

Michigan who were new to the training. Surveys were sent to all participants after completing the training and a focus group of research staff was conducted to identify how they utilized the training in support of their teams. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** It is anticipated that at least 100 individuals will participate in the evaluation of the Social and Behavioral Research training between October 2022 and February 2023. Data extracted from U-M's learning management platform will demonstrate how well participants performed on key knowledge checks embedded in the modules and how quickly they progressed through the sections of the training. These results will be compared to benchmarks derived from evaluations of the prior course which were conducted in 2018. A focus group of at least 10 individuals will demonstrate how health research staff utilized the training and associated resources to advance the scientific work of their study teams. **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** Comprehensive training programs for research best practices in social and behavioral health need to have tailored and up-to-date information for this group of researchers and staff. The results of this evaluation will demonstrate how this program contributed to the professional development of the health research workforce.

A summer research experience to encourage URM students' participation in health sciences research

Pamela Dillon, Jacqueline Smith-Mason, Daniel Roberts, Patrick Nana-Sinkam

Virginia Commonwealth University

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: To increase the diversity of the health sciences research workforce, students from a variety of backgrounds must have the opportunity to participate in hands-on research experiences that highlight translating science to treating human disease. We developed a mentored translational research program for students from VCU and central Virginia HBCUs. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** The Wright Regional Center for Clinical and Translational Science collaborated with the existing VCU Honors' Summer Undergraduate Research Program (HSURP) to expand their summer research experience to URM students from our partner HBCUs. For 10 weeks, students worked with faculty mentors to learn research techniques and engage in research projects. Students also participated in career development sessions like developing a CV and choosing graduate programs, and at the end of HSURP, they shared formal presentations of their research with peers and mentors. HSURP students were provided housing and a stipend, and mentors were provided a stipend. A post-program assessment gathered feedback on research and personal skills gained, the program's influence on their career goals, and overall experiences with HSURP. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** Nine students, 7 from VCU and 2 from Virginia State University participated in HSURP. Students were rising sophomores, juniors, and seniors, and 5 had previous research experience. Students worked on projects ranging from basic to social behavioral, community-placed research. All students rated the program as good or excellent. Post-program assessments showed all students believed they had a better understanding of ethical responsibilities of researchers, relevance of community-engaged and clinical/translational research, and interpreting journal articles after participating in the program. Four students reported they plan to continue working on their research projects during the academic year, and all students strongly agreed or agreed that HSURP prepared them for graduate or professional schools. **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** A program that combines hands-on research training and career

development opportunities provides a robust research foundation for URM students, which can increase their participation in the translational science workforce. Future program development will include preprogram training modules to better prepare students for research experiences.

87

Access to Neurosurgical Research Opportunities and Gender-Concordant Mentorship in Various Countries

Michelle N. Odonkor¹, Bhavya Pahwa², Jordina Rincon-Torroella¹, Nancy Abu-Bonsrah¹, Judy Huang¹, Mari Groves¹

¹Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine ²University College Of Medical Sciences, Delhi, India

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: modonko1@jhmi.edu **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** Michelle Odonkor **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** I accept **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** No, I do not want my poster published JCTS.

88

Assessing Perceptions of Institutional Inclusivity on Burnout, Intent to Continue Training, and Perceived Stress among Underrepresented Postdoctoral Fellows and Early Career Faculty

Chantele Mitchell-Miland^{1,2}, Galen Switzer³, Gretchen White³, Michael Fine^{1,2}, Leah Hollis⁴, Tiffany Gary-Webb⁵, Natalia Morone^{6,7}, Audrey Murrell⁸, Doris Rubio³

¹University of Pittsburgh ²VA Pittsburgh Healthcare Center,

³University of Pittsburgh, Schools of the Health Sciences ⁴Morgan State University ⁵University of Pittsburgh, School of Public Health

⁶Boston University, School of Medicine ⁷Boston Medical Center

⁸University of Pittsburgh, College of Business Administration

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: The Building Up Study tests the effectiveness of an intervention aimed to diversify the workforce using a two-arm cluster randomized trial. We examined how underrepresented (UR) participants' perceptions of institutional inclusion affected burnout, intent to continue training, and perceived stress. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** Building Up was conducted at 25 institutions with 225 UR post-doctoral fellow or early-career faculty participants. To assess perceived institutional inclusion, participants completed a 28-item survey in the first year of follow-up. We used descriptive statistics to describe age, race/ethnicity, and gender. We used exploratory factor analysis to extract factors or domains (survey questions that grouped together). We calculated mean domain scores and used correlations to assess associations between each domain and each dependent variable (burnout, intent to continue training, and perceived stress). Demographics, correlation coefficients and associated p-values are presented. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** 130 of 144 eligible participants completed all questions. The mean age was 39 years (SD = 6), 83% were female, 35% identified as non-Hispanic Black, and 36% identified as Hispanic. Greater inclusivity was associated with lower burnout across 5/6 identified domains: policies (-0.3, p $< .05$). **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** These findings suggest that institutional inclusion is associated with differences in capacity to function among UR postdocs and early-career faculty. Inclusivity of leaders was only associated with intent to continue training. Inclusion coupled with employee support and development are important for positive outcomes.