone have shown that a Lasnev space is metrizable if and only if it is first-countable. Also, in [15], Stone has shown that a locally countably compact space which is a Lasnev space is metrizable. Using these facts and Lemma 1 the following theorem is established.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $Y$  is a Lasnev space, then  $Y$  is metrizable if and only if  $Y$  is first-countable (mod  $K$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a closed, continuous map from the metrizable space  $X$  onto  $Y = (Y, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G})$ , a first-countable (mod  $K$ ) space. The map  $f$  restricted to  $f^{-1}(K)$  is closed whenever  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Since  $f^{-1}(K)$  is metrizable,  $K$  (as a subspace) must also be metrizable by Stone's Theorem. By Lemma 1,  $Y$  is first-countable. The metrizability of  $Y$  now follows by the Morita-Hanai-Stone Theorem.

For further information on Lasnev spaces, see [14].

In [4] Burke has shown that the following definitions for  $p$ -spaces and strict  $p$ -spaces are equivalent to the original definitions.

*Definition 3.* A completely regular space  $X$  is a  $p$ -space if there is a sequence  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying: If  $x \in X$  and  $H_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$  such that  $x \in H_n$ , then

- (a)  $\bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is compact, and
- (b) if  $x_n \in \bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_k): k = 1, \dots, n\}$  then  $\{x_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  has a cluster point.

*Definition 4.* A completely regular space  $X$  is a *strict- $p$ -space* if there is a sequence  $\mathcal{H} = \{\mathcal{H}_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of open coverings of  $X$  satisfying:

- (a)  $P_x = \bigcap \{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a compact for each  $x \in X$ , and
- (b)  $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a neighborhood base for  $P_x$ .

The following definition is familiar, but it is included for completeness.

*Definition 5.* A regular topological space  $X$  is a *Moore space* if there is a sequence of open covers  $\{G_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  such that  $\{\text{st}(x, G_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a local base at  $x$  for each  $x \in X$ .

The following definition is a natural extension of the concept of a Moore space in the (mod  $K$ ) setting.

*Definition 6.* A topological space  $X = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$  is *developable (mod  $K$ )* if  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_i: i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  where  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is an open covering of  $X$  for each natural number  $i$  and for each  $x \in X$ , if  $x \in K \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $K$  is contained in an open set  $V$ , then there is a natural number  $n(x)$  such that  $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_{n(x)}) \subset V$ . A regular developable (mod  $K$ ) space is called a *Moore (mod  $K$ ) space* and  $\mathcal{G}$  is called a *development (mod  $K$ )* for  $X$ .

The next several theorems relate Moore (mod  $K$ ) spaces with  $p$ -spaces and strict  $p$ -spaces.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$  be a completely regular Moore (mod  $K$ ) space; then,  $X$  is a  $p$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  be a development (mod  $K$ ) for  $X$ . Appealing to Definition 3, let  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{G}_n$  for each natural number  $n$ . Let  $x \in K \in \mathcal{X}$  and, for each natural number  $n$ , let  $x \in H_n \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . It follows that

$$\bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \subseteq K.$$

For if  $y \in \bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  and  $y \notin K$ , then there is an open set  $U$  such that  $K \subset U \subset \text{cl}(U) \subset X - \{y\}$ . Choose a natural number  $n$  such that  $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n) \subset U$ . It follows that  $\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n)) \subset \text{cl}(U)$  and, in particular,  $y \notin \text{cl}(H_n)$ . This is a contradiction and it follows that  $\bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a subset of  $K$  and, thus, is compact.

Now suppose that  $x_n \in \bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_i): 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  for each natural number  $n$ . If  $\{x_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  does not have a cluster point then there is a natural number  $n$  such that  $S = \{x_{n+i}: i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is closed and disjoint from  $K$ . Since  $X$  is regular, a natural number  $m \geq n$  can be chosen such that  $\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_m)) \cap S = \emptyset$ . It follows that  $\text{cl}(H_m) \cap S = \emptyset$  and, in particular,  $x_m \notin \bigcap \{\text{cl}(H_i): 1 \leq i \leq m\}$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $\{x_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  has a cluster point and  $X$  is a  $p$ -space.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $X = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$  is a completely regular Moore (mod  $K$ ) space such that  $\bigcap \{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = \bigcap \{\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ , then  $X$  is a strict  $p$ -space.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  be a development (mod  $K$ ) for  $X$  such that  $\bigcap \{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = \bigcap \{\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n)): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . For each natural number  $n$ , let

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \{g_1 \cap \dots \cap g_n: 1 \leq i \leq n, g_i \in \mathcal{G}_i\}.$$

Observe that  $\bigcap \{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = \bigcap \{\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n)): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

Let  $x \in X$  and  $K \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $x \in K$ . If  $y \in X - K$ , choose a natural number  $n$  such that  $y \notin \text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n)$ . Thus  $y \notin \bigcap \{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = P_x$ . It follows that  $P_x \subset K$  and  $P_x$  is a compact subset of  $X$ .

Let  $P_x$  be contained in an open set  $U$ . If  $C = K - U$ , then since  $C$  is compact and  $\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_{n+1})) \subseteq \text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n))$  for each natural number  $n$ , there is a natural number  $i$  such that  $C \cap \text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_i)) = \emptyset$ . It follows that

$$U \cup (X - \text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_i)))$$

is an open set that contains  $K$ . Choose a natural number  $j > i$  such that

$$\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_j) \subset U \cup (X - \text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_i))).$$

For this natural number  $j$ ,  $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_j) \subset U$ . Hence,  $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_n): n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a neighborhood base for  $P_x$  and, therefore,  $X$  is a strict  $p$ -space.

The notion of a  $\theta$ -refinable space was introduced by Worrell and Wicke [16] and studied in [2].

*Definition 7.* A space  $X$  is said to be  $\theta$ -refinable if given any open covering  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $X$ , there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_i: i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  such that

(i)  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is an open covering of  $X$  which refines  $\mathcal{G}$  for each natural number  $i$ , and

(ii) if  $x \in X$ , then there is a natural number  $n(x)$  such that  $x$  is in only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{G}_{n(x)}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *A completely regular, Moore (mod  $K$ ) space  $X = (X, \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{G})$  is a strict  $p$ -space if it is  $\theta$ -refinable.*

*Proof.* Following the technique used by Burke in [4], a sequence

$$\{\mathcal{H}_{(i,j)}: i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$$

of open covers can be constructed such that there is a cofinal subsequence  $\{(n(i), m(i)): i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  that satisfies

$$\bigcap \{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_{(n(i), m(i))}): i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = \bigcap \{\text{cl}(\text{st}(x, \mathcal{H}_{(n(i), m(i))})): i \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}.$$

Thus the space is a strict  $p$ -space.

*Definition 8.* A system  $G = \{g(n, x) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a *graded system of open covers* if

- (i)  $x \in g(n, x)$  and  $g(n, x)$  is open for each  $x \in X$  and each natural number  $n$ ,
- (ii)  $g(n + 1, x) \subseteq g(n, x)$  for all natural numbers  $n$  and each  $x \in X$ , and
- (iii)  $\{x\} = \bigcap \{g(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  for each  $x \in X$ .

A graded system of open covers  $\{g(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, x \in X\}$  is a *c-semi-stratification* for  $X$  provided that  $A = \bigcap \{g(n, A) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  for each closed compact set  $A$  where  $g(n, A) = \bigcup \{g(n, x) : x \in A\}$ . A space is *c-semi-stratifiable* if it has a *c-semi-stratification*.

The notion of a *c-semi-stratifiable* space is a generalization of a semi-stratifiable space and is studied in [11].

**THEOREM 4.** *A regular space  $X$  is a Moore space if and only if  $X$  is a c-semi-stratifiable space and a Moore (mod  $K$ ) space.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a *c-semi-stratifiable* space and let  $X = (X, \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{G})$  where  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a development (mod  $K$ ). No generality is lost if it is assumed that the closures of elements of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  refine  $\mathcal{G}_n$  for each natural number  $n$ . Let  $\{g(n, x) : x \in X, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  be a *c-semi-stratification* for  $X$  such that for each natural number  $n$ ,  $\{g(n, x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\text{cl}(g(n + 1, x)) \subseteq g(n, x)$ . Since regular, compact *c-semi-stratifiable* spaces are metrizable [11], each member of  $\mathcal{K}$  is first-countable. Thus  $X$  is first-countable by Lemma 1. No generality is lost then if it is assumed that  $\{g(n, x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is a local base at  $x$ .

Let  $K_x$  be an arbitrary member of  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $x \in K_x$  and let  $x \in g(n, x_n)$  for each natural number  $n$ . Suppose that  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  does not converge to  $x$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  and subsequence  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  such that no  $y_n$  is in  $V$ . If  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is frequently in  $K_x$ , then because  $X$  is first-countable there exists  $z \in K_x - V$  and subsequence  $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  such that  $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  converges to  $z$ . Let  $S = \{z\} \cup \{z_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ . The set  $S$  is compact and  $x \notin S$ . Thus there is a natural number  $m$  such that  $x \notin g(m, S)$ . Choose  $n \geq m$  such that  $x_n \in S$ . Then  $x \notin g(m, x_n) \supseteq g(n, x_n)$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  is not frequently in  $K_x$ . Without loss of generality it may be assumed that  $y_n \notin K_x$  for each natural number  $n$ . Let  $D = \{y_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ . If  $D$  is not closed, then again there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $y \notin V$  and a subsequence  $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  of  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  such that  $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  converges to  $y$ . As before a contradiction may be derived. If  $D$  is closed, then, since  $D$  is disjoint from  $K_x$ , a natural number  $m$  may be found such that  $\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_m) \cap D = \emptyset$ . Since  $\{g(m, x) : x \in X\}$  refines  $\mathcal{G}_m$ , it follows that  $x \notin g(m, D)$  which again leads to contradiction. Thus it follows that  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$  converges to  $x$ . By a result of Heath [9],  $X$  is semi-metrizable. Since  $X$  has a development (mod  $K$ ),  $X$  is a  $p$ -space and Burke [4] has shown that a semi-metrizable  $p$ -space is developable.

*Definition 9.* A topological space  $X$  is said to be *quasi-metrizable* provided

there is a real valued function  $d: X \times X \rightarrow R$  (where  $R$  denotes the reals) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $d(x, y) \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = 0$  if, and only if,  $x = y$ ,
- (iii)  $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ,
- (iv) The collection  $\{S_d(x, \epsilon): x \in X, \epsilon > 0\}$  forms a base for the topology on  $X$  where  $S_d(x, \epsilon) = \{y: d(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ .

As an example of a  $c$ -semi-stratifiable space that is not a Moore (mod  $K$ ) space consider the example of D. K. Burke given in [5]. It is easily shown that Burke's example is a locally compact, quasi-metric space. It is shown [11] that every quasi-metric space is  $c$ -semi-stratifiable. The example is not a Moore space and, hence, by the preceding theorem, it is not a Moore (mod  $K$ ) space.

The lexicographic ordering of the unit square  $L$  [10, p. 23] is a Moore (mod  $K$ ) space by letting  $X = L$ ,  $\mathcal{K} = \{L\}$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \{L\}$ . Since  $L$  is not a Moore space it is not  $c$ -semi-stratifiable.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *On a class of spaces containing all metric and all locally bicomact spaces*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 4 (1963), 1051–1055.
2. H. R. Bennett and D. J. Lutzer, *A note on weak  $\theta$ -refinability*, General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 49–54.
3. C. J. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 1–16.
4. D. K. Burke, *On  $p$ -spaces and  $w\Delta$ -spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 35 (1970), 285–296.
5. ———, *A nondevelopable locally compact Hausdorff space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal*, General Topology and Appl. 2 (1972), 287–292.
6. J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 105–126.
7. G. D. D. Creede, *Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 47–54.
8. J. Dugundji, *Topology* (Allyn & Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966).
9. R. W. Heath, *Arcwise connectedness in semi-metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 1301–1319.
10. J. L. Kelly, *General topology* (Van Nostrand Princeton, New Jersey, 1955).
11. H. W. Martin, *Metrizability and submetrization of topological spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Pittsburgh, 1973.
12. E. Michael, *On Nagami's  $\Sigma$ -spaces and some related matters*, Proc. Wash. S. Univ. Conf., 1970, 13–18.
13. K. Morita and S. Hanai, *Closed mappings and metric spaces*, Proc. Jap. Acad. 32 (1956), 10–14.
14. F. Siewicz, *On the theorem of Morita, Hanai and Stone* (to appear).
15. A. H. Stone, *Metrizability of decomposition spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 690–700.
16. J. M. Worrell and H. H. Wicke, *Characterizations of developable topological spaces*, Can. J. Math. 17 (1965), 820–830.

Texas Tech University,  
Lubbock, Texas